



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## Current choices in the treatment of cutaneous warts: a survey among Dutch GP

Bruggink, S.C.; Waagmeester, S.C.; Gussekloo, J.; Assendelft, W.J.J.; Eekhof, J.A.H.

### Citation

Bruggink, S. C., Waagmeester, S. C., Gussekloo, J., Assendelft, W. J. J., & Eekhof, J. A. H. (2010). Current choices in the treatment of cutaneous warts: a survey among Dutch GP. *Family Practice*, 27(5), 549-553. doi:10.1093/fampra/cmq047

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/117627>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# Current choices in the treatment of cutaneous warts: a survey among Dutch GP

Sjoerd C Bruggink\*, Sanneke C Waagmeester, Jacobijn Gussekloo, Willem J J Assendelft and Just A H Eekhof

Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands.

\*Correspondence to Sjoerd C Bruggink, Department Public Health and Primary Care, Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC), PO Box 9600, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands; E-mail: s.c.bruggink@lumc.nl

Received 18 December 2009; Revised 23 May 2010; Accepted 3 June 2010.

**Background.** GPs apply several treatments for patients with cutaneous warts. Available evidence recommends salicylic acid application.

**Objective.** We investigated whether current choices of GPs in the treatment of warts are in agreement with available evidence.

**Methods.** A nationwide random sample of 700 Dutch GPs received a postal questionnaire on their choices in the treatment of warts. In addition, factors that influence these choices, their view on the effectiveness of treatments and their view on the natural history of warts were assessed.

**Results.** The questionnaire was returned by 280 GPs (40%). Cryotherapy was first choice treatment in 73% of GPs for hand warts, 49% of GPs for plantar warts and 72% of GPs for warts on other locations. Salicylic acid application or the combination of cryotherapy and salicylic acid were used less frequently, followed by an expectant awaiting policy and (electro) surgery. Most important factors influencing their treatment choice were GPs' routine and GPs' views on effectiveness.

**Conclusions.** In contrast to available evidence, most GPs apply cryotherapy as first choice treatment of cutaneous warts. Pragmatic high-quality trials on the effectiveness of wart treatments conducted in primary care might solve this discrepancy between evidence and practice.

**Keywords.** Cryotherapy, evidence-based practice, general practice, salicylic acid, warts.

## Introduction

Up to one-third of primary school children have cutaneous warts.<sup>1</sup> The prevalence of warts presented to GPs over a year is ~2% in general population and adds up to 6% in school children.<sup>2,3</sup> Warts rank 11th in most frequently presented complaints and diseases in general practice.<sup>2,3</sup> However, subsequent wart treatment causes annoying side effects and often is as effective as expectantly awaiting. As a consequence, different treatment modalities are applied.<sup>4</sup>

Previous studies carried out nearly two decades ago showed that in general practice, if available, liquid nitrogen cryotherapy was most frequently applied. When cryotherapy was not available, topical salicylic acid was prescribed or patients were referred to dermatology clinics.<sup>5,6</sup> However, after these studies were conducted, wart management has changed considerably. Firstly, availability of liquid nitrogen has increased extensively and many general practices now have wart clinics in which cryotherapy is implemented. Secondly, the recent Cochrane review on topical treatments for

warts concludes that, although evidence is sparse and conflicting, salicylic acid is the most effective treatment option.<sup>7</sup> As a result, present guidelines recommend salicylic acid as first choice treatment of warts.<sup>8,9</sup>

We performed a survey on choices in the treatment of warts among GPs in the Netherlands in order to investigate whether current practice is in agreement with current evidence. We also explored GPs' views on effectiveness of treatment and natural history of warts in order to explicate their treatment choices.

## Methods

### Preparation

In April 2006, we enrolled GPs with different backgrounds for explorative semi-structured individual interviews on wart management. The interviews were moderated by two researchers. Field notes were discussed by all authors and translated into hypotheses. Sufficient information for this process was gathered after five interviews (two female and three male GPs,

experience ranging from 8 to 20 years, working in single-handed duo or group practice). Based on the results from these interviews, we constructed the postal questionnaire.

In June 2006, the postal questionnaire was sent to a random sample of 700 GPs from the GP register of the Netherlands Institute for Health Services Research.<sup>10</sup> Three weeks after initial mailing, GPs who had not returned the questionnaire received a reminder.

### Questionnaire

We clearly defined that all questions concerned patients with cutaneous warts, i.e. common warts or plantar warts, excluding genital warts or mollusca contagiosa. We asked GPs to estimate the percentages of patients treated with each of the various treatments in their practice (adding up to 100% in total), separately for patients with hand warts, plantar warts and other warts (warts on parts of the skin other than hands or feet). GPs could choose from the following treatments: cryotherapy, salicylic acid, combination of cryotherapy and salicylic acid, an expectantly awaiting policy, (electro) surgical removal or another specific treatment.<sup>4</sup>

We assessed factors, which influence GPs in these treatment choices, i.e. routine, scientific evidence, financial considerations, the balance between effectiveness and side effects, colleagues' opinions and practical/organizational considerations. In addition, we assessed the views on effectiveness of different treatments and their views on the natural history of warts. We graded these opinions using statements in the questionnaire according to 5-point rating scales. These answers were later dichotomized into 'effective' ('very effective' and 'effective' combined) and 'not effective' ('absolutely not effective', 'not effective' and 'moderately effective' combined) for GPs' views on effectiveness of different treatments and into 'agree' ('agree' and 'strongly agree' combined) and 'not agree' ('do not agree or disagree', 'disagree' and 'strongly disagree' combined) for GPs' views on the natural history of warts because five categories did not reveal additional information over two categories.

### Statistical analysis

We compared main characteristics of participating GPs with main characteristics of all Dutch GPs.<sup>10</sup> Results are displayed as percentages with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI). We used chi-square tests to compare categorical data. Data were analysed with SPSS Version 16.0 and Episheet, Version 2003.<sup>11</sup>

## Results

GPs' response rate was 40% (280/700). Participating GPs covered a practice population of ~550 000 citizens and were representative for the Dutch population

of GPs (Table 1). In total, only 9% (95% CI 6%–13%) of GPs did not have liquid nitrogen available and 20% (95% CI 16%–26%) did not use salicylic acid (Table 2). GPs estimated that 5% of their patients with warts were referred to dermatologic or surgical outpatient clinics.

Cryotherapy was most often used as GPs' first choice treatment for all warts, followed by salicylic acid application and cryotherapy/salicylic acid combination therapy (Table 3). Treatments with salicylic acid were more frequently applied in plantar warts compared to hand warts or other warts. For all warts, only 5%–7% of GPs used an expectantly awaiting policy as first choice and only few GPs used monochloroacetic acid<sup>12</sup> or duct tape<sup>13</sup> as first choice treatment. In other warts, 26 GPs (10%) used (electro) surgery as first choice.

Several factors influenced GPs' treatment choice: of all GPs ( $n = 280$ , missing data in  $n = 8$  to  $n = 23$  per factor), 59% (95% CI 53%–65%) was influenced by routine, 46% (95% CI 40%–52%) by the balance between effectiveness and side effects, 29% (95% CI

TABLE 1 Characteristics of participating GPs compared to all Dutch GPs

Characteristics	Participating GPs ( $n = 280$ )	All Dutch GPs <sup>10</sup> ( $n = 8495$ )
Male	60 (54–66)	66 (65–67)
Mean age in years (SD)	46.6 (8.7)	47.9 (8.3)
GP in urban practice	86 (82–90)	88 (87–88)
GP working in		
Single-handed practice	31 (26–36)	25 (24–26)
Duo practice	30 (25–35)	30 (29–31)
Group practice	40 (34–45)	45 (44–46)

Data are percentage of GPs (95% confidence intervals), unless stated otherwise.

TABLE 2 Aspects of wart management in general practice ( $n = 280$ )

Assistant regularly provides	
Oral information	82 (77–86)
Written information	17 (12–22)
Liquid nitrogen available in practice <sup>a</sup>	
Continuously	36 (30–41)
Intermittently	56 (50–61)
No	9 (6–13)
Salicylic acid prescription used	
Solution 31%–50%	23 (18–28)
Solution ≤30%	57 (51–62)
No	20 (16–26)
Mean percentage (SD) of treatments applied by	
Practice assistant	68 (36)
GP	32 (34)

Numbers are percentage of GPs (95% confidence intervals), unless stated otherwise. Data are missing for 52 GPs in information data, none in nitrogen availability data, 5 GPs in salicylic acid use and 1 GP in implementation data.

<sup>a</sup>Sum of percentages is not equal to 100% due to rounding off.

24%–35%) by evidence, 25% (95% CI 20%–30%) by colleagues' opinions, 21% (95% CI 17%–27%) by practical/organizational considerations and 5% (95% CI 3%–8%) by financial motives. Of all GPs, 71% (95% CI 65%–76%) considered cryotherapy to be effective versus 55% (95% CI 49%–61%) for salicylic acid, 66% (95% CI 60%–71%) for the combination therapy and 47% (95% CI 41%–53%) for an expectantly awaiting policy (Table 4). The GPs using cryotherapy as first choice treatment more often considered cryotherapy to be effective than GPs not using cryotherapy as first choice treatment ( $P < 0.001$ ).

According to 82% (95% CI 77%–86%) of GPs, warts are self-limiting and according to 34% (95% CI 29%–40%) of GPs, warts are very contagious. The percentages of GPs agreeing with these two statements did not differ between the GPs with an expectantly awaiting policy as their first choice and GPs with active treatments as their first choice ( $P = 0.83$  and  $P = 0.20$ , respectively) and did also not differ between the GPs who considered an expectantly awaiting

policy to be effective and those who considered an expectantly awaiting policy not to be effective ( $P = 0.076$  and  $P = 0.26$ , respectively). A majority of all GPs [73% (95% CI 68%–78%)] reported to advise patients with warts to expectantly wait when the inconvenience caused by warts is limited.

## Discussion

### Summary of main findings

Cryotherapy is the first choice treatment of warts among Dutch GPs. Salicylic acid is used less frequently and often in combination with cryotherapy. GPs' treatment choices are guided by their routine and their views on effectiveness rather than evidence or opinions on the natural history. Although GPs most often choose active treatments, they prefer an expectantly awaiting policy when inconvenience caused by warts is limited because they believe warts are self-limiting.

TABLE 3 GPs' first choice treatment of warts depending on location ( $n = 280$ )

First choice treatment	Location of warts					
	Hand ( $n = 278$ )		Plantar ( $n = 276$ )		Other locations ( $n = 266$ )	
	<i>n</i>	% (95% CI)	<i>n</i>	% (95% CI)	<i>n</i>	% (95% CI)
Cryotherapy	204	73 (68–78)	136	49 (43–55)	192	72 (67–77)
Combination of cryotherapy and salicylic acid	45	16 (12–21)	82	30 (25–35)	23	9 (6–13)
Salicylic acid	30	11 (8–15)	50	18 (14–23)	32	12 (9–16)
Expectantly awaiting	19	7 (4–10)	15	5 (3–9)	22	8 (6–12)
(Electro)surgery	4	1 (1–4)	8	3 (1–6)	26	10 (7–14)
Monochloroacetic acid	8	3 (1–6)	10	4 (2–7)	5	2 (1–4)
Duct tape	0	0 (0–1)	3	1 (0–3)	2	1 (0–3)

Data are numbers of GPs and percentage of GPs (95% confidence intervals). Data are missing for 2 GPs in hand, 4 GPs in plantar and 14 GPs in other warts. Sum of GPs is >280 and sum of percentages is >100% per location of warts because 10%–14% of GPs reported to apply two or three different treatments equally frequent.

TABLE 4 Perceived effectiveness of different treatments according to GPs' personal experience ( $n = 280$ )

Treatment	GPs personal experience		
	Effective	Not effective	No experience with treatment
Cryotherapy <sup>a</sup>	71 (65–76)	27 (22–32)	3 (1–5)
Combination of cryotherapy and salicylic acid	66 (60–71)	15 (11–20)	19 (15–24)
Salicylic acid	55 (49–61)	39 (33–45)	6 (4–10)
Surgical removal	42 (36–48)	37 (31–43)	21 (16–26)
Monochloroacetic acid	25 (20–31)	23 (18–28)	52 (46–58)
Duct tape	12 (9–16)	26 (21–32)	62 (56–67)
Homeopathy	3 (1–5)	59 (53–65)	38 (33–44)
Expectantly awaiting <sup>a</sup>	47 (41–53)	45 (39–51)	9 (6–13)

Numbers are percentage of GPs (95% confidence intervals). Data are missing for 1–15 GPs per treatment.

<sup>a</sup>Sum of percentages is not equal to 100% due to rounding off.

### Strengths and limitations of this study

This is the first quantitative study on choices in the treatment of warts after cryotherapy became widely available in primary care and after the Cochrane review on topical treatments of warts has been published.<sup>7</sup> Our sample of GPs was large and representative for all Dutch GPs. Moreover, we think that our results contain patterns that are likely to be similar in other countries in which patients with warts are primarily treated in general practice and liquid nitrogen is widely available.

A limitation of our study is the response rate of 40%. Although our response rate is comparable to response rates of surveys among GPs in literature,<sup>14</sup> and our responders in general did not differ from all Dutch GPs, the high preference for cryotherapy might be due to some selection bias. Perhaps, GPs interested in wart treatment and cryotherapy have responded more often. On the other hand, recall bias (cryotherapy is often applied by practice assistants and out of sight from GPs) and social desirability bias (overestimation of influence by evidence and underestimation of financial motives) could have played a role. However, GPs practice cannot be evaluated in a more careful way than we did.

### Evidence versus practice

Ideally, treatment practice reflects available evidence on effectiveness. According to the recent Cochrane review on topical treatments for warts, evidence favours the use of salicylic acid.<sup>7</sup> In contrast, our survey shows that GPs prefer cryotherapy over salicylic acid. The recent National Health Service (NHS). Health Technology Assessment's qualitative study on opinions with regard to the treatment of warts shows a similar trend as our survey: health professionals' opinions towards cryotherapy were quite positive and opinions towards salicylic acid were fairly negative.<sup>15</sup> This discrepancy between evidence and practice can be explained in different ways. Firstly and most importantly, recommendations on the treatment of warts favouring salicylic acid<sup>8,9</sup> do not have a firm evidence base since they are based on small low-quality studies. Direct comparison between cryotherapy and salicylic acid in the two available randomized studies did not show a difference in effectiveness.<sup>16,17</sup> In absence of clear and direct evidence, GPs' confidence in the effectiveness of cryotherapy could represent the actual competence of cryotherapy. As a consequence, we conclude in accordance with the Cochrane review that more randomized trials are needed.<sup>7</sup> Secondly, increasing availability of liquid nitrogen could have led to increasing demand for cryotherapy among patients.<sup>6</sup> GPs tend to act upon patient's personal ideas and treatment preferences when the natural history of the disease is favourable. Although GPs prefer an expectant awaiting policy when the inconvenience caused by warts is limited (as shown in our study), they may comply with the patient's demand for cryotherapy nonetheless. Lastly, it

has been suggested that GPs prefer cryotherapy because they financially profit from its implementation.<sup>18</sup> In our survey, however, only 5% of GPs report that financial reasons influence their treatment choice.

### Implications for future research

This survey clearly shows the discrepancy regarding the treatment of warts between available evidence and current practice. This may partly be due to the low quality of the underlying evidence, which is a common phenomenon in minor ailments.<sup>19</sup> Although non-adherence to guidelines based on low-quality evidence is of limited clinical importance for practice, it is of high importance for clinical research. Only pragmatic high-quality trials in primary care can solve this problem.

### Declaration

Funding: Netherlands organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMW, P06.034, approved by medical ethical committee of the Leiden University Medical Center). ZonMW did not have any influence in study design, collection of data, analysis, interpretation of data, writing of report or decision to submit the paper for publication.

Ethical approval: none.

Conflict of interest: none.

### References

- van Haalen FM, Bruggink SC, Gussekloo J, Assendelft WJ, Eekhof JA. Warts in primary schoolchildren: prevalence and relation with environmental factors. *Br J Dermatol* 2009; **161**: 148–52.
- Westert GP, Schellevis FG, de Bakker DH *et al*. Monitoring health inequalities through general practice: the Second Dutch National Survey of General Practice. *Eur J Public Health* 2005; **15**: 59–65.
- Office of Population Censuses and Surveys. *Morbidity Statistics from General Practice, Fourth National Study 1991–1992 (Series MB5 No 3)*. London: HSMO, 1995.
- Androphy EJ, Lowy DR. Warts. In Wolff K, Goldsmith LA, Katz SI, Gilchrist BA, Paller AS, Leffell DJ (eds). *Fitzpatrick's Dermatology in General Medicine*, 7th edn. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2008: pp. 1914–23.
- Keefe M, Dick DC. Routine treatment of cutaneous warts: a questionnaire survey of general practitioners. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1989; **39**: 21–3.
- Koning S, Bruijnzeels MA, van der Wouden JC, van Suijlekom-Smit LWA. Wratten: incidentie en beleid in de huisartsenpraktijk. [Warts: incidence and policy in General Practice]. *Huisarts Wet* 1994; **37**: 431–435.
- Gibbs S, Harvey I. Topical treatments for cutaneous warts. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006; **3**: CD001781.
- Sterling JC, Handfield-Jones S, Hudson PM. Guidelines for the management of cutaneous warts. *Br J Dermatol* 2001; **144**: 4–11.
- King-fan Loo S, Yuk-ming Tang W. Warts (non-genital). *Clin Evid (online)* 2009; **9**: 1–17.
- Muysken J, Kenens RJ, Hingstman L. *Cijfers uit de registratie van huisartsen—peiling 2006 [GPs' registration figures 2006]*. Utrecht, The Netherlands: NIVEL, 2006.

- <sup>11</sup> Rothman KJ, Boice JD. *NIH Publication 79: Epidemiologic Analysis with a Programmable Calculator*. Department of Health, Education and Welfare; Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, 1979: 1649.
- <sup>12</sup> Steele K, Shirodaria P, O'Hare M *et al*. Monochloroacetic acid and 60% salicylic acid as a treatment for simple plantar warts: effectiveness and mode of action. *Br J Dermatol* 1988; **118**: 537–43.
- <sup>13</sup> Wenner R, Askari SK, Cham PM *et al*. Duct tape for the treatment of common warts in adults: a double-blind randomized controlled trial. *Arch Dermatol* 2007; **143**: 309–13.
- <sup>14</sup> Hummers-Pradier E, Scheidt-Nave C, Martin H *et al*. Simply no time? Barriers to GPs' participation in primary health care research. *Fam Pract* 2008; **25**: 105–12.
- <sup>15</sup> Thomas KS, Keogh-Brown MR, Chalmers JR *et al*. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of salicylic acid and cryotherapy for cutaneous warts. An economic decision model. *Health Technol Assess* 2006; **10**: iii, ix-87.
- <sup>16</sup> Bunney MH, Nolan MW, Williams DA. An assessment of methods of treating viral warts by comparative treatment trials based on a standard design. *Br J Dermatol* 1976; **94**: 667–79.
- <sup>17</sup> Steele K, Irwin WG. Liquid nitrogen and salicylic/lactic acid paint in the treatment of cutaneous warts in general practice. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1988; **38**: 256–8.
- <sup>18</sup> Pockney P, George S, Primrose J *et al*. Impact of the introduction of fee for service payments on types of minor surgical procedures undertaken by general practitioners: observational study. *J Public Health (Oxf)* 2004; **26**: 264–7.
- <sup>19</sup> Eekhof JAH, Neven AK, Gransjean SP, Assendelft WJJ. Minor dermatological ailments: how good is the evidence for common treatments? *J Fam Pract* 2009; **58**: E2.