

Geometry and arithmetic of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 Winter, R.L.

Citation

Winter, R. L. (2021, January 5). *Geometry and arithmetic of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/138942

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the</u> <u>Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/138942

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/138942</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Winter, R.L. Title: Geometry and arithmetic of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 Issue Date: 2021-01-05

The action of the Weyl group on the E_8 root system

This chapter is an adaptation of the preprint [vLWa], which is at the time of writing submitted for publication. Some of the results here were already proved by the same author in the master thesis [Win14]; we state which results coincide in the relevant places (at Proposition 3.2.2 and Lemma 3.2.14, and in Remarks 3.3.20 and 3.5.1).

Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 over an algebraically closed field k. Recall that the 240 exceptional curves on X are in one-to-one correspondence with the exceptional classes in Pic X, and as we have seen in Section 1.4.2, these are in one-to-one correspondence with the 240 roots in the \mathbf{E}_8 root system. In this chapter we study this root system and, more specifically, the action of its automorphism group on the roots. The reason we originally did this is because we wanted to study configurations of intersecting exceptional curves on X; the results on this are in Chapter 4. However, since \mathbf{E}_8 arises in many more areas of mathematics, we thought it useful to do a more thorough study of this root system. Therefore, while this chapter contains results that are used in Chapters 4 and 5, it is also self-contained, and the reader does not need to have any knowledge of or interest in del Pezzo surfaces or algebraic geometry to be able to appreciate it. In Remarks 3.2.8, 3.3.6, 3.3.23, 3.4.11, and 3.5.4, we explain how some of the results in this chapter translate to the 240 exceptional classes in Pic X. Everything in these remarks is over the algebraically closed field k.

3.1 Main results

NOTATION 3.1.1. Recall the definitions graph, weighted graph, weighted subgraph, clique, and isomorsphism between two weighted graphs in Definition 1.4.11. In this chapter we use the same definition for graph, and we use the term *colored graph* for weighted graph; we will talk about *colors* instead of weights, and define *colored subgraph*, *clique*, and *isomorphism* between colored graphs analogously. The reason for this terminology is that it allows us to talk about a *monochromatic graph*, i.e., a colored graph where all edges have the same color. Whenever we talk about an isomorphism of two cliques, we mean an isomorphism of colored graphs.

Let E be the set of roots in \mathbf{E}_8 . The following definition is analogous to Definition 1.4.12.

DEFINITION 3.1.2. Let Γ be the complete colored graph whose vertex set is E, of which the color function on the edge set is induced by the dot product in \mathbf{E}_8 . The different colors of the edges in Γ are -2, -1, 0, 1. For a subset $c \subseteq \{-2, -1, 0, 1\}$, we denote by Γ_c the colored subgraph of Γ with vertex set E and including all edges whose color is an element in c.

Let W be the automorphism group of Γ as colored graph; recall that W is isomorphic to the Weyl group W_8 (Corollary 1.4.14). It is clear that if two cliques in Γ are conjugate under the action of W, they must be isomorphic as colored graphs. The converse is not always true, and in general it can be hard to determine whether two cliques in Γ are conjugate under the action of W. Dynkin and Minchenko studied in [DM10] the bases of subsystems of \mathbf{E}_8 , and classified for which isomorphism classes of these bases being isomorphic implies being conjugate. They call these bases normal. In this chapter, we extend this classification to a large set of cliques in Γ (more specifically, cliques of type I, II, III, or IV, as defined below). In Theorem 3.1.3 we show that with two exceptions, two such cliques are isomorphic if and only if they are conjugate. One of the exceptions, which is the clique described in Theorem 3.1.3 (i), is one of the bases (of the system $4A_1$) that was also found as not being normal in [DM10, Theorem 4.7]. Additionally, in [DM10] the authors determine when a homomorphism of two bases of subsytems extends to a homomorphism of the whole root system. We answer the same question for cliques of type I, II, III, or IV in Theorem 3.1.4.

Although the classification of different types of cliques and their orbits is a finite problem, because of the size of Γ it is practically impossible to naively let a computer find and classify the cliques according to their Worbit. In fact, we avoid using a computer for our computations as much as possible.

The \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope is the convex polytope in \mathbb{R}^8 whose vertices are the roots in E. By a face of the root polytope we mean a non-empty intersection of a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^8 and the root polytope, such that the root polytope lies entirely on one side of the hyperplane. If the dimension of this intersection is n then we call this an n-face, and a 7-face is called a facet. We study the following cliques in Γ , and their orbits under the action of W.

(I) Monochromatic cliques

(II) Cliques whose vertices are the vertices of a face of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope

(III) Cliques of size at most three

(IV) For all $c \neq \{-1, 0, 1\}$, the maximal cliques in Γ_c

More specifically, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1.3. Let K_1 , K_2 be two cliques in Γ , each of type I, II, III, or IV. Then the following hold.

(i) If both K_1 and K_2 are of type I with color 0 and of size 4, then K_1 and K_2 are conjugate under the action of W if and only if the vertices sum to an element in 2Λ for both K_1 and K_2 , or for neither.

(ii) If both K_1 and K_2 are of type I with color 1 and of size 7, then K_1 and K_2 are conjugate under the action of W if and only if the vertices sum to an element in 2Λ for both K_1 and K_2 , or for neither; this is equivalent to K_1 and K_2 both being maximal cliques or both being non-maximal cliques, respectively, under inclusion in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$.

(iii) In all other cases, K_1 and K_2 are conjugate under the action of W if and only if they are isomorphic as colored graphs.

Furthermore, we give conditions for an isomorphism of two cliques of types I, II, III or IV to extend to an automorphism of the \mathbf{E}_8 lattice Λ (defined in Section 1.4.2). To this end we introduce the following complete colored graphs.



Here α is either -1 or 1, two disjoint vertices have an edge of color 0 between them, and all other edges have color 1.

THEOREM 3.1.4. Let K_1 , K_2 be two cliques in Γ of types I, II, III, or IV, and let $f: K_1 \longrightarrow K_2$ be an isomorphism between them. The following hold.

(i) The map f extends to an automorphism of Λ if and only if for every ordered sequence $S = (e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ of distinct roots in K_1 such that the colored graph on them induced by Γ is isomorphic to $A, B, C_{\alpha}, D, \text{ or } F$, its image $f(S) = (f(e_1), \ldots, f(e_r))$ is conjugate to S under the action of W.

(ii) If $S = (e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ is a sequence of distinct roots in K_1 such that the colored graph on them induced by Γ is isomorphic to either A or B, then S and f(S) are conjugate under the action of W if and only if the sets $\{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$ and $\{f(e_1), \ldots, f(e_r)\}$ are.

(iii) If K_1 and K_2 are maximal cliques, both in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ or both in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0\}}$, and $S = (e_1, \ldots, e_5)$ is a sequence of roots in K_1 such that the colored graph on them induced by Γ is isomorphic to C_{-1} with $e_1 \cdot e_4 = e_2 \cdot e_5 = -1$, then S and f(S) are conjugate under the action

of W if and only if both $e = e_1 + e_2 + e_3 - e_4 - e_5$ and f(e) are in the set $\{2f_1 + f_2 \mid f_1, f_2 \in E\}$, or neither are.

(iv) If K_1 and K_2 are maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$, and $S = (e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ is a sequence of distinct roots in K_1 such that the colored graph G on them induced by Γ is isomorphic to C_1 , D, or F, then S and f(S) are conjugate under the action of W if and only if the sets $\{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$ and $\{f(e_1), \ldots, f(e_r)\}$ are, or equivalently, if and only if the following hold. • If $G \cong C_1$, both $\sum_{i=1}^5 e_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^5 f(e_i)$ are in $\{2f_1 + f_2 \mid f_1, f_2 \in E\}$, or neither are.

- If $G \cong D$, both $\sum_{i=1}^{5} e_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{5} f(e_i)$ are in $\{2f_1 + 2f_2 \mid f_1, f_2 \in E\}$, or neither are.
- If $G \cong F$, then both $\sum_{i=1}^{6} e_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{6} f(e_i)$ are in 2 Λ , or neither are.

REMARK 3.1.5. Note that to apply Theorem 3.1.4 (i) to an isomorphism f, we have to know whether certain ordered sequences of roots are conjugate. Theorem 3.1.4 (ii), in combination with Theorem 3.1.3 (i) and (ii), tells us how to verify this when the colored graph on the roots in an ordered sequence is isomorphic to A or B. Theorem 3.1.4 (iii) and (iv) tells us how to verify this when the colored graph on the roots in an ordered sequence is isomorphic to C_{α} , D, or F.

REMARK 3.1.6. In the proof of Theorem 3.1.4, we specify for each type of K_1 and K_2 which of the graphs A, B, C_{α} , D, and F are needed to check whether an isomorphism f extends. Of course one can see this partially from the size and the colors, but it turns out that we can make stronger statements. For example, surprisingly, an isomorphism between two maximal graphs in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$ always extends, and even uniquely (Corollary 3.5.37). In the table in Remark 3.6.1 we show the requirements for each type of K_1 and K_2 .

As we mentioned before, because of the size of Γ it is practically impossible to naively let a computer find and classify all cliques of the above types according to their W-orbit. This holds mainly for the results in Section 3.5, where we study cliques of type IV. This is the only section where we use a computer program, but without using results from the previous sections to minimize the computations it would have been practically undoable. Checking that two cliques are isomorphic is easily done by hand for types I, II, and III, since with one exception of size fourteen, they are all of size at most eight (see Sections 3.3 and 3.4). For type IV we give necessary

and sufficient invariants to check if two large cliques are isomorphic in Section 3.5.

REMARK 3.1.7. Apart from the work in [DM10] on bases of subsystems of \mathbf{E}_8 , some partial results of Theorems 3.1.3 and 3.1.4 were known before. We list them here and compare them to our results.

The orbits of the faces of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope under the action of W are described in [Cox30, Section 7.5]. These include all monochromatic cliques of color 1 (see Proposition 3.2.4). For one of the types of facets, we give a different, more group-theoretical proof of the fact that they form one orbit under the action of W, see Corollary 3.3.17.

The orbits of ordered sequences of the vertices in the faces (except for one type of facets) have been described in [Man86, Corollary 26.8]. We summarize his results in Proposition 3.2.12.

Monochromatic cliques of color 0 are orthogonal sets, and their orbits under the action of W are described in [DM10, Corollary 3.3]. We describe the action of W on the ordered sequences of orthogonal roots in Proposition 3.4.4.

Finally, in [CRS04] the authors give a classification of isomorphism types of all maximal exceptional graphs (i.e., connected graphs with least eigenvalue greater or equal to -2 that are not generalized line graphs [CRS04, Section 1.1). From [CRS04, Corollary 3.6.4] it follows that these graphs correspond exactly to the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$. Therefore our classification of isomorphism types of cliques of Type IV for $c = \{0, 1\}$ (see Appendices A and B) coincides with the classification of isomorphism types of maximal exceptional graphs in [CRS04, Appendix A6]; see Remark 3.5.32 for a comparison between our method and the one in [CRS04]. However, the classification of the isomorphism types is only part of our results on the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$. We also give invariants for such a clique that determine its isomorphism type, and we show that each isomorphism class is a full orbit under the action of W (Propositions 3.5.35) and 3.5.36). Moreover, in Corollary 3.5.37 we show that every isomorphism between two representations of exceptional graphs in \mathbf{E}_8 extends to an automorphism of \mathbf{E}_8 .

We split the chapter into sections that deal with one or more of the types I, II, III, or IV. Note that these four types do not exclude each other, and some results in one section may be part of a result in another section. We ordered the sections such that each section builds as much on the previous ones as possible.

Section 3.2 states all the needed definitions as well as many known results about \mathbf{E}_8 and the action of the Weyl group. We also set up the notation for the rest of this chapter. The reader who is familiar with root systems, and with \mathbf{E}_8 in particular, can skip this section. Section 3.3 contains all results on the facets of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope, and cliques of type III. Section 3.4 deals with cliques of type I. Section 3.5 classifies all cliques of type IV. This is the biggest section, and the only section where we use a computer for some of the results (from Section 3.5.3 onwards). The results from this section are summarized in the tables in the appendices. Finally, we prove Theorems 3.1.3 and 3.1.4 in Section 3.6.

All computations are done in magma [BCP97]. The code that we used can be found in [Codb]. We want to thank David Madore, who gave us useful references for results on \mathbf{E}_8 and the action of W. Moreover, there is a great interactive view of \mathbf{E}_8 on his website http://www.madore.org/~david/ math/e8w.html, which has been very insightful.

3.2 The Weyl group and the E_8 root polytope

Let Λ be the \mathbf{E}_8 lattice as defined in Section 1.4.2, let Γ be the graph defined in Definition 3.1.2, with automorphism group W, and let E be the set of roots in \mathbf{E}_8 . In this section we recall some well-known results about these objects and the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope. We also make a first step in proving Theorems 3.1.3 and 3.1.4, by showing that for two cliques of type I, II, III, or IV in Γ that are isomorphic as colored graphs, there is a type that they both belong to (Lemma 3.2.13).

Useful references for root systems and the Weyl group are [Bou68, Chapter 6], and [Hum72, Chapter III].

The subgroup of the isometry group of \mathbb{R}^8 that is generated by the reflections in the hyperplanes orthogonal to the roots in E is called the Weyl group, and denoted by W_8 . This group permutes the elements in E, and since these roots span \mathbb{R}^8 , the action of W_8 on E is faithful. The Weyl group is therefore finite: it has order 696729600 = $2^{14} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$. It is equal to the automorphism group of the \mathbf{E}_8 root system [Hum72, Section 12.2], hence also to the automorphism group of the root lattice Λ , and to the group W.

LEMMA 3.2.1. The Weyl group acts transitively on the E_8 root system.

Proof. [Hum72, Section 10.4, Lemma C].

From the description of Λ and \mathbf{E}_8 we see that the roots in E are of two types. Either they are of the form $\left(\pm\frac{1}{2},\ldots,\pm\frac{1}{2}\right)$, where an even number of entries is negative (giving $2^7 = 128$ roots), or exactly two entries are non-zero, and they can independently be chosen to be -1 or 1 (giving $4 \cdot \binom{8}{2} = 112$ roots).

The following proposition contains Proposition 3.17 in [Win14], where the results are written in terms of exceptional curves on a del Pezzo surface of degree 1.

PROPOSITION 3.2.2. The absolute value of the dot product of any two elements in E is at most 2. Let $e \in E$ be a root. Then e has dot product 2 only with itself, and dot product -2 only with its inverse -e. There are exactly 56 roots $f \in E$ with $e \cdot f = 1$, there are exactly 56 roots $g \in E$ with $e \cdot g = -1$, and there are exactly 126 roots in E that are orthogonal to e.

Proof. From Cauchy–Schwarz it follows that for $e, e' \in E$ we have

$$|e \cdot e'| \le ||e|| \cdot ||e'|| = 2,$$

and equality holds if and only if e, e' are scalar multiples of each other. Since all roots are primitive, it follows that $e \cdot e' = 2$ if and only if e = e', and $e \cdot e' = -2$ if and only if e = -e'. Since W acts transitively on E (Lemma 3.2.1), to count the other cases it suffices to prove this for one element in E. Take $e = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in E$.

The roots $f \in E$ with $e \cdot f = 1$ are of the form $f = (a_1, \ldots, a_8)$ with $a_1 + a_2 = 1$. So for these roots we either have $a_1 = a_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, which gives 32 different roots, or $\{a_1, a_2\} = \{0, 1\}$, which gives 24 different roots. This gives a total of 56 roots.

For $f \in E$, we have $e \cdot f = 1$ if and only if $e \cdot -f = -1$, so this gives also 56 roots $g \in E$ with $e \cdot g = -1$.

The roots in E that are orthogonal to e are of the form $f = (a_1, \ldots, a_8)$ with $a_1 + a_2 = 0$. So for these roots we have $a_1 = a_2 = 0$, which gives 60 roots, or $\{a_1, a_2\} = \{-1, 1\}$, which gives 2 roots, or $\{a_1, a_2\} = \{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$, which gives 64 roots. This gives a total of 126 roots.

We continue with results on the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope. Coxeter described all faces of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope, which he called the 4_{21} polytope, in [Cox30]. The faces come in two types: *n*-simplices (for $n \leq 7$), given by n + 1 vertices with angle $\frac{\pi}{3}$ and distance $\sqrt{2}$ between any two of them, and *n*-crosspolytopes (for n = 7), given by 2n vertices where every vertex is orthogonal to exactly one other vertex, and has angle $\frac{\pi}{3}$ and distance $\sqrt{2}$ with all the other vertices. We summarize his results in Propositions 3.2.4 and 3.2.5.

LEMMA 3.2.3. Two vertices in the E_8 root polytope have distance $\sqrt{2}$ between them if and only if their dot product is one.

Proof. For $e, f \in E$ we have $||e - f||^2 = e^2 - 2 \cdot e \cdot f + f^2 = 4 - 2 \cdot e \cdot f$. \Box

PROPOSITION 3.2.4. For $n \leq 7$, the set of *n*-simplices in the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope is given by

$$\{\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}\} \mid \forall i : e_i \in E; \forall j \neq i : e_i \cdot e_j = 1\},\$$

where an *n*-simplex is identified with the set of its vertices. For $n \leq 6$, the *n*-simplices in the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope are exactly its *n*-faces.

Proof. The vertices in an *n*-simplex have dot product 1 by the previous lemma. The fact that the *n*-faces are exactly the *n*-simplices for $n \leq 6$ is in [Cox30, Section 7.5], or the table on page 414.

PROPOSITION 3.2.5. The facets of the E_8 root polytope are exactly the 7-simplices and the 7-crosspolytopes contained in it. The set of 7-crosspolytopes is given by

$$\left\{ \{ \{e_1, f_1\}, \dots, \{e_7, f_7\} \} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, 7\} : e_i, f_i \in E; e_i \cdot f_i = 0; \\ \forall j \neq i : e_i \cdot e_j = e_i \cdot f_j = f_i \cdot f_j = 1. \end{array} \right\},$$

where a 7-crosspolytope is identified by the set of its 7 pairs of orthogonal roots.

Proof. The facets are the 7-simplices and the 7-crosspolytopes by [Cox30, Section 7.5], or see the table on page 414. The dot products follow from Lemma 3.2.3.

REMARK 3.2.6. We also show that the 7-simplices and the 7-crosspolytopes in the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope are facets in Remarks 3.3.7 and 3.3.19.

COROLLARY 3.2.7. The E_8 root polytope has 6720 1-faces, 60480 2-faces, 241920 3-faces, 483840 4-faces, 483840 5-faces, 207360 6-faces, 17280 7-simplices, and 2160 7-crosspolytopes.

Proof. See [Cox30, p.414].

REMARK – **ANALOGY WITH GEOMETRY** 3.2.8. Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 over an algebraically closed field k, with canonical divisor K_X . Recall from Remark 1.4.9 that there is a bijection φ between the set I of exceptional curves on X and the set E, such that for $c_1, c_2 \in I$, we have $\varphi(c_1) \cdot \varphi(c_2) = 1 - c_1 \cdot c_2$ (where the dot on the left-hand side is the dot product in \mathbf{E}_8 , and the dot on the right-hand side is the intersection pairing in Pic X). As a consequence, the group of permutations of I that preserve the intersection multiplicity in Pic X is isomorphic to the Weyl group W_8 (Corollary 1.4.10). Moreover, φ gives an isomorphism of the weighted graph G on I as defined in Definition 1.4.12 with Γ .

It follows that the vertices of a *n*-simplex in the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope correspond to a sequence of n + 1 exceptional classes in I that have pairwise intersection pairing 0. Moreover, for r pairwise disjoint exceptional curves e_1, \ldots, e_r , where $1 \leq r \leq 7$, the exceptional curves that are disjoint from e_1, \ldots, e_r correspond to the exceptional curves of the del Pezzo surface of degree r + 1 that is obtained by blowing down e_1, \ldots, e_r . We know the number of exceptional curves on del Pezzo surfaces (1.1), and we can use this to compute the number of *n*-faces of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope for $n \leq 5$.

REMARK 3.2.9. For $n \leq 5$, the statement in Corollary 3.2.7 also follows from the last part of Remark 3.2.8 and Table 1.1: we have

$$\frac{240 \cdot 56}{2} = 6720, \quad \frac{240 \cdot 56 \cdot 27}{3!} = 60480, \quad \frac{240 \cdot 56 \cdot 27 \cdot 16}{4!} = 241920.$$

and so on. For n equal to 6 and for the 7-simplices, the statement is in Proposition 3.4.7. For the 7-crosspolytopes it follows from Lemma 3.3.15, see Remark 3.3.16.

The following propositions state results about the action of the Weyl group on the faces of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope.

PROPOSITION 3.2.10. For $n \leq 5$, the group W acts transitively on the set of n-faces. There are two orbits of facets.

Proof. In [Cox30, Section 7.5] it is shown that all *n*-simplices are conjugate

3.2. THE WEYL GROUP AND THE \mathbf{E}_8 ROOT POLYTOPE

for $n \leq 5$, and that any two facets of the same type are conjugate as well. We know that there are two types of facets from Proposition 3.2.5.

REMARK 3.2.11. There are two orbits of 6-faces. We describe them in Proposition 3.4.7; see also Remark 3.4.10.

We know something even stronger, namely, the action of W on the ordered sequences of roots in faces of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope.

PROPOSITION 3.2.12. For all $r \leq 8$ such that $r \neq 7$, the group W acts transitively on the set

$$R_r = \{ (e_1, \dots, e_r) \in E^s \mid \forall i \neq j : e_i \cdot e_j = 1 \}.$$

For r = 7, there are two orbits under the action of W.

Proof. In Remark 3.2.8 we describe a bijection between E and the set I of 240 exceptional classes on a del Pezzo surface of degree 1, where two elements in E have dot product a if and only if the two corresponding elements in I have intersection product 1 - a. This bijection respects the action of W, and under this bijection the set R_r corresponds to the set of sequences of length r of disjoint exceptional classes. The statement now follows from [Man86, Corollary 26.8].

The following lemma is the first step in proving Theorems 3.1.3 and 3.1.4.

LEMMA 3.2.13. Let K_1, K_2 be two cliques in Γ of type I, II, III, or IV that are isomorphic. Then there is a type I, II, III, or IV that they both belong to.

Proof. If a clique is of type I or III, then any clique that is isomorphic to it is of the same type. If K_1 is of type II, then its vertices form a n-simplex (for $n \leq 7$) or an n-crosspolytope (for n = 7) by Proposition 3.2.4 and Proposition 3.2.5. In both cases, K_2 is of the same type, again by Proposition 3.2.4 and Proposition 3.2.5. Analogously, if K_2 is of type II then so is K_1 . Finally, if K_1 and K_2 are both not of types I, II, or III, then they are automatically both of type IV.

We conclude this section by stating a lemma that will be used throughout this chapter. Parts (i)–(iii) are Lemma 20 in [Win14].

LEMMA 3.2.14. Let *H* be a group, let *A*, *B* be *H*-sets, and $f: A \longrightarrow B$ a morphism of *H*-sets. Then the following hold.

(i) If H acts transitively on A, then H acts transitively on f(A).

(ii) If H acts transitively on B, then all fibers of f have the same cardinality.

(iii) If H acts transitively on A and A is finite, then all non-empty fibers of f have the same cardinality, say n, and $|f(A)| = \frac{|A|}{n}$.

(iv) If H acts transitively on f(A), and there is an element $b \in f(A)$ such that its stabilizer H_b in H acts transitively on $f^{-1}(b)$, then f acts transitively on A.

Proof.

(i) Take $f(a), f(a') \in f(A)$ with $a, a' \in A$. Assume that H acts transitively on A, then there is an $h \in H$ such that ha = a'. Since f is a morphism of H-sets, we have hf(a) = f(ha) = f(a'), so H acts transitively on f(A).

(ii) Take $b, b' \in B$. Since H acts transitively on B, there is an $h \in H$ such that hb = b', so $|f^{-1}(b')| = |f^{-1}(hb)| = |hf^{-1}(b)| = |f^{-1}(b)|$.

(iii) Take $b, b' \in B$ such that $f^{-1}(b)$ and $f^{-1}(b')$ are non-empty. Then we have $b, b' \in f(A)$. Since H acts transitively on f(A) by (i), it follows from (ii) that $f^{-1}(b)$ and $f^{-1}(b')$ have the same cardinality, say n. It is now immediate that $|A| = |f^{-1}(B)| = \sum_{b \in f(A)} n = n|f(A)|$, so we find $|f(A)| = \frac{|A|}{n}$.

(iv) Take $b \in f(A)$ such that H_b acts transitively on $f^{-1}(b)$. Take $a, a' \in A$. Since H acts transitively on f(A), there are $h, h' \in H$ such that hf(a) = b and h'f(a') = b. Then ha and h'a' are contained in $f^{-1}(b)$. Since H_b acts transitively on $f^{-1}(b)$, there is an element $g \in H_b$ with gha = h'a'. So we have $h'^{-1}gha = a'$ and H acts transitively on A.

3.3 Facets and cliques of size at most three

In this section we study the cliques in Γ of type III, and the facets of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope. We give an alternative proof for the fact that W acts transitively on the set of facets that are 7-crosspolytopes (Corollary 3.3.17), and we prove the following propositions.

PROPOSITION 3.3.1. For $a \in \{\pm 1, -2, 0\}$, the group W acts transitively on the set

$$\{(e_1, e_2) \in E^2 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = a\}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.3.2. For $a, b, c \in \{-2, -1, 0, 1\}$, the group W acts transitively on the set

$$\{(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in E^3 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = a, \ e_2 \cdot e_3 = b, \ e_1 \cdot e_3 = c\},\$$

in all cases where it is not empty.

REMARK 3.3.3. Proposition 3.3.1, as well as the cases $\{a, b, c\} = \{0, 0, 0\}$, $\{a, b, c\} = \{0, 0, 1\}$ of Proposition 3.3.2, were proved by the same author in [Win14]. In particular, the results 3.3.11 – 3.3.14 are the same as [Win14, results 3.18, 3.19, 3.21, 3.22], and the results 3.3.24 - 3.3.28 and the first statement in Proposition 3.3.29 are the same as [Win14, results 3.23 - 3.28]. We decided to restate the results here for completeness, as well as for the fact that everything in [Win14] is stated in terms of exceptional curves on del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1, and this chapter is also meant for the reader that wants to use the results in terms of the roots of \mathbf{E}_8 .

Note that these two propositions describe the orbits under the action of W of sequences of the vertices of cliques in Γ , hence they also prove Theorem 3.1.4 for cliques of type III; see Corollary 3.3.34. The proof of Proposition 3.3.1 can be found below Proposition 3.3.14, and the proof of Proposition 3.3.2 below Lemma 3.3.33. Throughout this section we do not use any computer programs. More background on the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope can be found in [Cox30] and [Cox49].

We start with some results on the facets of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope that are 7-simplices. The results on the facets that are 7-crosspolytopes are in Lemmas 3.3.17 and 3.3.18. Consider the set

$$U = \{ (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8) \in E^8 \mid \forall i \neq j : e_i \cdot e_j = 1 \}.$$

Note that an element in U is a sequence of eight roots that form a 7simplex. Define the following roots, and note that (u_1, \ldots, u_8) is an element in U.

$u_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0);$	$u_5 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0);$
$u_2 = (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0);$	$u_6 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0);$
$u_3 = (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0);$	$u_7 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1);$
$u_4 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0);$	$u_8 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$

LEMMA 3.3.4. Every element in U generates a sublattice of index 3 of the root lattice Λ , and the group W acts freely on U.

Proof. By Proposition 3.2.12, it is enough to check the first statement for one element in U. The matrix whose *i*-th row is u_i for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$ has determinant 3, so u_1, \ldots, u_8 are linearly independent and generate a sublattice of rank 8 and index 3 in Λ . Take $w \in W$ such that there is an element $u \in U$ with w(u) = u. Then w fixes the sublattice generated by u, so for all $x \in \Lambda$ we have 3w(x) = w(3x) = 3x. Since Λ is torsion free, this implies that w fixes all of Λ . It follows that w is the identity. We conclude that the action of W on U is free.

COROLLARY 3.3.5. Let $u = (e_1, \ldots, e_8)$ be an element in U. Then $\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{8} e_i$ is contained in Λ .

Proof. By Lemma 3.3.4, we know that the roots e_1, \ldots, e_8 generate a lattice M of index 3 in Λ . Set $v = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{8} e_i$. Since $v \cdot e_i = 3$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$, we have $\frac{1}{3}v \in M^{\vee}$, where M^{\vee} is the dual lattice of M. But the dual lattice Λ^{\vee} has index 3 in M^{\vee} , so it follows that $3 \cdot \frac{1}{3}v = v$ is contained in Λ^{\vee} . Since Λ is unimodular, it is self dual, so v is contained in Λ . \Box

REMARK – **ANALOGY WITH GEOMETRY** 3.3.6. Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 over an algebraically closed field and K_X its canonical divisor. Lemma 3.3.4 can be stated in terms of X as follows. For every set of eight exceptional classes c_1, \ldots, c_8 that have pairwise intersection pairing 0 there exists a unique class l such that we have $K_X = -3l + \sum_{i=1}^{8} c_i$ and (l, c_1, \ldots, c_8) is a basis for Pic X; one can blow down the exceptional curves corresponding to c_1, \ldots, c_8 to eight points in \mathbb{P}^2 , such that l is the class of the pullback of a line in \mathbb{P}^2 that does not contain any of these eight points.

REMARK 3.3.7. Let $u = (e_1, \ldots, e_8)$ be an element in U. We know that e_1, \ldots, e_8 define a facet of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope. This also follows from

from Corollary 3.3.5. Indeed, for $v = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{8} e_i$ we have $v \cdot e_i = 3$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$, and we have

$$v \cdot e = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{8} e_i \cdot e \le \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{8} 1 = \frac{8}{3} < 3$$

for $e \in E \setminus \{e_1, \ldots, e_8\}$. This implies that the whole \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope lies on one side of the hyperplane given by $v \cdot x = 3$, and the intersection of the polytope with this hyperplane, which is exactly given by the convex combinations of e_1, \ldots, e_8 , lies in the boundary of the polytope. Hence e_1, \ldots, e_8 generate a facet of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope, and v is the normal vector to this facet.

We now prove part of Proposition 3.3.1.

LEMMA 3.3.8. For any $a \in \{-2, \pm 1\}$, the group W acts transitively on the set

$$A_a = \{ (e_1, e_2) \in E^2 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = a \}.$$

Proof. The group W acts transitively on A_1 by Proposition 3.2.12. There is a bijection between the W-sets A_1 and A_{-1} given by

$$f: A_1 \longrightarrow A_{-1}, \ (e_1, e_2) \longmapsto (e_1, -e_2).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2.14 that W acts transitively on A_{-1} , too. Finally, we have a bijection

$$E \longrightarrow A_{-2}, \ e \longmapsto (e, -e),$$

so W acts transitively on A_{-2} by Proposition 3.2.12 and by Lemma 3.2.14.

Before we prove the rest of Proposition 3.3.1, we prove Proposition 3.3.2 for the cases (a, b, c) = (-1, -1, -1) (Corollary 3.3.10) and (a, b, c) = (0, 0, 1)(Lemma 3.3.12), which we will use later.

LEMMA 3.3.9. For $e_1, e_2 \in E$ with $e_1 \cdot e_2 = -1$ there is a unique element $e \in E$ with $e \cdot e_1 = e \cdot e_2 = -1$, which is given by $e = -e_1 - e_2$.

Proof. Take $e_1, e_2, e \in E$ with $e_1 \cdot e_2 = -1$ and $e \cdot e_1 = e \cdot e_2 = -1$. Set $f = e_1 + e_2 + e$. Then we have ||f|| = 0, hence f = 0, so $e = -e_1 - e_2$. Therefore e is unique if it exists. Moreover, we have $|| - e_1 - e_2 || = \sqrt{2}$, so $-e_1 - e_2$ is an element in E that satisfies the conditions.

COROLLARY 3.3.10. The group W acts transitively on the W-set

$$\{(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in E^3 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 \cdot e_3 = e_1 \cdot e_3 = -1\}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3.9 there is a bijection between the sets

$$\{(e_1, e_2) \in E^2 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = -1\}$$

and

$$\{(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in E^3 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 \cdot e_3 = e_1 \cdot e_3 = -1\},\$$

given by $(e_1, e_2) \mapsto (e_1, e_2, -e_1 - e_2)$. The statement now follows from Lemma 3.3.8 and Lemma 3.2.14.

LEMMA 3.3.11. Take $e_1, e_2 \in E$ such that $e_1 \cdot e_2 = 1$. Then there are exactly 72 elements of E orthogonal to e_1 and e_2 .

Proof. By Lemma 3.3.8 it is enough to check this for fixed $e_1, e_2 \in E$ with $e_1 \cdot e_2 = 1$. Set $e_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $e_2 = (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. Then $e_1 \cdot e_2 = 1$. An element $f \in E$ with $f \cdot e_1 = f \cdot e_2 = 0$ is of the form $f = (a_1, \ldots, a_8)$ with $a_1 + a_2 = 0$ and $a_1 + a_3 = 0$, hence $a_1 = -a_2$ and $a_2 = a_3$. If f is of the form $\left(\pm \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)$, then there are 32 such possibilities. If f has two non-zero entries, given by ± 1 , then a_1, a_2, a_3 should all be zero, which gives 40 possibilities. We find a total of 72 possibilities for f.

LEMMA 3.3.12. Consider the set

$$B = \{ (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in E^3 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 \cdot e_3 = 0; \ e_1 \cdot e_3 = 1 \}.$$

We have |B| = 967680, and the following hold.

(i) The group W acts transitively on B.

(ii) For every element $b = (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in B$, there are exactly 6 roots that have dot product 1 with e_1, e_2 and e_3 . These 6 roots, together with e_1 and e_3 , form a facet in the set U.

Proof. We have $|B| = 240 \cdot 56 \cdot 72 = 967680$ by Proposition 3.2.2 and Lemma 3.3.11. Set $e_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, and $e_3 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$. Then $b = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is an element in *B*. Let W_b be its stabilizer in *W* and *Wb* its orbit in *B*. Let U_b be the set

$$U_b = \{ e \in E \mid e \cdot e_1 = e \cdot e_2 = e \cdot e_3 = 1 \}.$$

For $e = (a_1, \ldots, a_8) \in U_b$, we have $a_1 + a_2 = a_3 + a_4 = a_1 + a_5 = 1$. From this we find

$$U_b = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0)\\ (1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0)\\ \left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)\\ \left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)\\ \left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)\\ \left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)\\ \left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right) \end{array}\right\}$$

We conclude that there are 6 roots that have dot product 1 with e_1, e_2 , and e_3 . It is obvious that these 6 elements, together with e_1 and e_3 , form an element of the set U that is defined above Lemma 3.3.4.

We have $\frac{|W|}{|W_b|} = |Wb| \leq |B|$. We want to show that the latter is an equality. The group W_b acts on U_b . Let w be an element of W_b that fixes all the roots in U_b . Since the roots in $\{e_1, e_3\} \cup U_b$ form an element in U, by Lemma 3.3.4 this implies that w is the identity. Therefore the action of W_b on U_b is faithful. This implies that W_b injects into S_6 , so $|W_b| \leq 720$. We now have

$$967680 = \frac{|W|}{720} \le \frac{|W|}{|W_b|} = |Wb| \le |B| = 967680,$$

so we have equality everywhere and therefore we have Wb = B. We conclude that W acts transitively on B, proving (i). Part (ii) clearly holds for the element b, and from part (i) it follows that it holds for all elements in B.

We proceed to prove the rest of Proposition 3.3.1.

LEMMA 3.3.13. For $e_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in E$, there are 32 elements e in E such that $e \cdot e_1 = 0$ and $e \cdot e_2 = 1$.

Proof. Take $e \in E$ with $e \cdot e_1 = 0$ and $e \cdot e_2 = 1$. Then e is of the form $e = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \ldots, a_8)$ with $a_1 + a_2 = 0$ and $a_3 + a_4 = 1$. If e is of the form $\left(\pm \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)$, then $a_1 = -a_2$ and $a_3 = a_4 = \frac{1}{2}$. There are 16 such possibilities. If e has two non-zero entries given by ± 1 , then either $a_3 = 1$, $a_1 = a_2 = a_4 = 0$, or $a_4 = 1$, $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$. This gives 16 possibilities. We find a total of 32 possibilities for e.

PROPOSITION 3.3.14. The group W acts transitively on the set

$$A_0 = \{ (e_1, e_2) \in E^2 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0 \}$$

Proof. Consider the set

$$B' = \{ (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in E^3 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_1 \cdot e_3 = 0; \ e_2 \cdot e_3 = 1 \}.$$

Note that there is a bijection between the W-set B' and the W-set Bin Lemma 3.3.12, given by $(e, f, g) \mapsto (f, e, g)$. Therefore, the group W acts transitively on B' and we have |B'| = 967680 by Lemma 3.3.12. We have a projection $\lambda \colon B' \longrightarrow A_0$ to the first two coordinates. We show that λ is surjective. Fix the two roots $e_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ and $e_2 = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ in E. Then (e_1, e_2) is an element of A_0 . Take $e \in E$, then (e_1, e_2, e) is in B' if and only if $e \cdot e_1 = 0$ and $e \cdot e_2 = 1$. By Lemma 3.3.13 this gives 32 possibilities for e, so $|\lambda^{-1}((e_1, e_2))| = 32$. Since W acts transitively on B', it follows from Lemma 3.2.14 that all non-empty fibers of λ have cardinality 32, and $|\lambda(B')| = \frac{|B'|}{32} = 30240$. By Proposition 3.2.2 we have $|A_0| = 240 \cdot 126 = 30240$. We conclude that $\lambda(B') = A_0$. Hence λ is surjective. Therefore, the group W acts transitively on A_0 by Lemma 3.2.14.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.3.1. This follows from the previous proposition together with Lemma 3.3.8.

Before we continue proving Proposition 3.3.2, we complete our study of the facets of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope. Define the set

$$C = \left\{ \{ \{e_1, f_1\}, \dots, \{e_7, f_7\} \} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, 7\} : e_i, f_i \in E; e_i \cdot f_i = 0 \\ \forall j \neq i : e_i \cdot e_j = e_i \cdot f_j = f_i \cdot f_j = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Elements in C are facets that are 7-crosspolytopes by Proposition 3.2.4. We define elements $c_1, \ldots, c_7, d_1, \ldots, d_7$; note that $\{\{c_1, d_1\}, \ldots, \{c_7, d_7\}\}$ is an element in C.

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\ c_2 &= (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\ c_3 &= (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\ c_4 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{$$

LEMMA 3.3.15. For $e_1, e_2 \in E$ with $e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0$, there are exactly 12 elements $e \in E$ with $e \cdot e_1 = e \cdot e_2 = 1$. These 12 elements, together with e_1 and e_2 , form an element in C, and this is the unique element in C containing e_1, e_2 .

Proof. By Proposition 3.3.14, it is enough to check this for fixed e_1, e_2 with $e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0$. Take $e_1 = c_1$, and $e_2 = d_1$. For a root $e = (a_1, \ldots, a_8)$ in E with $e \cdot c_1 = e \cdot d_1 = 1$, we have either $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = \frac{1}{2}$, in which case e is contained in $\{c_4, \ldots, c_7, d_4, \ldots, d_7\}$, or $\{a_1, a_2\} = \{a_3, a_4\} = \{0, 1\}$, which implies $e \in \{c_2, c_3, d_2, d_3\}$. Therefore there are 12 possibilities $\{c_2, \ldots, c_7, d_2, \ldots, d_7\}$ for e, and we conclude that $\{\{c_1, d_1\}, \ldots, \{c_7, d_7\}\}$ is the unique element in C containing c_1, d_1 .

REMARK 3.3.16. Since elements in *C* correspond to 7-crosspolytopes, we know that |C| = 2160 from Corollary 3.2.7. This also follows from the previous lemma. Recall the set $A_0 = \{(e_1, e_2) \in E^2 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0\}$. By Lemma 3.3.15, for every element (e_1, e_2) in A_0 there is a unique element in *C* containing e_1, e_2 . But every element in *C* contains seven pairs f_1, f_2 such that (f_1, f_2) and (f_2, f_1) are in A_0 , so the map $A_0 \longrightarrow C$ is fourteen to one. Hence we have $|C| = \frac{|A_0|}{14} = \frac{240\cdot126}{14} = 2160$.

COROLLARY 3.3.17. The group W acts transitively on C.

Proof. Consider the set $A_0 = \{(e_1, e_2) \in E^2 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0\}$. The group W acts transitively on A_0 by Proposition 3.3.14. By Lemma 3.3.15 there is a map $A_0 \longrightarrow C$, sending (e_1, e_2) to the unique element in C that contains

 e_1 and e_2 . This map is clearly surjective. It follows from Lemma 3.2.14 that W acts transitively on C.

LEMMA 3.3.18. Every element in C generates a sublattice of finite index in Λ .

Proof. By Corollary 3.3.17, it is enough to check this for one element in C. Take the element $\{\{c_1, d_1\}, \ldots, \{c_7, d_7\}\}$ in C, where the c_i, d_i are defined above Lemma 3.3.15. The matrix whose rows are the vectors $c_1, \ldots, c_7, d_1, \ldots, d_7$ has rank 8, so these 14 elements generate a sublattice L of finite index in Λ .

REMARK 3.3.19. Let $\{\{e_1, f_1\}, \ldots, \{e_7, f_7\}\}$ be an element in C, and let c be the set $c = \{e_1, \ldots, e_7, f_1, \ldots, f_7\}$. We know that the elements in c are the vertices of a facet of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope. We show how this also follows from the previous lemma. Take $i \in \{1, \ldots, 7\}$, then we have $(e_i + f_i) \cdot e = 2$ for all $e \in c$. Since the elements in c generate a full rank sublattice, this implies that $e_i + f_i = e_j + f_j$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, 7\}$. So the vector $n = \frac{1}{7} \sum_{i=1}^{7} (e_i + f_i) = e_1 + f_1$ is an element in Λ with $n \cdot e = 2$ for $e \in s$. Take $e \in E \setminus s$, and note that e cannot have dot product 1 with both e_1 and f_1 by Lemma 3.3.15. It follows that we have $n \cdot e < 2$, so the entire \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope lies on one side of the affine hyperplane given by $n \cdot x = 2$. Moreover, this hyperplane intersects the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope in its boundary, and exactly in the convex combinations of the roots $e_1, \ldots, e_7, f_1, \ldots, f_7$. Therefore these roots are the vertices of a facet of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope with normal vector n.

We continue with Proposition 3.3.2, and prove it for (a, b, c) = (0, 0, 0). Consider the sets

$$V_3 = \{ (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in E^3 \mid \forall i \neq j : e_i \cdot e_j = 0 \}$$

and

$$V_4 = \{ (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \in E^4 \mid \forall i \neq j : e_i \cdot e_j = 0 \}.$$

We begin by studying V_4 . To this end, recall the set U defined above Lemma 3.3.4, and define the set

$$Z = \{(\{e_1, e_2\}, \{e_3, e_4\}, \{e_5, e_6\}, \{e_7, e_8\}) \mid \forall i : e_i \in E; \; \forall j \neq i : e_i \cdot e_j = 1\}.$$

REMARK 3.3.20. We have a surjective map $U \longrightarrow Z$ by simply forgetting the order of e_i and e_{i+1} for $i \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$. Since W acts transitively on U

(Proposition 3.2.12), it follows from Lemma 3.2.14 that W acts transitively on Z. By Lemma 3.3.4, the action of W on U is free, so we have |U| = |W|, and $|Z| = \frac{|U|}{2^4} = \frac{|W|}{2^4} = 2^{10} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$.

We want to define a map $\alpha: Z \longrightarrow V_4$. To do this we use the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3.21. For an element $z = (\{e_1, e_2\}, \{e_3, e_4\}, \{e_5, e_6\}, \{e_7, e_8\})$ in Z, there are unique roots $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \in E$ with

$$f_1 \cdot e_i = 0, \ f_1 \cdot e_j = 1 \ \text{for } i \in \{1, 2\}, \ j \notin \{1, 2\};$$

$$f_2 \cdot e_i = 0, \ f_2 \cdot e_j = 1 \ \text{for } i \in \{3, 4\}, \ j \notin \{3, 4\};$$

$$f_3 \cdot e_i = 0, \ f_3 \cdot e_j = 1 \ \text{for } i \in \{5, 6\}, \ j \notin \{5, 6\};$$

$$f_4 \cdot e_i = 0, \ f_4 \cdot e_j = 1 \ \text{for } i \in \{7, 8\}, \ j \notin \{7, 8\}.$$

For these f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 we have $f_i \cdot f_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and $3 \sum_{i=1}^4 f_i = \sum_{i=1}^8 e_i$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3.4, the elements e_1, \ldots, e_8 generate a full rank sublattice of Λ , so an element $f \in E$ is uniquely determined by the intersection numbers $f \cdot e_i$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$. We will show existence. Set $v = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{8} e_i$. By Corollary 3.3.5, the vector v is an element in Λ . We have $||v|| = \sqrt{8}$, and $v \cdot e_i = 3$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$. For $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, set $f_i = v - e_{2i-1} - e_{2i}$. Then $||f_i|| = \sqrt{2}$, so $f_i \in E$. Moreover, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 satisfy the conditions in the lemma.

We now define a map

$$\alpha \colon Z \longrightarrow V_4, \quad (\{e_1, e_2\}, \dots, \{e_7, e_8\}) \longmapsto (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4),$$

where f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 are the unique elements found in Lemma 3.3.21.

COROLLARY 3.3.22. If (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) is an element in the image of α , then $x = \sum_{i=1}^{4} f_i$ is a primitive element of Λ with norm $\sqrt{8}$.

Proof. Take (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) in the image of α , and let $(\{e_1, e_2\}, \ldots, \{e_7, e_8\})$ be an element Z such that $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) = \alpha((\{e_1, e_2\}, \ldots, \{e_7, e_8\}))$. Set $x = \sum_{i=1}^4 f_i$. Then we have $3x = \sum_{i=1}^8 e_i$ by Lemma 3.3.21. It follows that $||3x||^2 = 72$, hence $||x||^2 = 8$. Moreover, for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$ we have $3x \cdot e_i = 9$, hence $x \cdot e_i = 3$. This implies that if we have $x = m \cdot x'$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}, x' \in \Lambda$, then m|2 and m|3, so m = 1 and x is primitive. \Box

REMARK – ANALOGY WITH GEOMETRY 3.3.23. Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 over an algebraically closed field, and I the set of exceptional classes in Pic X. The map α has a nice description in the geometric setting, through the bijection $I \longrightarrow E$, $c \longmapsto c + K_X$. Take an element $z = (\{e_1, e_2\}, \{e_3, e_4\}, \{e_5, e_6\}, \{e_7, e_8\})$ in Z. The roots e_1, \ldots, e_8 correspond to classes c_1, \ldots, c_8 in I with $c_i \cdot c_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$. These classes correspond to pairwise disjoint curves on X that can be blown down to points P_1, \ldots, P_8 in \mathbb{P}^2 such that c_i is the class of the exceptional curve above P_i for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$ (Lemma 1.2.8). The conditions for f_i in Lemma 3.3.21 are equivalent to f_i being the strict transform on X of the line in \mathbb{P}^2 through P_{2i-1} and P_{2i} for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. This geometrical argument immediately proves the uniqueness of f_i .

Let $\pi: V_4 \longrightarrow V_3$ be the projection to the first three coordinates. From the maps π and α , transitivity on V_3 will follow (Proposition 3.3.28). Let Y be the image of α . We will show that V_4 has two orbits under the action of W, given by Y and $V_4 \setminus Y$ (Proposition 3.3.29). The following commutative diagram shows the maps and sets that are defined.



LEMMA 3.3.24. The map α is injective.

Proof. Consider the roots in E given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \,, & f_3 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0) \,, \\ f_2 &= (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) \,, & f_4 &= (1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $v = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)$ is an element in V_4 . Now take an element $(\{e_1, e_2\}, \{e_3, e_4\}, \{e_5, e_6\}, \{e_7, e_8\})$ in the fiber of α above v, then we have

$$e_{1} \cdot f_{1} = e_{2} \cdot f_{1} = 0 \text{ and } e_{1} \cdot f_{i} = e_{2} \cdot f_{i} = 1 \text{ for all } i \neq 1;$$
(3.1)

$$e_{3} \cdot f_{2} = e_{4} \cdot f_{2} = 0 \text{ and } e_{3} \cdot f_{i} = e_{4} \cdot f_{i} = 1 \text{ for all } i \neq 2;$$

$$e_{5} \cdot f_{3} = e_{6} \cdot f_{3} = 0 \text{ and } e_{5} \cdot f_{i} = e_{6} \cdot f_{i} = 1 \text{ for all } i \neq 3;$$

$$e_{7} \cdot f_{4} = e_{8} \cdot f_{4} = 0 \text{ and } e_{7} \cdot f_{i} = e_{8} \cdot f_{i} = 1 \text{ for all } i \neq 4.$$

Write $e_1 = (a_1, \ldots, a_8)$. From (3.1) it follows that we have $a_1 + a_2 = 0$ and $a_1 - a_2 = a_3 + a_4 = a_5 + a_6 = 1$. So e_1 is $\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ or $\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, and e_2 is the other. Analogously we find:

$$\begin{split} &\{e_3, e_4\} = \left\{ \left(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0\right), \left(1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0\right) \right\}, \\ &\{e_5, e_6\} = \left\{ \left(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0\right), \left(1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\right) \right\}, \\ &\{e_7, e_8\} = \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right), \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\} \end{split}$$

Hence the fiber above v has cardinality one. Since W acts transitively on Z, we conclude from Lemma 3.2.14 that all non-empty fibers of α have cardinality one, so α is injective.

REMARK 3.3.25. By the previous lemma, there is a bijection between the sets Z and $\alpha(Z) = Y$. Since α is a W-map, it follows that Y is a W-set, and that W acts transitively on Y by Lemma 3.2.14.

We state two more lemmas before we prove that W acts transitively on V_3 .

LEMMA 3.3.26. Consider the elements in E given by

$$e_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0); \qquad f_1 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1)$$

$$e_2 = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0); \qquad f_2 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1, -1).$$

$$e_3 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0); \qquad f_2 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1, -1).$$

Then $v = (e_1, e_2, e_3, f_1)$ and $v' = (e_1, e_2, e_3, f_2)$ are elements in V_4 that are not in Y.

Proof. It is easy to check that v and v' are in V_4 . We have

$$e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + f_1 = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and

$$e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + f_2 = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right),$$

hence both $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + f_1$ and $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + f_2$ are not primitive elements in Λ and therefore not contained in Y by Corollary 3.3.22.

LEMMA 3.3.27. For two elements $e_1, e_2 \in E$ with $e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0$, there are exactly 60 roots $e \in E$ such that $e_1 \cdot e = e_2 \cdot e = 0$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3.14, it is enough to check this for two orthogonal roots e_1, e_2 in E. Set $e_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), e_2 = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. An element $f \in E$ with $f \cdot e_1 = f \cdot e_2 = 0$ is of the form $f = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_8)$ with $a_1 = -a_2$ and $a_3 = -a_4$. If f is of the form $\left(\pm \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)$, then there are 32 such possibilities. If f has two non-zero entries, given by ± 1 , then there are 28 possibilities. We find a total of 60 possibilities for f.

Figure 3.1 summarizes the results in Proposition 3.2.2 and Lemmas 3.3.9, 3.3.13 and 3.3.27. Vertices are roots, and the number in a subset is its cardinality. The number on an edge between two subsets is the dot product of two roots, one from each subset.



Figure 3.1: Graph Γ

PROPOSITION 3.3.28. Let $v = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be an element of V_3 . The following hold.

- (i) We have $|V_3| = 1814400$, and the group W acts transitively on V_3 .
- (ii) We have $|\pi^{-1}(v)| = 26$, and $|\pi^{-1}(v) \cap Y| = 24$.

(iii) For
$$\{(f_1, f_2, f_3, u), (f_1, f_2, f_3, u')\} = \pi^{-1}(v) \setminus Y$$
, we have $u = -u'$, and for $(f_1, f_2, f_3, e) \in \pi^{-1}(v) \cap Y$, we have $e \cdot u = e \cdot u' = 0$.

Proof. From Proposition 3.2.2 and Lemma 3.3.27 it follows that

$$|V_3| = 240 \cdot 126 \cdot 60 = 1814400$$

Consider the map $\lambda = \pi \circ \alpha \colon Z \to V_3$. Note that λ is a *W*-map, since both π and α are. We want to show that λ is surjective. Set

$$f_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), f_2 = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), f_3 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0).$$

Then we have $v = (f_1, f_2, f_3) \in V_3$. Define the roots

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \qquad e_5 &= (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\ e_2 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right), \qquad e_6 &= (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\ e_3 &= (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0), \qquad e_7 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ e_4 &= (1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0), \qquad e_8 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $e_i \cdot e_j = 1$ for $i \neq j$, so $(\{e_1, e_2\}, \{e_3, e_4\}, \{e_5, e_6\}, \{e_7, e_8\})$ is an element in Z. We have

$$f_1 \cdot e_1 = f_1 \cdot e_2 = 0 \text{ and } f_1 \cdot e_i = 1 \text{ for all } i \notin \{1, 2\};$$

$$f_2 \cdot e_3 = f_2 \cdot e_4 = 0 \text{ and } f_2 \cdot e_i = 1 \text{ for all } i \notin \{3, 4\};$$

$$f_3 \cdot e_5 = f_3 \cdot e_6 = 0 \text{ and } f_3 \cdot e_i = 1 \text{ for all } i \notin \{5, 6\},$$

so $\lambda((\{e_1, e_2\}, \{e_3, e_4\}, \{e_5, e_6\}, \{e_7, e_8\})) = v$. Hence the fiber of λ above v is not empty; we compute its cardinality. We first compute the cardinality of the fiber of π above v. For an element $f = (a_1, \ldots, a_8) \in E$, we have $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f) \in V_4$ if and only if $a_1 + a_2 = a_3 + a_4 = a_5 + a_6 = 0$. This gives 16 possibilities for f with $a_i \in \left\{ \pm \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$, and 10 possibilities for f where the two non-zero entries are ± 1 . We conclude $|\pi^{-1}(v)| = 26$. Set $g_1 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1), g_2 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1, -1),$ then $u = (f_1, f_2, f_3, g_1)$ and $u' = (f_1, f_2, f_3, g_2)$ are both elements in $\pi^{-1}(v)$. By Lemma 3.3.26, we know that the fibers of α above u and u' are empty. Since α is injective, this implies $|\lambda^{-1}(v)| \leq 24$. Since $\lambda^{-1}(v)$ is not empty, by Lemma 3.2.14, we have $|\lambda(Z)| = \frac{|Z|}{|\lambda^{-1}(v)|}$. Combining this, we find

$$\frac{|Z|}{24} \le \frac{|Z|}{|\lambda^{-1}(v)|} = |\lambda(Z)| \le |V_3| = 1814400 = \frac{|Z|}{24}$$

So we have equality everywhere, hence $|\lambda^{-1}(v)| = 24$, and $|\lambda(Z)| = |V_3|$, so λ is surjective. Since W acts transitively on Z, we conclude from

Lemma 3.2.14 that W acts transitively on V_3 , too. This proves (i). To prove (ii), note that we showed that $|\pi^{-1}(v)| = 26$ and $|\lambda^{-1}(v)| = 24$, and since α is injective, we have the equality $|\pi^{-1}(v) \cap Y| = |\lambda^{-1}(v)| = 24$. Since π is a W-map, and W acts transitively on V_3 , the result holds for all elements in V_3 . Finally, (iii) is an easy check for the element v, after writing down the 26 elements in $\pi^{-1}(v)$. Since W acts transitively on V_3 , this holds for all elements in V_3 .

PROPOSITION 3.3.29. The set V_4 has two orbits under the action of W, which are Y and $V_4 \setminus Y$. We have |Y| = 43545600 and $|V_4 \setminus Y| = 3628800$. An element (e_1, \ldots, e_4) is in $V_4 \setminus Y$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^4 e_i \in 2\Lambda$.

Proof. From Remark 3.3.25 it follows that Y is an orbit under the action of W on V₄. Therefore $O = V_4 \setminus Y$ is also a W-set. Consider the restriction $\pi|_O$ of π to O. Let e_1, e_2, e_3, f_1, f_2 be as in Lemma 3.3.26, and set $v = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$, $u = (e_1, e_2, e_3, f_1)$, and $u' = (e_1, e_2, e_3, f_2)$. Then we have $v \in V_3$, and $u, u' \in \pi|_O^{-1}(v)$ by Lemma 3.3.26. From Proposition 3.3.28 we know that $|\pi^{-1}(v) \cap Y| = 24$, so $|\pi|_O^{-1}(v)| = 2$. This implies $\pi|_{O}^{-1}(v) = \{u, u'\}$. Consider the element r in W given by the reflection in the hyperplane that is orthogonal to f_1 . Since e_1, e_1, e_3 are contained in this hyperplane, the reflection r is contained in the stabilizer W_v in W of v. Moreover, since $f_2 = -f_1$, the reflection r interchanges f_1 and f_2 , hence W_v acts transitively on $\pi|_Q^{-1}(v)$. Since W acts transitively on V_3 by Proposition 3.3.28, we conclude that W acts transitively on O from Lemma 3.2.14. From Proposition 3.3.28 it follows that $|Y| = |V_3| \cdot 24 = 43545600$, and $|O| = |V_3| \cdot 2 = 3628800$. It follows from Corollary 3.3.22 that for every element $(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4) \in Y$ the sum $\sum_{i=1}^4 g_i$ is primitive. On the other hand, $u = (e_1, e_2, e_3, f_1)$ is an element in O, and we have $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + f_1 = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \in 2\Lambda$. Since W acts transitively on O, this finishes the proof. \square

Now that we proved that W acts transitively on V_3 , there is one last case of Proposition 3.3.2 that we prove separately (Lemma 3.3.33). We state two auxiliary lemmas first.

LEMMA 3.3.30. Let r be a positive integer, and let G be a graph with vertex set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r, w_1, \ldots, w_r\}$, and edge set $\{\{v_i, w_i\} \mid i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}\}$. Let A be the automorphism group of G. For an element $a \in A$ and for $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, define an integer a_i by $a_i = 1$ if $a(v_i) \in \{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$, and $a_i = -1$ otherwise. There exists an isomorphism $\varphi \colon A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_2^r \rtimes S_r$, where μ_2 is the multiplicative group with two elements and S_r the symmetric group on r elements, acting on μ_2^r by permuting the coordinates, given by

$$\varphi(a) = ((a_1, \ldots, a_r), (i \mapsto j \text{ for } a(v_i) \in \{v_j, w_j\})).$$

Proof. Let a be an element in A. Note that for all i, the image $a(v_i)$ of v_i is only connected to $a(w_i)$, so there is a j such that $\{a(v_i), a(w_i)\} = \{v_j, w_j\}$. Therefore we have a group homomorphism $\gamma \colon A \longrightarrow S_r$, given by

$$a \mapsto (i \mapsto j \text{ for } a(v_i) \in \{v_j, w_j\}).$$

Note that γ is surjective, and its kernel consists of all elements $a \in A$ such that, for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, either $a(v_i) = v_i$, or $a(v_i) = w_i$. We conclude that the kernel of γ is isomorphic to the group μ_2^r . So we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu_2^r \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\gamma} S_r \longrightarrow 1.$$

Moreover, we have a section $S_r \longrightarrow A$, $g \longmapsto \{v_i \mapsto v_{g(i)}, w_i \mapsto w_{g(i)}\}$, so the statement follows.

LEMMA 3.3.31. Let $c = \{\{e_1, f_1, \}, \dots, \{e_7, f_7\}\}$ be an element in the set C that is defined above Lemma 3.3.15, and denote by s the set of roots $\{e_1, \ldots, e_7, f_1, \ldots, f_7\}$. Let A the automophism group of the colored graph associated to s, and let $\varphi \colon A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_2^T \rtimes S_7$ be the isomorphism given in Lemma 3.3.30. Let W_s be the stabilizer in W of s. Then there is an injective map $W_s \longrightarrow A$, whose image has index 2 in A, and its image after composing with φ is given by

$$\left\{ ((m_1, \dots, m_7), g) \in \mu_2^7 \rtimes S_7 \mid \prod_{i=1}^7 m_i = 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. Elements in W_s respect the dot product between roots, so we have a map $\beta: W_s \longrightarrow A$. If an element $w \in W_s$ fixes every element in s, then it fixes a sublattice of Λ of finite index by Lemma 3.3.18, and since Λ is torsion free this implies that w is the identity. So the action of W_s on s is faithful, hence β is injective, and $|\beta(W_s)| = |W_s|$. Since Wacts transitively on C by Corollary 3.3.17, and |C| = 2160 by Remark 3.3.16, we have $|W_s| = |W_c| = \frac{|W|}{|C|} = \frac{|W|}{2160} = 322560$. Moreover, we have $|A| = 2^7 \cdot 7! = 645120$, so $|\beta(W_s)| = |W_s| = 322560 = \frac{1}{2} \cdot |A|$. Hence $\beta(W_s)$ is a subgroup of index two in A. We will now determine which subgroup. Note that $||e_1 - e_2|| = \sqrt{2}$, so $e_1 - e_2$ is an element $e \in E$, and the reflection

in the hyperplane orthogonal to e gives an element in W, say r_{12} . Note that $e_1 + f_1 = e_2 + f_2$ by Remark 3.3.19, so $e_1 - e_2 = f_2 - f_1$. Therefore r_{12} interchanges e_1 with e_2 and f_1 with f_2 . Moreover, since all roots in $\{e_3,\ldots,e_7,f_3,\ldots,f_7\}$ are orthogonal to e, the element r_{12} acts trivially on them. Analogously, for $i, j \in \{1, ..., 7\}, i \neq j$, the reflection r_{ij} is an element in W_s that interchanges e_i and e_j , and f_i with f_j . Let $\gamma: A \longrightarrow S_7$ be the projection of $\varphi(A)$ to S_7 , then it follows that $\gamma(\beta(W_s)) = S_7$. Now consider for $i, j \in \{1, ..., 7\}, i \neq j$, the element $e_i - f_j$. Again, this is an element in E, and the reflection t_{ij} in the hyperplane orthogonal to it is an element in W_s interchanging e_i with f_j , and e_j with f_i , and leaving all other roots in s fixed. It follows that the composition $t_{ij} \circ r_{ij}$ is an element in W_s with $\varphi(\beta(t_{ij} \circ r_{ij})) = ((-1, -1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), \mathrm{id}) \in \mu_2^7 \rtimes S_7$. By composing the automorphisms $t_{ij} \circ r_{ij}$ for different i, j, we see that $\varphi(\beta(W_c))$ contains all elements $((m_1, \ldots, m_7), g) \in \mu_2^7 \rtimes S_7$ with $\prod_{i=1}^7 m_i = 1$. Therefore, the reflections r_{ij}, t_{ij} generate a subgroup of A of order $7! \cdot 2^6 = \frac{1}{2}A$, and we conclude that this is all of W_s . \square

COROLLARY 3.3.32. Let K_1 and K_2 be cliques in Γ whose vertices correspond to a 7-crosspolytope in the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope, and let $f: K_1 \longrightarrow K_2$ be an isomorphism between them. Then f extends to an automorphism of Λ if and only if for every subclique $S = \{e_1, \ldots, e_7\}$ of K_1 of 7 vertices that are pairwise connected with edges of color 1, the vectors $\sum_{i=1}^7 e_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^7 f(e_i)$ are either both in 2Λ , or neither are.

Proof. Consider the set $H = \{c_1, \ldots, c_7, d_1, \ldots, d_7\}$, where the elements are defined above Lemma 3.3.15. Note that the vertices in H correspond to a 7-crosspolytope, and since W acts transitively on the set of cliques corresponding to 7-crosspolytopes (Corollary 3.3.17), there are elements α, β in W such that $\alpha(K_1) = \beta(K_2) = H$. So $\beta \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1}$ is an element in the automorphism group Aut(H) of H. Of course, f extends to an element in W if and only if $\beta \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1}$ does. Moreover, since α and β are automorphisms of Λ , the two sums $\sum_{i=1}^{7} f(e_i)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{7} (\beta \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1})(e_i)$ are either both in or both not in 2Λ . We conclude that we can reduce to the case where $K_1 = K_2 = H$, and f is an element in Aut(H).

Let W_H be the stabilizer of H in W. By Lemma 3.3.31, there is an injective map $\psi: W_H \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(H)$, whose image has index 2 in $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$. Of course, for all elements w in the image of ψ , and for all cliques $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_7\}$ as in the statement, the sums $\sum_{i=1}^7 s_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^7 w(s_i)$ are either both in, or both not in 2Λ . We will show that this completely determines the image of ψ , that is, we will show that every element in $\operatorname{Aut}(H) \setminus \psi(W_H)$ does not have this property for all cliques S as in the statement. To this end, consider the element h in Aut(H) that exchanges c_1 and d_1 , and fixes all other vertices. Since h exchanges an odd number of c_i with d_i , it is not in the image of ψ . Note that $S = \{c_1, \ldots, c_7\}$ is a clique as in the statement. The sum $\sum_{i=1}^{7} c_i = (5, 3, 3, 3, -1, 1, 1, 1)$ is an element in 2 Λ , and its image under h, which is $\sum_{i=1}^{7} h(c_i) = d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{7} c_i = (4, 2, 4, 4, -1, 1, 1, 1)$, is not. Since all elements in Aut $(H) \setminus \psi(W_H)$ are compositions of h with elements in W_H , we conclude that for all elements a in Aut $(H) \setminus \psi(W_H)$, the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{7} a(c_i)$ is not an element in 2 Λ . Since the image of ψ consists exactly of those elements in Aut(H) extending to an element in W, this finishes the proof.

LEMMA 3.3.33. The group W acts transitively on the set

$$B = \{ (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in E^3 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0, \ e_2 \cdot e_3 = e_1 \cdot e_3 = 1 \}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.2.2 and Lemma 3.3.15, we have

$$|B| = 240 \cdot 126 \cdot 12 = 362880.$$

Let c, s, A be as defined in Lemma 3.3.31, and note that $b = (e_1, f_1, e_2)$ is an element in B. Let W_b be the stabilizer in W of b. Then we have

$$|W_b| = \frac{|W|}{|Wb|} \ge \frac{|W|}{|B|} = 1920.$$

We want to show that this is an equality.

Since c is the unique element in C containing e_1, f_1 by Lemma 3.3.15, the stabilizer W_b of b acts on the set s. If an element $w \in W_b$ fixes all the roots in s, then it fixes a full rank sublattice of finite index in Λ , and since Λ is torsion free this implies that w is the identity. Therefore the action of W_b on s is faithful, so there is an injective map $W_b \longrightarrow W_s$. Note that f_2 is uniquely determined in s as the root that is orthogonal to e_2 , so every element in W_b fixes e_1, e_2, f_1, f_2 , hence W_b acts faithfully on $s' = \{e_3, \ldots, e_7, f_3, \ldots, f_7\}$. Let A' be the automorphism group of the colored graph associated to s'. We know there is an isomorphism $\varphi' : A' \longrightarrow \mu_2^5 \rtimes S_5$ by Lemma 3.3.30. Since elements in W_b respect the dot product, we have an injective map $\beta' : W_b \longrightarrow A'$. Let $\beta : W_s \longrightarrow A$ be the injective map from Lemma 3.3.31. together with the injective maps $W_b \longrightarrow W_s$ and $A' \longrightarrow A$, we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} W_b & \stackrel{\beta'}{\longrightarrow} & A' & \stackrel{\varphi'}{\longrightarrow} & \mu_2^5 \rtimes S_5 \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ W_s & \stackrel{\beta}{\longleftarrow} & A & \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} & \mu_2^7 \rtimes S_7 \end{array}$$

By Lemma 3.3.31, the image $\varphi(\beta(W_s))$ is a subset of index 2 in $\mu_2^7 \rtimes S_7$, given by subset $\left\{ ((m_1, \ldots, m_7), g) \in \mu_2^7 \rtimes S_7 \mid \prod_{i=1}^7 m_i = 1 \right\}$. Intersecting this subset with $\mu_2^5 \rtimes S_5$ gives a subset of index 2 in $\mu_2^5 \rtimes S_5$, so by the diagram above, the image $\varphi'(\beta'(W_b))$ has index at least 2 in $\mu_2^5 \rtimes S_5$. We find $|W_b| \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^5 \cdot 5! = 1920$, so together with the inequality above we conclude that $|W_b| = 1920$. We find $|Wb| = \frac{|W|}{|W_b|} = 362880 = |B|$, and W acts transitively on B.

We can now prove Proposition 3.3.2.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.3.2. Note that for a, b, c fixed and σ any permutation of them, there is a bijection between the sets $V_{a,b,c}$ and $V_{\sigma(a),\sigma(b),\sigma(c)}$, so if we prove that W acts transitively on one of them, then W also acts transitively on the other by Lemma 3.2.14. Therefore, we only consider the sets $V_{a,b,c}$ where $a \leq b \leq c$.

There are 4 different sets with a = b = c. There are 12 different sets where two of a, b, c are equal to each other and unequal to the third, and 4 different sets with a, b, c all distinct. So there are 20 different sets $V_{a,b,c}$ with $a \leq b \leq c$.

• If $V_{a,b,c}$ is a non-empty set with a = -2, then every element (e_1, e_2, e_3) in $V_{a,b,c}$ has $e_1 = -e_2$, so b = -c. Therefore the set $V_{a,b,c}$ is empty for (a, b, c) in

$$\{ (-2, -2, -2), (-2, -2, -1), (-2, -2, 0), (-2, -2, 1), \\ (-2, -1, -1), (-2, -1, 0), (-2, 0, 1), (-2, 1, 1) \}$$

• We have proved that W acts transitively on the sets $V_{-1,-1,-1}$ (Corollary 3.3.10), $V_{0,0,0}$ (Proposition 3.3.28), $V_{0,0,1}$ (Lemma 3.3.12), $V_{0,1,1}$ (Lemma 3.3.33), and $V_{1,1,1}$ (Proposition 3.2.12).

• We have the following bijections.

$$\begin{split} \{(e_1,e_2)\in E^2\mid e_1\cdot e_2=-1\} &\longrightarrow V_{-2,-1,1}, \quad (e_1,e_2)\longmapsto (-e_1,e_1,e_2); \\ \{(e_1,e_2)\in E^2\mid e_1\cdot e_2=0\} &\longrightarrow V_{-2,0,0}, \quad (e_1,e_2)\longmapsto (-e_1,e_1,e_2); \\ V_{0,1,1} &\longrightarrow V_{-1,-1,0}, \quad (e_1,e_2,e_3)\longmapsto (e_1,-e_3,e_2); \\ V_{1,1,1} &\longrightarrow V_{-1,-1,1}, \quad (e_1,e_2,e_3)\longmapsto (e_1,-e_2,e_3); \\ V_{0,0,1} &\longrightarrow V_{-1,0,0}, \quad (e_1,e_2,e_3)\longmapsto (-e_1,e_3,e_2); \\ V_{0,1,1} &\longrightarrow V_{-1,0,1}, \quad (e_1,e_2,e_3)\longmapsto (-e_3,e_2,-e_1); \\ V_{-1,-1,-1} &\longrightarrow V_{-1,1,1}, \quad (e_1,e_2,e_3)\longmapsto (e_1,e_2,-e_3). \end{split}$$

We proved that W acts transitively on the six different sets on the lefthand sides. From Lemma 3.2.14 it follows that W acts transitively on $V_{-2,-1,1}$, $V_{-2,0,0}$, $V_{-1,-1,0}$, $V_{-1,-1,1}$, $V_{-1,0,0}$, $V_{-1,0,1}$, and $V_{-1,1,1}$, too.

Since we proved that $V_{a,b,c}$ is either empty or W acts transitively on it for 20 different sets, we conclude that we proved the proposition.

The following corollary proves Theorem 3.1.4 for cliques of Type III.

COROLLARY 3.3.34. Let K_1 and K_2 be two cliques of type III, and let $f: K_1 \longrightarrow K_2$ be an isomorphism between them. Then f extends to an automorphism of Λ .

Proof. Since W acts transitively on the set of ordered sequences of n roots for $1 \leq n \leq 3$ by Propositions 3.3.1 and 3.3.2, there exists an automorphism $w \in W$ of Λ such that w restricted to K_1 equals f. \Box

3.4 Monochromatic cliques

In this section we study the cliques of type I, that is, cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2\}}$, $\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$, $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$, and $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$. We describe the orbits under the action of W of sequences of roots that form a clique, thus obtaining the results in Theorem 3.1.4 for cliques of type I (see Corollaries 3.4.5 and 3.4.9). We also describe all maximal cliques per color. For $\Gamma_{\{-2\}}$ and $\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$, everything follows from the previous sections. For $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ we have Proposition 3.2.12 already; we show moreover that there are no cliques of size bigger than eight, and describe the maximal cliques in Proposition 3.4.7. Finally, in this section we prove that W acts transitively on ordered sequences of

length r of orthogonal roots for $r \ge 5$. The result is in Proposition 3.4.4. Throughout this section we do not use any computer.

Cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2\}}$

The maximal size of a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2\}}$ is two, since such a maximal clique consists of an element in E and its inverse (see Proposition 3.2.2). There are therefore 120 such cliques. In Lemma 3.3.8 we showed that W acts transitively on the set of ordered pairs $\{(e_1, e_2) \in E^2 \mid e_1 = -e_2\}$, so Wacts transitively on the set of maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2\}}$.

Cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$

In $\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$, the maximal size of a clique is three, and there are no maximal cliques of smaller size, by Lemma 3.3.9. From Proposition 3.2.2 and Lemma 3.3.9 it follows that there are $\frac{240.56}{3!} = 2240$ maximal cliques. By Corollary 3.3.10, the group W acts transitively on the set of sequences $\{(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in E^3 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 \cdot e_3 = e_1 \cdot e_3 = -1\}$, so W acts transitively on the set of maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$. By Lemma 3.3.8, the group W acts transitively on the set of maximal cliques of size two in $\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$, so W acts also transitively on the set of cliques of size two in $\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$, of which there are $\frac{240.56}{2} = 6720$ (Proposition 3.2.2).

Cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$

Cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ are studied in [DM10], where they are called *orthogonal* subsets. In their paper, the authors show that the maximal size of cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ is eight [DM10, Table 1], that two cliques of the same size r are conjugate if $r \neq 4$, and that there are two orbits of cliques of size 4 [DM10, Corollary 2.3]. In the previous section we showed that W acts transitively on the set of ordered sequences of length at most 3 of orthogonal roots, and that there are two orbits of sequences of length 4. In this section we use this to conclude the same results as in [DM10] for cliques of size $r \leq 4$, and we compute the number of these cliques. Moreover, we study the action of Won ordered sequences of length ≥ 5 of orthogonal roots (Proposition 3.4.4), and compute the number of cliques of size ≥ 5 (Proposition 3.4.6).

The following proposition deals with the cliques of size at most 4.

Proposition 3.4.1.

(i) There are 15120 cliques of size two in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$, and the group W acts transitively on the set of all of them.

(ii) There are 302400 cliques of size three in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$, and the group W acts transitively on the set of all of them.

(iii) There are 1965600 cliques of size four in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$, and they form two orbits under the action of W: one of size 151200, in which all cliques have vertices whose roots sum up to a vector in 2 Λ , and one of size 1814400, in which all cliques have vertices whose roots sum op to a vector that is not in 2 Λ .

Proof.

(i) We have shown that the group W acts transitively on the set

$$A_0 = \{ (e_1, e_2) \in E^2 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0 \}$$

(Proposition 3.3.14), and $|A_0| = 240 \cdot 126 = 30240$ (Proposition 3.2.2). It follows that there are $\frac{30240}{2} = 15120$ cliques of size two in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$, and the group W acts transitively on the set of all of them.

(ii) The group W acts transitively on the set

$$V_3 = \{ (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in E^3 \mid \forall i \neq j : e_i \cdot e_j = 0 \},\$$

and we have $|V_3| = 1814400$ (Proposition 3.3.28 (i)). It follows that there are $\frac{1814400}{6} = 302400$ cliques of size three in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$, and the group W acts transitively on the set of all of them.

(iii) By Proposition 3.3.29 there are two orbits under the action of W on the set

$$V_4 = \{ (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \in E^4 \mid \forall i \neq j : e_i \cdot e_j = 0 \};$$

one of size 3628800 where all elements have coordinates that sum up to a vector that is in 2 Λ , and one orbit of size 43545600 where all elements have coordinates that sum up to a vector that is not in 2 Λ . Since the orbit in which an element is contained does not depend on the order of its coordinates, we conclude that this also gives two orbits with the same properties under the action of W on the set of all cliques of size four in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$, of sizes $\frac{3628800}{4!} = 151200$ and $\frac{43545600}{4!} = 1814400$, respectively. \Box

We continue by studying the sequences of orthogonal roots of length greater than four. Recall the set V_4 and its orbits under the action of W, given by Y of size 43545600 and $O = V_4 \setminus Y$ of size 3628800 (shown in Proposition 3.3.29).

LEMMA 3.4.2. For an element $y = (e_1, \ldots, e_4) \in Y$, define the set

$$C_y = \{e \in E \mid e \cdot e_i = 0 \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\}.$$

The following hold.

(i) The set C_y is the union of four sets $\{f_1, -f_1\}, \{f_2, -f_2\}, \{f_3, -f_3\},$ and $\{f_4, -f_4\}$, with $f_i \cdot f_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. For such a set $\{f_i, -f_i\}$, there is exactly one triple $\{e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, e_{i_3}\}$ of elements in y such that the permutations of $(e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, e_{i_3}, f_i)$ (or equivalently of $(e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, e_{i_3}, -f_i)$) form elements in O. Moreover, for $j \neq i$, and j_1, j_2, j_3 such that the permutations of $(e_{j_1}, e_{j_2}, e_{j_3}, f_j)$ form elements in O, the sets $\{e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, e_{i_3}\}$ and $\{e_{j_1}, e_{j_2}, e_{j_3}\}$ are different.

(ii) The stabilizer of y is generated by the reflections in the hyperplanes orthogonal to f_i for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Proof. Since W acts transitvely on Y, it suffices to show this for a fixed element $y \in Y$. Set

$$e_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \qquad e_3 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0), e_2 = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), \qquad e_4 = (1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

Then (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) is an element in V_4 and since $\sum_{i=1}^4 e_i \notin 2\Lambda$, it is an element in Y as well by Proposition 3.3.29. Take $e = (a_1, \ldots, a_8) \in E$ such that $e \cdot e_i = 0$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then we have $a_1 + a_2 = a_1 - a_2 = a_3 + a_4 = a_5 + a_6 = 0$. We find the following possibilities.

$$\begin{split} &\pm f_1 = \pm \left(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1 \right), \qquad \pm f_3 = \pm \left(0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right), \\ &\pm f_2 = \pm \left(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0 \right), \qquad \pm f_4 = \pm \left(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1 \right). \end{split}$$

It is an easy check that $f_i \cdot f_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and for $i, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the sum $\left(\sum_{j\neq i} e_j\right) \pm f_k$ is contained in 2 Λ if and only if i = k. This proves (i). We continue with (ii). Take $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Since f_i is orthogonal to the elements in y the reflection r_i in the hyperplane orthogonal to f_i is an element of W_y . For $i \neq j$, the reflections r_i and r_j commute, since f_i and f_j are orthogonal. Therefore the elements r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 generate a subgroup of W_y of order 16. Since we have

$$|W_y| = \frac{|W|}{|Y|} = \frac{696729600}{43545600} = 16,$$

they generate the whole group W_y .
COROLLARY 3.4.3. Set $n_5 = 1$, $n_6 = 3$, $n_7 = 7$, and $n_8 = 14$. Let K be a clique of size $r \in \{5, 6, 7, 8\}$ in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$. Then the number of sets of four vertices e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 in K such that the permutations of (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) are elements in O is equal to n_r .

Proof. First let K be a clique of size 5 in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$. Assume in contradiction that there are two distinct subsets, say y_1, y_2 , of four vertices in K that form an element in O. Then there are three vertices of K, say e_1, e_2, e_3 , that are contained both in y_1 and y_2 . Write $y_1 = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, f_1\}$ and $y_2 = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, f_2\}$. By applying Proposition 3.3.28 (iii) to the triple (e_1, e_2, e_3) , it follows that $f_1 = -f_2$, so $f_1 \cdot f_2 = -2$. But this gives a contradiction, since f_1, f_2 are both in K. So the number of sets of four vertices in K that form an element in O is at most 1, which means that there is at least one subset $\{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4\}$ of K of four roots such that (g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4) is an element in Y. For the fifth element in K, say g_5 , it follows from the previous lemma that there is exactly one triple $\{g_\alpha, g_\beta, g_\gamma\}$ of elements in $\{g_1, \ldots, g_4\}$ that it forms an element in O with. We conclude that there is exactly 1 set of four vertices in K that form an element in O; this proves the statement for r = 5.

We proceed by induction. Take $s \in \{6, 7, 8\}$. Assume that the statement holds for $5 \leq r < s$, and let $K = \{e_1, \ldots, e_s\}$ be a clique of size s in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$. By induction we know that $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{s-1}\}$ contains n_{s-1} subsets of size four that form an element in O. That means that there are $\binom{s-1}{4} - n_{s-1}$ subsets of size four in $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{s-1}\}$ that form an element in Y. By Lemma 3.4.2, each of these $\binom{s-1}{4} - n_{s-1}$ subsets contains exactly three elements that, together with e_s , form an element in O. Let d_1, d_2, d_3 be three elements in $\{e_1,\ldots,e_{s-1}\}$ such that (d_1,d_2,d_3,e_s) is an element in O. Then for every element $d \in \{e_1, \ldots, e_{s-1}\} \setminus \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$, the set $\{d_1, d_2, d_3, e_s, d\}$ forms a clique of size 5 in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$, and since $n_5 = 1$, it follows that (d_1, d_2, d_3, d) is an element in Y. This means that every set of three roots in $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{s-1}\}$ that forms an element in O with e_s forms an element in Y with all other roots in $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{s-1}\}$. Since every set of three roots in $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{s-1}\}$ is contained in (s-1) - 3 subsets of size four of $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{s-1}\}$, this gives $\frac{\binom{s-1}{4}-n_{s-1}}{s-4}$ distinct sets of three that form an element in O with e_s . In total this gives $n_{s-1} + \frac{\binom{s-1}{4} - n_{s-1}}{s-4}$ sets of four vertices in K that form an element in O. This is exactly equal to n_s for s = 6, 7, 8.

For $1 \leq r \leq 8$, let V_r be the set

$$V_r = \{(e_1, \ldots, e_r) \in E^r \mid \forall i \neq j : e_i \cdot e_j = 0\}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.4.4. For $5 \le r \le 8$, two elements (e_1, \ldots, e_r) , (f_1, \ldots, f_r) in V_r are in the same orbit under the action of W if and only if for all $1 \le i < j < k < l \le r$, the elements (e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) and (f_i, f_j, f_k, f_l) are conjugate in V_4 under the action of W.

Proof. For $5 \leq r \leq 8$, define the relation \sim on V_r by $(e_1, \ldots, e_r) \sim (f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ if and only if for all $1 \leq i < j < k < l \leq r$, the elements (e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) and (f_i, f_j, f_k, f_l) are conjugate in V_4 . Note that \sim is an equivalence relation on V_r , and the group W acts on the equivalence classes. Our goal is to show that each equivalence class is an orbit in V_r under the action of W. We do this by induction on r.

For r = 5, let $X_5 \subset V_5$ be an equivalence class with respect to \sim . We distinguish two cases. If for every element in X_5 the first four coordinates form an element in Y, we let $p: X_5 \longrightarrow Y$ be the projection to the first four coordinates. Note that this is surjective by Lemma 3.4.2. Set $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_4) \in Y$. Since the elements in the fiber $p^{-1}(y)$ are equivalent under \sim , there are exactly two elements $(y_1, \ldots, y_4, f), (y_1, \ldots, y_4, -f)$ in $p^{-1}(y)$ by Lemma 3.4.2 (i). Moreover, the stabilizer W_y acts transitively on these two elements by Lemma 3.4.2 (ii). From Lemma 3.2.14 it follows that W acts transitively on X_5 . If, on the other hand, for every element in X_5 the first four coordinates form an element in O, then it follows from Corollary 3.4.3 that the last four coordinates of every element in X_5 form an element in Y. We now let $p: X_5 \longrightarrow Y$ be the projection to the last four coordinates, and the proof is the same.

Now assume that r > 5, and that each equivalence class in V_{r-1} is an orbit under the action of W. Let X_r be an equivalence class in V_r , and $p_r: X_r \longrightarrow V_{r-1}$ the projection to the first r-1 coordinates. Then W acts on $p_r(X_r)$, and $p_r(X_r)$ is contained in an equivalence class X_{r-1} with respect to ~ in V_{r-1} . Since W acts transitively on X_{r-1} by hypothesis, it follows that $p_r(X_r) = X_{r-1}$, and W acts transitively on $p_r(X_r)$. Since r > 5, by Corollary 3.4.3 there exist $i, j, k, l \in \{1, \ldots, r-1\}$ such that for all elements $(e_1, \ldots, e_r) \in X_r$ we have $(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) \in Y$. Fix such i, j, k, l, and let $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1})$ be an element in $p_r(X_r)$. Then (v_i, v_j, v_k, v_l) is an element in Y. Let $(v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1}, f), (v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1}, g)$ be elements in the fiber $p_r^{-1}(v)$. Since $(v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1}, f)$ is equivalent to $(v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1}, g)$ with respect to \sim , by applying Lemma 3.4.2 to (v_i, v_j, v_k, v_l) we see that f = -g, and the fiber $p_r^{-1}(v)$ consists of the two elements $(v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1}, f)$ and $(v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1}, -f)$. Moreover, the reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to f fixes v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1} , hence is an element in the stabilizer of v that switches f and -f. So the stabilizer of v acts transitively on $p_r^{-1}(v)$, and again from Lemma 3.2.14 we conclude that W acts transitively on X_r . \Box

COROLLARY 3.4.5. Let K_1 and K_2 be cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$, and $f: K_1 \longrightarrow K_2$ an isomorphism between them. Then f extends to an automorphism of Λ if and only if for every subclique S of size 4 in K_1 , the image f(S) in K_2 is conjugate to S under the action of W.

Proof. If K_1 and K_2 have size ≤ 3 , then it follows from Corollary 3.3.34 that f always extends. From Proposition 3.4.4 it follows that if K_1 and K_2 have size at least four, the isomorphism f extends to an element in Wexactly when f sends every sequence of four roots that form an element in V_4 to a conjugate element in V_4 . By Proposition 3.3.29, there are two orbits of ordered sequences of four pairwise orthogonal roots, that do not depend on the order of the roots. We conclude that if S and f(S) are conjugate under the action of W for every set S of four vertices in K_1 , there exists an automorphism $w \in W$ of Λ such that w restricted to K_1 equals f.

THEOREM 3.4.6. In $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$, the following hold.

(i) There are no maximal cliques of size smaller than eight.

(ii) There are 3628800 cliques of size five, 3628800 cliques of size six, 2073600 cliques of size seven, and 518400 cliques of size eight.

(iii) The group W acts transitively on the cliques of size 5.

Proof.

(i) We know that every root in E is orthogonal to 126 other roots (Proposition 3.2.2). Moreover, we know that in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ every clique of size 2 extends to a clique of size 3 (Lemma 3.3.27), and every clique of size 3 extends to a clique of size 4 (Proposition 3.3.28 (ii)). Since $n_5 = 1 < {5 \choose 4}$ by Corollary 3.4.3, every clique of size 5 in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ contains both a subclique whose vertices form an element in O, and a subclique whose vertices form an element in Y. Since W acts transitively on Oand on Y, and $V_4 = O \cup Y$, this means that every clique of size 4 in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ extends to a clique of size 5. Moreover, by Lemma 3.4.2 (i), every extension of a clique of size 4 whose vertices form an element in Y is contained in a clique of size 8. Since every clique of size at least 5 contains a clique of size 4 whose vertices form an element in Y, there are no maximal cliques of size smaller than 8.

(ii) By Lemma 3.4.2, if we fix an element $y = (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \in Y$, there are exactly 8 elements in V_5 , and 8 · 6 elements in V_6 , and 8 · 6 · 4 elements in V_7 , and 8 · 6 · 4 · 2 elements in V_8 , that have e_i as the i^{th} coordinate for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. We call this number m_r for r = 5, 6, 7, 8. For all $5 \leq r \leq 8$, for S a clique of size r, it follows from Corollary 3.4.3 that S contains $\binom{r}{4} - n_r$ cliques of size 4 that, together, form $4! \cdot (\binom{r}{4} - n_r)$ different elements in Y; for such a subclique of size 4 in S, the other r - 4 elements can be permuted in (r - 4)! ways. For all $5 \leq r \leq 8$, let D_r be the set of cliques of size r in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$. It follows that we have

$$|D_r| = \frac{|Y| \cdot m_r}{4! \cdot (\binom{r}{4} - n_r) \cdot (r-4)!}$$

We find the following results.

$$|D_5| = \frac{|Y| \cdot 8}{4! \cdot 4} = 3628800, \ |D_6| = \frac{|Y| \cdot 8 \cdot 6}{4! \cdot 12 \cdot 2} = 3628800,$$
$$|D_7| = \frac{|Y| \cdot 8 \cdot 6 \cdot 4}{4! \cdot 28 \cdot 3!} = 2073600, \ |D_8| = \frac{|Y| \cdot 8 \cdot 6 \cdot 4 \cdot 2}{4! \cdot 56 \cdot 4!} = 518400$$

(iii) Let $K_1 = \{e_1, \ldots, e_5\}$, $K_2 = \{f_1, \ldots, f_5\}$ be two cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$. We have $n_5 = 1$ by Corollary 3.4.3, so without loss of generality we can assume that e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 and f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 are the unique four elements in K_1 and K_2 , respectively, that form an element in O. Then $(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5)$ and $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5)$ are conjugate under the action of W by Proposition 3.4.4, hence so are K_1 and K_2 .

Cliques in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$

We know that cliques in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ form k-simplices that are k-faces of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope (Proposition 3.2.4), hence Corollary 3.2.7 states how many cliques of size n there are in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ for $n \leq 8$. Moreover, we know that W acts transitively on these cliques for $n \leq 8$, $n \neq 7$ (Proposition 3.2.12). Proposition 3.4.7 shows that there are no cliques of size bigger than eight in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$, and that there are two orbits of cliques of size seven (which was already known, for example by [Cox30] and [Man86]); it shows that all maximal cliques are of size 7 or 8.

PROPOSITION 3.4.7. In $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$, the following hold.

(i) There are only maximal cliques of size 7 and 8.

(ii) There are two orbits of cliques of size 7 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$; one of size 138240, which is given by non-maximal cliques, and one of size 69120, which is given by maximal cliques. A clique of size seven in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ is maximal if and only if the sum of its vertices is an element in 2Λ .

(iii) There are 17280 cliques of size 8.

Proof. Consider the clique of size six in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ given by $\{e_1, \ldots, e_6\}$, where we define

$$e_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \quad e_4 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$e_2 = (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \quad e_5 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$$

$$e_3 = (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), \quad e_6 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0).$$

Since W acts transitively on the set of cliques of size smaller than 6 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ by Proposition 3.2.12, it follows that every clique of size smaller than 6 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ is contained in a clique of size 6 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$. The elements in E that have dot product one with all e_1, \ldots, e_6 are given by $c_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}$ $c_2 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$, and $c_3 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1)$. Note that $c_1 \cdot c_2 = 1$ and $c_3 \cdot c_1 = c_3 \cdot c_2 = 0$, so $\{e_1, ..., e_6, c_1, c_2\}$ is a maximal clique of size 8 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$, and $\{e_1, \ldots, e_6, c_3\}$ is a maximal clique of size 7 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$. Since W acts transitively on the cliques of size 6 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ by Proposition 3.2.12, all maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ are of size 7 or 8. This proves part (i). Moreover, it follows that every non-maximal clique of size 7 is contained in a unique clique of size 8, so there are $\frac{138240}{8} = 17280$ cliques of size 8. This proves part (iii). We will now prove (ii). From part (i) it follows that there exist maximal and non-maximal cliques of size 7 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$. It is obvious that they can not be in the same orbit under the action of W. Moreover, there are two orbits of ordered sequences of length 7, hence at most two orbits of cliques of size 7 by Proposition 3.2.12. We conclude that the orbits are given exactly by the maximal cliques and the non-maximal cliques. Since there are 483840 cliques of size 6 (Corollary 3.2.7), from the above it follows that there are $\frac{483840\cdot 2}{7} = 138240$ non-maximal cliques, and $\frac{483840\cdot 1}{7} = 69120$ maximal cliques. Now consider the set $\{e_1, \ldots, e_7\}$, where the elements are defined above Lemma 3.3.15. This is a clique of size 7 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$, and it is not hard to check that it is maximal. Moreover, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} e_i = (5, 3, 3, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1) \in 2\Lambda.$$

Since W acts transitively on all maximal cliques of size 7 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$, for all such cliques the sum of the vertices is an element in 2 Λ . On the other hand, consider the set $d = \{d_1, \ldots, d_7\}$ as defined above Lemma 3.3.15. This is a non-maximal clique of size 7 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$, since the union of d with the root $\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is a clique of size 8 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$. Moreover, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{7} d_i = (2, 4, 4, 4, 1, -1, -1, -1) \notin 2\Lambda$$

Since W acts transitively on all non-maximal cliques of size 7 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$, for all such cliques the sum of the vertices is not an element in 2Λ .

REMARK 3.4.8. Note that 138240 + 69120 = 207360, which is the total number of cliques of size 7 by Corollary 3.2.7.

COROLLARY 3.4.9. Let K_1 and K_2 be cliques in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$, and $f: K_1 \longrightarrow K_2$ an isomorphism between them. If K_1 and K_2 have size unequal to 7, then f extends to an automorphism of Λ . If K_1 and K_2 have size 7, then fextends if and only if the sum of the vertices of K_1 and the sum of the vertices of K_2 are either both in 2Λ , or both not.

Proof. Another way of saying that the morphism f extends is that if $\{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$ is the set of vertices in K_1 , then the sequences (e_1, \ldots, e_r) and $(f(e_1), \ldots, f(e_r))$ are conjugate. By Proposition 3.2.12, for $r \leq 8, r \neq 7$, there is only one orbit of ordered sequences of length r of roots that have pairwise dot product 1. This implies that f extends to an element in W if K_1 , K_2 have size unequal to 7. Furthermore, by the same proposition, there are two orbits of ordered sequences of roots of length 7. By Proposition 3.4.7, there two orbits of cliques of size 7, that are distinguished by whether the sum of the 7 roots is an element in 2Λ or not. We conclude that the two orbits of ordered sequences are distinguished in the same way. This implies that f extends if and only if the sum of the vertices in K_1 and the sum of the vertices in $f(K_1) = K_2$ are both in 2Λ or both not.

REMARK 3.4.10. We know that the cliques of size 7 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ are 6-faces of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope. We can describe the two orbits of these cliques in this framework as well. A 6-face of the polytope is an intersection of two facets. There are two types of facets of the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope: 7-crosspolytopes and 7-simplices (Proposition 3.2.5). Since the maximal cliques of size 7 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ are not contained in a 7-simplex, these are exactly the intersections of two 7-crosspolytopes.

Consider the set $c = \{c_1, \ldots, c_7, d_1, \ldots, d_7\}$, defined above Lemma 3.3.15. Note that $d = \{d_1, \ldots, d_7\}$ is a non-maximal clique of size 7 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ that is contained in the 7-crosspolytope with vertices in c, but also in the 7-simplex with vertices $d \cup \left\{ \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right) \right\}$. It follows that every non-maximal clique of size 7 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ is the intersection of a 7-crosspolytope with a 7-simplex.

From this it also follows that two 7-simplices in the \mathbf{E}_8 root polytope never intersect.

REMARK – ANALOGY WITH GEOMETRY 3.4.11. Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 over an algebraically closed field, and I the set of exceptional classes in Pic X. Through the bijection between I and E, cliques in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ are related to sets of exceptional classes that are pairwise disjoint. These are called *exceptional sets*, and can be blown down so that we obtain a del Pezzo surface of higher degree (Lemma 1.2.8). Since a del Pezzo surface has degree at most 9 (in which case it is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2), it is clear that the maximal size of a clique in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ is eight. We can also describe the two orbits of size 7 in this setting; cliques that are maximal correspond to exceptional sets that blow down to a del Pezzo surface of degree 8 that is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and cliques that are not maximal correspond to exceptional sets that blow down to a del Pezzo surface of degree 8 that is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 blown up in one point [Man86, remark below Corollary 26.8].

3.5 Maximal cliques

In this section we describe all maximal cliques in Γ_c for $c \neq \{-1, 0, 1\}$ (cliques of type IV), and their orbits under the action of W. Note that $\Gamma_{-1,0,1}$ is the graph Γ after removing all edges between roots and their inverses. This means that the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{-1,0,1}$ are all of size 120: for each root you can either choose the root or its inverse. Therefore there are 2^{120} maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{-1,0,1}$, which gives at least $\left[\frac{2^{120}}{|W|}\right] =$ 1907810427151244719477695595 orbits in the set of maximal cliques under the action of W. Because of the size of these cliques and their orbits, we did not compute the orbits.

In the first two subsections of this section we describe all maximal cliques

in $\Gamma_{\{-2\}}$, $\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$, $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$, $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$, $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1\}}$, $\Gamma_{\{-2,1\}}$, $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$, and $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0,1\}} = \Gamma$. Cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1\}}$ and $\Gamma_{\{-2,1\}}$ are monochromatic (Lemma 3.5.5), and maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ are in bijection with maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ (Lemma 3.5.7). Therefore, everything before Section 3.5.3 follows from results in Section 3.4 and is done without a computer. From Section 3.5.3 onwards, we used magma for some computations. The code that we used can be found in [Codb].

REMARK 3.5.1. Because of the relation to del Pezzo surfaces, the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ and $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ are of special interest to us, which is explained in Remark 3.5.4. For these two graphs we have extra results in Sections 3.5.2 and 3.5.3: we compute the structure of the largest cliques in the graphs in Propositions 3.5.9 and 3.5.23, and we show that for these largest cliques, their stabilizer in W acts transitively on the clique itself (Corollaries 3.5.12 and 3.5.25).

Most of the results in Section 3.5.2 were already proven in terms of del Pezzo surfaces by the same author in [Win14]; results 3.5.7 - 3.5.14 correspond to results 4.8, 4.10, 4.11, and 4.16–4.20 in [Win14]. Moreover, Proposition 3.5.24 and Corollary 3.5.25 are the same as Proposition 4.27 and Corollary 4.28 in [Win14]. We decided to repeat these results here for completeness, and to rephrase the results in terms of the roots in \mathbf{E}_8 . Besides this, the techniques in Sections 3.5.2 and 3.5.3 show how one could prove similar results for graphs with other colors.

The main results of this section are summarized in the tables in Appendix A and Remark 3.6.1.

NOTATION 3.5.2. To denote cliques of Γ in a compact way, we order the root system E as follows. Roots of the form $\left(\pm\frac{1}{2},\ldots,\pm\frac{1}{2}\right)$ are ordered lexicographically and denoted by numbers 1–128; for example, $\left(-\frac{1}{2},\ldots,-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is number 1, and $\left(\frac{1}{2},\ldots,\frac{1}{2}\right)$ number 128. Roots that are permutations of $(\pm 1,\pm 1,0,0,0,0,0,0)$ are ordered lexicographically and denoted by the numbers 129 – 240; for example, (-1,-1,0,0,0,0,0,0) is number 129, and (1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0) is number 240.

The table in Appendix A contains the following information.

NOTATION 3.5.3.

Graph: a graph Γ_c where c is a set of colors in $\{-2, -1, 0, 1\}$.

K: a clique in Γ_c ; we denote vertices by their index as in Notation 3.5.2. |K|: the size of K.

 $|W_K|$: the size of the stabilizer of clique K in the group W.

 $|\operatorname{Aut}(K)|$: the size of the automorphism group of K as a colored graph.

#O: the number of orbits of the set of all maximal cliques of size |K| in Γ_c under the action of W.

For each graph Γ_c , the list of cliques in Γ_c in the table in Appendix A gives exactly one representative for each orbit of the set of maximal cliques in Γ_c under the action of W. The proofs of these results are in Proposition 3.5.6, Corollary 3.5.16, Proposition 3.5.28, Lemma 3.5.30, Proposition 3.5.33, and Proposition 3.5.35.

The following remark shows the connection between del Pezzo surfaces and cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ and $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$.

REMARK – ANALOGY WITH GEOMETRY 3.5.4. Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 over an algebraically closed field, and let I be the set of exceptional classes in Pic X. The question that led us to study the \mathbf{E}_8 root system was how many exceptional curves on X go through the same point (see Chapter 4; the following is also stated in Proposition 4.2.4 and Remark 4.2.5). Recall that the linear system $|-2K_X|$ realizes X as a double cover of a cone in \mathbb{P}^3 , ramified over a sextic curve B that does not contain the vertex of the cone (see Section 1.4.1). There are 120 hyperplanes that are tritangent to B, and such a hyperplane pulls back to the sum of two elements in I that intersect with multiplicity 3. It follows that two elements in I intersecting with multiplicity 3 correspond to curves on X intersecting in 3 points on the ramification curve. Conversely, if an element c in I corresponds to a curve on X that goes through a point P on the ramification curve, then the unique element $c' \in I$ with $c \cdot c' = 3$ corresponds to a curve on X going through P as well.

Through the bijection $I \longrightarrow E$, $c \longmapsto c + K_X$, cliques in Γ that correspond to sets of pairwise intersecting lines on X have edges of colors -2, -1, 0. Since elements in I with intersection multiplicity 3 correspond to two roots in E with dot product -2, it follows that a set of lines on X that all go through one point on the ramification curve forms a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$, and a set of lines on X that all go through one point outside the ramification curve forms a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$. This motivates why we have studied these

two graphs extensively, and especially the biggest cliques in them (with respect to number of vertices).

3.5.1 Maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2\}}, \Gamma_{\{-1\}}, \Gamma_{\{1\}}, \Gamma_{\{-2,-1\}}, \Gamma_{\{-2,1\}},$ and $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0,1\}}$

LEMMA 3.5.5. Cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1\}}$ and in $\Gamma_{\{-2,1\}}$ are monochromatic.

Proof. For an element $e \in E$, its inverse -e is the unique element intersecting it with multiplicity -2 (Proposition 3.2.2). Take $e, f \in E$ with $e \cdot f = -1$, then $-e \cdot f = 1$, hence e, f, -e do not form a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1\}}$. Therefore all cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1\}}$ are monochromatic. Analogously, the cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,1\}}$ are monochromatic.

PROPOSITION 3.5.6. For

$$c \in \{\{-2\}, \{-1\}, \{1\}, \{-2, -1\}, \{-2, 1\}, \{-2, -1, 0, 1\}\},\$$

the table in Appendix A gives the complete list of orbits of the maximal cliques in Γ_c , as well as a correct representative for each orbit, the size of its stabilizer in W, and the size of its automorphism group.

Proof. We showed in Section 3.4 that all maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2\}}$ have size 2, and that they form one orbit of size 120. We also showed that all maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$ have size 3, and they form one orbit of size 2240. In Proposition 3.4.7 we showed that there are two orbits of maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$; one of size 69120, which consists of cliques of size 7, and one of size 17280, which consists of cliques of size 8. For $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1\}}$ and $\Gamma_{\{-2,1\}}$ we proved that all cliques are monochromatic in Lemma 3.5.5, so the maximal cliques and their orbits are found by looking at the monochromatic subgraphs $\Gamma_{\{-2\}}$, $\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$, and $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$.

It is an easy check that for these five graphs, the cliques in the table are correct representatives of the orbits. The sizes of their stabilizers are found by dividing the order of W by the size of their orbit. Since all the cliques in these five graphs are monochromatic, their automorphism group is the permutation group on their vertices.

Finally, note that $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0,1\}} = \Gamma$. The only maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0,1\}}$ is therefore the whole graph, which forms an orbit of size 1 under the action of W.

3.5.2 Maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ and $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$

The following lemma describes the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$.

LEMMA 3.5.7. In $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$, the following hold.

(i) The maximal size of a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ is 16, and there are no maximal cliques of smaller size.

(ii) The set of maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ is given by

$$\{\{e_1, \dots, e_8, -e_1, \dots, -e_8\} \mid \forall i : e_i \in E; \ \forall i \neq j : e_i \cdot e_j = 0\}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.4.6, all maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ are of size 8. Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_8\}$ be a maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$. Then $\{e_1, \ldots, e_8, -e_1, \ldots, -e_8\}$ is a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ of size 16. Now assume that $\{c_1,\ldots,c_r\}$ is a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ of size bigger than 16. Since edges of color -2 connect a root and its inverse, the clique $\{c_1, \ldots, c_r\}$ contains a subclique of size at least $\left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil$ with only edges of color 0. But this would give a clique in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ of size at least $\left|\frac{17}{2}\right| = 9$, contradicting Theorem 3.4.6. We conclude that the maximal size of a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ is 16. Now assume that S is a maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ of size smaller than 16. Let K be the biggest (with respect to number of vertices) subclique of S with only edges of color 0. Let K' be a maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ containing K, so K' has size 8. Then the clique consisting of all vertices of K' and their inverses is a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ of size 16 that strictly contains S, contradicting the maximality of S. We conclude that there are no maximal cliques of size smaller than 16 in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$, concluding the proof of (i). Part (ii) is now obvious.

To show that the group W acts transitively on the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$, we use the following lemma, which builds on results in previous sections. Recall the set Y as defined above Lemma 3.3.21.

LEMMA 3.5.8. The following hold.

(i) For every element $y = (e_1, \ldots, e_4) \in Y$, there is a unique maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ containing e_1, \ldots, e_4 .

(ii) Every maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ contains exactly 896 distinct subsets of four roots e_1, \ldots, e_4 such that (e_1, \ldots, e_4) is an element in Y.

Proof.

(i) From Lemma 3.4.2 it follows that an element in Y is contained in a unique clique of size 8 in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$. But such a clique extends uniquely to a maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ by adding all inverses of the roots.

(ii) By Lemma 3.5.7, a maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ consists of eight pairwise orthogonal roots and their inverses. Let K be such a clique. Eight pairwise orthogonal roots in K contain $\binom{8}{4} - 14 = 56$ distinct subsets of four roots that form an element in Y by Corollary 3.4.3. Let $D = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ be such a subset. If we replace a root in D by its inverse, then the roots in D still form an element in Y. This gives $56 \cdot 2^4 = 896$ distinct subsets of K of that form an element in Y. Since a set of four roots that contains both a root and its inverse never forms an element in Y, these are all of them.

Let S be the set of all cliques of size 16 in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$. By Lemma 3.5.7, this is exactly the set of maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$. By Lemma 3.5.8 we have a surjective map

 $s\colon Y\longrightarrow \mathcal{S}.$

COROLLARY 3.5.9. The group W acts transitively on S, and we have |S| = 2025.

Proof. Since the map *s* is surjective and *W* acts transitively on *Y* (Proposition 3.3.29), it follows from Lemma 3.2.14 that *W* acts transitively on *S*. From Lemma 3.5.8 it follows that $|\mathcal{S}| = \frac{|Y|}{|896\cdot 4!|} = 2025$.

Let K be an element of S, and W_K its stabilizer in W. Now that we fully described all maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ and the action of W on the set of these maximal cliques, we finish the study of $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ by studying the action of W_K on K, and concluding that W acts transitively on cliques of sizes 6, 7, 8 in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ in Proposition 3.5.15. Consider the sets

$$J_1 = \{ (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in K^3 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_1 \cdot e_3 = e_2 \cdot e_3 = 0 \},\$$

and

$$J_2 = \{ (e_1, e_2) \in K^2 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0 \}$$

PROPOSITION 3.5.10. The group W_K acts transitively on J_1 .

Proof. From Lemma 3.5.7 we know that K consists of eight pairwise orthogonal roots and their inverses, so we have $|J_1| = 16 \cdot 14 \cdot 12 = 2688$. Fix an element $\iota = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$ in J_1 . We want to show that its orbit $W_K \iota$ has size 2688, hence is equal to J_1 . Let $W_{K,\iota}$ be the stabilizer in W_K of ι . We have $|W_K \iota| = \frac{|W_K|}{|W_{K,\iota}|}$, and

$$\frac{|W|}{|W_{K,\iota}|} = \frac{|W|}{|W_K|} \cdot \frac{|W_K|}{|W_{K,\iota}|}.$$

By Corollary 3.5.9 we have $\frac{|W|}{|W_K|} = |WK| = 2025$. Moreover, we have

$$\frac{|W|}{|W_{K,\iota}|} = \frac{|W|}{|W_{\iota}|} \cdot \frac{|W_{\iota}|}{|W_{\iota,K}|}.$$

By Proposition 3.3.28 we have $\frac{|W|}{|W_{\iota}|} = |W\iota| = 240 \cdot 126 \cdot 60 = 1814400$. We now compute $\frac{|W_{\iota}|}{|W_{\iota,K}|} = |W_{\iota}K|$. From Proposition 3.3.28 we know that there are 24 roots $e \in E$ such that (e_1, e_2, e_3, e) is an element in Y. Since W_{ι} acts transitively on those 24 roots by Proposition 3.3.29, the orbit $W_{\iota}K$ contains the cliques $s((e_1, e_2, e_3, e))$ for all 24 roots e. Now fix e and set $y = (e_1, e_2, e_3, e)$, and L = s(y). From Lemma (i) we know that L contains exactly eight roots f such that (e_1, e_2, e_3, f) is an element in Y. Therefore, they determine the same unique clique of size sixteen as e. We conclude that there are $\frac{24}{8} = 3$ different cliques containing ι . So we have $|W_{\iota}K| \geq 3$, and we find $\frac{|W|}{|W_{K,\iota}|} \geq 1814400 \cdot 3 = 5443200$. It follows that $\frac{|W_K|}{|W_{K,\iota}|} \geq \frac{5443200}{2025} = 2688$. Since on the other hand we have $\frac{|W_K|}{|W_{K,\iota}|} = |W_K\iota| \leq |J_1| = 2688$, we have equality everywhere and we conclude that $W_K\iota = J_1$. This finishes the proof.

COROLLARY 3.5.11. The group W_K acts transitively on J_2 .

Proof. We have a projection map $\lambda: J_1 \longrightarrow J_2$ to the first two coordinates. Since K consists of eight pairwise orthogonal roots and their inverses, if we fix two elements e_1, e_2 such that $(e_1, e_2) \in J_2$, there are 16 - 4 = 12elements $e \in K$ such that (e_1, e_2, e) is contained in J_1 . Therefore, λ is surjective. From Proposition 3.5.10 and Lemma 3.2.14, it follows that W_K acts transitively on J_2 .

COROLLARY 3.5.12. The group W_K acts transitively on K.

Proof. We have a projection map $\lambda: J_2 \longrightarrow K$ to the first coordinate. For every element e in K there are 14 elements c such that $(e, c) \in J_2$, so λ is surjective. From Corollary 3.5.11 and Lemma 3.2.14 it follows that W_K acts transitively on K.

PROPOSITION 3.5.13. For $n \in \{2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$, the group W acts transitively on the set

$$D_n = \{\{e_1, \dots, e_n, -e_1, \dots, -e_n\} \mid \forall i : e_i \in E; \ \forall i \neq j : e_i \cdot e_j = 0\}.$$

Proof. For n = 2, 3, 5, this follows from the fact that W acts transitively on the cliques of size n in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ (Propositions 3.4.1 and 3.4.6), and the fact that there is a surjective map from the set of cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ of size n to D_n . The case n = 8 is Corollary 3.5.9. From Proposition 3.5.11, it follows that the stabilizer W_K in W of K acts transitively on the set

$$\{(e_1, e_2, -e_1, -e_2) \in K^4 \mid e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0\}$$

Since K consists of eight pairwise orthogonal roots and their inverses, the cliques of six pairwise orthogonal roots and their inverses in K are the complements of the cliques of two orthogonal roots and their inverses in K, so this implies that W_K acts transitively on the set of cliques of six pairwise orthogonal roots and their inverses in K, too. The statement now follows for n = 6 by Corollary 3.5.9. The case n = 7 is proved analogously since we showed that W_K acts transitively on K.

REMARK 3.5.14. There are two orbits under the action of W on the set

$$\{\{e_1, \ldots, e_4, -e_1, \ldots, -e_4\} \mid \forall i : e_i \in E; \forall i \neq j : e_i \cdot e_j = 0\}.$$

Indeed, this follows from Proposition 3.4.1 and the fact that there is a surjective map from the set of cliques of size 4 in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ to this set.

As we mentioned before, the fact that W acts transitively on the set of cliques of size r for $1 \leq r \leq 8$ in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ is in [DM10]. The following proposition shows how it follows from our results about $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ as well.

PROPOSITION 3.5.15. for n = 6, 7, 8, the group W acts transitively on the cliques of size n in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$.

Proof. We know that W acts transitively on the set

$$D_n = \{\{e_1, \dots, e_n, -e_1, \dots, -e_n\} \mid \forall i : e_i \in E; \; \forall i \neq j : e_i \cdot e_j = 0\}$$

from Proposition 3.5.13. Let F_n be the set of cliques of size n in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$. We have an obvious map $f: F_n \longrightarrow D_n$ which adds adds the inverses to all roots in an element in F_n . Let $D = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n, -e_1, \ldots, -e_n\}$ be an element in D_n and consider its fiber $f^{-1}(D)$ in F_n . This consists of all cliques $\{\pm e_1, \ldots, \pm e_n\}$, where for each root either itself or its inverse is chosen. The stabilizer W_D of D acts on $f^{-1}(D)$. Note that for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, the reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to e_i switches e_i and $-e_i$ and fixes all other roots in D, hence it is an element in W_D . Therefore, W_D acts transitively on $f^{-1}(D)$, and by Lemma 3.2.14, W acts transitively on F_n .

COROLLARY 3.5.16. The table in Appendix A gives the complete list of orbits of the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ and $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$, as well as a correct representative for each orbit, the size of its stabilizer in W, and the size of its automorphism group.

Proof. Al maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$ are of size 16 (Lemma 3.5.7) and there is only one orbit of them, of size 2025 (Corollary 3.5.9). It is an easy check that the clique in the table is a representative of this orbit. Its stabilizer size is $\frac{|W|}{|2025|} = 344064$. Its automorphism group is isomorphic to $\mu_2^8 \rtimes S_8$ by Lemma 3.3.30, hence has size $2^8 \cdot 8!$. In Theorem 3.4.6 we showed that all maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ have size 8, and that there are 518400 of them. In Proposition 3.5.15 we showed that W acts transitively on the set of these cliques. Therefore the stabilizer of the clique in the table has size $\frac{|W|}{518400} = 1344$. Its automorphism group is the symmetric group on the 8 vertices.

We finish this subsection by proving Theorem 3.1.4 for maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$.

LEMMA 3.5.17. Let K_1 and K_2 be two maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$, and let $f: K_1 \longrightarrow K_2$ be an isomorphism between them. Then f extends to an automorphism of Λ if and only if for every subclique S of four pairwise orthogonal roots in K_1 , the image f(S) in K_2 is conjugate to S under the action of W.

Proof. By Corollary 3.5.9, the group W acts transitively on the set of maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$. Therefore there is an element α in W such that $\alpha(K_1) = K_2$. So $\alpha^{-1} \circ f$ is an element in the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(K_1)$ of K_1 . Of course, f extends to an element in W if and only if $\alpha^{-1} \circ f$

does. Moreover, for every set S of four pairwise orthogonal roots, f(S) and $(\alpha^{-1} \circ f)(S)$ are conjugate. We conclude that we can reduce to the case where $K_1 = K_2$, and f is an element in Aut (K_1) . By Lemma 3.5.7, we can choose a subclique $H = \{e_1, \ldots, e_8\}$ of K_1 of eight pairwise orthogonal roots, such that we have $K_1 = \{e_1, ..., e_8, -e_1, ..., -e_8\}$. Let Aut(*H*) be the automorphism group of H as colored graph, and let $(Aut(K_1))_H$ be the stabilizer of H in Aut(K_1). Since for every element $e \in K_1$ we have $e \in H$ or $-e \in H$, an element in Aut(H) determines a unique element in $(\operatorname{Aut}(K_1))_H$, and conversely, every element in $(\operatorname{Aut}(K_1))_H$, when restricted to H, determines a unique element in Aut(H). So we have an isomorphism $\varphi \colon \operatorname{Aut}(H) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\operatorname{Aut}(K_1))_H$. Let f be an element in Aut(K_1). Using Lemma 3.3.30, write $f = a \circ r|_{K_1}$, where a is an element in $\varphi(\operatorname{Aut}(H))$, and r is a composition of reflections r_i in the hyperplanes orthogonal to e_i for certain $i \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$. By definition, $r|_{K_1}$ extends to the element r in W, and r(S) and S are conjugate for all cliques S of four orthogonal roots, so the statement in the lemma is true for f if and only if it is true for a. Of course, if a extends to an automorphism of Λ , then a and a(S) are conjugate for all subcliques S of K_1 of four orthogonal roots. Conversely, assume that a(S) and S are conjugate for all such S. Then in particular, for every subclique S' of size 4 in H, the sets $a|_H(S')$ and S' are conjugate. From Corollary 3.4.5 it follows that $a|_H$ extends to an element in W. Write w for an element in W with $w|_H = a|_H$. Then $w|_{K_1}$ and a are both elements in $(\operatorname{Aut}(K_1))_H$, that are identical on H, hence also on K_1 . We conclude that $w|_{K_1}$ and a are the same, so a extends to $w \in W$. This finishes the proof.

3.5.3 Maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$

Consider the following twelve elements in E.

One can easily check that these twelve elements form a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$, depicted below (where edges of color 0 are not drawn). We call this clique T.



The existence of this clique implies that the maximal size of cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ is at least twelve. We will show that this is in fact the maximum. Moreover, we will show that all cliques of size twelve in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ are isomorphic, and that W acts transitively on the set of cliques of size twelve (Propositions 3.5.23 and 3.5.24). To describe all maximal cliques of smaller size in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ and their orbits under the action of W, we use magma for part of the computations.

LEMMA 3.5.18. Take $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in E$ with $e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 \cdot e_3 = e_1 \cdot e_3 = -1$. For $e \in E$ with $e \neq e_1, e_2, e_3$, we have $e \cdot e_i \neq 1$ for all i = 1, 2, 3 if and only if $e \cdot e_1 = e \cdot e_2 = e \cdot e_3 = 0$.

Proof. Take $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in E$ with $e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 \cdot e_3 = e_1 \cdot e_3 = -1$. Then we have $||e_1 + e_2 + e_3|| = 0$, so $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = 0$. For an element $e \in E$ with $e \neq e_1, e_2, e_3$ we have $e \cdot e_i \in \{-2, -1, 0, 1\}$ for i = 1, 2, 3, so $e \cdot e_i \neq 1$ for i = 1, 2, 3 implies $e \cdot e_i \leq 0$ for i = 1, 2, 3. But $e \cdot (e_1 + e_2 + e_3) = e \cdot 0 = 0$, so we have $e \cdot e_i \neq 1$ for i = 1, 2, 3 if and only if $e \cdot e_i = 0$ for i = 1, 2, 3. \Box

LEMMA 3.5.19. The maximum size of a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ that contains $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in E$ with $e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0$ and $e_1 \cdot e_3 = e_2 \cdot e_3 = -1$, is ten.

Proof. Define elements $e_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, and $e_3 = (-1, 0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. By Lemma 3.3.33, it is enough to prove that the maximal size of all cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ containing e_1, e_2, e_3 is ten. Let A be the set

$$\{e \in E \mid \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, 3\} : e \cdot e_i \in \{-1, 0\}\}.$$

For an element $e = (a_1 \dots, a_8)$ in A, we have $a_1 + a_2$ in $\{-1, 0\}$, $a_3 + a_4$ in $\{-1, 0\}$, and $-a_1 - a_3$ in $\{-1, 0\}$. This gives the following possibilities

for (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) :

$$(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right)$$
(16 roots)
$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right)$$
(16 roots)
$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$
(8 roots)
$$(0, -1, 0, -1)$$
(1 root)
$$(0, 0, 1, -1)$$
(1 root)
$$(1, -1, 0, 0)$$
(1 root)
$$(0, -1, 0, 0)$$
(8 roots)
$$(0, 0, 0, -1)$$
(8 roots)
$$(0, 0, 0, 0)$$
(24 roots)

We conclude that the cardinality of A is 83. As it is too tedious to compute the maximal size of the cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ with only vertices in A by hand, we compute this with magma. This number is seven, which implies that the maximal size of a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ containing e_1 , e_2 and e_3 is ten. \Box

LEMMA 3.5.20. The maximum size of a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ that contains a clique of five pairwise orthogonal vertices is ten.

Proof. Consider the set

$$V_5 = \{\{e_1, \dots, e_5\} \mid \forall i : e_i \in E; \ \forall i \neq j : e_i \cdot e_j = 0\}.$$

The group W acts transitively on V_5 by Theorem 3.4.6, so it suffices to take

$$e_{1} = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0); \qquad e_{4} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1); e_{2} = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0); \qquad e_{5} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1), e_{3} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0);$$

and show that a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ containing e_1, \ldots, e_5 has size at most ten. Let A be the set

$$\{e \in E \mid \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, 5\} : e \cdot e_i \in \{-1, 0\}\}.$$

For an element $e = (a_1, \ldots, a_8) \in A$, we have $a_i + a_{i+1} \in \{-1, 0\}$ for $i \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$, and $a_7 - a_8 \in \{-1, 0\}$. If e is of the form $(\pm \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \pm \frac{1}{2})$,

then $a_7 + a_8$, $a_7 - a_8 \in \{-1, 0\}$ implies that $a_7 = -\frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, for $i \in \{1, 3, 5\}$, we have either $a_i = a_{i+1} = -\frac{1}{2}$ or $a_i = -a_{i+1}$. This gives three possibilities for each tuple (a_i, a_{i+1}) for $i \in \{1, 3, 5\}$, and a_8 is then determined since an even number of the entries of e should be negative. We find $3^3 = 27$ possibilities.

If e has two non-zero entries that are ± 1 , then $a_7 + a_8$, $a_7 - a_8 \in \{-1, 0\}$ implies that either $(a_7, a_8) = (-1, 0)$, or $(a_7, a_8) = (0, 0)$. Moreover, for $i \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ we have $\{a_i, a_{i+1}\} = \{-1, 0\}$ or $\{a_i, a_{i+1}\} = \{-1, 1\}$. It is easy to check that this gives 24 possibilities.

We find that the cardinality of A is 51. As it is too tedious to compute the maximal size of the cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ with all vertices in A by hand, we compute this with magma. The maximal size of a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ with all vertices in A is five, so the maximal size of a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ containing e_1, \ldots, e_5 is ten.

We recall some known Ramsey numbers.

THEOREM 3.5.21. (Ramsey Numbers). For two integers l, k, let R(l, k) be the least positive integer n such that every undirected graph with n vertices contains either a clique of size four or an independent set of size five. Then we have R(3,3) = 6, R(3,4) = 9, and R(4,5) = 25.

Proof. See [GRS90, Table 4.1] for R(3,3) and R(3,4), and [MR95] for R(4,5).

PROPOSITION 3.5.22. Every clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ of size bigger than ten contains a subclique of size four with only edges of color 0.

Proof. Let K be a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ of size bigger than ten. Consider the subgraph K' of K whose vertex set consists of all vertices of K, and whose edge set is obtained by taking only the edges in K of color -1. We consider different cases depending on the number of connected components of K'.

If K' has at least four connected components, then we can take four vertices, each from a different connected component, and these vertices form a clique of size four with only edges of color 0 in K.

Now assume that K' has at most three connected components. We first show that every connected component of K' that contains a clique of size three is a clique of size three in itself. To this end, assume that K' contains a clique of size three, given by $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. By Lemma 3.3.9, we have $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = 0$. If e is another vertex of K', then $e \cdot e_i \in \{-1, 0\}$ for

 $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $e \cdot (e_1 + e_2 + e_3) = 0$, from which it follows that $e \cdot e_i = 0$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We conclude that the vertices e_1, e_2, e_3 form a connected component of K'. Since there are at most three connected components by assumption, and K' has more than ten vertices, we conclude that not all components contain a clique of size three. Now remove a vertex from every connected component in K' that is a clique of size three (of which there are at most two), then we are left with a subgraph of K' with at least 9 vertices, and no cliques of size three left. Hence by Theorem 3.5.21, there must be a set of four vertices that are pairwise disjoint in K', meaning that they form a clique with edges of color 0 in K.

Let V_3 , V_4 , Z, α , π and Y be as in the diagram above Lemma 3.3.24.

PROPOSITION 3.5.23. The following hold.

(i) Let $v = (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4)$ be an element in V_4 . Then e_1, e_2, e_3 and e_4 are contained in a clique of size bigger than ten in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ if and only if v is an element of Y.

(ii) Every maximal clique of size at least eleven in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ is of the form

$$\left\{ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e_1, \dots, e_4, \\ f_1, \dots, f_4, \\ -e_1 - f_1, \dots, -e_4 - f_4 \end{array} \right\} \left| \begin{array}{c} \forall i \neq j : e_i \cdot e_j = f_i \cdot f_j = 0; \\ \forall i : e_i \cdot f_i = -1; \\ \forall i \neq j : e_i \cdot f_j = 0. \end{array} \right\}.$$

(iii) The maximal size of a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ is twelve, and there are no maximal cliques of size eleven in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$.

(iv) For an element $v \in Y$, there are eight cliques of size twelve in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ containing the elements of v.

(v) For K a clique of size twelve in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$, we have

$$|K^4 \cap V_4| = |K^4 \cap Y| = 1944.$$

Proof. Let K be a clique of size bigger than ten in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$. We know that K contains a subclique of size four with only edges of color 0 from Proposition 3.5.22. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ be such a subclique in K. Let e be another element in K. By Lemmas 3.5.19 and 3.5.20, there is exactly one i in $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that $e \cdot e_i = -1$, and $e \cdot e_j = 0$ for $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. It follows that $e \cdot (e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4) = -1$, hence $\sum_{i=1}^4 e_i \notin 2\Lambda$. It follows from Proposition 3.3.29 that (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) is an element in Y. Conversely,

the tuple (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) is an element in Y and it is contained in the clique T (page 96), so by Proposition 3.3.29, every element in Y is contained in a clique of size twelve in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$. This proves (i).

Recall the clique T defined above Lemma 3.5.18. We define the following sets for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

$$F_i = \left\{ e \in E \mid \frac{e \cdot t_i = -1,}{e \cdot t_j = 0 \text{ for } j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \ j \neq i} \right\}$$

Let K be a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ of size at least eleven. Such a K exists, since the clique T is an example. By Proposition 3.5.22, the clique K contains four vertices that form an element of V_4 , and by part (i) this is an element of Y. By Proposition 3.3.29 we can without loss of generality assume that K contains the four vertices t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 . By Lemma 3.5.19 and Lemma 3.5.20, for every element t in $K \setminus \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$ there is an $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that $t \cdot t_i = -1$ and $t \cdot t_j = 0$ for $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Therefore we have

$$K \setminus \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\} = \bigcup_{i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}} K \cap F_i.$$

Fix $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. For an element $f \in F_i$ we have $f \cdot t_i = -1$, so by Lemma 3.3.9 there is a unique element $g \in E$ with $f \cdot g = t_i \cdot g = -1$, given by $g = -t_i - f$. Note that this element is also in F_i , since $(-t_i - f) \cdot t_j = 0$ for $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with $j \neq i$. So for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the set F_i is the union of different sets $\{f, -t_i - f\}$, and we claim that $K \cap F_i$ is contained in one of these sets. To prove this, fix i and $f \in K \cap F_i$. Assume by contradiction that there is an element $h \in (K \cap F_i) \setminus \{f, -t_i - f\}$. Then his in F_i , so $h \cdot f \neq -1$ by uniqueness of g. But h, f are both elements in K, so this implies $h \cdot f = 0$. But then we have $h \cdot t_i = f \cdot t_i = -1$ and $h \cdot f = 0$, so by Lemma 3.5.19, the clique K has size at most ten, which gives a contradiction. So for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, there are $f_i \in F_i$ such that $K \cap F_i \subseteq \{f_i, -t_i - f_i\}$, and we have

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \{1,2,3,4\}} \{t_i, f_i, -t_i - f_i\}.$$

Fix such $f_i \in F_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Note that for $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ we have $f_i \cdot f_j = 0$, because if this were not the case then K would contain a triple t_i, f_i, f_j with $t_i \cdot f_i = f_i \cdot f_j = -1$, $f_j \cdot t_i = 0$, which contradicts the fact that K has size bigger than ten by Lemma 3.5.19.

Hence $\bigcup_{i \in \{1,2,3,4\}} \{t_i, f_i, -t_i - f_i\}$ forms a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ of the required form, and if K is maximal, it is equal to this clique. This proves part (ii), and part (iii) follows directly.

We proceed by proving (iv). Note that (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) is an element in Y. We count the number of cliques of size twelve in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ containing t_1, \ldots, t_4 . By (ii), we know that such a clique is of the form $\bigcup_{i \in \{1,2,3,4\}} \{t_i, f_i, -t_i - f_i\}$, where f_i and $-t_i - f_i$ are elements in F_i for $i \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. By simply considering all elements in E we find

$$F_{1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}, a_{6}, a_{7}, a_{8} \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \{a_{3}, a_{4}\} = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\}, \\ \{a_{5}, a_{6}\} = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\}, \\ a_{7} = a_{8} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Since $|F_1| = 8$, there are four choices for the set $\{f_1, -t_1 - f_1\}$. Fix f_1 , and write $f_1 = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, a_3, \ldots, a_8\right)$. Then $f_2, -t_2 - f_2$ are elements in F_2 that are orthogonal to f_1 by (ii). Again, by considering all elements in E we find

$$F_{2} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b_{1}, b_{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, b_{5}, b_{6}, b_{7}, b_{8} \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \{b_{1}, b_{2}\} = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\}, \\ \{b_{5}, b_{6}\} = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\}, \\ b_{7} = b_{8} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let $f = (b_1, \ldots, b_8)$ be an element in F_2 . Then f is orthogonal to f_1 if and only if $0 = \sum_{i=5}^8 a_i b_i = 2(a_5b_5 + a_7b_7)$, which holds if and only if $\frac{b_5}{b_7} = -\frac{a_7}{a_5}$. This gives two choices for the tuple (b_5, b_7) , and together with the two choices for (b_1, b_2) we find four elements in F_2 that are orthogonal to f_1 . This gives two choices for the set $\{f_2, -t_2 - f_2\}$. Fix one. Then $f_3, -t_3 - f_3$, and $f_4, -t_4 - f_4$, are elements in F_3 and F_4 respectively, that are orthogonal to f_1 and f_2 . It is an easy check that this determines the sets $\{f_3, -t_3 - f_3\}$ and $\{f_4, -t_4 - f_4\}$ uniquely. So for f_1 we had four choices, for f_2 we had two, and the set $\{f_3, -t_3 - f_3, f_4, -t_4 - f_4\}$ is determined after choosing f_1, f_2 . We conclude that there are $4 \cdot 2 = 8$ cliques of size twelve in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ containing t_1, \ldots, t_4 . By Proposition 3.3.29, this holds for every element in Y. This proves (iv).

Let K be a clique of size twelve in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$. Using the notation in (ii), write

$$K = \{e_1, \dots, e_4, f_1, \dots, f_4, -e_1 - f_1, \dots, -e_4 - f_4\}.$$

It follows from (ii) that the sets of four pairwise orthogonal roots in K are given by

$$\{\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\} \mid a_i \in \{e_i, f_i, -e_i - f_i\} \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\}.$$

This gives $3^4 = 81$ such sets, and these give rise to $81 \cdot 4! = 1944$ elements in $K^4 \cap V_4$. From (i) it follows that $K^4 \cap V_4 = K^4 \cap Y$. This proves (v). \Box

PROPOSITION 3.5.24. Let \mathcal{T} be the set of all cliques of size twelve in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$, and R an element in \mathcal{T} . The following hold.

- (i) We have $|\mathcal{T}| = 179200$, and the group W acts transitively on \mathcal{T} .
- (ii) The stabilizer W_R in W of R acts transitively on $R^4 \cap Y$.

Proof. Let T be the clique $\{t_1, \ldots, t_{12}\}$, as defined above Lemma 3.5.18. Define the set

$$S = \{ ((e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4), K) \in Y \times \mathcal{T} \mid e_1, \dots, e_4 \in K \}.$$

We have projections $\lambda \colon S \longrightarrow Y$ and $\mu \colon S \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$.

From the previous proposition we know that the fibers of λ have cardinality 8, and the fibers of μ have cardinality 1944. Therefore we have $|S| = |Y| \cdot 8 = 348364800$ (Proposition 3.3.29), and $|\mathcal{T}| = \frac{|S|}{1944} = 179200$. We will show that W acts transitively on S, which implies that it acts transitively on \mathcal{T} by the projection μ . Consider the clique $T \in \mathcal{T}$, and set $y = (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \in T^4 \cap Y$. Then (y, T) is in the fiber of λ above y. The stabilizer W_y in W of y acts on this fiber. We show that this action is transitive, that is, that the orbit W_yT is equal to the whole fiber. We have $|W_yT| = \frac{|W_y|}{|W_{y,T}|}$, and $|W_y| = \frac{|W|}{|Wy|} = \frac{|W|}{|Y|} = 16$. Note that t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 are all orthogonal to the four roots

$$e_1 = (1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \qquad e_2 = (0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0), e_3 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0), \qquad e_4 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1).$$

Therefore, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the reflection r_i in the hyperplane orthogonal to e_i is contained in the stabilizer W_y . Since the subgroup generated by these four reflections has cardinality 16, we conclude that this is the whole group W_y . We can now compute that for every element r in W_y we have $rT \neq T$, except for the identity and the composition of all four reflections r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 . So $|W_{y,T}| = 2$, and we have $|W_yT| = \frac{|W_y|}{|W_{y,T}|} = \frac{16}{2} = 8$. Since the fiber of λ above y has cardinality 8, we conclude that W_y acts transitively on this fiber. Since W acts transitively on Y, we conclude from Lemma 3.2.14 that W acts transitively on S. Finally, from the surjective projection μ and Lemma 3.2.14, it follows that W acts transitively on \mathcal{T} . This proves (i). Since W acts transitively on S, the stabilizer W_R in W

of the clique R acts transitively on the fiber $\mu^{-1}(R)$. Since there is a bijection $\mu^{-1}(R) \longrightarrow R^4 \cap Y$ given by the projection λ , the group W acts transitively on $R^4 \cap Y$ by Lemma 3.2.14. This proves (ii).

COROLLARY 3.5.25. Let R be a clique of size twelve in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$. Let W_R be its stabilizer in W. Then W_R acts transitively on R.

Proof. We have a surjective map $R^4 \cap Y \longrightarrow R$ projecting on the first coordinate, so this follows from Lemma 3.2.14 and the previous proposition.

Now that we described all the largest cliques (with respect to number of vertices) in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$, we continue to describe all other maximal cliques. Since the size of the stabilizer of a clique is the same for every two cliques that are in the same orbit, we make the following definition.

DEFINITION 3.5.26. The *stabilizer size* of an orbit is the size of the stabilizer of any of the elements in the orbit.

As one can see in the table in Appendix A, for a set c that contains 0 in combination with either -1 or 1, there are many maximal cliques in Γ_c with small stabilizer sizes, which means large orbits. This means that, even though we use magma to find all cliques and orbits, computations can become very large and time consuming. Therefore we use the following lemma throughout.

LEMMA 3.5.27. Let H be a finite group acting on a finite set X and consider its induced action on the power set of X. Let A and S be subsets of X and let m denote the number of H-conjugates of A that are contained in S. Then the number of H-conjugates of S that contain A equals

$$\frac{m \cdot |H_A|}{|H_S|}$$

where H_A and H_S denote the stabilizer subgroups of A and S, respectively.

Proof. Let Z denote the H-subset of the product $HA \times HS$ consisting of all pairs (B,T) with $B \in HA$ and $T \in HS$ satisfying $B \subset T$. The group H acts transitively on the codomains of the projection maps $\pi: Z \to HA$ and $\rho: Z \to HS$. This implies that all fibers of π have the same size, say r, as the fiber above A, which is the number of H-conjugates of S that contain A, that is, the number that we are looking for. All fibers of ρ have

the same size as the fiber above S, which equals m. Hence, we can express the size of Z as both $|HA| \cdot r$ and $|HS| \cdot m$. Since the orbits HA and HShave size $|H|/|H_A|$ and $|H|/|H_S|$, respectively, we find

$$r = \frac{m \cdot |HS|}{|HA|} = \frac{m \cdot |H_A|}{|H_S|}.$$

Note that for $A = \emptyset$, we recover the well-known fact that the length of the orbit of S equals the index $[H : H_S]$.

The following proposition describes all maximal cliques and their orbits in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$.

PROPOSITION 3.5.28. For two maximal cliques K_1 and K_2 of the same size in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$, the following are equivalent.

- (i) K_1 and K_2 are conjugate under the action of W.
- (ii) K_1 and K_2 are isomorphic.
- (iii) K_1 and K_2 have the same stabilizer size.

(iv) The automorphism groups of K_1 and K_2 have the same cardinality, and, if this cardinality is 16 and K_1 and K_2 have size 9, then K_1 and K_2 both contain a monochromatic clique of size 7 and color 0, or they both do not.

Moreover, the table in Appendix A gives a complete list of representatives of the orbits of the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$, as well as for each representative its stabilizer size and the size of its automorphism group.

Proof. The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii), (i) \Rightarrow (iii), (i) \Rightarrow (iv), and (ii) \Rightarrow (iv) are immediate. We will show (iii) \Rightarrow (i) and (iv) \Rightarrow (i), which together with the immediate implications prove all equivalences. To this end, we first show that the table is complete and correct as stated. From Propositions 3.5.23 and 3.5.24 we know that the maximal size of all cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ is twelve, that there are 179200 cliques of size twelve, and that these cliques form one orbit under the action of W, proving the equivalences for K_1 , K_2 of size at least 12. The clique of size 12 in the table is the clique T that is defined above Lemma 3.5.18. The size of its stabilizer in W is $\frac{|W|}{179200} = 3888$. From the description of T we see that its automorphism group is isomorphic to the semidirect product $S_3^4 \rtimes S_4$, where S_4 acts on S_3^4 by permuting the four coordinates. This group has order $6^4 \cdot 24 = 31104$.

To find maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ of size smaller than 12, note that there are no maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ of size 11 by Proposition 3.5.23, so we only have to look at the cliques of size at most ten. To make computations easier, we first show that every maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ contains at least one edge of color 0. We know that the only maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$ are the cliques of size three. Define the three elements $e_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), e_2 = (-1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0),$ and $e_3 = (0, -1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, then $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is a maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$. Note that for $e_4 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1)$, the set $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ forms a clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$, hence $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is not a maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$. Since the group W acts transitively on the set of maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$ (Corollary 3.3.10), it follows that all maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$ are not maximal in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$. Thus we can assume that the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ contain at least one pair of orthogonal roots. Fix the two roots $c_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), c_2 = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0).$ Since W acts transitively on the pairs of orthogonal roots, every maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ is conjugate to a clique containing c_1, c_2 , so by considering only the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ that contain c_1 and c_2 , we find representatives for all orbits of the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ under the action of W. This reduces computations, since there are only 136 roots that have dot product -1 or 0 with both c_1 and c_2 , which is quickly computed with magma, as well as the number of maximal cliques containing c_1, c_2 . We find the following.

r	Number of maximal cliques of size r
	in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ containing c_1 and c_2
≤ 7	0
8	261600
9	2779392
10	228408

We now turn to the table in the appendix. One can easily check with magma that the sets in the table for $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ are indeed maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$; in Remark 3.5.29. For each of these cliques we compute the automorphism groups with magma. We see that apart from the cliques

$$L_1 = \{19, 41, 48, 50, 65, 150, 172, 214, 240\}$$

and

$$L_2 = \{41, 48, 50, 55, 65, 78, 178, 214, 240\}$$

106

of size 9, which both have an automorphism group of size 16, every two cliques of the same size in the table have a different automorphism group. One can check that L_2 contains a subclique with only edges of color zero of size 7, and L_1 does not, so L_1 and L_2 are not isomorphic. This shows that any two cliques of the same size in the table are not isomorphic, and therefore not conjugate.

We claim that every maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ is conjugate to one of these cliques in the table. To this end, set $A = \{c_1, c_2\}$, and let W_A be the stabilizer of A in W. From Proposition 3.4.1 it follows that $|W_A| = \frac{|W|}{|WA|} = \frac{|W|}{15120} = 46080$. We now show how to proceed for the cliques of size 8, the proof for sizes 9 and 10 goes completely analogously. For each of the five cliques of size 8 in the table we compute the size of its stabilizer (144,128,16,14, and 8) and the number of conjugates of A contained in it (21,20,20,21, and 21, respectively), with magma. Lemma 3.5.27 now gives us the number of conjugates of each clique that contain A. This sums up to the number 261600 we find in the table above, proving our claim.

We have showed that the table in the appendix gives exactly one representative for each orbit of the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$, so K_1 and K_2 are both conjugate to an element in the table. If either (iii) or (iv) holds, then by looking at the table we see that this implies that K_1 and K_2 are conjugate to the same clique in the table, and in particular, they are conjugate to each other, implying (i). This finishes the proof.

REMARK 3.5.29. In the proof of Proposition 3.5.28 we found 261600 cliques of size 8 in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ containing both $c_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ and $c_2 = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. One can check for any two of them whether they are conjugate with magma, but this takes a very long time. To reduce computations, we first sort the cliques by size of their stabilizer. We then go through each set of cliques with the same stabilizer size by taking one clique, and removing all cliques that are conjugate to it from the set.

3.5.4 Maximal cliques of other colors

In this subsection we prove Theorem 3.1.3 and 3.1.4 for all maximal cliques in Γ_c with $c \in \{\{-1,1\}, \{-2,-1,1\}, \{0,1\}, \{-2,-1,0\}, \{-2,0,1\}\}$. We make use of magma in all cases. The following lemma deals with the cases for which this is straightforward.

LEMMA 3.5.30. For $c \in \{\{-1,1\}, \{-2,-1,1\}\}$, and for two maximal

cliques K_1 and K_2 of the same size in Γ_c , the following are equivalent.

(i) K_1 and K_2 are conjugate under the action of W.

(ii) K_1 and K_2 are isomorphic.

(iii) K_1 and K_2 have the same stabilizer size.

(iv) The automorphism groups of K_1 and K_2 have the same cardinality.

Moreover, for $c \in \{\{-1,1\}, \{-2,-1,1\}\}$, the table in Appendix A gives a complete list of representatives of the orbits of maximal cliques in Γ_c , as well as for each representative its stabilizer size and the size of its automorphism group.

Proof. In these two graphs there are not so many maximal cliques, and we can ask magma to compute them, compute the orbits under the action of W, and a representative of each orbit directly. The results are in the table. The size of the stabilizers is found by dividing the order of W by the size of the orbit. The automorphism group of the cliques is also easily found with magma. Since cliques of the same size in the table have automorphism groups of different size, they are not isomorphic. The equivalence of the statements (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) now follows from the table.

COROLLARY 3.5.31. For $c \in \{\{-1,1\}, \{-2,-1,1\}\}$, let K_1 and K_2 be two maximal cliques in Γ_c , and $f: K_1 \longrightarrow K_2$ an isomorphism between them. Then f extends to an automorphism of Λ .

Proof. Since K_1 and K_2 are isomorphic, from Lemma 3.5.30 it follows that they are both conjugate to the same clique in the table in de appendix; call this clique H. Then there are elements α , β in W such that we have $\alpha(K_1) = \beta(K_2) = H$. So $\beta \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1}$ is an element in the automorphism group Aut(H) of H. Of course, f extends to an element in W if and only if $\beta \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1}$ does. We conclude that we can reduce to the case where $K_1 = K_2 = H$, and f is an element in Aut(H).

For each clique H in the table, we construct the map $W_H \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ from the stabilizer W_H to the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$ given by restriction in magma. For all these cliques, this is a surjective map. It follows that every element in $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$ extends to an element in W.

The final three cases are much more work, because of the large numbers of maximal cliques and their sizes. The most extreme case is that of maximal

cliques of size 29 in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$ and $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$; we will treat this separately in Section 3.5.4.

REMARK 3.5.32. Recall that the classification of isomorphism classes of maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$ has already been done in [CRS04], where the authors classify all maximal exceptional graphs (Remark 3.1.7). We compare their methods to ours. For maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$ of size unequal to 29, we find the different isomorphism types by showing that each such clique contains a pair of orthogonal roots, fixing a pair (e_1, e_2) of orthogonal roots, and using magma to compute the set of all maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$ of size unequal to 29 that contain e_1 and e_2 . We cut this set op into smaller sets based on the stabilizer size of the cliques, and in each smaller set we compute with magma the different orbits under the action of W. It turns out that each orbit is also a full isomorphism class, and that for each clique K, both the combination of the stabilizer size with the number of pairs or inverse roots contained in K, as well as the combination of the cardinality of the automorphism group with the number of pairs or inverse roots contained in K, are invariants that determine the isomorphism type of K (Proposition 3.5.35). For the maximal cliques of size 29 we do a similar computation: we show that each maximal clique of size 29 contains a monochromatic 5-clique of color 0, or a monochromatic 4-clique of color 1 for which the sum of the corresponding root is a double root in Λ , or a monochromatic 4-clique of color 1 for which this sum is not a double root in Λ . We fix one clique for each of these three types, and use magma to compute the set of all maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$ of size 29 that contain at least one of these fixed cliques. We then cut this big set up in smaller sets using for each clique K the stabilizer size and the number of maximal monochromatic subcliques of color 1 of size r, for all $r \in \{1, ..., 8\}$, that are contained in K. Each smaller set turns out to be an orbit under the action of W, as well as a full isomorphism class (Proposition 3.5.36). In [CRS04], the authors use a different way to search for all maximal exceptional graphs. They prove that every exceptional graph arises as an extension of an exceptional star-complement, and construct a list of 443 graphs that arise as the exceptional star complements for maximal exceptional graphs. In [CRS04, Chapter 6], the authors find all maximal

exceptional graphs with a computer search, by extending each of the 443 exceptional star complements. Since an exceptional graph can arise as extensions of different star complements, or as different extensions from the same star complement, being an extension of a certain star complement is not an invariant that differentiates between isomorphism types of graphs.

Therefore the authors of [CRS04] do an isomorphism check in all 443 sets of extensions from the 443 start complements (as an example they state that for one star complement there were 1048580 extensions, giving 457 isomorphism types).

Since we use different methods, it is nice to see that our results coincide, and an alternative approach for finding all orbits of maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$ could be to use the isomorphism types of these graphs that were already known in [CRS04], and compute the orbits per isomorphism type. It is not obvious that this would have been faster, however, since we would still have to check if two cliques are conjugate for every two cliques of a certain isomorphism type, which can be many.

PROPOSITION 3.5.33. For two maximal cliques K_1 and K_2 of the same size in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0\}}$, the following are equivalent.

(i) K_1 and K_2 are conjugate under the action of W.

(ii) K_1 and K_2 are isomorphic.

(iii) K_1 and K_2 have the same stabilizer size, and, if the stabilizer size is 32 and K_1 and K_2 have size 10, then K_1 and K_2 both contain a pair of inverse roots, or they both do not.

(iv) The automorphism groups of K_1 and K_2 have the same cardinality, and, if this cardinality is 80 and K_1 and K_2 have size 9, or this cardinality is 64 and K_1 and K_2 have size 10, then K_1 and K_2 both contain a pair of inverse roots, or they both do not.

(v) K_1 and K_2 have the same stabilizer size and their automorphism groups have the same cardinality.

Moreover, the table in Appendix A gives a complete list of representatives of the orbits of maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0\}}$, as well as for each representative its stabilizer size and the size of its automorphism group.

Proof. This proof follows the same steps as the proof of Proposition 3.5.28. See also Remark 3.5.29 on how we found the representatives of each orbit that are written in the table.

Cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0\}}$ without an edge of color 0 are monochromatic and not maximal in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0\}}$ (this follows from the results on $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1\}}$, $\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$, and $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$). Therefore, to find the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0\}}$, we only consider cliques that contain two orthogonal roots, and we can choose

these arbitrarily since W acts transitively on the set of pairs of orthogonal roots. Define the roots

$$e_1 = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right) \text{ and}$$
$$e_2 = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

We find the following.

r	Number of maximal cliques of size r
	in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0\}}$ containing e_1 and e_2
≤ 7	0
8	192480
9	1961088
10	743536
11	111680
12	8290
13	2100
14 - 15	0
16	15
≥ 17	0

We turn to the table in the appendix. One can check that all the sets in the table for $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0\}}$ are indeed maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0\}}$. For each of these cliques we compute the automorphism group with magma. As one can see in the table, except from two cliques

 $L_1 = \{1, 8, 26, 47, 51, 86, 121, 128, 228\},\$ $L_2 = \{1, 8, 26, 47, 51, 86, 124, 125, 228\}$

of size 9 that both have an automorphism group of size 80, and two cliques

$$M_1 = \{1, 8, 26, 31, 43, 46, 84, 98, 103, 125\},\$$
$$M_2 = \{1, 8, 26, 31, 43, 46, 84, 101, 226, 238\}$$

of size 10 that both have an automorphism group of size 64, any two cliques of the same size have different automorphism groups and are therefore not isomorphic. Moreover, L_1 contains the roots 1 and 128, which are each other's inverse, whereas L_2 contains no pairs of inverse roots. And M_1 contains the roots 26 and 103, which are each other's inverse, and M_2

contains no pairs of inverse roots. So also L_1, L_2, M_1 and M_2 are pairwise not isomorphic. We conclude that any two of the cliques in the table are not isomorphic, hence not conjugate.

As in the proof of Proposition 3.5.28, we prove for each size r in the table above, using Lemma 3.5.27 and magma, the number of maximal cliques of size r containing e_1 and e_2 that are conjugate to one of the cliques in the table in the appendix. This gives exactly the number of maximal cliques of size r containing e_1 and e_2 in the table above. So every maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$ containing e_1 and e_2 is conjugate to a clique in the table in the appendix, hence the same holds for every maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$. We conclude that the table in the appendix gives a unique representative for each orbit of the set of maximal cliques under the action of W. Finally, for each clique in the table, we compute the size of its stabilizer in W. We see that except for $N_1 = \{1, 8, 26, 31, 43, 86, 106, 115, 224, 234\}$ and $N_2 = \{1, 8, 26, 31, 43, 46, 84, 101, 226, 238\},$ two cliques of the same size in the table have different stabilizer sizes. In N_1 , we have roots 43 and 86, and these are each other's inverse; in N_2 , there are no two roots that are each other's inverse. Finally, N_1 and N_2 have different automorphism groups.

The equivalence of statements (i) – (v) follows in a similar way as in the proof of Proposition 3.5.28. The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii), (i) \Rightarrow (iii), (i) \Rightarrow (iv), (i) \Rightarrow (v) and (ii) \Rightarrow (iv) are immediate. Since both K_1 and K_2 are conjugate to one of the cliques in the table, if any of (iii) – (v) are true, by looking at the table we see that this implies that K_1 and K_2 are conjugate to the same clique in the table, and in particular, they are conjugate to each other, implying (i). This proves that all 5 statements are equivalent.

We can now prove Theorem 3.1.4 for maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ and $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0\}}$; the statement is the same for these two graphs. Recall the following graphs that are defined in the introduction, where any two disjoint vertices have an edge of color 0 between them.



LEMMA 3.5.34. Let K_1 and K_2 be two maximal cliques, both in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ or both in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0\}}$, and let $f: K_1 \longrightarrow K_2$ be an isomorphism between them. The following hold.

(i) The map f extends to an automorphism of Λ if and only if for every ordered sequence $S = (e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ of distinct roots in K_1 such that the colored graph on them induced by Γ is isomorphic to A or C_{-1} , its image $f(S) = (f(e_1), \ldots, f(e_r))$ is conjugate to S under the action of W.

(ii) If $S = (e_1, \ldots, e_5)$ is a sequence of distinct roots in K_1 such that the colored graph on them induced by Γ is isomorphic to C_{-1} with $e_1 \cdot e_4 = e_2 \cdot e_5 = -1$, then S and f(S) are conjugate under the action of W if and only if both $e = e_1 + e_2 + e_3 - e_4 - e_5$ and f(e) are in the set $\{2f_1 + f_2 \mid f_1, f_2 \in E\}$, or neither are.

Proof. Since K_1 and K_2 are isomorphic, it follows from Propositions 3.5.28 and 3.5.33 that they are both conjugate to the same clique in the table in the appendix; call this clique H. Then there are elements α , β in Wsuch that $\alpha(K_1) = \beta(K_2) = H$, so $\beta \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1}$ is an element in the automorphism group Aut(H) of H. Of course, f extends to an element in Wif and only if $\beta \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1}$ does. Moreover, for every sequence S as in the statement, f(S) and $(\beta \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1})(S)$ are conjugate. We conclude that we can reduce to the case where $K_1 = K_2 = H$, and f is an element in Aut(H). Let $g: W_H \longrightarrow Aut(H)$ be the map from the stabilizer of Hto the automorphism group that restricts elements in W_H to H, and T_H a set of representatives of the classes in the cokernel of g. Since f is a composition of (restrictions of) elements in W_H with an element in T_H , we can reduce further to the case where f is an element in T_H .

For each of the 56 cliques H in the table at $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ and $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0\}}$, we compute the map $g \colon W_H \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ with magma. In all cases, this map is injective. This means that for all cliques with $|W_H| = |\operatorname{Aut}(H)|$, every element in the automorphism group of H extends to a unique automorphism of Λ . We see in the list that this holds for the first five cliques and the 11^{th} , 12^{th} , 15^{th} , and 16^{th} clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$, and the first five cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0\}}$.

For each clique H of the remaining 34 cliques, we compute the following with the function CokernelClassesTypeCminus1 [Codb]. First, we create a set T_H of representatives of the classes of the cokernel of the map from W_H to Aut(H). We then check for each t in T_H , and for all sequences $S = (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5)$ of distinct roots in H such that the colored graph on S is isomorphic to C_{-1} with $e_1 \cdot e_4 = e_2 \cdot e_5 = -1$, whether S and t(S)are conjugate. For all t and S for which this is the case, we verify that either $e = e_1 + e_2 + e_3 - e_4 - e_5$ is in the set $F = \{2f_1 + f_2 \mid f_1, f_2 \in E\}$

and t(e) is not, or vice versa. This proves part (ii). For H equal to the 7th-10th, 13th, 14th, and 18th-23rd clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ and the 7th, 9th, 12th, 14th, 16th, 18th, 19th, 21st, 22nd, 25th-29th, and 31st clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0\}}$, the check we just described gives us for all t in T_H a sequence S with distinct roots in H and graph isomorphic to C_{-1} , such that S and t(S) are not conjugate. For the remaining 7 cliques in the table, we do an almost analogous check with the function **CokernelClassesTypeA** in magma [Codb], where S is now a clique whose graph is isomorphic to A. For all 7 cliques H, for all elements in T_H , there exists such an S with S not conjugate to t(S). This finishes the proof of (i).

PROPOSITION 3.5.35. For $c \in \{\{0, 1\}, \{-2, 0, 1\}\}$, and K_1 , K_2 two maximal cliques of the same size $r \neq 29$ in Γ_c , the following are equivalent.

(i) K_1 and K_2 are conjugate under the action of W.

(ii) K_1 and K_2 are isomorphic.

(iii) K_1 and K_2 have the same stabilizer size, and they contain the same number of pairs of orthogonal roots.

(iv) The automorphism groups of K_1 and K_2 have the same cardinality, and K_1 and K_2 contain the same number of pairs of orthogonal roots.

Moreover, the table in Appendix A gives a complete list of representatives of the orbits of maximal cliques in Γ_c , as well as for each representative its stabilizer size and the size of its automorphism group.

Proof. We show that the table is correct and complete for each c. The steps in the proof are the same as those in the proofs of Propositions 3.5.28 and 3.5.33, and the equivalence of statements (i) – (iv) follows in the same way as in these propositions. See also Remark 3.5.29 on how we found the representatives of each orbit that are written in the table.

• $c = \{0, 1\}$

We know that the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ form two orbits; one with cliques of size 7 and one with the cliques of size 8 (Proposition 3.4.7). Note that the clique of size 7 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ in the table is contained in the clique of size 22 in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$, and the clique of size 8 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ is contained in the clique of size 33 in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$. This means that there are no maximal cliques with only edges of color 1 in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$. We fix two orthogonal roots $e_1 = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -$

magma we compute that there are only 136 roots that have dot product 0 or 1 with e_1 and e_2 , and we find the following.

r	Number of maximal cliques of size r
	in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$ containing e_1 and e_2
≤ 21	0
22	3120
23-27	0
28	21120
30	16263276
31	2792800
32	655680
33	105120
34	18800
35	0
36	304
≥ 37	0

For each set K in the table in Appendix A, one can check that it is indeed a maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$. We compute the automorphism groups of all cliques. As we see in the table, for all sizes except 30, two cliques of the same size have a different automorphism group, so they are not isomorphic, hence not conjugate. For size 30, all cliques whose automorphism groups have the same cardinality have a different number of pairs of orthogonal roots that they contain; for example, the cliques of size 30 with stabilizer size 48 contain (in order of appearence in the table) 171, 179, 180, 183, 198 subsets of two orthogonal roots. This shows that no two cliques in the table are isomorphic, hence not conjugate. Moreover, using the stabilizer size and the number of subsets of orthogonal roots of each clique K in the list, we can find the number of conjugates of K that contain $\{e_1, e_2\}$ with Lemma 3.5.27. Adding all these numbers up we recover the numbers in the table above, which shows that every maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$ of size unequal to 29 is conjugate to one of the cliques in the list. We conclude that the table in Appendix A is complete. Finally, we see that for each clique in the table, the stabilizer size and the cardinality of the automorphism group is the same. Therefore, by what we showed above, different cliques of the same size and with the same stabilizer size in the table have a different number of subsets of two orthogonal roots.

• $c = \{-2, 0, 1\}$

We start with cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$ containing an edge of color -2. We fix a root e and compute the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$ containing e and -e. We find the following.

r	Number of maximal cliques of size r
	in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$ containing e and $-e$
≤ 12	0
13	370440
14	250236
15	0
16	77895
17-18	0
19	7019208
20	861840
21	120960
22	44352
23	0
24	4032
25 - 28	0
≥ 30	0

Since there are no maximal cliques of size bigger than 29 containing an edge of color -2, we conclude that all the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$ of size at least 29 are also maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$. This leaves us with the maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$ of size 22 and 28. Looking at the table in the appendix, we see that for both sizes there is only one orbit, and it is an easy check that for the listed representatives L_{22} of size 22 and L_{28} of size 28 of both these orbits, there are no roots that can be added to extend the clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$. Therefore L_{22} and L_{28} are still maximal in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$. We now turn to the cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$ in the table. First of all, one can check easily with magma that these are indeed maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$. For K_1 and K_2 of size 28 or ≥ 30 , everything is exactly the same as for $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$, and we showed that the proposition holds in these cases. For the other cliques, we see that for all sizes except 13, 19, and 20, two different cliques of the same size have different automorphism groups. For sizes 13, 19, and 20, we compute, completely analogously to what we did for $c = \{0, 1\}$, that the number of subsets of two orthogonal roots in two different cliques
whose automorphism groups have the same cardinality is different. For example, the cliques of size 19 whose automorphism group has size 96, contain (in order of appearence in the table) 91, 95, 94, 98, 103 subsets of two orthogonal roots. This proves that all the cliques in the table are pairwise not isomorphic, hence not conjugate. Again using Lemma 3.5.27, we can check that every maximal clique in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$ that is conjugate to one of the cliques in the table, showing that the table is complete. Finally, except for the cliques

$$L_1 = \{1, 8, 12, 14, 15, 20, 22, 23, 36, 38, 39, 128, \\136, 137, 138, 139, 149, 160, 169\}$$

and

$$L_2 = \{1, 8, 12, 14, 50, 68, 70, 74, 128, 136, 137, 154, \\169, 170, 176, 177, 181, 182, 215\}$$

of size 19, any two different cliques of the same size that have the same stabilizer size have the same cardinality of their automorphism groups as well. We already showed that this means that they contain a different number of pairs of orthogonal roots. We compute that L_1 contains 109 such pairs, and L_2 contains 79. Therefore we can conclude that different cliques of the same size and with the same stabilizer size in the table have a different number of subsets of two orthogonal roots.

Cliques of size 29 in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$ and $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$

Cliques of size 29 in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$

The graph $\Gamma_{0,1}$ contains a surprisingly large number of maximal cliques of size 29, so we will treat this case separately in this section. As before, we say that the stabilizer size of an orbit is the size of the stabilizer of any of the elements in the orbit (Definition 3.5.26).

PROPOSITION 3.5.36. In the graph $\Gamma_{0,1}$ there are 62825152320 maximal cliques of size 29. They form 432 orbits under the automorphism group W. The multiset of their stabilizer sizes is

$$\{1^{(8)}, 2^{(81)}, 4^{(107)}, 6^{(5)}, 8^{(50)}, 10, 12^{(41)}, 14^{(2)}, 16^{(28)}, 18^{(2)}, 20^{(5)}, 24^{(28)}, 32^{(4)}, \\36, 48^{(21)}, 60, 64^{(2)}, 72^{(7)}, 96^{(3)}, 120, 128^{(2)}, 144^{(4)}, 192^{(7)}, 240^{(6)}, 360, \\384^{(3)}, 432^{(2)}, 720^{(2)}, 1152^{(2)}, 1440, 1920, 40320, 51840, 103680\},$$

where the superscripts indicate the multiplicity of the elements in the multiset. For two maximal cliques K_1 and K_2 of size 29 in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$, the following are equivalent.

(i) K_1 and K_2 are conjugate under the action of W.

(ii) K_1 and K_2 are isomorphic.

(iii) K_1 and K_2 have the same stabilizer size, and the same number of maximal monochromatic subcliques of color 1 of size r, for all $r \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$.

(iv) The automorphism groups of K_1 and K_2 have the same cardinality, and K_1 and K_2 have the same number of maximal monochromatic subcliques of color 1 of size r, for all $r \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$.

Moreover, the table in Appendix B gives a complete list of representatives of the orbits of maximal cliques of size 29 in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$.

The number of cliques mentioned in Proposition 3.5.36 is too large to fit in most computers' memory: even if we were to use only 30 bytes per clique to store the vertices in the clique, then all cliques together would still require close to two terrabytes of storage. Instead of doing this, we will use the fact that each 29-clique contains a monochromatic 5-clique of color 0 or a monochromatic 4-clique of color 1.

Proof. The Ramsey number R(4,5) equals 25 (Theorem 3.5.21). This implies that a 29-clique in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$ contains a 5-clique of edges of color 1 or a 4-clique of edges of color 0. Under the action of the automorphism group W there is only one orbit of 5-cliques with only edges of color 1 (see Proposition 3.2.12); we call these cliques of type $K_5(1)$), and there are two orbits of 4-cliques with pairwise orthogonal roots (see Proposition 3.4.1); we call the 4-cliques of which the sum is a double root of type $K_4^a(0)$ and those of which the sum is not a double of type $K_4^b(0)$). Therefore, if we fix a representative clique for each of these three orbits, then each 29-clique is conjugate to a 29-clique that contains one of our three cliques of size 4 or 5.

We pick the clique $A = \{1, 2, 129, 130, 131\}$ of type $K_5(1)$. There are 109 other vertices that are connected with color 0 or 1 to each of the 5 vertices of A. With magma, we count that the graph on these 109 vertices with only edges of color 0 or 1 has exactly $n_1 = 127168449$ maximal cliques of size 24. After adding to each the vertices of A, this yields n_1 maximal 29-cliques that contain A in the graph $\Gamma_{0,1}$. Similarly, for the cliques $B_1 = \{1, 8, 26, 31\}$ and $B_2 = \{1, 8, 26, 43\}$ of type $K_4^a(0)$ and $K_4^b(0)$, respectively, we count with magma that there are $n_2 = 16685128$ maximal 29-cliques in $\Gamma_{0,1}$ that contain B_1 , and $n_3 = 504$ maximal 29-cliques that contain B_2 .

One can easily verify with magma that the 432 cliques of size 29 in the table in Appendix B are maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{0,1}$. For each clique K of size 29, for each integer $1 \le r \le 8$, we can consider the number χ_r of maximal monochromatic subcliques of K of color 1 of size r. These eight invariants together pin down 430 out of the 432 cliques in the table. Only the sequence $(\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_8) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 4, 138, 17)$ occurs twice: for the 67-th and 299-th cliques in the table. These two cliques have 16 and 18 subcliques of type $K_4^a(0)$, respectively, so they are not isomorphic. We conclude that any two cliques in the table are not isomorphic, hence not conjugate. So there are at least 432 orbits of maximal 29-cliques. We know that there are 483840 cliques of size 5 in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$ from Corollary 3.2.7, so the stabilizer of A has size $\frac{|W|}{|WA|} = \frac{|W|}{483840} = 1440$. The table also lists for each clique c the number of subcliques of type $K_5(1)$, as well as the stabilizer size, so we can use Lemma 3.5.27 to calculate the number of conjugates of c that contain A. Summing over all these 432 cliques, we obtain exactly the number n_1 , so we conclude that all n_1 maximal 29-cliques in $\Gamma_{0,1}$ that contain A are accounted for in these 432 orbits. Similarly, the stabilizers of B_1 and B_2 have sizes 4608 and 384, respectively. The table lists the number of subcliques of type $K_4^a(0)$ and $K_4^b(0)$ for every given clique c, so we can use Lemma 3.5.27 again to calculate the number of conjugates of c that contain B_i for i = 1, 2. Summing over all 432 cliques, we find again that all maximal 29-cliques containing B_1 or B_2 are accounted for in these 432 orbits.

We conclude that there are 432 orbits of 29-cliques in $\Gamma_{0,1}$, as claimed, and since no two cliques in the table are isomorphic, this proves (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). The multiset of stabilizer sizes follows from the table. The length of the orbit of any clique c is $\frac{|W|}{|W_c|}$. Summing over all 432 cliques in the table, we find that the total number of 29-cliques is also as claimed. Finally, as we saw before, the invariant χ_r is different for all cliques except for the 67-th and 299-th cliques in the table. These two cliques have stabilizer size 4 and 8, respectively, so the stabilizer size, together with the χ_r form a set of invariants that uniquely determine each of the 432 orbits of maximal 29-cliques. This proves that (i) is equivalent to (iii). The stabilizer of a clique maps to the automorphism group of this clique as a colored graph.

In all 432 cases, the clique generates a full rank sublattice of our lattice, so this map is injective. It turns out that in all cases, it is in fact a bijection. This proves (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv).

COROLLARY 3.5.37. Let K_1 and K_2 be two maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$, and $f: K_1 \longrightarrow K_2$ an isomorphism between them. Then f extends to a unique automorphism of Λ .

Proof. Since K_1 and K_2 are isomorphic, it follows from Propositions 3.5.35 and 3.5.36 that they are conjugate to each other; this means that they are both conjugate to the same clique in the tables in de appendix; call this clique H. Then there are elements α , β in W such that we have $\alpha(K_1) = \beta(K_2) = H$, so $\beta \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1}$ is an element in the automorphism group Aut(H) of H. Of course, f extends to an element in W if and only if $\beta \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1}$ does. We conclude that we can reduce to the case where $K_1 = K_2 = H$, and f is an element in Aut(H).

In Proposition 3.5.35 we computed the stabilizers and the automorphism groups of all cliques in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$ of size unequal to 29, and we did the same for cliques of size 29 in Proposition 3.5.36. In magma we construct for each clique in the table the map between the stabilizer and the automorphism group that is given by restriction. In all cases, this is an isomorphism. We conclude that all automorphisms of the cliques in the table extend to an element in W.

The table in Appendix B contains the results of the previous proposition, with a representative of each orbit. The notation in the table means the following.

Notation 3.5.38.

K: a clique in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}};$ we denote vertices by their index as described in Notation 3.5.2.

 $|W_K|$: the size of the stabilizer of clique K in the group W.

 $\#K_5(1)$: the number of cliques of size 5 with only edges of color 0 in K.

 $\#K_4^a(1)$: the number of cliques in K of four roots that sum up to a double root in Λ , with only edges of color 1.

 $#K_4^b(1)$: the number of cliques in K of four roots that do not sum up to a double root in Λ , with only edges of color 1.

REMARK 3.5.39. In the proof of Proposition 3.5.36, we found more than 127 million cliques of size 29 that contain $A = \{1, 2, 129, 130, 131\}$. To find that they represent exactly 432 different orbits, one might naively try to just verify for each pair whether they are conjugate. This takes too much time; as described in Remark 3.5.29, we divided the big set into smaller sets according to the stabilizer sizes.

Cliques of size 29 in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$

It is an easy check that all 432 cliques of size 29 in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$ in the table are maximal in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$ as well. We conclude that the orbits of maximal cliques of size 29 in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$ are exactly the 432 that we found in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$, and the orbits of maximal cliques of size 29 that contain an edge of color -2.

As we did in Proposition 3.5.35, we fix a root e and compute all maximal cliques of size 29 in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$ that contain e and -e with magma. There are 56 of these, and they form one orbit under the action of the stabilizer W_e of e. Since W acts transitively on pairs of inverse roots, we conclude that all maximal cliques of size 29 in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$ that contain an edge of color -2are in the same orbit; call this orbit A. One can easily check with magma that the clique of size 29 that is written in the table for $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$ is maximal, and moreover, it contains the roots 1 and 128, that are each other's inverse. We conclude that it is a representative of A. The stabilizer and automorphism group are computed with magma.

We finish with the proof of Theorem 3.1.4 for maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$. This is very similar to the proof of Lemma 3.5.34. Recall the graphs A, C_1 , D, and F as defined before Theorem 3.1.4.

LEMMA 3.5.40. Let K_1 and K_2 be two maximal cliques in $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$, and $f: K_1 \longrightarrow K_2$ an isomorphism between them. The following hold.

(i) The map f extends to an automorphism of Λ if and only if for every subclique $S = \{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$ of K_1 that is isomorphic to $A, C_1, D,$ or F, its image f(S) in K_2 is conjugate to S under the action of W.

Let S be a subclique of K_1 .

(ii) If S is isomorphic to C_1 , then S and f(S) are conjugate if and only if both $\sum_{i=1}^{5} e_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{5} f(e_i)$ are in the set $\{2f_1 + f_2 \mid f_1, f_2 \in E\}$, or neither are.

(iii) If S is isomorphic to D, then S and f(S) are conjugate if and only if both $\sum_{i=1}^{5} e_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{5} f(e_i)$ are in the set $\{2f_1 + 2f_2 \mid f_1, f_2 \in E\}$, or neither are.

(iv) If S is isomorphic to F, then S and f(S) are conjugate if and only if both $\sum_{i=1}^{5} e_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{6} f(e_i)$ are in 2Λ , or neither are.

Proof. This proof is very similar to the proof of Lemma 3.5.34, so we will sketch what we did, and we refer to the other proof for details.

We reduce again to the case $K_1 = K_2 = H$, with H one of the 54 cliques in the list for $\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$ in the appendix, and f a representative of a class of the cokernel of the map $g: W_H \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(H)$, where W_H is the stabilizer of H in W, and $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$ is the automorphism group of H.

For each clique H of those 54 in the table, we check with magma that the map $g: W_H \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_H$ is injective; for the 13^{th} , 15^{th} , and $17^{\text{th}} - 54^{\text{th}}$ cliques it is an isomorphism. It follows that for those cliques, every automorphism extends to an element in W, so we are done. Here we refer to Corollary 3.5.37 for the cliques that are the same as in $\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$.

For each clique H of the remaining 14 cliques in the list, we do the following in magma with the three functions that we name CokernelClassesTypeF, CokernelClassesTypeD, and CokernelClassesTypeC1 [Codb]. We construct a set T_H of representatives of the classes of the cokernel of the map from W_H to Aut(H). We then check for each t in T_H , and for all subcliqes $S = \{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$ of H that are isomorphic to F (or D, or C_1 , respectively), whether S and t(S) are not conjugate. For all t and S for which this is the case, we verify that $\sum_{i=1}^r e_i$ is in 2Λ (or in the set $\{2f_1 + 2f_2 \mid f_1, f_2 \in E\}$, or in the set $\{2f_1 + f_2 \mid f_1, f_2 \in E\}$, respectively), and $\sum_{i=1}^r t(e_i)$ is not, or vice versa. This proves (ii), (iii), and (iv).

For H equal to the 4th, 8th, 10th, 14th, and 16th clique, for each nontrivial element t in T_H there is a subclique S of H that is isomorphic to F, and such that t and t(S) are not conjugate. Similarly, for each clique Hof the remaining 9 cliques in the list, for each non-trivial element t of T_H , there is a subclique S of H that is isomorphic to either C_1 , D, or A, and such that S and t(S) are not conjugate. This finishes the proof of (i). \Box

3.6 Proof of the main theorems

We now put together all the results that form the proofs of Theorem 3.1.3 and Theorem 3.1.4, which are both stated in the Section 3.1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1.3. Part (i) is Proposition 3.4.1 (iii), and part (ii) is Proposition 3.4.7 (ii). We proceed with (iii). Of course, if K_1 and K_2 are conjugate under the action of W, they are isomorphic as colored graphs, since W respects the dot product. Now assume that K_1 and K_2 are isomorphic as colored graphs. We will show that they are conjugate under the action of W. First of all, by Lemma 3.2.13, we can assume that there is a type I, II, III, or IV, that both K_1 and K_2 belong to. Therefore we continue to prove the result per type.

For type I, the results for colors -2 and -1 are at the beginning of Section 3.4; the results for color 0 are in Propositions 3.4.1, 3.4.6 (iii), and 3.5.15, and the results for color 1 are in Proposition 3.2.12.

For type II, from Proposition 3.2.5 we know what the cliques look like, and the results are then in Proposition 3.2.12 and Corollary 3.3.17.

For type III, the results follow from Propositions 3.3.1 and 3.3.2.

Finally, for type IV, the results follow from Propositions 3.5.6, 3.5.28, Lemma 3.5.30, Propositions 3.5.33 and 3.5.35, and Section 3.5.4.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1.4. By Lemma 3.2.13, we can assume that there is a type I, II, III, or IV, that both K_1 and K_2 belong to. Therefore we continue to prove (i) per type. First of all, if K_1 and K_2 are of type III, then f always extends; this is shown in Corollary 3.3.34.

If K_1 and K_2 are of type I, they are monochromatic. If they have color -2 or -1, then they are of type III (see Section 3.4). For color 0 the proof is in Corollary 3.4.5, and for color 1 in Corollary 3.4.9.

For type II, by Proposition 3.2.5, K_1 and K_2 are either monochromatic of color 0, hence of type I, or they are both sets of the vertices of a 7crosspolytope, in which case the statement is in Corollary 3.3.32.

If K_1 and K_2 are of type IV, they are maximal cliques in a graph Γ_c , where there are 14 different possibilities for c. For $c \in \{\{-2\}, \{-1\}, \{0\}, \{1\}\}\}$, the cliques K_1 and K_2 are of type I, which we already covered (note that for K_1 and K_2 maximal in $\Gamma_{\{1\}}$, there is always an automorphism extending f!). For c in $\{\{-2, -1\}, \{-2, 1\}\}$, the cliques K_1 and K_2 are of type I as well (Lemma 3.5.5). For $c = \{-2, 0\}$, the proof is in Lemma 3.5.17. For c in $\{\{-1, 1\}, \{-2, -1, 1\}\}$, an isomorphism of maximal cliques always extends, see Corollary 3.5.31. The same holds for $c = \{0, 1\}$, see Corollary 3.5.37. For $c \in \{\{-1, 0\}, \{-2, -1, 0\}\}$, the statement is in Lemma 3.5.34. For $c = \{-2, 0, 1\}$ the statement is Lemma 3.5.40.

Finally, for $c = \{-2, -1, 0, 1\}$ there is one clique, which is Γ itself, and every automorphism of Γ is an element in W. This finishes (i).

Part (ii) follows from Propositions 3.3.29 and 3.4.1 for type A, and it

follows from Propositions 3.2.12 and 3.4.7 for type *B*. Finally, part (iii) is in Lemma 3.5.34, and part (iv) is in Lemma 3.5.40.

REMARK 3.6.1. From Theorem 3.1.4 it follows that for an isomorphism f of two cliques K_1 and K_2 of types I, II, III, or IV, one can determine whether f extends to an automorphism of Λ by checking for all subcliques of K_1 of the form A, B, C_{α}, D , or F, if f restricted to an associated ordered sequence extends. However, one never has to check all subcliques of those six forms. The following table shows for each type of K_1 and K_2 which subcliques are sufficient to check.

Type	Subtype	All isomor-	A	В	C_{-1}	C_1	D	F
		phisms extend						
Ι	$\Gamma_{\{-2\}}$	x						
Ι	$\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$	x						
Ι	$\Gamma_{\{0\}}$		x					
Ι	$\Gamma_{\{1\}}$			х				
II	k-simplex, $k \leq 7$		x					
II	7-crosspolytope			x				
III	all	x						
IV	$\Gamma_{\{-2\}}$	x						
IV	$\Gamma_{\{-1\}}$	x						
IV	$\Gamma_{\{0\}}$		x					
IV	$\Gamma_{\{1\}}$	x						
IV	$\Gamma_{\{-2,-1\}}$	x						
IV	$\Gamma_{\{-2,0\}}$		x					
IV	$\Gamma_{\{-2,1\}}$	x						
IV	$\Gamma_{\{-1,0\}}$		x		х			
IV	$\Gamma_{\{-1,1\}}$	x						
IV	$\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$	x						
IV	$\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0\}}$		x		х			
IV	$\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,1\}}$	x						
IV	$\Gamma_{\{-2,0,1\}}$		x			x	x	x
IV	$\Gamma_{\{-2,-1,0,1\}}$	x						