

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/138653> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Ciofalo, A.J.

Title: Starchy foodways: Surveying Indigenous Peoples' culinary practices prior to the advent of European invasions in the Greater Caribbean

Issue date: 2020-03-25

Starchy Foodways

Andrew Joseph Ciofalo

Starchy Foodways

Surveying Indigenous Peoples' culinary practices

prior to the advent of European invasions

in the Greater Caribbean

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van

de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 25 maart 2020
klokke 13.45 uur

door

Andrew Joseph Ciofalo
Geboren te Colorado, Verenigde Staten
in 1984

Promotor:

Prof. dr. C.L. Hofman (Universiteit Leiden)

Co-promotor:

Dr. J.R. Pagán-Jiménez (Universiteit Leiden)

Promotiecommissie:

Prof. dr. A.L. van Gijn (Universiteit Leiden)

Dr. A.G. Henry (Universiteit Leiden)

Prof. dr. C.C. Bakels (Universiteit Leiden)

Prof. dr. T.R. van Andel (Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden)

Dr. M.J. Berman (Miami University, Ohio)

Preface

They tried to bury us. They didn't know we were seeds.

—Mexican maxim/Dinos Christianopoulos

This proverb is a powerful statement regarding oppression and resilience, but it also resonates deeply with the forgotten and buried stories that archaeologists excavate and reconstruct. The human requirement and use of food is so intrinsic it forms the base and echoes throughout Maslow's hierarchy of needs. The facts presented in this dissertation help retell lost or forgotten stories, which were essential because there has been a curricular genocide—erasing the memory of Indigenous Caribbean Peoples from many of our classrooms. Because Spanish policies of enslavement, genocide, and in due course mass murder are so egregious, it is tempting to only fixate on Indigenous Peoples' deaths, but they can seem chronogeographically distant and abstract unless we learn something about their lives. Therefore, even if this dissertation only makes one reader realize something about the world's history it will contribute a reconstruction of a past that was forgotten, ignored, and destroyed. The power from these words may breathe life back into exhausted lungs.

My story begins from lasting memories that were forever imposed during my childhood from fresh breaths of the sweet air and experiences on my family's farm in Colorado, USA. It was here that I learned to love plants and understand that dietary plants can be medicinal as well. Twenty-five years away from that farm, I had a crisis. Visiting the University of Oregon for an interview to become a PhD student, I was asked a simple question: What topic do you want to study for your PhD? At the time, I was unprepared to answer this question other than a general statement about archaeological research in the Caribbean. On that trip to Oregon, I hiked the Eugene Mountains and had an epiphany while gazing up at the tall trees. And you were a vegetarian for 25 years, you grew up around plants, love nurturing plants, and watching them grow—become an archaeobotanist. It would take another year to actualize that dream when beginning my PhD at Leiden University. Prof dr. Corinne Hofman introduced me to the renowned Dr. Jaime Pagán-Jiménez, or as he prefers simply Jaime. He taught me techniques used to address questions of human-plant interactions and how to research phytocultural dynamics. Before beginning this quest, I never imagined the beauty of looking through the microscope at ancient plant remains and the ensuing interpretations of culinary practices. The

foodways approach for archaeobotanical investigations is knowledge I am now able to share with the world and take an immense pleasure with this responsibility.

Acknowledgments

The niche I have constructed would not have been possible without the context it was manifested from and that is why I first want to thank my supervisor; Professor dr. Corinne Hofman who has successfully challenged the boundaries of her discipline. Her influence, guidance, and charisma have caused me to reach for the moon and land amongst the stars. I am also thankful for the encouragement, input, advice, motivation, and intellectual support of my co-promotor, Dr. Jaime Pagán-Jiménez. Without his guidance, this dissertation would not have materialized, but more importantly the hours of conversations, family dinners, and comradery helped me persist through the trials and tribulations of attaining a PhD.

I have been indebted to the faculty of archaeology and the Caribbean Research Group at Leiden University for their countless hours of shared intellectual experiences, riveting debates, and advice. To Dr. Pete Sinelli, ten years ago he agreed to take on a daring task—converting a previous business student, then Maya archaeologist, into a Caribbean archaeologist, thank you Dr. Sinelli for sharing time with me chewing the mud in the field and showing me the ropes for providing education to future archaeologists.

I thank the present-day people of the Greater Caribbean for the opportunities to carry out this research. Their historical legacies are passionately admired. More specifically, I have a big thank you to the Antiquities, Monuments, and Museum Corporation in The Bahamas, Eric Salamanca, from the Department of Environment and Coastal Resources (DECR) in Providenciales. I am forever grateful to the many participants of the excavations in Dominican Republic, The Bahamas, Turks & Caicos Islands, and Nicaragua. Also, I thank the Nicaragua government administration facilitated by the Instituto Nicaragüense de Cultura, under the technical supervision of the Dirección Nacional de Arqueología.

Much of this work was made possible through funding from the ERC-Synergy NEXUS1492 project that received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC grant agreement n° 319209. This dissertation was also made possible due to a study trip fund from Leiden University, which was sponsored by the Leiden University Fund/ Byvanck Fonds. The Naturalis biodiversity center was helpful by providing samples to add to the starch reference collection, they deserve a lot of praise for their tedious task of maintaining an enormous national collection.

I am grateful the members of the reading committee—Prof. dr. Annelou van Gijn, Dr. Amanda Henry, Prof. dr. Corie Bakels, Prof. dr. Tinde van Andel, Dr. Mary Jane Berman, and all the anonymous reviewers of the articles for their insightful and constructive feedback.

A considerable thank you goes to the people who played a significant role in the coordination and administration of my education Dr. Arelis Arocho Montes (also, for her delicious home cooking and guidance based upon her own research and life experiences), Ilone, Dr. Maribel Adame, and Dr. Roswitha Manning. Dr. Mike Field took on the mission of providing an overview of archaeobotany for which my (at the time) green ears were thankful. Erica van Hees always provided words of encouragement, kept a clean lab, lead fun bike rides to Meijendel for

botany excursions, and provided many essential cookies during coffee breaks. A special place will always be held for friends who became coauthors and coauthors who became friends—Natalia Donner, Dr. Bill Keegan, Dr. Alex Geurds, and Mike Pateman. My paranymps Dr. Joseph Sony Jean and Weiya Li will always hold a special place in my experiences at Leiden University for being outstanding colleagues, amazing archaeologists, and dear friends.

The people I have shared an office with Dr. Jana Pesoutová, Dr. Tom Breukel, Gene, Carlos, Nacho, Marlieke, and Zara constantly encouraged me and provided significant comradery. As did the rest of my friends in the Netherlands: Alex, Alice, Alvaro, Dr. Amy Strecker, Ana (I've just seen a face), Dr. Andrew Sorensen, Dr. Andrzej Antczak, Angus Martin, Dr. Angus Mol, Anika, April, Dr. Arie Boomert, Arlene, Dr. Becki Scott, Cameron, Catarina, Christian, Dr. Csilla Ariese, Daniel, Devon, Dominique, Dr. Eduardo Herrera-Malatesta, Dr. Eldris Con Aguilar, Dr. Eloise Stancioff, Emma de Mooij, Dr. Emma Slayton, Eric, Dr. Felicia Fricke, Femke, Finn, Floris, Dr. Genner Ortiz, Dr. Hayley Mickleburgh, Heidi, Irini, Julian, Dr. Jason Laffoon, Dr. Jay Havisier, Jay, Dr. Jimmy Mans, Dr. Jorge Ulloa-Hung, Katarina Katarina, Kaz, Kirsten, Kwinten, Dr. Lewis Borck, Lorenzo, Loe, Lou, Dr. Marlena Antczak, Dr. Meliam Gaspar, Dr. Menno Hoogland, Mireia, Dr. Noa Corcoran-Tadd, Dr. Olivier Carraz, Dr. Pauline Kulstad Gonzalez, Riia, Sahirine, Sam, Simone, Sjors, Sophie, Sven, Tibisay, Dr. Till Sonnemann, Tomomi, Dr. Udittha Jinadasa, Uli, Valerio, Wouter. Thank you also to my friends outside the Netherlands: Kurt, Dr. Arlen Chase, Tr3, Swamburger, Jeff, Brandon, Justin, Alex, Ashley, Nick, Samantha, Paul, Micah, and Jen.



Andy learning culinary practices

Finally, a huge thank you is due to my parents and sister, Gretchen, Joe, and Kellie all of them provided love, support, and guidance during times where I may have fallen but they were there to encourage me to get back up and keep going to challenge the limits of the unknown.

Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Preface | v |
| Acknowledgments | vii |
| Chapter 1 Setting the Scene | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 What is paleoethnobotany? | 3 |
| 1.3 A brief synopsis of Greater Caribbean archaeobotanical investigations | 5 |
| 1.4 Approaching archaeobotanical investigation to reveal culinary practices | 10 |
| 1.5 Theoretical framework | 10 |
| 1.6 Methodology | 12 |
| 1.7 Aims | 13 |
| 1.7.1 Primary aims: | 14 |
| 1.7.2 Primary research question: | 14 |
| 1.8 Case studies and dissertation outline | 15 |
| 1.9 References | 18 |
| Chapter 2 Determining precolonial botanical foodways: Starch recovery and analysis, Long Island, The Bahamas | 39 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 39 |
| 2.2 Archaeological background site LN-101 | 42 |
| 2.3 Methods | 45 |
| 2.3.1 Taxonomic ascription of the recovered starch grains | 47 |
| 2.4 Results | 49 |
| 2.4.1 Artifact Kr2 | 51 |
| 2.4.2 Artifact Kr3 | 53 |
| 2.4.3 Artifact Kr4 | 56 |
| 2.4.4 Artifact Kr7 | 58 |
| 2.4.5 Artifacts Kr1, Kr5, Kr6, and Kr8 | 61 |
| 2.5 Discussion | 62 |
| 2.6 Conclusions | 64 |
| 2.7 Acknowledgements | 65 |
| 2.8 References | 66 |
| Chapter 3 Starchy Shells: Residue analysis of precolonial northern Caribbean culinary practices | 79 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 80 |
| 3.2 Archaeological Background | 81 |
| 3.3 Materials and methods | 84 |
| 3.3.1 Starch extraction | 86 |
| 3.3.2 Starch identification | 89 |
| 3.4 Results | 90 |
| 3.5 Discussion | 96 |
| 3.6 Conclusions | 99 |
| 3.7 Acknowledgements | 100 |
| 3.8 References | 100 |
| Chapter 4 Late precolonial culinary practices: Starch analysis on griddles from the northern Caribbean | 111 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 112 |
| 4.2 Regional Background | 114 |
| 4.3 Archaeological Settings | 118 |
| 4.3.1 Northern Dominican Republic | 118 |
| 4.3.2 The Bahamas and Turks & Caicos Islands | 119 |
| 4.4 Scope of Study | 121 |
| 4.5 Materials and Methods | 123 |
| 4.5.1 Artifact management and sample extraction | 123 |
| 4.5.2 Heavy density liquid separation for the recovery of ancient starches | 127 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 4.5.3 Taxonomic identification of the recovered starch grains..... | 127 |
| 4.6 Results | 129 |
| 4.7 Discussion | 135 |
| 4.8 Final Remarks..... | 140 |
| 4.9 Acknowledgements | 142 |
| 4.10 Funding Information..... | 142 |
| 4.11 Open Access..... | 142 |
| 4.12 References | 143 |
| Chapter 5 Uses of pre-Hispanic kitchenware from central Nicaragua: Implications for understanding botanical foodways | 163 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 164 |
| 5.2 Regional setting..... | 167 |
| 5.3 Material and methods | 168 |
| 5.3.1 Artifact management | 168 |
| 5.3.2 Artifact sample extractions | 169 |
| 5.3.3 Heavy density liquid separation for the recovery of starches | 171 |
| 5.4 Results | 173 |
| 5.4.1 Artifact Sample-1 | 175 |
| 5.4.2 Artifact Sample-2 | 175 |
| 5.4.3 Artifact Sample-3 | 176 |
| 5.4.4 Artifact Sample-4 | 177 |
| 5.4.5 Artifact Sample-5 | 179 |
| 5.5 Discussion | 181 |
| 5.6 Conclusions | 184 |
| 5.7 Acknowledgements | 185 |
| 5.8 Funding information..... | 185 |
| 5.9 Open Access..... | 186 |
| 5.10 References | 186 |
| Chapter 6 Final Thoughts | 197 |
| 6.1 Starchy foodways prior to the advent of European invasions..... | 197 |
| 6.2 Local site culinary practices | 198 |
| 6.3 Regional views of botanical culinary practices | 201 |
| 6.4 Reconsidering late precolonial botanical foodways | 204 |
| 6.5 Summary and implications of primary outcomes | 205 |
| 6.6 Limitations | 207 |
| 6.7 Future research | 209 |
| 6.8 Final remarks..... | 211 |
| 6.8.1 Proposed phytocultural organization | 211 |
| 6.9 References | 213 |
| Appendix | 225 |
| Summary | 233 |
| Nederlandse Samenvatting | 235 |
| Curriculum vitae..... | 237 |

