

The forefoot in early rheumatoid arthritis: Anatomy, imaging and clinical aspects Dakkak, Y.J.

Citation

Dakkak, Y. J. (2020, December 15). *The forefoot in early rheumatoid arthritis: Anatomy, imaging and clinical aspects*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/138668

| Version: | Publisher's Version |
|------------------|--|
| License: | <u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the</u> <u>Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden</u> |
| Downloaded from: | https://hdl.handle.net/1887/138668 |

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/138668</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Dakkak, Y.J. Title: The forefoot in early rheumatoid arthritis: Anatomy, imaging and clinical aspects Issue Date: 2020-12-15

Chapter 5

Increased frequency of intermetatarsal and submetatarsal bursitis in early rheumatoid arthritis: A large case-controlled MRI study

> Yousra Dakkak Ellis Niemantsverdriet Annette van der Helm-van Mil Monique Reijnierse

> > Submitted

Abstract

Background: The forefoot is a preferential location for joint and tendon-sheath inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis (RA). It also contains bursae, of which the intermetatarsal bursae have a synovial lining. Some small imaging studies suggested that intermetatarsal bursitis (IMB) and submetatarsal bursitis (SMB) are involved in RA, but their association has not been thoroughly explored. Healthy control studies suggested that lesion-size might be relevant. We studied the relation between IMB and SMB in early RA, compared to other arthritides and healthy controls, and the relevance of lesion-sizes.

Methods: 634 participants were studied: 157 consecutive patients presenting with early RA, 284 other arthritides and 193 healthy controls. All underwent unilateral contrast-enhanced MRI of the forefoot at presentation. Two readers independently scored IMB and SMB, and measured transverse and dorsoplantar diameters, blinded to clinical data. Subsequently consensus was reached. Intra-reader ICC was 0.89. Logistic regression models were used, test characteristics were calculated.

Results: IMB and SMB associated with RA independent of each other (P<0.001) and independent of age, gender, BMI, RA-MRI-inflammation and anti-CCPantibodies (P=0.041). Sensitivity for RA of IMB was 69%, for SMB 25%. Specificity for IMB was 70% compared to other arthritides, 84% compared to healthy controls. For SMB this was 94% and 97% respectively. Regarding lesion-size, the groups had considerable overlap: no cut-off size for RA could be distinguished with high sensitivity and specificity.

Conclusion: Intermetatarsal and submetatarsal bursitis associated with early rheumatoid arthritis, contributing to the emerging evidence that inflammation of juxta-articular soft tissues are an early feature of RA.

Background

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a systemic autoimmune disease characterized by chronic, persistent inflammation of the synovium-lined joints and tendon-sheaths. (1, 2) Preferential locations are the small joints of hands and feet. It has become apparent that early treatment with disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) improves disease outcome of RA-patients.(3) Since prompt treatment has limited radiographic detectable damage, the European League against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommends MRI for the early detection and follow-up of RA as it sensitively measures inflammation. According to the RA-MRI-score (RAMRIS) inflammation is defined as synovitis, tenosynovitis and bone marrow edema/osteitis.(4, 5) In the forefoot, however, imaging also reveals the presence and/or inflammation of bursae. So far bursitis in the forefeet has gained little attention in RA-literature.

Interestingly, naturally present bursae possess a synovial lining similar to synovial joints and tendon-sheaths.(6) In the forefoot intermetatarsal bursae are present, anatomically without a connection to the metatarsophalangeal(MTP)-joints.(7) Two ultrasound studies have reported intermetatarsal bursitis (IMB) in patients with established RA.(8, 9) Additionally, one MRI-study in 70 asymptomatic volunteers reported on fluid in the intermetatarsal spaces as a sign of IMB.(10) Gadolinium contrast-enhancement was not used as a measure of inflammation. The authors suggested that a transverse diameter of ≤3mm could be considered physiologic, however these results have not been validated.(10) Thus, while data suggest that IMB may be associated with RA, its involvement in early disease has not been studied systematically.

In the subcutaneous fat, submetatarsal bursae that lack a synovial lining may develop due to high pressure and friction, leading to collagen degradation and localized fluid-collection that is visible on imaging as a sharply demarcated area in the submetatarsal space.(11) An ultrasound study reported submetatarsal bursitis (SMB) in patients with established RA.(12) However, this has also been observed in healthy controls. To the best of our knowledge, regarding IMB and SMB, no direct comparison has been made between RA and other arthritides, which is a comparison that is clinically relevant.(13) Also no comparison has been made between RA and healthy controls, to analyze whether these findings could be normal.

Additionally, in the forefoot, Morton's neuroma (MN) and diffuse submetatarsal alterations (DSMA) in the subcutis have been described. MN may emerge due to mechanical irritation, or secondary to IMB, and has been described in RA as well as asymptomatic volunteers.(14-16) DSMA consist of fibrosis or inflammatory tissue that may be induced by mechanical stress and may represent early stages in the development of SMB, particularly under the first and fifth metatarsal heads.(17)

Overall, the current available literature does not give a fulfilling and thorough picture of the prevalence of IMB and SMB in RA-patients. Therefore, this large cross-sectional MRI-study was set up to study: 1) the association of these lesions in RA, compared to other arthritides and to healthy controls, and 2) whether the size of these lesions can differentiate between diseases and healthy controls. Although not the main focus of this study, MN and DSMA were studied in subanalyses.

Materials and methods

Participants

Between June 2013 and March 2016, 447 consecutive patients newly presenting with clinical arthritis of a symptom duration <2 years who were naïve to DMARDs were included in the Leiden Early Arthritis Cohort (EAC).(18) The Leiden University Medical Center is the only rheumatology referral center within the Leiden area. Inclusion in the EAC of consecutive early arthritis patients has been part of regular care since 1993.(19) Contrast-enhanced MRI of the forefoot was added to the protocol in June 2013. At baseline, swollen joint counts were performed, serum samples were obtained and patients underwent MRI. Six MRI-examinations were excluded because of inhomogeneous fat suppression, 441 examinations remained (flowchart in Supplementary Figure S1). RA was defined as a clinical diagnosis of RA plus fulfilment of the 2010 RA-criteria during the first year of follow-up.(1) The remaining patients received alternative diagnoses and were grouped together as 'other arthritides' (Table 1).

Healthy controls were recruited by advertisements in local newspapers and websites, as reported previously.(20) Participants had no history of inflammatory rheumatic disease, no joint symptoms during the last month and no arthritis at physical examination.

The EAC and healthy control study were approved by the local medical ethics

committee (approval numbers P10.108 and P17.261). Informed consent was obtained.

MRI scanning

Patients and symptom-free persons were scanned according to our routine MRI-protocol as described in Supplementary Data S1. They underwent unilateral MRI of the hand and forefoot of the more painful side, or the dominant side in case of symmetrical symptoms, ≤2 weeks after the first presentation and before start of DMARDs. In symptom-free persons the dominant side was scanned. A musculoskeletal extremity 1.5-T MRI unit (Oni; GE Healthcare, Madison, Wis) was used with a 145-mm coil. Acquired sequences for the forefoot after intravenous injection of gadolinium contrast included: axial T1-weighted fast spin-echo with fatsuppression (repetition time msec/echo time msec 700/9.5; acquisition matrix 364x224, echo train length 2) and coronal T1-weighted fast spin-echo with fatsuppression (540/7.5; acquisition matrix 320x192, echo train length 2). Field-of-view was 140mm, slice thickness 3mm and slice gap 0.3mm for both planes. Axial sequences had 14 slices, coronal sequences 20 slices.

MRI evaluation

Anatomy and scoring system

The forefoot was divided into four intermetatarsal and five submetatarsal spaces (Figure 1).(21) The intermetatarsal space is dorsally bound by the deep dorsal aponeurosis and plantar by the superficial transverse metatarsal ligament.(6, 7) It is divided into a superior and inferior level by the deep transverse metatarsal ligament. The intermetatarsal bursae lie in the superior intermetatarsal spaces,(6) the neurovascular bundle, from which MN originates, lies in the inferior intermetatarsal space and has a close cohesion with the synovial lining of the intermetatarsal bursae.(6, 7) The submetatarsal spaces lie in the subcutis, plantar to the superficial transverse metatarsal ligament, and extend until the epidermis. We used the following definitions:

- Intermetatarsal bursitis (IMB): contrast-enhancement in the superior intermetatarsal space with or without rim-enhancement.
- Submetatarsal bursitis (SMB): a sharply demarcated area with contrast-enhancement in the submetatarsal space with or without rim-enhancement.
- Morton's neuroma (MN): an isolated spindle-shaped lesion in the inferior intermetatarsal space with or without contrast-enhancement, without



Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the forefoot at the metatarsal heads with intermetatarsal and submetatarsal spaces. Legend: The intermetatarsal space is demarcated at the dorsal side by the deep dorsal aponeurosis (1) and at the plantar side by the superficial transverse metatarsal ligament (2). The deep transverse metatarsal ligament (3) divides the intermetatarsal space into a superior (4) and inferior part (5), respectively, containing the bursa and neurovascular bundle (6). The submetatarsal spaces (ST) are located in the subcutis and are artificially bordered by the midline of the intermetatarsal space (*). M: metatarsal heads. Grey ovals represent extensor and flexor tendons of the forefoot.

Scoring

Two raters (a musculoskeletal radiologist with 23 years of experience, and a trained MD with 2 years of experience in RAMRIS-scoring and has scored >400 MRIs according to this system during a training period of several months prior to evaluating the MRIs that are part of this study),(22, 23) who were blinded to all clinical data, independently scored the MRIs. The two raters determined the final scores by consensus: a lesion was only regarded to be present if both readers agreed on this. First, all early arthritis patients were scored, followed by healthy controls. To exclude observer bias introduced by knowing that images belonged to healthy controls, MRIs of healthy controls were randomly mixed with 20 MRIs from EAC-patients. Based upon these 20 patients that were scored twice an intra-reader intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) was determined that was 0.89.

In addition, to explore whether intermetatarsal and submetatarsal lesions were associated with RA independent of RAMRIS-inflammation, the MRIs were also scored for synovitis, tenosynovitis and osteitis at MTP-joints in line with the RAMRIS by two independent readers, also blinded to clinical data, as is described in Supplementary Data S1.(22-25) RAMRIS-inflammation was considered present when synovitis, tenosynovitis and/or osteitis were scored as ≥1 by both readers.

Analyses

IMB and SMB were studied in RA-patients, other arthritides and healthy controls. First, as patients could have more than one lesion, e.g. one patient could have IMB at more than one location, the mean number of lesions in RA-patients was compared to patients with other arthritides and to healthy controls using Mann-Whitney U tests.

Next, the data was dichotomized for presence per lesion: e.g. whether a patient had IMB at any location. Logistic regression analysis was used to compare RA to other arthritides and to healthy controls. Multivariable models corrected for the simultaneous occurrence of the different lesions and for age, gender, BMI, presence of RAMRIS-inflammation and anti-citrullinated peptide antibodies (anti-CCP), as these may be important in the relation between these lesions and RA.(26, 27) Test characteristics for RA were determined. Heatmaps were plotted with the percentage of participants with a lesion per location. Finally, measured transverse and dorsoplantar diameters were plotted to assess whether a cut-off for disease could be observed.

As subanalyses the analyses were repeated for MN and DSMA.

Calculations were performed with SPSS Statistics, version 23.0; IBM, Armonk, NY. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

Of the 441 consecutively included EAC patients, 157 were classified as early RA, 284 patients had other arthritides (Table 1). 193 healthy controls were recruited. RA-patients were predominantly female (69%) and had a median symptom duration of 10 weeks (interquartile range: 5-28).

RA-patients had a higher number of IMB and SMB lesions per patient compared to other arthritides and to healthy controls (all P<0.001)(Table 1). Next, the presence of a lesion was dichotomized as described in the Methods.

| | RA | Other arthritides ¹ | P-value RA vs other arthritides | Healthy controls | P-value, RA vs healthy controls |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | n=157 | n=284 | | n=193 | |
| Clinical features | | | | | |
| Age, mean (SD) | 59 (14) | 56 (17) | 0.07 | 50 (16) | <0.001 |
| Female, n (%) | 109 (69) | 158 (56) | 0.005 | 136 (71) | 0.83 |
| BMI, mean (SD) | 26 (5) | 27 (4) | 0.52 | 25 (4) | 0.003 |
| Symptom duration, in weeks, median (IQR) | 10 (5-28) | 8 (4-26) | 0.13 | NA | NA |
| Swollen joint count, median (IQR) | 7 (2-11) | 2 (1-4) | <0.001 | AA | NA |
| CRP, mg/L, median (IQR) | 9 (4-26) | 6 (3-16) | <0.001 | NA | NA |
| RF positive, n (%) | 106 (68) | 51 (18) | <0.001 | NA | NA |
| ACPA positive, n (%) | 87 (59) | 69 (25) | <0.001 | NА | ΔZ |
| MRI features | | | | | |
| Mean number of lesions per patient, n (SD) | | | | | |
| - Intermetatarsal bursitis - Morton's neuroma 0.4 | 1.6 (1.4) (0.6) | 0.6 (1.0) 0.06 (0.3) | <0.001 <0.001 | 0.2 (0.7) 0.03 (0.2) | <0.001 <0.001 |
| - Submetatarsal bursitis - Diffuso o choradad altorations | 0.4 (0.8) 0.5 (1.1) | 0.07 (0.3) | <0.001 0.068 | 0.04 (0.2) 0.3 (0.3) | <0.001 COOO |
| - הווומצב אמטווופנטנטואטו מונפו מנוטווא | | (B.D) C.D | 0.000 | (B.D) C.D | 10.00L |
| | | | | | |

.

factor, ACPA: anti-citrullinated peptide antigen; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; NA: not applicable. 1This group included the following diagnoses: unclassified arthritis (n=148), psoriatic arthritis or spondyloarthritis (n=45), inflammatory osteoarthritis (n=23), reactive arthritis RA: rheumatoid arthritis; SD: standard deviation; BMI: body mass index; IQR: interquartile range; CRP: C-reactive protein; RF: rheumatoid (n=7), crystal arthropathy (n=21), remitting seronegative symmetrical synovitis with pitting oedema (n=12) and other diagnoses (n=28).

112 | Chapter 5

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of all participants

.

.

Association of inter- and submetatarsal lesions with RA

The number of participants with lesions are given in Table 2. RA-patients more often had IMB and SMB than other arthritides (all P<0.001).

Since IMB and SMB were both associated with RA, and in addition age, gender, BMI, RAMRIS inflammation and anti-CCP-antibodies may be important in the relation between the lesions and RA, a multivariable model was performed that included both lesions and these clinical parameters.(26, 27) IMB and SMB remained associated with RA independent of these factors (Table 2); the effect size was largest for IMB (OR 4.5, 95%CI 2.7-7.8).

The analyses were repeated comparing RA-patients to healthy controls, revealing similar results (Supplementary Table S1).

| | Participants with MRI-features, n (%) | | Univariable analyses | |
|--|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| | RA | Other arthritides | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
| Intermetatarsal bursitis Submetatarsal bursitis Morton's neuroma Diffuse submetatarsal alterations | 109 (69) 39 (25) 30 (19) 36 (23) | 84 (30) 17 (6) 10 (4) 45 (16) | 5.4 (3.5-8.3) 5.2 (2.8-9.5) 6.7 (3.2-14.2) 1.6 (0.9-2.6) | <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 0.067 |
| | Multivariab | Multivariable analysis ¹ | | nalysis ² |

Table 2. The association of intermetatarsal and submetatarsal lesions with early RA compared toother early arthritides

| | OR (95% CI) | P-value | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|--|---|---------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Intermetatarsal bursitis Submetatarsal bursitis Morton's neuroma Diffuse submetatarsal alterations | 4.5 (2.7-7.8) 2.2 (1.03-4.5) - - | <0.001 0.041 - - | 3.7 (2.1-6.6) 2.3 (1.1-4.8) 3.1 (1.3-7.7) 0.9 (0.5-1.8) | <0.001 0.031 0.012 0.86 |

The results of logistic regression analyses are presented. RA: rheumatoid arthritis; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval. ¹Multivariable model including intermetatarsal bursitis, submetatarsal bursitis, age, gender, anti-CCP and RAMRIS-inflammation (defined as the presence of synovitis, tenosynovitis and/or osteitis). ²Multivariable model including intermetatarsal bursitis, submetatarsal bursitis, Morton's neuroma, diffuse submetatarsal alterations, age, gender, BMI, anti-CCP antibodies and RAMRIS-inflammation

Test characteristics of inter- and submetatarsal lesions for RA

Next, test characteristics were determined for IMB and SMB (Supplementary Table S2). Sensitivity for IMB was 69%, for SMB 25%. Specificity of IMB compared to other arthritides was 70%, and compared to healthy controls 84%. For SMB this was 94% and 97% respectively.

Heatmap of lesions for RA, other arthritides and healthy controls

The distribution of the lesions was plotted in heatmaps (Figure 2, Supplementary Table S3). In RA, IMB affected the 3rd intermetatarsal space most (57% of patients). Regarding SMB, the first and fifth submetatarsal space were most affected: in 13% and 12% of patients respectively.

Similarly, in other arthritides and healthy participants the 3rd intermetatarsal space was affected by IMB most often, but with lower frequency (20% and 11% respectively). For SMB at the first and fifth submetatarsal space this was 2% and 4% respectively in other arthritides and 1% and 2% in healthy controls. MRI-examples are given in Figure 3.

Transverse and dorsoplantar diameter of lesions for patients with rheumatoid arthritis, other arthritides and healthy controls

Next, the transverse and dorsoplantar diameters of IMB and SMB were measured (in mm) and plotted in histograms (Figure 4). We evaluated cut-offs based upon the histograms (Supplementary Table S4).

First the transverse diameter of IMB was studied. From the histograms it was apparent that lesions ≥4mm were infrequent in other arthritides (3% of patients) and healthy controls (2%). We studied whether this could be used as a cut-off for RA: however lesions ≥4mm were also less frequent in RA (13%). In all groups the majority of lesions were ≤3mm: 68% of RA-patients had a lesion ≤3mm, compared to 29% other arthritides and 15% of healthy controls. Hence, a cut-off of 3mm increased specificity to 97% compared to other arthritides and 98% to healthy controls, at the cost of a decrease in sensitivity to 13%.

Theoretically, IMB may be limited by the metatarsal heads in its ability to distend transversely and may, therefore, upon inflammation, distend dorsoplantar. A dorsoplantar diameter of IMB ≥15mm was infrequent in the control groups (Figure 4). Taking ≥15mm as a cut-off increased specificity for RA compared to other arthritides (95%) and healthy controls (100%). Sensitivity, however, decreased to 16%.

114 | Chapter 5

Similarly, regarding SMB no cut-off could be determined that did not lead to a substantial decrease in sensitivity for RA (Supplementary Table S4).



Figure 2. Heatmap of intermetatarsal bursitis, submetatarsal bursitis, Morton's neuroma and diffuse submetatarsal alterations for every population. Legend: Schematic illustration in coronal view of the frequency of lesions in each compartment of the forefoot at the level of the metatarsal heads (See also supplementary Table S3). The frequency of the lesions (% of participants in the respective group) is represented by an increase in color intensity. The compartments are defined in Figure 1. Mortons neuroma (MN) is demarcated plantar to intermetatarsal bursitis (IMB). In the subcutis, submetatarsal bursitis (SMB) is illustrated as a demarcated oval. The remainder of the subcutis represents diffuse submetatarsal alterations (DSMA). IMB, SMB and MN are most frequently seen in RA. The second and third IMB are preferred locations whereas the fourth is the least involved. In the subcutis of RA-patients DSMA is seen under MTP 1 and 5 in RA-patients. M: metatarsal heads.

Subanalyses for MN and DSMA

MN was associated with RA compared to other arthritides in univariable and multivariable analyses that included IMB, SMB, MN, DSMA and clinical parameters (P<0.001 and P=0.012 respectively). DSMA was not associated (P=0.069 and P=0.86 respectively) (Table 2). Similar results were obtained when compared to healthy controls (Supplementary Table S1).

The test characteristics for MN were determined and were as follows: sensitivity 19%, specificity 96% compared to other arthritides and 98% compared to healthy controls. For DSMA this was not determined as it was not associated with RA.

MN and DSMA were incorporated in the heatmaps in figure 4 (Supplementary Table S3 presents the exact frequency per location). MN occurred most frequently in the third intermetatarsal space (20% of RA-patients). DSMA in the first and fifth submetatarsal space were relatively more common in healthy controls (both locations 10%).

Regarding Lesion-size for MN, a transverse diameter of \geq 7mm was infrequent in control groups (Figure 4): using this cut-off increased specificity for RA, however sensitivity decreased to 12%. Additionally, literature suggests a cut-off of \geq 5mm, this decreased sensitivity to 16%.(10, 28) Similarly, for the dorsoplantar diameter, using a \geq 4mm cut-off based on Figure 4 decreased sensitivity to 13% (Supplementary Table S4). DSMA was not measured as, per definition, it has no sharply demarcated borders.

Discussion

This cross-sectional study aimed to explore MRI-detected IMB and SMB in consecutive patients presenting with early RA, compared to other arthritides and healthy controls. We observed that both IMB and SMB were associated with and specific for RA (specificity ranging from 70-97%). In addition, we studied whether lesion-size might be relevant and found considerable overlap in size between the groups; therefore no cut-off for RA could be distinguished with high sensitivity and specificity. To our knowledge, our study is the largest to date to systematically study involvement of bursae in the forefoot in RA.



Figure 3. MR examples of intermetatarsal and submetatarsal bursae. Legend: Coronal and axial fat suppressed T1-weighted FSE gadolinium enhanced images of the forefoot at the level of the metatarsal heads. (A) female participant with RA (age 61 years) with intermetatarsal (IMB) and submetatarsal bursitis (SMB). IMB in the 3rd intermetatarsal space (arrowhead) with peripheral enhancement protruding dorsal (dumbbell-shape) and plantar (teardrop-shape) of the metatarsal heads. Peripheral enhancement of a mass in the first submetatarsal space, consistent with SMB (white arrow). Synovitis of MTP 3 (dotted arrow), as well as osteitis in the head of the third metacarpal bone and proximal phalanx (*). (B) female participant with another arthritide (diagnosis of viral reactive arthritis, age 34 years) and IMB at the 3rd intermetatarsal space (arrowhead) with dorsal protrusion. Additional synovitis of MTP 1 and 4 (dotted arrows). (C) female healthy control (age 50 years) with diffuse submetatarsal alterations (DSMA) in all submetatarsal spaces, predominantly visible at the 1st, 2nd and 4th submetatarsal spaces (arrows). Intense linear contrast-enhancement at the 2nd intermetatarsal space is consistent with a small vessel on the consecutive slices (not shown), there is no IMB visible on the axial images.

IMB results from inflammation of a naturally present, synovium-lined, structure. (6) Since RA is a disease of the synovium, the bursae might be a primary focus of disease, previously unnoticed. It can be hypothesized that IMB occurs secondary to concomitant arthritis, however there is no anatomical connection between intermetatarsal bursae and MTP-joints and in our study IMB associated with RA independent of the presence of RAMRIS-inflammation, defined as synovitis, tenosynovitis and osteitis.(7)

In the plantar subcutaneous fat of the forefoot SMB was scored as sharply demarcated submetatarsal areas of contrast-enhancement with or without rimenhancement that may develop secondary to mechanical loading.(17) These nonnative (adventitious) bursae form at sites of friction, but have imaging features similar to native bursae.(29)



Figure 4. Distribution of transverse and dorsoplantar diameters (mm) of intermetatarsal bursitis, submetatarsal bursitis and Morton's neuroma. Legend: The x-axis displays the diameter (in mm), the Y-axis the percentage of participants with the corresponding diameter. Participants could have more than one lesion, therefore the percentage of participants with a lesion does not add up to the total amount of participants. The metatarsal bones limit the IMB in the transverse plane, squeezing the bursa outwards in the dorsoplantar plane. The SMB expands more in the transverse than dorsoplantar plane in the subcutis. The detected MN are predominantly round and larger than 5 mm.

In addition MN and DSMA were scored, of which MN was also associated with RA. This association remained present after correcting for age, gender and BMI; features that may relate to other pathologies predisposing to MN. Thus MN seems to be increased in RA. Within RA, IMB has previously been suggested as a cause of MN.(14, 30) Inflamed bursae may irritate the common plantar digital nerve,(6, 30) with secondary MN formation.(7, 30) This may explain why MN mostly occurred at locations were IMB was most frequent (second and third intermetatarsal spaces). For the definition of MN, besides the shape and location, it was important in our study that the lesion did not have any rim-enhancement that was continuous with the IMB. This might be especially challenging at the second and third intermetatarsal spaces, where enlarged intermetatarsal bursae can extend below the deep transverse metatarsal ligament towards the neurovascular bundle.(10). In the literature the prevalence for MN varies between studies, from 15% in symptomatic volunteers, to 54% in asymptomatic volunteers.(10, 16, 31) An explanation for the

low frequency of 20% in our study could be the challenging differentiation between MN and IMB and potential co-existence of the two, especially for smaller MN. The limited MRI-protocol, without T1 and fluid sensitive sequences, may underscore fibrous MN.(32) Therefore no definitive conclusion regarding the frequency of MN can be drawn from our study. We do not believe this undermines the association that was found of MN with RA, as the protocol restriction applies for all study groups.

DSMA, interestingly, occurred in healthy controls specifically under the first and fifth metatarsal heads. These locations are consistent with a previous study and are considered a normal finding based on mechanical loading.(17) In RA DSMA occurred predominantly under MTP 2, 3 and 4, whereas under MTP 1 and 5 SMB were seen (Figure 2).

It is difficult to compare our findings to previous studies in RA, as they utilized ultrasound and included patients with established RA receiving treatment rather than early disease.(8, 33)

The intermetatarsal space is limited in the transverse plane by the metatarsal bones. Thus, bursitis is squeezed either dorsal and or plantar, especially at the second and third intermetatarsal spaces, respectively called a dumbbell or a teardrop phenomenon (Figure 1), explaining the larger dorsoplantar diameter that is found (Figure 4).(7, 21)

Our study has some limitations. First, the scoring method is not validated, therefore reading was done by consensus rather than by independent readers, as this may be necessary in the setting of preliminary findings.(34) However for determining the validity of an outcome-measure it is crucial to demonstrate the reliability of scoring between independent readers.(34, 35) The Intrareader-ICC, however, was determined and was reassuring. Second, our MRI-protocol contained no fluid-sensitive sequences, as the protocol was originally intended to score RAMRIS-inflammation. Therefore small amounts of fluid in the bursae may have remained undetected, leading to an underestimation of observed lesions. Nevertheless, the use of contrast-enhancement might be a strength, which previous studies in early arthritis found essential for optimal assessment of MRI-detected synovitis and tenosynovitis.(25, 36) Because intermetatarsal bursae have a synovial lining we assumed that contrast-enhancement would increase sensitivity of synovial hypertrophy in (active) bursitis. Thus, although theoretically small amounts of fluid

in a bursa may be missed without a fluid sensitive sequence, this could be normal in a bursa and rarely occurs at this location without enhancing synovial hypertrophy. (10, 37) The greater prevalence of contrast-enhancing (teno-)synovitis might be expected to occur in RA. To account for this we included RAMRIS-inflammation in our multivariable model. Third, although the lesions were associated with RA independent of the factors that were adjusted for, additional factors might be of influence to the occurrence of these lesions, such as physical activity and type of shoes that were unaccounted for and may potentially be a source of bias. Also, we did not include a comparison with weightbearing radiographs.(38, 39) Finally, our study was cross-sectional in nature. Thus although our study suggests that these lesions may aid the clinician as a (differential) diagnostic tool, prospective studies are warranted to further establish the diagnostic relevance of these lesions.

Conclusion

IMB and SMB are both associated with RA. As IMB has a synovial lining, these results contribute to the emerging evidence that in early RA, besides intraarticular synovitis, juxta-articular synovial inflammation is common. Previously tenosynovitis was reported,[18,36] and now IMB as well. The current findings pose questions on whether the bursitis correlated to symptoms, responds to therapy, or has diagnostic value, e.g. in predicting RA-development in the early phases of disease.

References

- Aletaha D, Neogi T, Silman AJ, Funovits J, Felson DT, Bingham CO, 3rd, et al. 2010 Rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: an American College of Rheumatology/ European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. Arthritis Rheum. 2010;62:2569-81.
- Rogier C, Hayer S, van der Helm-van Mil A. Not only synovitis but also tenosynovitis needs to be considered: why it is time to update textbook images of rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2019.
- Smolen JS, Landewe R, Breedveld FC, Buch M, Burmester G, Dougados M, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2013 update. Ann Rheum Dis. 2014;73:492-509.
- Ostergaard M, Bird P, Gandjbakhch F, Eshed I, Haugen IK, Haavardsholm EA, et al. The OMERACT MRI in Arthritis Working Group - Update on Status and Future Research Priorities. J Rheumatol. 2015;42:2470-2.
- Colebatch AN, Edwards CJ, Ostergaard M, van der Heijde D, Balint PV, D'Agostino MA, et al. EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging of the joints in the clinical management of rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2013;72:804-14.
- 6. Chauveaux D, Le Huec JC, Midy D. The supra-transverse intermetatarsocapital bursa: a description and its relation to painful syndromes of the forefoot. Surgical and Radiologic Anatomy. 1987;9:13-8.
- Theumann NH, Pfirrmann CW, Chung CB, Mohana-Borges AV, Haghighi P, Trudell DJ, et al. Intermetatarsal spaces: analysis with MR bursography, anatomic correlation, and histopathology in cadavers. Radiology. 2001;221:478-84.
- Bowen CJ, Culliford D, Dewbury K, Sampson M, Burridge J, Hooper L, et al. The clinical importance of ultrasound detectable forefoot bursae in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2010;49:191-2.
- 9. Hammer HB, Kvien TK, Terslev L. Intermetatarsal bursitis is frequent in patients with established rheumatoid arthritis and is associated with anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide and rheumatoid factor. RMD Open. 2019;5:e001076.
- Zanetti M, Strehle JK, Zollinger H, Hodler J. Morton neuroma and fluid in the intermetatarsal bursae on MR images of 70 asymptomatic volunteers. Radiology. 1997;203:516-20.
- 11. Awerbuch MS, Shephard E, Vernon-Roberts B. Morton's metatarsalgia due to intermetatarsophalangeal bursitis as an early manifestation of rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1982:214-21.
- 12. Bossley CJ, Cairney PC. The intermetatarsophalangeal bursa--its significance in Morton's metatarsalgia. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1980;62-b:184-7.
- Erickson SJ, Canale PB, Carrera GF, Johnson JE, Shereff MJ, Gould JS, et al. Interdigital (Morton) neuroma: high-resolution MR imaging with a solenoid coil. Radiology. 1991;181:833-6.
- 14. Redd RA, Peters VJ, Emery SF, Branch HM, Rifkin MD. Morton neuroma: sonographic evaluation. Radiology. 1989;171:415-7.
- 15. Van Hul E, Vanhoenacker F, Van Dyck P, De Schepper A, Parizel PM. Pseudotumoural soft tissue lesions of the foot and ankle: a pictorial review. Insights Imaging. 2011;2:439–52.

- Bowen CJ, Hooper L, Culliford D, Dewbury K, Sampson M, Burridge J, et al. Assessment of the natural history of forefoot bursae using ultrasonography in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a twelve-month investigation. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2010;62:1756-62.
- 17. Studler U, Mengiardi B, Bode B, Schottle PB, Pfirrmann CW, Hodler J, et al. Fibrosis and adventitious bursae in plantar fat pad of forefoot: MR imaging findings in asymptomatic volunteers and MR imaging-histologic comparison. Radiology. 2008;246:863-70.
- Schunemann HJ, Oxman AD, Brozek J, Glasziou P, Bossuyt P, Chang S, et al. GRADE: assessing the quality of evidence for diagnostic recommendations. Evid Based Med. 2008;13:162–3.
- Dakkak YJ, Jansen FP, DeRuiter MC, Reijnierse M, van der Helm-van Mil AHM. Rheumatoid Arthritis and Tenosynovitis at the Metatarsophalangeal Joints: An Anatomic and MRI Study of the Forefoot Tendon Sheaths. Radiology. 2020:191725.
- 20. Mangnus L, van Steenbergen HW, Reijnierse M, van der Helm-van Mil AH. Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Detected Features of Inflammation and Erosions in Symptom-Free Persons From the General Population. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2016;68:2593-602.
- Nieuwenhuis WP, van Steenbergen HW, Mangnus L, Newsum EC, Bloem JL, Huizinga TWJ, et al. Evaluation of the diagnostic accuracy of hand and foot MRI for early Rheumatoid Arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2017;56:1367-77.
- 22. Cherry L, King L, Thomas M, Roemer F, Culliford D, Bowen CJ, et al. The reliability of a novel magnetic resonance imaging-based tool for the evaluation of forefoot bursae in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: the FFB score. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2014;53:2014-7.
- Ostergaard M, Peterfy C, Conaghan P, McQueen F, Bird P, Ejbjerg B, et al. OMERACT Rheumatoid Arthritis Magnetic Resonance Imaging Studies. Core set of MRI acquisitions, joint pathology definitions, and the OMERACT RA-MRI scoring system. J Rheumatol. 2003;30:1385-6.
- 24. Haavardsholm EA, Ostergaard M, Ejbjerg BJ, Kvan NP, Kvien TK. Introduction of a novel magnetic resonance imaging tenosynovitis score for rheumatoid arthritis: reliability in a multireader longitudinal study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2007;66:1216-20.
- 25. Dakkak YJ, Matthijssen XME, van der Heijde DM, Reijnierse M, van der Helm-van Mil AHM. Reliability of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)-scoring of the Metatarsophalangealjoints of the Foot According to the Rheumatoid Arthritis-MRI Score (RAMRIS). J Rheumatol. 2019.
- 26. Stomp W, Krabben A, van der Heijde D, Huizinga TW, Bloem JL, van der Helm-van Mil AH, et al. Aiming for a shorter rheumatoid arthritis MRI protocol: can contrast-enhanced MRI replace T2 for the detection of bone marrow oedema? Eur Radiol. 2014;24:2614-22.
- 27. Nieuwenhuis WP, Mangnus L, van Steenbergen HW, Newsum EC, Huizinga TW, Reijnierse M, et al. Older age is associated with more MRI-detected inflammation in hand and foot joints. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2016;55:2212-9.
- Mangnus L, Nieuwenhuis WP, van Steenbergen HW, Huizinga TW, Reijnierse M, van der Helm-van Mil AH. Body mass index and extent of MRI-detected inflammation: opposite effects in rheumatoid arthritis versus other arthritides and asymptomatic persons. Arthritis Res Ther. 2016;18:245.
- 29. Terk MR, Kwong PK, Suthar M, Horvath BC, Colletti PM. Morton neuroma: evaluation with MR imaging performed with contrast enhancement and fat suppression. Radiology. 1993;189:239-41.
- Hooper L, Bowen CJ, Gates L, Culliford D, Arden NK, Edwards CJ. Comparative Distribution of Ultrasound-Detectable Forefoot Bursae in Patients With Osteoarthritis and Rheumatoid Arthritis. Arthritis Care & Research. 2014;66:869-77.

- **31.** Zanetti M, Ledermann T, Zollinger H, Hodler J. Efficacy of MR imaging in patients suspected of having Morton's neuroma. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1997;168:529-32.
- 32. Lee MJ, Kim S, Huh YM, Song HT, Lee SA, Lee JW, et al. Morton neuroma: evaluated with ultrasonography and MR imaging. Korean J Radiol. 2007;8:148-55.
- **33.** Bankier AA, Levine D, Halpern EF, Kressel HY. Consensus interpretation in imaging research: is there a better way? Radiology. 2010;257:14-7.
- 34. Boers M, Kirwan J, Tugwell P, Beaton D, Bingham CO, 3rd, Conaghan P, et al. The OMERACT Handbook. 2017:1-111.
- 35. Ostergaard M, Conaghan PG, O'Connor P, Szkudlarek M, Klarlund M, Emery P, et al. Reducing invasiveness, duration, and cost of magnetic resonance imaging in rheumatoid arthritis by omitting intravenous contrast injection -- Does it change the assessment of inflammatory and destructive joint changes by the OMERACT RAMRIS? J Rheumatol. 2009;36:1806-10.
- Stomp W, Krabben A, van der Heijde D, Huizinga TW, Bloem JL, Ostergaard M, et al. Aiming for a simpler early arthritis MRI protocol: can Gd contrast administration be eliminated? Eur Radiol. 2015;25:1520-7.
- 37. Zanetti M, Weishaupt D. MR imaging of the forefoot: Morton neuroma and differential diagnoses. Semin Musculoskelet Radiol. 2005;9:175-86.
- Siddle HJ, Hodgson RJ, Redmond AC, Grainger AJ, Wakefield RJ, Pickles DA, et al. MRI identifies plantar plate pathology in the forefoot of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Rheumatol. 2012;31:621-9.
- **39.** Fuhrmann RA, Layher F, Wetzel WD. Radiographic changes in forefoot geometry with weightbearing. Foot Ankle Int. 2003;24:326-31.
- 40. Stomp W, Krabben A, van der Helm-van Mil A, Reijnierse M. Effects of wearing high heels on the forefoot: an MRI evaluation. Scand J Rheumatol. 2014;43:80-1.

Supplementary data

Supplementary methods are available at Arthritis Research & Therapy online.

Supplementary Table S1. Results of logistic regression analyses for the association of intermetatarsal and submetatarsal lesions with early RA compared to healthy controls

| | Participants with MRI-features, n (%) | | Univariable analyses | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | RA | Other arthritides | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
| Intermetatarsal bursitis Submetatarsal bursitis Morton's neuroma Diffuse submetatarsal alterations | 109 (69) 39 (25) 30 (19) 36 (23) | 31 (16) 3 (2) 6 (3) 31 (16) | 11.9 (7.1-19.8) 15.6 (4.7-52) 10.3 (4.2-25.1) 1.6 (0.9-2.7) | <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 0.11 |
| | | | | |
| | Multivariable o | analysis ¹ | Multivariable ar | nalysis ² |
| | Multivariable o OR (95% CI) | analysis ¹ P-value | Multivariable ar OR (95% CI) | nalysis ² P-value |

RA: rheumatoid arthritis; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval. ¹Multivariable model including intermetatarsal bursitis, submetatarsal bursitis, age, gender, anti-CCP and RAMRIS-inflammation (defined as the presence of synovitis, tenosynovitis and/or bone marrow edema). ²Multivariable model including intermetatarsal bursitis, submetatarsal bursitis, Morton's neuroma, diffuse submetatarsal alterations, age, gender, BMI, anti-CCP antibodies and RAMRIS-inflammation

| | RA | RA vs. other arthritides | RA vs. healthy controls |
|--|--|--|---|
| | Sensitivity | Specificity | Specificity |
| Intermetatarsal bursitis Submetatarsal bursitis Morton's neuroma | 69 (62-72) 25 (19-32) 20 (14-26) | 70 (65-75) 94 (91-96) 96 (94-98) | 84 (78-88) 97 (93-99) 98 (96-100) |

RA: rheumatoid arthritis; Values are depicted in % with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals between brackets



Supplementary Figure S1. Flow chart of participants. RA was defined according to clinical diagnosis of RA plus fulfilment of the 2010 classification criteria. The 'other early arthritides' included the following diagnoses: unclassified arthritis (n=148), psoriatic arthritis or spondyloarthritis (n=45), inflammatory osteoarthritis (n=23), reactive arthritis (n=7), crystal arthropathy (n=21), remitting seronegative symmetrical synovitis with pitting oedema (n=12) and other diagnoses (n=28). This flowchart is similar as described in Dakkak, et al 2020.

Supplementary Table S3. Frequency of intermetatarsal bursitis, submetatarsal bursitis, Morton's neuroma and submetatarsal diffuse alterations per location in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), other arthritides and healthy controls.

| | RA | Other arthritides | Healthy controls | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) | | |
| Intermetatarsal bursitis | | | | | |
| - IT1 - IT2 - IT3 - IT4 | 59 (38) 70 (45) 90 (57) 32 (20) | 43 (18) 44 (16) 56 (20) 14 (5) | 15 (8) 9 (5) 21 (11) 3 (2) | | |
| Submetatarsal bursitis | | | | | |
| - ST1 - ST2 - ST3 - ST4 - ST5 | 20 (13) 11 (7) 7 (5) 5 (3) 18 (12) | 5 (2) 2 (1) 2 (1) 0 (0) 10 (4) | 2 (1) 1 (1) 0 (0) 0 (0) 4 (2) | | |
| Morton's neuroma | | | | | |
| - IT1 - IT2 - IT3 - IT4 | 8 (5) 15 (10) 31 (20) 1 (1) | 3 (1) 3 (1) 10 (4) 1 (0) | 1 (1) 1 (1) 4 (2) 0 (0) | | |
| Submetatarsal diffuse alterations | | | | | |
| - ST1 - ST2 - ST3 - ST4 - ST5 | 11 (7) 17 (11) 19 (12) 18 (12) 9 (6) | 19 (7) 23 (8) 24 (9) 13 (5) 16 (6) | 20 (10) 9 (5) 8 (4) 5 (3) 19 (10) | | |

RA: rheumatoid arthritis; IT: intermetatarsal space; ST: submetatarsal space.

Supplementary Table S4. Test characteristics for rheumatoid arthritis (RA) determined by using cut-off points for transverse and dorsplantar diameters for intermetatarsal bursitis, submetatarsal bursitis and Morton's neuroma.

| | RA | RA vs. other arthritides | RA vs. healthy controls |
|--|---|--|--|
| | Sensitivity, % | Specificity, % | Specificity, % |
| Intermetatarsal bursitis | | | |
| - Transverse diameter ≥4mm - Dorsoplantar diameter ≥15mm | 13 (20/157) 16 (25/157) | 97 (9/284) 95 (14/284) | 98 (4/193) 100 (0/193) |
| Submetatarsal bursitis | | | |
| - Transverse diametera - Dorsoplantar diameter ≥8mm | NA 13 (20/157) | NA 98 (5/284) | NA 100 (0/193) |
| Morton's neuroma | | | |
| - Transverse diameter ≥7mm - Transverse diameter ≥5mm - Dorsoplantar diameter ≥4mm | 12 (19/157) 16 (25/157) 13 (20/157) | 99 (3/284) 99 (4/284) 98 (6/284) | 100 (0/193) 97 (6/193) 100 (0/193) |

Cut-offs are based upon histograms: when a diameter was infrequent in the control groups it was used as a cut-off point. a: No cut-off could be determined for the transverse diameter as there was overlap regarding all sizes, as is visualized in the histograms in Figure 4. NA: not applicable.