

# Predictive Biomarkers for Endocrine Therapy: Retrospective Study in Tamoxifen and Exemestane Adjuvant Multinational (TEAM) Trial

Roseweir, A.K.; Bennett, L.; Dickson, A.; Cheng, K.; Quintayo, M.A.; Bayani, J.; ... ; Edwards, J.

### Citation

Roseweir, A. K., Bennett, L., Dickson, A., Cheng, K., Quintayo, M. A., Bayani, J., ... Edwards, J. (2018). Predictive Biomarkers for Endocrine Therapy: Retrospective Study in Tamoxifen and Exemestane Adjuvant Multinational (TEAM) Trial. *Jnci: Journal Of The National Cancer Institute*, *110*(6), 616-627. doi:10.1093/jnci/djx255

Version:Not Applicable (or Unknown)License:Leiden University Non-exclusive licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/86861

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# Predictive Biomarkers for Endocrine Therapy: Retrospective Study in Tamoxifen and Exemestane Adjuvant Multinational (TEAM) Trial

Antonia K Roseweir<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Lindsay Bennett<sup>a,b</sup>, Ashley Dickson<sup>b</sup>, Kelvin Cheng<sup>b</sup>, Mary-Anne Quintayo<sup>c</sup>, Jane Bayani<sup>c</sup>, Donald C McMillan<sup>a</sup>, Paul G Horgan<sup>a</sup>, Cornelis JH van de Velde<sup>d</sup>, Caroline Seynaeve<sup>e</sup>, Annette Hasenburg<sup>f</sup>, Dirk G Kieback<sup>g</sup>, Christos Markopoulos<sup>h</sup>, Luc Y Dirix<sup>i</sup>, Daniel W Rea<sup>j</sup>, Elizabeth A Mallon<sup>k</sup>, John MS Bartlett<sup>c</sup>, Joanne Edwards<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Medicine, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

<sup>b</sup>Institute of Cancer Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

°Ontario Institute of Cancer Research, MaRS Institute, Toronto, Canada

<sup>d</sup>Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, Netherlands

<sup>e</sup>Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Rotterdam, Netherlands

<sup>f</sup>University Hospital Mainz, Mainz, Germany

<sup>g</sup> Helios Medical Centre, Schleswig, Germany

<sup>h</sup>Athens University Medical School, Athens, Greece

<sup>i</sup>St Augustinus Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium

<sup>j</sup>University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

<sup>k</sup>Department of Pathology, Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow, UK

\*Corresponding Author: Dr Antonia Roseweir, Institute of Cancer Sciences, University of Glasgow, Wolfson Wohl Cancer Research Centre, Glasgow, United Kingdom, <u>antonia.roseweir@glasgow.ac.uk</u>, 0141 330 8607

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Aromatase inhibitors improve disease-free survival compared with tamoxifen in postmenopausal women with hormone-receptor-positive breast cancer. The Tamoxifen and Exemestane Adjuvant Multinational (TEAM) trial compared exemestane monotherapy versus sequential therapy of tamoxifen followed by exemestane. The trial failed to show a significant difference between treatment arms. A robust translational program was established to investigate predictive biomarkers.

**Methods:** A TMA was retrospectively constructed using a subset of patient tissue from the TEAM trial (n=4631/9766). Immunohistochemistry was performed for biomarkers, classed into three groups: MAPK pathway, NF-kappa B pathway, and ER phosphorylation. Expression was analysed for association with relapse-free survival (RFS) at 2.5 and 10 years and treatment regimen.

**Results:** On univariate analysis,  $ER^{167}$  (HR 0.71 95% CI 0.59-0.85, p<0.001), IKK $\alpha$  (HR 0.74 95% CI 0.60-0.92, p=0.005), Raf-1<sup>338</sup> (HR 0.64 95% CI 0.52-0.80, p<0.001), and p44/42 MAPK<sup>202/204</sup> (HR 0.77 95% CI 0.64-0.92, p=0.004) were significantly associated with improved RFS at 10 years in patients receiving sequential therapy. Associations were strengthened when IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> were combined into a cumulative prognostic score (HR 0.64 95% CI 0.52-0.77, p<0.001). Patients with an all negative IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score, favoured exemestane monotherapy (OR 0.56 95% CI 0.35-0.90). On multivariate analysis, the IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score (p=0.001) was an independent prognostic factor for RFS at 10 years in patients receiving sequential therapy.

**Conclusions:** The IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score is an independent predictive biomarker for lower recurrence on sequential therapy. Negative expression may further offer predictive value for exemestane monotherapy.

#### INTRODUCTION

Treatment of breast cancer has evolved and tamoxifen is no longer the only adjuvant endocrine therapy available for postmenopausal women with estrogen receptor-positive (ERpositive) [1]. Third generation aromatase inhibitors (AIs, anastrozole, exemestane and letrozole) which induce suppression of circulating estrogens increase disease free survival in postmenopausal hormone receptor-positive breast cancer. Treatment with AIs for 5 years improved disease-free survival compared with tamoxifen for 5 years [2, 3]. In the Intergroup Exemestane Study (IES), patients who switched to exemestane after 2–3 years of tamoxifen had significantly improved disease-free survival and overall survival compared to those remaining on tamoxifen [4]. Therefore AIs alone or in sequence with tamoxifen are now recommended as adjuvant therapy for postmenopausal breast cancer [5].

Although currently there are established recurrence score such as Oncotype DX, Pam50 and the combined endocrine score [7-9], there are no biomarkers available to predict which patients will gain maximum benefit from each treatment strategy. NICE guidelines suggest that decisions should be based on discussions between the patient and oncologist, focussing on benefits and risks of each option, risk of recurrence and previous tamoxifen use [5]. Clearly biomarkers are required to aid clinician decision-making. The Tamoxifen and Exemestane Adjuvant Multinational (TEAM) trial compared exemestane monotherapy versus sequential therapy of tamoxifen followed by exemestane for a total of five years [10], providing an ideal cohort to retrospectively investigate biomarkers that predict patients most likely to benefit from either exemestane monotherapy or tamoxifen followed by exemestane. The TEAM trial did not show a specific benefit of one therapeutic regimen over the other, both after 5 and 10 years [10].

The current study investigated potential predictive biomarkers for endocrine therapy,

classed into three groups; MAPK pathway [11], NF-kappaB pathway [12], and phosphorylation of ER [13, 14] that have been previously reported as prognostic in postmenopausal hormone receptor-positive (HRec-positive) breast cancer.

#### PATIENTS AND METHODS

#### Patients and study design

The TEAM trial is a multinational, randomized, open-label, phase III trial in postmenopausal women with HRec-positive early breast cancer [10]. Women randomly received either exemestane (25mg) once daily for five years or tamoxifen (20mg) once daily for 2.5 years followed by exemestane for a total of five years. The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki, individual ethics committee guidelines, and the International Conference of Harmonization and Good Clinical Practice Guidelines. All patients provided informed consent.

From the 9766 patients from the TEAM trial, only five of the nine eligible TEAM countries (n=6210) agreed to provided tumour samples. 4781 patients had available tissue from surgical resections for tissue microarray (TMA) construction with linked clinicopathological data [15]. Of these 4631 patient samples were eligible for biomarker staining (figure 1). 86 patients were ER-negative, and 101 had cores missing for all stains and were therefore excluded leaving 4444 eligible patients for analysis.

#### Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemical expression of IKKα, p65<sup>536</sup>, N-Ras, Raf-1<sup>338</sup>, p44/42 MAPK<sup>202/204</sup>, ER<sup>118</sup>, and ER<sup>167</sup> was conducted on a TMA using the Benchmark XT (Ventana Medical Systems, Roche, USA) automated staining platform [15]. Stained TMA sections were scanned using a Hamamatsu NanoZoomer at x20 magnification and visualized on Slidepath Digital Image Hub (Leica Biosystems). If cores were missing or contained less than 10% tumour tissue they were excluded from analysis (figure 1). Assessment of cytoplasmic IKKα expression was performed by a single examiner (LB) blinded to clinical data at x20 magnification (total magnification x400) using the weighted histoscore and 10% double scored by JE. The interclass coefficient (ICC) was 0.95. All other proteins were assessed using an automated computer algorithm of the weighted histoscore for nuclear expression, except N-Ras and Raf-1<sup>338</sup> were cytoplasmic expression was assessed (Leica Biosystems). 10% of tumours were manually scored by a single examiner (JE) blinded to the clinical data. The ICC for each biomarker was ER<sup>118</sup> 0.79, ER<sup>167</sup> 0.99, p65<sup>536</sup> 0.98, N-Ras 0.96, Raf-1<sup>338</sup> 0.92, and p44/42 MAPK<sup>202/204</sup> 0.99.

#### Outcomes

The co-primary outcomes were relapse-free survival at 2.5 years (RFS; defined as time to earliest documentation of disease relapse or death due to breast cancer) and RFS at 10 years. Secondary outcomes included biomarker associations with clinicopathological factors and treatment regimen.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

The prospectively powered outcome analysis for this study compared high expression (approximately 50%) and low expression (approximately 50%). By using a two-sided  $\alpha$ =0.05 analysis and assuming a hazard ratio (HR) of 1.2 and a low expression prevalence of 50%, a sample size of >1000 patients gave >90% power to detect a treatment-biomarker interaction. Therefore the 4646 eligible samples from this trial population would be adequate to identify treatment-biomarker interactions, with at least 90% power.

Histograms were assessed for each protein and IKK $\alpha$ , ER<sup>167</sup>, Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and p44/42 MAPK<sup>202/204</sup> histograms determined that negative and positive expression was the appropriate threshold. p65<sup>536</sup>, N-Ras, and ER<sup>118</sup> were analysed using ROC analysis in a discovery cohort and validated using the current cohort, the following thresholds were determined for each protein: 25 for p65<sup>536</sup>, 100 for N-Ras, and 110 for ER<sup>118</sup>. SPSS (version 22) was used for

statistical analysis unless otherwise stated. Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test assessed associations between biomarkers, treatments, and clinicopathological features. Odds ratios compared biomarker associations with treatment regimens and were displayed as Forrest Plots with each biomarker as a separate study (RevMan 5.3). Kaplan-Meier and log-rank analysis compared RFS at both time points. HRs and CIs were calculated from univariate cox regression survival analysis. Multivariate cox regression survival analysis using a backward conditional elimination model and a significance threshold of p<0.01 was performed to identify independent prognostic biomarkers. The study conformed to the REMARK guidelines [16] and significance was set as p<0.01.

#### RESULTS

Of the 9766 patients from the TEAM trial treated for HRec-positive early breast cancer, 4444 patients were included in this study (figure 1). Patient characteristics are shown in table 1. In brief, 100.0% were ER-positive, 68.3% PR-positive, 39.1% HER2-positive, and 67.7% 60 years or older at surgery. 51.4% had grade II disease and 30.8% had grade III/IV disease. 57.6% were node positive and 8.9% had lymphovascular invasion. 2240 patients received exemestane monotherapy (50.4%) and 2204 patients received tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy (49.6%). The median follow-up of survivors was 8.8 years (range 1.0-13.9 years) with 1054 recurrences and 1161 deaths. When compared to the full TEAM trial, the characteristics of the patients were similar. However, the patients in the present study appeared to have higher grade, larger tumors and more nodal involvement. Nevertheless, the present cohort was a fair representation of the full TEAM trial.

Univariate analysis of biomarker associations with relapse-free survival (RFS) at 2.5 and 10 years are shown in table 2. ER<sup>118</sup> phosphorylation was not associated with RFS at either time point. However, ER<sup>167</sup> phosphorylation significantly associated with improved RFS at both 2.5 years (HR 0.73 95% CI 0.59-0.92, p=0.007) and 10 years (HR 0.82 95% CI 0.71-0.94, p=0.004). For the NF-kappaB pathway, p65<sup>536</sup> phosphorylation did not associate with RFS at either time point. However, positive IKK $\alpha$  expression significantly associated with improved RFS at 10 years (HR 0.80 95% CI 0.69-0.93, p=0.003). For the MAPK pathway, N-Ras did not associate with RFS at either time point. However, positive IKK $\alpha$  expression significantly associated of Raf-1<sup>338</sup> (HR 0.62 95% CI 0.48-0.81, p<0.001) and p44/42 MAPK<sup>202/204</sup> (HR 0.70 95% CI 0.66-0.86, p<0.001) associations with improved RFS were strengthened at 10 years.

A cumulative prognostic score of the NF-kappa B and MAPK pathways was examined (IKK $\alpha$  and Raf-1<sup>338</sup>). Patients with positive IKK $\alpha$  expression and phosphorylation of Raf-1<sup>338</sup> were classified as both-positive, patients with positive IKK $\alpha$  expression or Raf-1<sup>338</sup> phosphorylation as one-positive and patients with negative IKK $\alpha$  and Raf-1<sup>338</sup> expression as both-negative. A both-positive IKK $\alpha$  and Raf-1<sup>338</sup> score strengthened the association with improved RFS at 10 years (HR 0.78 95% CI 0.71-0.87, p<0.001) and 2.5 years (HR 0.77 95% CI 0.65-0.91, p=0.005). ER<sup>167</sup> phosphorylation was added to the cumulative prognostic score. Patients with negative IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> were defined as all-negative, patients with positive expression of one or two from IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> or ER<sup>167</sup> as one/two-positive and patients with positive IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> as all-positive. Patients with an all-positive IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score strengthened associations with improved RFS at 10 years (HR 0.73 95% CI 0.64-0.85, p<0.001).

Univariate analysis was performed for treatment by biomarker interaction and its associations with RFS at 2.5 and 10 years as shown in table 3. Patients receiving tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy had a significant improvement in RFS at 10 years with phosphorylation of ER<sup>167</sup> (HR 0.71 95% CI 0.59-0.84, p<0.001). This was not observed in patients receiving exemestane monotherapy (HR 0.96 95% CI 0.79-1.16, p=0.66). Similarly, patients receiving tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy had a significant improvement in RFS at 10 years with positive IKK $\alpha$  expression (HR 0.74 95% CI 0.60-0.92, p=0.005, figure 2A). This was not observed in patients receiving tamoxifen followed in patients receiving exemestane monotherapy (HR 0.86 95% CI 0.69-1.07, p=0.17, figure 2A). Furthermore, patients receiving tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy had improved RFS at 2.5 years when Raf-1<sup>338</sup> (HR 0.58 95% CI 0.41-0.83, p=0.003) or p44/42 MAPK<sup>202/202</sup> (HR 0.66 95% CI 0.49-0.89, p=0.006) is phosphorylated, which was not observed in patients receiving exemestane monotherapy (Raf-1<sup>338</sup>: HR 0.68 95% CI 0.47-0.98, p=0.03 or p44/42 MAPK<sup>202/204</sup>: HR 0.77 95% CI 0.56-1.06,

p=0.11). However, this different pattern between treatment regimens for Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and p44/42 MAPK<sup>202/204</sup> was lost by 10 years (table 3). No biomarker by treatment associations (figure 3) were observed at 10 years for either Raf-1<sup>338</sup> (OR 0.97 95% CI 0.83-1.12) or p44/42 MAPK<sup>202/204</sup> (OR 0.96 95% CI 0.83-1.11).

For the IKK $\alpha$  and Raf-1<sup>338</sup> score, patients with a both-positive score receiving tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy also showed a significant improvement in RFS at 10 years (HR 0.73 95% CI 0.63-0.84, p<0.001, figure 2B). However, when assessing biomarker by treatment interactions, patients with negative IKK $\alpha$  expression receiving tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy had shorter RFS than patients receiving exemestane monotherapy, although this did not reach significance (HR 1.24 95% CI 0.1.02-1.51, p=0.03, figure 4A). Furthermore, patients with a both-negative IKK $\alpha$  and Raf-1<sup>338</sup> score do significantly worse on tamoxifen followed by exemestane compared to exemestane monotherapy (HR 1.35 95% CI 1.08-1.68, p=0.009, figure 4B). These results were then confirmed with forest plots for biomarker by treatment interactions (figure 3), with both negative IKK $\alpha$  expression (OR 0.79 95% CI 0.63-0.99) and a both-negative IKK $\alpha$  and Raf-1<sup>338</sup> score (OR 0.72 95% CI 0.55-0.93) favouring exemestane monotherapy.

To assess how interactions between all three pathways associate with treatment regimen, the IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score was assessed (table 3). Patients receiving tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy with an all-positive IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score had significantly improved survival at 10 years (HR 0.64 95% CI 0.52-0.77, p<0.001, figure 2C). This was not observed for exemestane monotherapy (HR 0.70 95% CI 0.51-0.95, p=0.08, figure 2C). When assessing biomarker by treatment associations, patients with an all-negative IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score favoured exemestane monotherapy (OR 0.56 95% CI 0.35-0.90, figure 3).

Since IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup>, p44/42 MAPK<sup>202/204</sup>, and ER<sup>167</sup> associated with RFS and patient treatments, associations with common clinicopathological factors were assessed (Table S1). ER<sup>167</sup> phosphorylation significantly associated with lower grade (p=0.001), whereas IKK $\alpha$  significantly associated with lower nodal status (p<0.001) and Ki67 index (p=0.008). Raf-1<sup>338</sup> significantly associated with lower grade (p<0.001), lower nodal status (p<0.001), smaller size (p<0.001), increased PR status (p<0.001), and decreased Ki67 index (p=0.004). Whereas p44/42 MAPK<sup>202/204</sup> significantly associated with lower age (p=0.001), lower grade (p<0.001), lower nodal status (p<0.001), and decreased Ki67 index (p<0.001), lower grade (p<0.001), lower nodal status (p<0.001), and decreased lymphovascular invasion (p<0.001).

IKKα, Raf-1<sup>338</sup>, p44/42 MAPK<sup>202/204</sup>, and ER<sup>167</sup> were then taken forward into multivariate analysis along with age, grade, nodal status, size, PR status and Ki67 index (table 4). In the full cohort, multivariate survival analysis for RFS at 2.5 years (n=2827) showed age (p<0.001), nodal status (p<0.001), size (p=0.002), and Ki67 index (p<0.001) were independent prognostic factors. Whereas multivariate survival analysis for RFS at 10 years (n=1963) demonstrated that age (p<0.001), size (p<0.001), HER2 status (p=0.001), and Ki67 index (p=0.006) were independent prognostic factors. Patients were then split by treatment regimen and multivariate analysis performed for each cohort (table 4). For patients receiving exemestane monotherapy, RFS at 2.5 years (n=1429) showed age (p=0.004), nodal status (p=0.001), size (p=0.003), and Ki67 index (p=0.001), size (p<0.001), size (p<0.001), and PR status (p=0.003) were independent prognostic factors. Whereas for patients receiving tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy, RFS at 2.5 years (n=1398) showed age (p<0.001), nodal status (p=0.008), and Ki67 index (p=0.001), size (p<0.001), and PR status (p=0.008), and Ki67 index (p=0.001), size (p<0.001), and PR status (p=0.008), and Ki67 index (p=0.001), size (p<0.001), and PR status (p=0.008), and Ki67 index (p=0.001), size (p<0.001), nodal status (p=0.008), and Ki67 index (p=0.001), size (p<0.001), nodal status (p=0.008), and Ki67 index (p=0.001), size (p<0.001), and PR status (p=0.008), and Ki67 index (p=0.001), size (p<0.001), and PR status (p=0.008), and Ki67 index (p=0.001), size (p<0.001), nodal status (p=0.008), and Ki67 index (p=0.001), size (p<0.001), and PR status (p=0.008), and Ki67 index (p=0.001) were independently prognostic. However, RFS at 10 years (n=983) showed age (p=0.001), size (p=0.001), ER<sup>167</sup> phosphorylation (p=0.002),

the IKK $\alpha$  and Raf-1<sup>338</sup> score (p=0.008), and the IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score (p=0.001) were independent prognostic factors.

#### DISCUSSION

The current study demonstrated that an all-positive IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score is an independent predictor of response to sequential therapy of tamoxifen followed by exemestane. Furthermore, an all-negative IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score is predictive for response to exemestane monotherapy. Utilizing IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> could ensure the most effective endocrine therapy regimen is administered to patients.

Bennett *et. al.* reported that high IKK $\alpha$  expression was associated with shorter RFS in ER-positive tamoxifen-treated patients [12]. In contrast, the present study reports that IKK $\alpha$  expression is associated with improved RFS in ER-positive patients receiving tamoxifen followed by exemestane. This discordance may be explained by differences in the thresholds employed; Bennett et al. employed the median whereas the present study used positive and negative, making direct comparisons difficult. Nevertheless, the data suggests that the addition of exemestane changes the prognostic power of IKK $\alpha$ . During long-term tamoxifen treatment (5 years), blockade of ER lead to higher free estrogen levels promoting the formation of an ER/IKK $\alpha$  complex to enhance transcriptional activity, which results in reduced RFS after 2 years as observed by Bennett *et al.* [17]. However, if exemestane is administered following short-term tamoxifen treatment (2.5 years), estrogen levels fall and the ER/IKK $\alpha$  complex is released to phosphorylate ER in a ligand-independent manner resulting in improved RFS as observed in the current study [17]. This is not observed in the exemestane monotherapy patients, as the initial formation of the ER/IKK $\alpha$  complex is required to improve RFS.

Of interest, McGlynn *et al.* reported that high Raf-1<sup>338</sup> was associated with shorter RFS on tamoxifen [11]. However, in the present study, patients that received tamoxifen for

2.5 years showed an increase in RFS, which was also observed for both treatments at 10 years. Again, this discordance may be due to differences in the thresholds used for the two studies; McGlynn et al. used the upper quartile whereas the present study assessed negative or positive, making direct comparisons difficult. Therefore it would appear that depending on the threshold employed slightly different results may be obtained. Of note, these studies are retrospective and may be subject to selection biases. However, other studies observed that when estrogen production is ablated, p44/42 MAPK phosphorylates ER<sup>167</sup> to induce transcription of alternative ER-dependent genes [18]. When tamoxifen is administered long-term, the partial agonist activity of tamoxifen causes activation of ER, which in turn activates Raf-1 causing increased tumor growth as observed by McGlynn *et al.* However, when estrogen production is ablated by exemestane alone or following short-term tamoxifen treatment, ER-independent phosphorylation of Raf-1<sup>338</sup> can promote p44/42 MAPK to phosphorylate ER<sup>167</sup> resulting in up-regulation of alternative gene transcription, conveying good prognosis to the patients as observed in the present study [19].

The cumulative prognostic score demonstrated that patients with both-positive IKK $\alpha$ and Raf-1<sup>338</sup> expression had a better prognosis on tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy compared to patients with negative expression of one of the biomarkers, suggesting it could be used as a predictive biomarker for patients receiving sequential therapy. Previous studies have demonstrated that IKK $\alpha$  can phosphorylate p44/42 MAPK suggesting IKK alpha may work with Raf-1 to enhance ER<sup>167</sup> phosphorylation [20]. To assess this ER<sup>167</sup> phosphorylation was added to the cumulative prognostic score. Associations with improved RFS were enhanced in patients receiving tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy, suggesting IKK $\alpha$ and Raf-1 independently phosphorylate ER<sup>167</sup>. This was confirmed in exemestane monotherapy patients as no improvement in RFS was observed suggesting the addition of the primed ER/IKK alpha complex enhances the beneficial effects of ER<sup>167</sup>. This data suggest that an all-positive IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score could be utilized as a predictive biomarker for lower recurrence in patients receiving tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy.

Conversely, patients with an all-negative IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score favored exemestane monotherapy with significantly improved RFS. This suggests that when IKK $\alpha$  and Raf-1 are not present, the build-up of free estrogens during tamoxifen treatment promote tumour progression by competing for ER and exemestane is unable to stop this detrimental effect without IKK $\alpha$  and Raf-1. However, in the exemestane monotherapy patients, estrogens never activate ER, inhibiting tumour recurrence. These results suggest that an all-negative IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score might be utilized to select patients for exemestane monotherapy.

The present study also assesses HER2 status and uses 10-year follow-up, which was not available when the trial was originally reported. The main limitation of this study is its retrospective nature and that of the 9766 patients included in the TEAM trial, only 4444 patients had tissue available for use in this study. Furthermore, of the 4444 patient in the present cohort, only 2827 were included in the multivariate analysis due to missing data. However, even with these limitations the IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score was demonstrated to predict recurrence in postmenopausal breast cancer patients treated with endocrine therapy. Patients with an all-positive score should be treated with sequential therapy of tamoxifen followed by exemestane, whereas patients with an all-negative score should be treated with exemestane monotherapy. As the results describe predictive biomarkers for response to different endocrine therapy regimens and not prognostic biomarkers of general recurrence, it is not clear how the present work may be incorporated into existing recurrence models.

In conclusion, although validation is warranted in other translational studies of comparative clinical trial patients, utilizing the IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score could ensure the most effective endocrine therapy regimen is administered to patients most likely to gain maximum benefit. Furthermore, this cumulative score is readily translatable to the clinical scenario as it utilizes techniques already in daily use and is scored as negative or positive which is easily automated within this setting. These observations may only apply to a minority of patients, however applying the correct treatment at the correct time is a key goal in personalised medicine and is likely to improve the outcome of patients with breast cancer.

**Funding:** This work was supported by Ontario Institute of Cancer Research, Western Infirmary Breast Cancer Research Fund and European Association of Cancer Research.

#### REFERENCES

1. Shaikh AJ, Kumar S, Raza S, *et al.* Adjuvant Hormonal Therapy in Postmenopausal Women with Breast Cancer: Physician's Choices. Int J Breast Cancer 2012;2012:849592.

2. Arimidex TAoiCTG, Forbes JF, Cuzick J, *et al.* Effect of anastrozole and tamoxifen as adjuvant treatment for early-stage breast cancer: 100-month analysis of the ATAC trial. Lancet Oncol 2008;9(1):45-53.

3. Coates AS, Keshaviah A, Thurlimann B, *et al.* Five years of letrozole compared with tamoxifen as initial adjuvant therapy for postmenopausal women with endocrine-responsive early breast cancer: update of study BIG 1-98. J Clin Oncol 2007;25(5):486-92.

4. Coombes RC, Kilburn LS, Snowdon CF, *et al.* Survival and safety of exemestane versus tamoxifen after 2-3 years' tamoxifen treatment (Intergroup Exemestane Study): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2007;369(9561):559-70.

5.<a href="https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg80/chapter/1-guidance#footnote\_10">https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg80/chapter/1-guidance#footnote\_10</a>, 16thFebruary 2017.

 Lukong KE. Understanding breast cancer - The long and winding road. BBA Clin 2017;7:64-77.

7. Carlson JJ, Roth JA. The impact of the Oncotype Dx breast cancer assay in clinical practice: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2013;141(1):13-22.

8. Chia SK, Bramwell VH, Tu D, *et al.* A 50-gene intrinsic subtype classifier for prognosis and prediction of benefit from adjuvant tamoxifen. Clin Cancer Res 2012;18(16):4465-72.

9. Campbell EJ, Tesson M, Doogan F, *et al.* The combined endocrine receptor in breast cancer, a novel approach to traditional hormone receptor interpretation and a better discriminator of outcome than ER and PR alone. Br J Cancer 2016;115(8):967-973.

18

10. van de Velde CJ, Rea D, Seynaeve C, *et al.* Adjuvant tamoxifen and exemestane in early breast cancer (TEAM): a randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet 2011;377(9762):321-31.

11. McGlynn LM, Kirkegaard T, Edwards J, *et al.* Ras/Raf-1/MAPK pathway mediates response to tamoxifen but not chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. Clin Cancer Res 2009;15(4):1487-95.

12. Bennett L, Quinn J, McCall P, *et al.* High IKKalpha expression is associated with reduced time to recurrence and cancer specific survival in oestrogen receptor (ER)-positive breast cancer. Int J Cancer 2016; 10.1002/ijc.30578.

13. Cheng KK, Dickson A, Gujam FJ, *et al.* The relationship between oestrogen receptoralpha phosphorylation and the tumour microenvironment in patients with primary operable ductal breast cancer. Histopathology 2016; 10.1111/his.13134.

14. Murphy LC, Seekallu SV, Watson PH. Clinical significance of estrogen receptor phosphorylation. Endocr Relat Cancer 2011;18(1):R1-14.

15. Bartlett JM, Brookes CL, Robson T, *et al.* Estrogen receptor and progesterone receptor as predictive biomarkers of response to endocrine therapy: a prospectively powered pathology study in the Tamoxifen and Exemestane Adjuvant Multinational trial. J Clin Oncol 2011;29(12):1531-8.

16. McShane LM, Altman DG, Sauerbrei W, *et al.* REporting recommendations for tumour MARKer prognostic studies (REMARK). Br J Cancer 2005;93(4):387-91.

17. Park KJ, Krishnan V, O'Malley BW, *et al.* Formation of an IKKalpha-dependent transcription complex is required for estrogen receptor-mediated gene activation. Mol Cell 2005;18(1):71-82.

18. Lannigan DA. Estrogen receptor phosphorylation. Steroids 2003;68(1):1-9.

19. Ross-Innes CS, Stark R, Teschendorff AE, *et al.* Differential oestrogen receptor binding is associated with clinical outcome in breast cancer. Nature 2012;481(7381):389-93.

19

20. Dhawan P, Richmond A. A novel NF-kappa B-inducing kinase-MAPK signaling pathway up-regulates NF-kappa B activity in melanoma cells. J Biol Chem 2002;277(10):7920-8.

#### FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1. Flow diagram showing criteria for exclusion of patients from study.

Figure 2. IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score as a prognostic biomarker for recurrence in patients receiving tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy. (A) Kaplan Meier showing RFS at 10 years for IKK $\alpha$  in patients receiving either exemestane monotherapy or tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy. (B) Kaplan Meier showing RFS at 10 years for the IKK $\alpha$ and Raf-1<sup>338</sup> score in patients receiving either exemestane monotherapy or tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy (C) Kaplan Meier showing RFS at 10 years for the IKK $\alpha$ , Raf-1<sup>338</sup> and ER<sup>167</sup> score in patients receiving either exemestane monotherapy or tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy.

**Figure 3. Biomarker associations with treatment regimen**. Forest plot for RFS at 10 years, showing whether a biomarker favours exemestane monotherapy or tamoxifen followed by exemestane therapy, in postmenopausal early breast cancer patients.

Figure 4. Both negative IKK $\alpha$  and Raf-1<sup>338</sup> score as a predictive biomarker for exemestane monotherapy. (A) Kaplan Meier showing RFS at 10 years for treatment regimen in patients with either negative or positive expression of IKK $\alpha$ . (B) Kaplan Meier showing RFS at 10 years for treatment regimen in patients with either a both negative or both positive IKK $\alpha$  and Raf-1<sup>338</sup> score.

	TEAM study	Pathology substudy				Biomarker subst	udy			
	(n=9766)	(n=6120)	(n=4444)							
	Patient No (%)	Patient No (%)	Patient No (%)			Univar	iate Analysis			
				RFS <sup>*</sup> 2.5 events	RFS 10 events	Relapse-free survi	val @ 2.5yrs	Relapse-free survival @ 10yr		
						HR <sup>+</sup> (95% CI <sup>‡</sup> )	p value	HR (95% CI)	p value	
Age						1.31 (1.16-1.49)	< 0.001	1.42 (1.32-1.53)	< 0.001	
<50	331 (3.2)	211 (3.4)	115 (2.6)	7	22					
50-59	3017 (30.9)	1874 (30.6)	1322 (29.7)	88	240					
60-69	3731 (38.4)	2373 (38.8)	1703 (38.3)	129	357					
>70	2687 (27.5)	1662 (27.2)	1304 (29.4)	146	435					
Grade	2007 (27.5)	1002 (27.2)	1501 (25.1)	110	100	1.27 (1.11-1.45)	0.001	1.15 (1.07-1.24)	< 0.001	
1	1677 (17.2)	616 (10.1)	520 (11.7)	33	91	1127 (1111 1110)	01001	1110 (1107 1121)	01001	
2	4795 (49.1)	3166 (51.8)	2284 (51.4)	168	529					
3-4	2438 (25.0)	1835 (30.0)	1369 (30.8)	150	371					
Unknown	856 (8.7)	503 (8.1)	271 (6.1)	19	63					
Nodal Status						1.62 (1.30-2.02)	< 0.001	1.52 (1.34-1.73)	< 0.001	
absent	5113 (52.4)	2604 (42.5)	1884 (42.4)	117	353					
present	4585 (46.9)	3494 (57.1)	2560 (57.6)	253	701					
unknown	68 (0.7)	22 (0.4)	0 (0)	-	-					
Size						1.95 (1.56-2.42)	< 0.001	1.97 (1.74-2.24)	< 0.001	
<20mm	5697 (58.3)	3028 (49.4)	2139 (48.1)	124	363					
>20mm	4047 (41.4)	3027 (49.4)	2172 (48.9)	237	662					
Unknown	22 (0.3)	65 (1.2)	133 (3.0)	-	-	1 1 4 (0 00 1 01)	.0.001	1.10 (1.00.1.01)	.0.001	
PR status absent	1724 (17.7)	1070 (17.5)	826 (18.6)	101	261	1.14 (0.99-1.31)	< 0.001	1.12 (1.03-1.21)	< 0.001	
	7301 (74.8)	4378 (71.5)	3034 (68.3)	224	658					
present not performed	741 (7.5)	4378 (71.3) 672 (11.0)	584 (13.1)	45	135					
HER2 Status	/41 (7.3)	072 (11.0)	564 (15.1)	43	155	1.21 (0.96-1.52)	0.11	1.22 (1.06-1.40)	0.005	
absent	_9	2796 (45.7)	1776 (39.7)	134	377	1.21 (0.90-1.92)	0.11	1.22 (1.00-1.40)	0.005	
present	-*	1908 (31.2)	1738 (39.1)	159	469					
not performed	-	1416 (23.1)	940 (21.2)	-	-					
Ki67 status	-		, ()			1.87 (1.48-2.36)	< 0.001	1.48 (1.29-1.71)	< 0.001	
low (<15)	_	2308 (37.7)	2277 (51.2)	156	489	1.07 (1.40-2.50)	<0.001	1.40 (1.29-1.71)	<0.001	
high (>15)	-	1064 (17.4)	1027 (23.1)	127	305					
unknown	_	2748 (44.9)	1140 (25.7)	-	-					
Treatment		2/40 (44.9)	1140 (23.7)			1.22 (1.00-1.50)	0.05	1.05 (0.93-1.18)	0.45	
exemestane	4898 (50.2)	3075 (50.2)	2240 (50.4)	1169	523		0.00		00	
tamoxifen then exemestane	4868 (49.8)	3045 (49.8)	2204 (49.6)	1201	531					
Lymphovascular Invasion						0.78 (0.56-1.08)	0.13	0.90 (0.73-1.10)	0.31	
no	-	3845 (62.8)	3727 (83.9)	299	881	(				
yes	-	403 (6.6)	394 (8.9)	40	101					
unknown	-	1872 (30.6)	323 (7.2)	_	-					

\*RFS = relapse-free survival; +HR = Hazard Ratio; +CI = Confidence interval; §-- = data not available

# Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients

	Number of Patients	RFS <sup>*</sup> 2.5 events	RFS 10 events	Relapse-free surviv	val @ 2.5yrs	Relapse-free survival @ 10yrs		
				HR <sup>+</sup> (95% CI <sup>‡</sup> )	p value	HR (95% CI)	p value	
phospho ER <sup>118</sup> (n=4218) low expression (<110 WHS <sup>§</sup> units)	3157 1061	266 86	777 218	0.96 (0.75-1.22)	0.73	0.83 (0.71-0.96)	0.01	
high expression (>110 WHS units)	1001	80	210					
phospho ER <sup>167</sup> (n=4133) negative expression (0 WHS units) positive expression (>0 WHS units)	1150 2983	115 222	304 670	0.73 (0.59-0.92)	0.007	0.82 (0.71-0.94)	0.004	
IKK alpha (n=3024)				0.86 (0.68-1.11)	0.24	0.80 (0.69-0.93)	0.003	
negative expression (0 WHS units) positive expression (>0 WHS units)	1579 1445	144 114	400 298	. ,				
phospho p65 <sup>336</sup> (n=4053) low expression (<25 WHS units) high expression (>25 WHS units)	857 3196	70 271	219 739	1.03 (0.79-1.34)	0.81	0.89 (0.77-1.04)	0.15	
N-Ras (n=4039) low expression (<100 WHS units) high expression (>100 WHS units)	3952 87	337 5	929 28	0.66 (0.28-1.61)	0.36	1.36 (0.94-1.96)	0.11	
Raf-1 <sup>338</sup> (n=4030) negative expression (0 WHS units) positive expression (>0 WHS units)	2797 1233	266 74	728 224	0.62 (0.48-0.81)	<0.001	0.69 (0.59-0.80)	<0.001	
p44/42 MAPK <sup>202/204</sup> (n=4055) negative expression (0 WHS units) positive expression (>0 WHS units)	2249 1806	217 125	590 369	0.70 (0.56-0.88)	0.002	0.76 (0.66-0.86)	<0.001	
IKK alpha and Raf-1 <sup>338</sup> (n=2980) both negative one positive both positive	1154 1158 668	115 102 37	316 254 116	0.77 (0.65-0.91)	0.005	0.78 (0.71-0.87)	<0.001	
IKK alpha, Raf-1 <sup>338</sup> and ER <sup>167</sup> (n=2904) all negative one or two positive all positive	339 2037 528	37 178 29	104 471 93	0.71 (0.56-0.89)	0.01	0.73 (0.64-0.85)	<0.001	

\*RFS = relapse-free survival; +HR = Hazard Ratio; +CI = Confidence interval; WHS – weighted histoscore

 Table 2. Univariate analysis of associations between biomarkers and RFS at 2.5 and 10 years in postmenopausal HRec-positive early

 breast cancer patients.

	Exemestane										Tamoxifen then exemestane			
	Number of Patients	of 2.5	RFS 10 events	Relapse-free survival @ 2.5yrs Relapse-free sur		Relapse-free survi	of		RFS 2.5 events	RFS 10 events	Relapse-free survival @ 2.5yrs		Relapse-free survival @ 10yrs	
				HR <sup>+</sup> (95% CI <sup>‡</sup> )	p value	HR (95% CI)	p value	_			HR (95% CI)	p value	HR (95% CI)	p value
ER <sup>118</sup>				1.22 (0.87-1.71)	0.26	0.87 (0.71-1.07)	0.19				0.77 (0.54-1.09)	0.14	0.78 (0.63-0.97)	0.03
low expression	1587	112	380	· · · · ·				1570	154	397				
high expression	550	47	115					511	39	103				
ER <sup>167</sup>				0.68 (0.49-0.95)	0.02	0.96 (0.79-1.16)	0.66				0.77 (0.57-1.05)	0.10	0.71 (0.59-0.85)	< 0.001
negative expression	594	55	141	· /		. ,		556	60	163	· · · · ·		· · /	
positive expression	1491	96	342					1492	126	342				
IKK alpha				0.80 (0.55-1.16)	0.23	0.86 (0.69-1.07)	0.17				0.93 (0.67-1.29)	0.66	0.74 (0.60-0.92)	0.005
negative expression	791	65	183			· · · · ·		788	79	217			. , ,	
positive expression	744	49	150					701	65	148				
p65 <sup>536</sup>	/	77	150	1.19 (0.79-1.79)	0.41	0.83 (0.67-1.02)	0.08	/01	05	140	0.93 (0.69-1.32)	0.69	0.97 (0.78-1.20)	0.76
low expression	422	28	112	(((())))	0111	0100 (0107 1102)	0.00	453	42	107	0.00 (0.00) 1.02)	0105	0107 (0170 1120)	0170
high expression	1623	127	362					1573	144	377				
N-Ras				0.26 (0.04-1.87)	0.15	1.22 (0.72-2.08)	0.46				1.10 (0.41-2.96)	0.85	1.55 (0.91-2.64)	0.10
low expression	1991	154	459	, ,				1961	183	470	· · · · ·			
high expression	48	1	14					39	4	14				
Raf-1 <sup>338</sup>				0.68 (0.47-0.98)	0.04	0.74 (0.60-0.91)	0.004				0.58 (0.41-0.83)	0.003	0.64 (0.52-0.80)	< 0.001
negative expression	1390	117	352					1407	149	376				
positive expression	641	37	120					592	37	104				
p44/42 MAPK <sup>202/204</sup>				0.77 (0.56-1.06)	0.11	0.75 (0.62-0.90)	0.002				0.66 (0.49-0.89)	0.006	0.77 (0.64-0.92)	0.004
negative expression	1103	93	286					1146	124	304				
positive expression	942	62	188	0.01 (0.(2,1.04)	0.10	0.05 (0.72.0.00)	0.05	864	63	181	0.74 (0.50, 0.02)	0.02	0.72 (0.(2.0.04)	-0.001
IKK alpha and Raf-1 <sup>338</sup>	570	40	127	0.81 (0.63-1.04)	0.18	0.85 (0.73-0.98)	0.05	504	(7	170	0.74 (0.59-0.93)	0.03	0.73 (0.63-0.84)	< 0.001
both negative one positive	570 590	48 46	137 132					584 568	67 56	179 122				
both positive	346	40	58					308	30 19	58				
IKK alpha, Raf-1 <sup>338</sup> and ER <sup>167</sup>	540	10	50	0.73 (0.51-1.03)	0.17	0.57 (0.70-1.04)	0.27	344	17	50	0.70 (0.51-0.95)	0.08	0.64 (0.52-0.77)	< 0.001
all negative	167	15	41	0.75 (0.51-1.05)	0.17	0.57 (0.70-1.04)	0.27	172	22	63	0.70 (0.51-0.95)	0.00	0.04 (0.32-0.77)	~0.001
one or two positive	107	80	229					1010	22 98	242				
all positive	271	13	49					257	16	44				

\*RFS = relapse-free survival; +HR = Hazard Ratio; +CI = Confidence interval

## Table 3. Univariate analysis of associations between biomarkers, treatment regimen, and RFS at 2.5 and 10 years in postmenopausal

HRec-positive early breast cancer patients

		(n=4444)	E	xemestane Onl	y (n=2240)		Tamoxifen followed by Exemestane (n=2204)					
	Relapse-free survival @ 2.5yrs (n=2827)		Relapse-free survival @ 10yrs (n=1963)		Relapse-free survival @ 2.5yrs (n=1429)		Relapse-free survival @ 10yrs (n=980)		Relapse-free survival @ 2.5yrs (n=1398)		Relapse-free survival @ 10yrs (n=983)	
	HR* (95% CI*)	p value	HR (95% CI)	p value	HR (95% CI)	p value	HR (95% CI)	p value	HR (95% CI)	p value	HR (95% CI)	p value
Age <50/50-59/60-69/>70	1.43 (1.23-1.67)	< 0.001	1.34 (1.20-1.50)	< 0.001	1.41 (1.11-1.78)	0.004	1.38 (1.18-1.62)	< 0.001	1.48 (1.20-1.83)	< 0.001	1.30 (1.11-1.52)	0.001
Grade 1/2/3/4	1.24 (1.04-1.48)	0.02	1.14 (1.01-1.29)	0.04	1.32 (1.01-1.75)	0.04	1.10 (0.92-1.31)	0.28	1.12 (0.90-1.40)	0.32	1.16 (0.97-1.37)	0.10
Nodal Status absent/present	1.78 (1.34-2.36)	< 0.001	1.39 (1.08-1.79)	0.01	2.02 (1.31-3.11)	0.001	1.36 (0.93-1.98)	0.12	1.65 (1.14-2.38)	0.008	1.26 (0.87-1.83)	0.22
Size <20mm/>20mm	1.54 (1.18-2.02)	0.002	1.70 (1.42-2.06)	< 0.001	1.75 (1.16-2.64)	0.008	1.82 (1.37-2.40)	< 0.001	1.44 (1.01-2.06)	0.04	1.61 (1.23-2.11)	0.001
PR status absent/present	1.13 (0.94-1.36)	0.20	1.17 (1.00-1.38)	0.05	1.33 (1.02-1.72)	0.04	1.46 (1.16-1.85)	0.001	0.96 (0.74-1.25)	0.78	0.98 (0.79-1.22)	0.86
HER2 status absent/present	_*	-	1.39 (1.15-1.62)	0.001	-	-	1.35 (1.04-1.79)	0.02	-	-	1.40 (1.06-1.85)	0.02
Ki67 status Low/High	1.87 (1.44-2.42)	< 0.001	1.33 (1.09-1.62)	0.006	1.96 (1.32-2.92)	0.001	1.37 (1.04-1.79)	0.03	1.78 (1.27-2.50)	0.001	1.32 (1.00-1.73)	0.05
phospho ER <sup>167</sup> negative/positive	0.88 (0.67-1.16)	0.35	0.79 (0.65-0.96)	0.02	0.78 (0.52-1.17)	0.23	0.99 (0.74-1.32)	0.92	1.00 (0.69-1.45)	0.99	0.65 (0.50-0.85)	0.002
IKK alpha absent/present	-	-	0.91 (0.76-1.14)	0.34	-	-	1.09 (0.83-1.42)	0.55	-	-	0.81 (0.63-1.06)	0.11
Raf-1 <sup>338</sup> (n=4030) negative/positive	0.71 (0.52-0.97)	0.03	0.85 (0.65-1.12)	0.26	0.72 (0.46-1.14)	0.16	1.01 (0.68-1.49)	0.98	0.81 (0.51-1.29)	0.37	0.66 (0.50-0.98)	0.04
p44/42 MAPK <sup>202/204</sup> negative/positive	0.92 (0.70-1.20)	0.53	0.92 (0.76-1.13)	0.45	1.21 (0.81-1.81)	0.36	0.90 (0.70-1.19)	0.46	0.70 (0.49-1.01)	0.06	0.98 (0.74-1.30)	0.90
IKK alpha and Raf-1 <sup>338</sup> both negative/one positive/both positive	0.82 (0.68-0.99)	0.38	0.89 (0.77-1.04)	0.13	0.79 (0.60-1.05)	0.11	1.03 (0.83-1.27)	0.80	0.81 (0.63-1.05)	0.11	0.76 (0.62-0.93)	0.008
IKK alpha, Raf-1 <sup>338</sup> and ER <sup>167</sup> all negative/one or two positive/all positive	-	-	0.81 (0.68-0.96)	0.01	-	-	0.97 (0.76-1.24)	0.83	-	-	0.67 (0.54-0.85)	0.001

\*HR = Hazard Ratio; +CI = Confidence interval, +-- = not included in analysis

Table 4. Multivariate analysis of associations between biomarkers, clinicopathological characteristics, and RFS at 2.5 and 10 years in postmenopausal HRec-positive early breast cancer patients by treatment