

# English as a lingua franca: mutual intelligibility of Chinese, Dutch and American speakers of English

Wang, H.

#### Citation

Wang, H. (2007, January 10). *English as a lingua franca: mutual intelligibility of Chinese, Dutch and American speakers of English. LOT dissertation series.* LOT, Utrecht. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/8597

Version:	Not Applicable (or Unknown)
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the</u> <u>Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/8597

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

English as a lingua franca: Mutual intelligibility of Chinese, Dutch and American speakers of English

Published by LOT Janskerkhof 13 3512 BL Utrecht The Netherlands

phone: +31 30 253 6006 fax: +31 30 253 6406 e-mail: lot@let.uu.nl http://www.lotschool.nl

Cover illustration: Plot of vowels in formant space produced by American speakers of English (see Chapter five)

ISBN: 978-90-78328-20-9 NUR 632

Copyright © 2007: Wang Hongyan. All rights reserved.

# English as a lingua franca: Mutual intelligibility of Chinese, Dutch and American speakers of English

#### PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van de Rector Magnificus Dr. D.D. Breimer, hoogleraar in de faculteit der Wiskunde en Natuurwetenschappen en die der Geneeskunde, volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties te verdedigen op woensdag 10 januari 2007 klokke 13.45 uur

door

### WANG HONGYAN

geboren te Tongliao, China in 1967 Promotiecommissie

prof. dr. V.J.J.P. van Heuven
prof. dr. ir. L.C.W. Pols (Universiteit van
Amsterdam)
prof. dr. A.P.A. Broeders
prof. dr. C.J. Ewen
prof. dr. Liu Yi (Shenzhen University, P. R. China)
dr. J.M. van de Weijer

The first year (2002/03) of the research reported in this dissertation was financially supported by a grant from the China Scholarship Council (12-months stay at the LUCL phonetics laboratory). During the second year (2003/04) the author was financially supported by a Delta scholarship from the Leiden University Fund (LUF). During the final two years of the research the author received a scholarship from the Leiden University Centre for Linguistics (LUCL).

# **Table of contents**

#### **Chapter one: Introduction**

1.1	English as a lingua franca	1
1.2	Topic of the dissertation	2
1.3	Approach	3
1.4	Goal of the study	4
1.5	Effect of linguistic distance	5
1.6	Contrastive analysis	6
1.7	Structure of the dissertation	6

#### Chapter two: Background

Foreign accent	9
What is (foreign) accent?	9
Linguistic levels in foreign accent	10
Relative importance of pronunciation, morpho-syntax and	
vocabulary for intelligibility and comprehensibility	11
Relative importance of various aspects of pronunciation	
(vowels, consonants, stress, accentuation, melody, rhythm)	13
Attitudes towards foreign accent	15
Causes of foreign accent?	17
Age effects (AOA and AOL)	17
Experience effects (LOR and L2 USE)	18
Transfer from the native language	19
Flege's Speech Learning Model SLM	20
Kuhl's Native Language Magnet model NLM	21
Best's Perceptual Assimilation Model PAM	21
Alternative approach	24
Measurement of intelligibility	24
Terminological preliminaries	24
Functional tests of intelligibility	26
Intelligibility of consonants (onset, coda)	26
Intelligibility of vowels	27
Intelligibility of clusters	28
Word recognition tests (on-line, off-line)	28
Functional tests of speech understanding (comprehension tests)	30
Information reduction techniques	31
Speech in noise	31
Filtering	32
Gating	33
	<ul> <li>What is (foreign) accent?</li> <li>Linguistic levels in foreign accent</li> <li>Relative importance of pronunciation, morpho-syntax and vocabulary for intelligibility and comprehensibility</li> <li>Relative importance of various aspects of pronunciation (vowels, consonants, stress, accentuation, melody, rhythm)</li> <li>Attitudes towards foreign accent</li> <li>Causes of foreign accent?</li> <li>Age effects (AOA and AOL)</li> <li>Experience effects (LOR and L2 USE)</li> <li>Transfer from the native language</li> <li>Flege's Speech Learning Model SLM</li> <li>Kuhl's Native Language Magnet model NLM</li> <li>Best's Perceptual Assimilation Model PAM</li> <li>Alternative approach</li> <li>Measurement of intelligibility</li> <li>Terminological preliminaries</li> <li>Functional tests of intelligibility</li> <li>Intelligibility of consonants (onset, coda)</li> <li>Intelligibility of clusters</li> <li>Word recognition tests (on-line, off-line)</li> <li>Functional tests of speech understanding (comprehension tests)</li> <li>Information reduction techniques</li> <li>Speech in noise</li> <li>Filtering</li> </ul>

2.4	Can higher-order performance skills be predicted	
	from lower-order components?	33
2.5	Problems at the phonological level vs. phonetic level	34
2.5.1	Phonology	34
2.5.1.1	Differences in inventories (number of sounds, oppositions)	34
2.5.1.2	Differences in syllable structure (no clusters, simpler clusters,	
	no coda)	35
2.5.1.3	Positional allophones (final devoicing)	35
2.5.2	Phonetics	36
2.5.2.1	Same oppositions but different boundaries	36
2.5.2.2	Different cue tradings	37
2.6	Concluding remarks	37

#### Chapter three: Contrastive analysis

3.1	Vowels	39
3.1.1	Vowel inventories in the three languages	41
3.1.1.1	English vowels	41
3.1.1.2	Dutch vowels	43
3.1.1.3	Chinese vowels	45
3.1.2	Prediction of pronunciation problems in vowels	47
3.1.2.1	Dutch ~ English	48
3.1.2.2	Chinese ~ English	50
3.2	Consonants	53
3.2.1	Dutch consonants vs. English consonants	54
3.2.2	Chinese consonants vs. English consonants	55
3.2.3	Prediction of pronunciation problems in consonants	56
3.2.3.1	Dutch – English consonant transfer	56
3.2.3.2	Chinese – English consonant transfer	58
3.3	Syllable structure	60
3.3.1	English	60
3.3.2	Dutch	61
3.3.3	Chinese	61
3.3.4	Dutch versus English syllable structures	62
3.3.5	Chinese versus English syllable structures	62
3.4	Concluding remarks	63

#### **Chapter four: Data collection**

4.1	Introduction	65
4.2	Materials to be collected	67
4.2.1	Vowels (/hVd/ list)	67
4.2.2	Consonants (Consonant lists)	68
4.2.3	Consonant clusters (Cluster lists)	69
4.2.4	Words in meaningless sentences (SUS-lists)	70

4.2.5	Words in meaningful sentences (SPIN-lists)	71
4.3	Speakers	72
4.4	Recording procedures	72
4.5	Selecting representative speakers	72
4.5.1	Set-up of the screening test	73
4.5.2	Stimuli	74
4.5.3	Listeners	78
4.5.4	Procedure	78
4.5.5	Results	79
4.5.6	Selection of optimally representative speakers	81
4.6	Final experiment	83
4.6.1	Preparation of stimulus materials for final tests	83
4.6.2	Listeners of final tests	84
4.6.3	Procedure of final tests	85
4.6.4	Data presentation in the next chapters	86

#### Chapter five: Acoustic analysis of vowels

5.1	Introduction	87
5.1.1	Objective measurement of vowel quality	87
5.1.2	The problem of vowel normalization	88
5.1.3	Vowel duration	90
5.1.4	Selecting vowels for analysis	90
5.2	Formant plots	90
5.3	Vowel duration in Chinese, Dutch and American English	96
5.4	Automatic vowel classification	97

#### Chapter six: Intelligibility of vowels

6.1	Introduction	103
6.2	Results	104
6.2.1	Overall results	104
6.2.2	Overview of the sound system	106
6.2.3	Correct vowel identification	107
6.2.4	Vowel confusion structures	110
6.2.4.1	Confusion matrices	110
6.2.4.2	Extracting confusion patterns	111
6.2.4.3	Design of the confusion graphs	112
6.2.4.4	Confusion structures of Chinese listeners	114
6.2.4.5	Confusion structures of Dutch listeners	116
6.2.4.6	Confusion structures of American listeners	118
6.3	Summary	120
6.4	Conclusion and discussion	120

ix

#### Chapter seven: Intelligibility of intervocalic consonants

7.1	Introduction	123
7.2	Results	123
7.2.1	Overall results	123
7.2.2	Correct consonant identification	124
7.2.3	Consonant confusion structure	127
7.2.3.1	Confusion structures of Chinese listeners	128
7.2.3.2	Confusion structures of Dutch listeners	130
7.2.3.3	Confusion structures of American listeners	132
7.3	Summary	134
7.4	Conclusions and discussion	135

#### Chapter eight: Intelligibility of intervocalic consonant clusters

8.1	Introduction	137
8.2	Results	137
8.2.1	Overall results	137
8.2.2	Correct cluster identification	139
8.2.3	Confusion structure in consonant clusters	142
8.2.3.1	Cluster confusion for Chinese listeners	142
8.2.3.2	Cluster confusions for Dutch and American listeners	144
8.3	Summary	145
8.4	Conclusions and discussion	145

#### Chapter nine: Intelligibility of words in sentences

9.1	Introduction	147
9.2	Intelligibility in SUS sentences	148
9.2.1	Overall result	148
9.2.2	Intelligibility of subsyllabic constituents	150
9.3	Intelligibility in SPIN sentences	152
9.3.1	About the SPIN test	152
9.3.2	Overall word-recognition in SPIN sentences	153
9.3.3	Recognition of subsyllabic units in SPIN sentences	156
9.4	Conclusions	158
9.5	Discussion	160

#### **Chapter ten: Conclusions**

10.1	Introduction	163
10.2	Effect of genealogical relationship between source and target language	164
10.3	Correlations among tests at various linguistic levels	166
10.4	Discriminatory power of tests at various linguistic levels	168

10.5	Predicting performance	170
10.5.1	Contrastive analysis	171
10.5.2	Predicting vowel perception from acoustic analyses	173
10.6	Role of speaker and listener nationality in determining the success	
	of the communication process	179
10.6.1	Speaker versus listener	179
10.6.2	Is the native listener always superior?	180
10.6.3	Relative interlanguage benefit	181

#### References

Appendices

185

199

A4.1	SUS sentences	199
A4.2	SPIN sentences	201
A4.3	Questionnaire (English version only)	202
A4.4	Instructions and response sheets (English version only)	204
A6.1	Means and standard deviations of correct vowel identification	209
A6.2	Confusion matrices for vowels	210
A6.3	Hierarchical cluster trees for vowels	215
A7.1	Means and standard deviations of correct consonant identification	220
A7.2	Confusion matrices for consonants	221
A7.3	Hierarchical cluster trees for consonants	226
A8.1	Means and standard deviations of correct cluster identification	235
A8.2	Confusion matrices for consonant clusters	236
A9.1	Means and standard deviations of SUS scores	241
A9.2	Means and standard deviations of SPIN scores	242
Summary in Dutch		243

Summary in Chinese	249
Summary in English	253

59
)

xi

## Preface

One day in the first month of my stay at LUCL I was asked at a lunch table how well Dutch and Chinese speakers could understand each others' English and how it would be if compared with American speakers and listeners. I did not expect then that this would be the topic of my dissertation and that it would take me four years to answer these questions. Neither did I realize at the time how much these questions would broaden my mind as a researcher.

This dissertation would never have become what it is now if I had not received so much support, help and love from so many people around me. Saying "Thank you" from the bottom of my hearth will only begin to express the gratitude I feel towards the people who have contributed to the realization of this book.

Academically, I would like to thank Dr. Valérie Hazan from University College London, who sent me materials for my experiments and provided comments on the results; Prof. Jan Hustijn from Amsterdam University, who gave me one year's lectures on second-language acquisition and many light-shedding questions; Prof. Jim Flege from the University of Alabama, Birmingham and Prof. Ann Cutler from the Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics at Nijmegen for pointers to relevant literature and for personal encouragement; Prof. Jason Zhang from Shanghai Normal University for help with Chinese phonology.

My main experiment was run in three different places, i.e., in Jilin University, China, Leiden University, the Netherlands and UCLA in the USA. I very much appreciate the help from Prof. Bob Kirsner at UCLA and from Prof. Fu Guifang at Jilin University. Thank you both for contacting informants for me. I also thank all my informants in these three universities. Without their help this book could never have been written

These acknowledgement may not end without expressing my heart-felt gratitude to my second home in the past four years, the simple but cozy phonetics lab and to all my friends there working together with me, helping me and keeping me inspired. I have never been in an office for such a long time before and I have never so much enjoyed the friendship from everyone there. I thank Liang Lei, who guided me on my first days. I thank Lilie Roosman, who was like a sister to me in hard times doing hard work. I thank Jason Zhang, who helped me with many problems in life and in research; he left the lab before I did but he has never been away from my heart, which is still full of gratitude. I thank my roommates Rob Goedemans and Elisabeth Mauder, my dearest hero and "witch". I thank my dear Ellen, Vincent, Maarten, Jos, Gijs, Jurgen and Johanneke. I thank you and your families for your care and encouragement.

A special word of thanks is for my Dutch friend Marieke, who used to encourage me by saying "The last steps are made of lead" – which adequately captures my current feeling. Thanks to all the people who are helping me now during the final stages of the work, my aunts, my cousins, my sisters and brothers in Shenzhen, Tongliao and Changchun.

PREFACE

Thanks are also due to my parents, who gave me a strong character. I thank my daughter, Ziru, the angel of my life; thank you for being with me and always encouraging me.

Last but not least, I would like to thank the China Scholarship Council, the Leiden University Fund for its Delta scholarship and LUCL for your financial support during the years on my research.

xiii