



Effect of storage of platelet concentrates in PAS-B, PAS-C or plasma on transfusion reactions

Journal:	<i>Transfusion</i>
Manuscript ID	Trans-2018-0350.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	09-Apr-2019
Complete List of Authors:	van Hout, Fabienne; Sanquin Research, Center for Clinical Transfusion Research; LUMC, Cardiothoracic surgery Middelburg, Rutger; Sanquin Research, Center for Clinical Transfusion Research Van der Meer, Pieter; Sanquin Blood Bank, Product and Process Development; Sanquin Research, Center for Clinical Transfusion Research Pors, Aad; Sanquin Research, Center for Clinical Transfusion Research Wiersum-Osselton, Johanna C.; Sanquin Research Schipperus, Martin; Haga Teaching Hospital, Internal Medicine Kerkhoffs, Jean-Louis; Sanquin Bloodbank, Research and development; van der Bom, Johanna; Sanquin Research Klinische Transfusieresearch
Key words:	Platelet Transfusion, Transfusion Complications- Non Infectious, Blood Management

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Effect of storage of platelet concentrates in PAS-B, PAS-C or plasma on transfusion reactions

Fabienne M.A. van Hout^{1,2}, Rutger A. Middelburg^{1,2}, Pieter F. van der Meer¹, Aad Pors¹, Johanna C. Wiersum-Osselton³, Martin R. Schipperus^{3,4}, Jean-Louis Kerkhoffs^{1,4}, Johanna G. van der Bom^{1,2}

1. Center for Clinical Transfusion Research, Sanquin/LUMC, Leiden, the Netherlands
2. Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands
3. Transfusion and Transplantation Reactions in Patients, Dutch National Hemovigilance and Biovigilance Office, Leiden, the Netherlands
4. Department of Hematology, Haga Teaching Hospital, The Hague, the Netherlands

Corresponding author/Reprint requests:

JG van der Bom, MD, PhD

Center for Clinical Transfusion Research

Sanquin, Plesmanlaan 1a

2333BZ Leiden, the Netherlands

T: +31-71-5685060

F: +31-71-5685191

E: j.g.van_der_bom@lumc.nl

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest relevant to the manuscript submitted to

TRANSFUSION

Total word count: 3,252 (text and tables)

Running head: Platelet storage time and reactions

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Reports on the clinical consequences of longer storage time of platelet concentrates are contradictory. The objective of this study was to assess whether longer storage times are associated with a higher risk of transfusion reactions.

STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS

We gathered storage times of pooled platelet concentrates related to transfusion reactions reported to the national hemovigilance office from 2004 to 2015. These were combined with storage times of platelet concentrates in the reference population to compare incidences of transfusion-associated circulatory overload, transfusion-related acute lung injury, allergic, febrile non-hemolytic and "other" reactions between storage time categories.

RESULTS

A total of 567,053 platelet concentrates and 1,870 transfusion reactions were analyzed. Among PAS-B-platelet recipients, the **odds ratio** of a storage time of 4-5 compared to 1-3 days was 1.60 (95% confidence interval 1.17;2.18) for allergic, and 1.47 (1.09;1.98) for febrile reactions. For PAS-C-platelet recipients, the **odds ratio** for allergic reactions was 3.78 (1.31;10.9) for 4-5 days, and 4.57 (1.57;13.4) for 6-7 days old platelets when compared to 1-3 days old units. In all other studied reaction types no statistically significant association was observed in platelets in plasma, PAS-B and PAS-C.

CONCLUSIONS

In plasma-platelets storage time was not associated with a higher incidence of transfusion reactions. In PAS-platelets longer storage time was associated with higher transfusion reaction incidences, in particular for allergic reactions with both PAS-fluids and febrile reactions with PAS-B. This indicates that the effect of storage time is different for different reaction types and depends on the storage fluid.

Keywords: platelet transfusion, storage time, transfusion reaction, allergic reaction, FNHTR, TRALI, TACO

INTRODUCTION

1
2
3
4
5 Platelet transfusions are used to provide hemostatic capacity in patients with a decreased number or
6
7 functionality of platelets.¹ However, platelet transfusions can cause adverse events as well, such as
8
9 allergic, febrile non-hemolytic, transfusion-associated circulatory overload, transfusion-related acute
10
11 lung injury and other reactions. All transfusion reactions cause some degree of inconvenience for
12
13 patients and involve increased costs, and may result in (severe) morbidity, or even mortality.^{2,3}

14
15 Therefore, over the past decades extensive effort has been made to identify the factors contributing to
16
17 the occurrence of transfusion reactions. Multiple factors, such as storage fluid, leukoreduction,
18
19 collection method (apheresis or pooled buffy coats), and storage time have been studied for their
20
21 roles in inducing transfusion reactions after platelet transfusions.^{2,4,5} As a result of these earlier
22
23 findings multiple improvements, such as leukoreduction, have been achieved in the production of
24
25 platelet concentrates.^{6,7} Nevertheless, platelet transfusions are still associated with relatively high
26
27 incidences of transfusions reactions.

28
29 Storage time has been associated with the accumulation of biological response modifiers, such as
30
31 inflammatory cytokines and chemokines.⁸⁻¹¹ Whether these changes also have clinical consequences
32
33 is not clear yet, as published results are contradictory.^{5,12} A recently published review paper
34
35 concludes that the risk of transfusion reactions was similar in old, compared to fresh, leukoreduced
36
37 units,¹³ whereas a more recent study, not included in the review, showed that prolonged storage of
38
39 platelets was associated with a higher frequency of inflammatory transfusion reactions, but not of
40
41 allergic reactions.¹²

42
43 This controversy indicates that a better understanding of the influence of storage time on the
44
45 development of transfusion reactions is warranted, and will create the opportunity to further improve
46
47 transfusion safety.^{2,14-17} Therefore, the objective of this study was to assess the association of storage
48
49 time of leuko-reduced buffy-coat platelets stored in plasma, PAS B, or PAS C with the incidence of
50
51 allergic reactions, febrile non-hemolytic reactions, transfusion-associated circulatory overload,
52
53 transfusion-related acute lung injury and "other reactions".
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study design

This nationwide, case-referent study evaluated the impact of storage time of platelets on transfusion reaction rates in the period from 2004 to 2015.¹⁸ Anonymized data, further described below, were obtained from the national hemovigilance organization 'Transfusion and Transplantation Reactions in Patients' (TRIP) and the national Sanquin database (eProgesa, MAKsystems, Paris, France). The reference distribution of the storage time of all transfused platelet units was estimated using a database, as described earlier, containing data of more than 100,000 pooled platelet units transfused in the Netherlands.¹⁹

Platelet products and storage time

All platelet products, pre-storage leuko-reduced by filtration, were produced and stored by Sanquin Blood Bank (Amsterdam, the Netherlands). About 90% of platelet concentrates were prepared using five ABO identical and Rh-D compatible buffy coats from whole blood donations. These five buffy coats, each containing up to 30 mL of plasma, were re-suspended either in plasma of one of the five donors (plasma-platelets; January 1, 2006 until December 31, 2015) or in platelet additive solution (PAS-platelets). Two types of PAS were used during the studied period: PAS-B (also known as PAS-2 or T-Sol, Baxter (Nivelles, France), January 1, 2004 - November 30, 2012) and PAS-C (also known as PAS-III or Intersol, Fenwal, a Fresenius company (La Châtre, France) December 1, 2012 - December 31, 2015). The remaining 10% of platelet units were collected by apheresis. Apheresis and hyper-concentrated platelet units were excluded from this analysis, since these are transfused for specific indications, including previous transfusion reactions. The maximum storage time was 7 days for plasma- and PAS-C-platelets and 5 days for PAS-B-platelets. The total numbers of distributed units per type of platelet product over the studied periods in the Netherlands were obtained from the national Sanquin database (eProgesa). The storage time of the platelet units involved in reactions was calculated by subtracting the date of the blood donation, based on the eProgesa database, from the date of transfusion, based on the TRIP database. The storage times were classified in the three categories 1 to 3 days, 4 to 5 days and 6 to 7 days.

Hemovigilance system

1
2
3 All data on the transfusion reactions were obtained from TRIP. TRIP is the hemovigilance system in
4 the Netherlands that has been operational since 2003.²⁰ Participation of a hospital is regarded as the
5 professional standard both in the national transfusion guideline and by the Healthcare Inspectorate.²¹
6
7 Since 2008, in accordance with European legislation, the reporting of serious reactions to TRIP, in
8 parallel to the Healthcare Inspectorate as competent authority, has been mandatory. Participation by
9 the hospitals has been over 90% each year from 2004.²⁰ We evaluated transfusion reactions,
10 reported between January 1, 2004, and December 31, 2015 for which the storage time of the platelet
11 units could be determined. The definitions of reportable reaction types have previously been
12 published.²⁰ These are mostly similar to the international definitions developed by the International
13 Hemovigilance Network in collaboration with the International Society for Blood Transfusion.²² In
14 these definitions, febrile non-hemolytic transfusion reactions (FNHTRs) and mild FNHTRs are
15 recorded separately, with FNHTRs being characterized by a temperature rise of $\geq 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ and/or rigors,
16 and mild FNHTRs by a temperature rise ≥ 1 and $< 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ without rigors. Mild FNHTRs were excluded
17 from our analyses, because not all hospitals report mild FNHTRs to TRIP. The reaction type “allergic
18 reaction” included both anaphylactic reactions and other allergic reactions. (Suspected) bacterial and
19 viral transfusion transmitted infections were excluded as these have been reported elsewhere.¹⁹ The
20 reaction type “other reaction” is a collection of reactions that do not fit in the definition of one of the
21 reaction categories defined by TRIP. Furthermore, only reactions with imputability “certain”, “probable”
22 or “possible” were included in our analyses. If multiple units of platelets were associated with a
23 reaction, the reaction was included only if the units were in the same storage time category.

24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 **Statistical analyses**

44
45 The association of storage time with transfusion reaction incidences was assessed separately for
46 each of the three platelet storage media (PAS-B, PAS-C and plasma), because the maximal storage
47 period and thereby the distribution of the storage times differs. Moreover, differences are observed
48 between the storage media regarding the associated transfusion reaction incidences. Most
49 importantly, there might also be effect modification by the storage medium. The effect of storage time
50 on transfusion reaction incidences was evaluated using logistic regression derived odds ratios with
51 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Hospital could be a confounder in our analyses, because hospital is
52 correlated both with the storage time distribution and with the reported transfusion reaction rate. The
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 distribution of storage time of transfused blood products might differ between hospitals because of
4 differences in location, scale, patient population and policy. The rate of reported transfusion reactions
5 of hospitals may be influenced by transfusion policy and practice, patient population, hospital
6 reporting instructions as well as culture. To correct for confounding by hospital, weighting was
7 performed based on the hospital's reporting tendency. As all Dutch hospitals use the same type of red
8 blood cell units, the incidence of reported transfusion reactions following RBC transfusions was used
9 as a measure for reporting tendency of the hospital. For university hospitals the incidences were
10 calculated separately for every hospital. As in several non-university hospitals the incidence of RBC
11 transfusion reactions is very low and often close to zero, which would give unstable and unrealistic
12 weights, the weight for the non-university hospitals was calculated for the whole group of non-
13 university hospitals. All incidences of the hospital (group) were divided by the national incidence, and
14 then the inverse of this ratio was used as a weight. The transfusion reactions were weighted by these
15 calculated inverses in our analyses. This can be summarized in the following equation:
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 *weighted incidence per hospital* =

$$\frac{\text{unweighted incidence per hospital}}{(\text{hospital RBC transfusion reaction incidence} / \text{national RBCs transfusion reaction incidence})}$$

RESULTS

Population

A total of 567,053 pooled platelet units and 1,870 transfusion reactions were analyzed in our study (shown in table 1). The majority of the 1,870 transfusion reactions, 1081 (57.8%), were allergic reactions, 547 (29.3%) were febrile reactions, 39 (2.1%) were cases of transfusion-associated circulatory overload, 24 (1.3%) were cases of transfusion-related acute lung injury, and 179 (9.6%) were classified as "other reaction". From 2006 to 2015 a total of 425,127 plasma-platelet units were distributed and during this period 1,472 transfusion reactions were reported to TRIP that followed transfusion of plasma-platelets. In the period from 2004 to 2012 a total of 96,669 PAS-B-platelet units were distributed, and 297 reactions were reported that were associated with PAS-B-platelet transfusions. From 2012 to 2015, 45,227 units of PAS-C-platelets were distributed, and 101 reactions associated with PAS-C-platelet transfusions were reported. The crude, unweighted incidences per storage fluid per storage time category and overall incidences are reported in the supporting information.

Storage time and transfusion reactions

For plasma-platelets the **odds ratios** per reaction type per storage time category compared to the reference category are shown in table 2. In patients receiving plasma-platelets no differences were observed between older and fresher units for any of the specified reactions. In PAS-B platelets, shown in table 3, the transfusions with older (4 to 5 day old) units were associated with more allergic reactions compared to the fresher (1 to 3 day old) units, **odds ratio** 1.60 (1.17;2.18). Also, the older PAS-B-platelets were associated with more FNHTRs than the fresher units, **odds ratio** 1.47 (1.09;1.98). No statistically significant differences were observed between older and fresher units in PAS-B for the other reaction types. In PAS-C-platelets transfusions with older units were also associated with more allergic reactions (table 4). Units with a storage time of 4 to 5 days (**odds ratio** 3.78, 1.31;10.9), as well as units of 6 to 7 days, **odds ratio** (4.57, 1.57;13.3), were both associated with more allergic reactions compared to the reference group. No statistically significant differences were observed between older and fresher PAS-C units for the other reaction types. The **odds ratios** found in the crude unweighted analysis were comparable to the results of the weighted analysis (supporting information).

DISCUSSION

In this nationwide study, older PAS-B-platelets were associated with a higher incidence of allergic and febrile reactions. Among PAS-C-platelets, the older units were associated with a higher allergic reaction incidence compared to fresh units. In plasma-platelets, no statistically significant differences were observed between fresher and older units with regard to any of the transfusion reactions.

Strengths and limitations

An important strength of our study is that it spans a period of 10 years and is nationwide, which means that it covers all patients who were transfused with pooled leuko-reduced platelets. Moreover, our analysis included over half a million platelet transfusions, which made it possible to analyze the different platelet products and reaction types separately. Furthermore, in our study it was possible to estimate the effect of storage time on transfusion reactions with great precision. A limitation of our study was that the distribution of the storage time of all transfused platelet units was estimated based on a subset of the Dutch hospitals. It is possible that this dataset is not completely representative for the source population. However, data of more than 100,000 transfusions are included of both university and large general hospitals located in different regions of the Netherlands. So, large, systematic deviations from the source population are unlikely. Also, not all transfusion reactions could be included in the analysis as not all storage times could be determined. However, we do not believe that this poses a considerable problem as we do not expect an association between the storage time of a product and the chance that the storage could be determined. **In our database no patient identifier was available, so it was impossible to correct for the potential influence of patient level dependency. However, it is unlikely that this had significant influence on the studied association as there is no reason to assume that both storage time and transfusion reactions cluster at patient level.** The TRIP data are based on passive surveillance of transfusion reactions. This is both a limitation, because not all reactions are detected and reported, and a strength, because the reactions that are reported are probably the most relevant reactions.

Plasma-platelets

It has been demonstrated that older platelets show *in vitro* deterioration and in transfused patients result in inferior laboratory measurements (like corrected count increments) compared to fresher

1
2
3 platelets.^{23,24} However, the clinical impact on patient outcomes, like transfusion reactions, is not clear
4 yet. A recent meta-analysis summarizing the effect of storage time on clinical outcomes concluded
5 that older platelet products were associated with more transfusion reactions.⁵ However, the increased
6 risk of reactions was not observed when leuko-reduced products were analyzed separately, which is
7 in agreement with our findings in plasma-platelets. As our study contains a considerably larger
8 sample size, our findings strengthen the plausibility of the earlier findings for plasma-platelets.^{25,26}
9
10 Another recent study showed that prolonged storage of plasma-platelets was associated with more
11 inflammatory transfusion reactions (including FNHTRs, TRALI, transfusion associated dyspnea and
12 atypical reactions), but not with allergic reactions.¹² Regarding allergic reactions this other study is in
13 agreement with our study. However, the association in this study between storage time and
14 inflammatory reactions was not confirmed in our study. In the other study not only storage time, but
15 also irradiation and the collection method apheresis were strongly associated with inflammatory
16 reactions. The finding that apheresis as collection method is associated with more transfusion
17 reactions than pooled leuko-reduced platelets is affirmed by others.² The fact that apheresis seems to
18 increase the incidence of transfusion reactions, may explain that this other study found an association
19 between storage time and inflammatory reactions in apheresis plasma-platelets while in our study we
20 found no association in pooled plasma-platelets.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 **PAS-platelets**

39 The findings of the earlier mentioned meta-analysis are not in agreement with our findings in PAS-
40 platelets. However, the meta-analysis pooled all results irrespective of reaction type; storage fluid;
41 collection method; which storage days were compared and the patient population.
42
43

44 In PAS-B-platelets we found that a longer storage time was associated with a higher incidence of both
45 allergic and febrile reactions. To the best of our knowledge our study is the first clinical study
46 regarding the effect of storage time on allergic and febrile reactions in leuko-reduced PAS-B-platelets.
47
48

49 For PAS-C-platelets we found that storage for 6 to 7 days was associated with a higher overall
50 incidence of transfusion reactions. In the SPRINT trial, both pathogen-reduced and conventional
51 apheresis platelet concentrates were analysed. Based on these data, a **odds ratio** of 2.36 (1.33;4.19)
52 was calculated in the previously described meta-analysis for 4 - 5 days old conventional platelets
53 compared to 1 - 2 days old conventional platelets in PAS-C.^{5,27} Although this study reported on
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 apheresis platelets and our study on pooled buffy-coat platelets, these findings are in agreement with
4 each other. Another recent study regarding platelets in PAS-E (SSP+) is less comparable, because
5 only absolute numbers were reported, and because different storage time categories were
6 compared.²⁸ In a study of platelets in PAS-F (Plasmalyte), a clear association between storage time
7 and transfusion reactions was demonstrated.²⁹ Not all these platelets were pre-storage leuko-
8 reduced, but the leukoreduction status was one of the factors that was considered in their statistical
9 model so the reported association between storage time and transfusion reactions was calculated
10 independently of the leukoreduction status. Although Plasmalyte is not the same storage fluid as
11 PAS-B and PAS-C, the findings about the effect of storage time on transfusions reactions are in line
12 with our results.

13
14 For PAS-B-platelets, storage time was statistically significantly associated with febrile reactions, but
15 for PAS-C-platelets the association was not observed. Only the **odds ratio** of the oldest category
16 pointed in the same direction, which may be due to a lack of statistical power in the analyses on PAS-
17 C-platelets, but it is also possible that this indicates that platelets in PAS-C are actually more stable
18 during storage.

31 32 33 **Clinical implications**

34
35 In conclusion, in plasma-platelets, storage time is not associated with a higher incidence of
36 transfusion reactions. In PAS-platelets, storage time is associated with higher transfusion reaction
37 incidences, in particular with allergic reactions in both PAS-fluids, and with febrile reactions in PAS-B.
38 Although in plasma-platelets no association was observed between longer storage time and more
39 transfusion reactions, the overall incidence of transfusion reactions following plasma-platelets is still
40 comparable to that of PAS-B-platelets and higher than that of PAS-C-platelets as we showed earlier.⁴
41 So regarding transfusion reactions it seems that platelets stored in PAS-C are the best option.
42 However, the fact that the incidence of transfusion reactions increases over storage time may mean
43 that also for PAS-C there is room for improvement.

44
45 For clinical practice not only the risk of adverse events like transfusion reactions, but also the
46 haemostatic efficacy of platelet concentrates should be taken into account. Earlier we showed *in vitro*,
47 in reconstituted whole blood, that the function of PAS-C-platelets seems inferior to the function of
48 plasma-platelets.³⁰ However, it is not clear whether this affects patient outcomes in clinical practice.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the employees of TRIP and Sanquin for the collection and management of the TRIP and eProgesa data and the Dutch haemovigilance officers for reporting transfusion reactions to TRIP.

For Review Only

Author contributions

F.M.A. van Hout designed the study, analyzed and interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript; R.A. Middelburg, P.F. van der Meer, J.G. van der Bom and J.L. Kerkhoffs contributed to the study design, and critically reviewed the manuscript; J.C. Wiersum-Osselton contributed to the acquisition of the data, to the study design, and critically reviewed the manuscript, A. Pors contributed to data management and study design, and critically reviewed the manuscript, and M.R. Schipperus contributed to the acquisition of the data and critically reviewed the manuscript.

For Review Only

References

1. Estcourt LJ. Why has demand for platelet components increased? A review. *Transfus Med* 2014;**24**: 260-8.
2. Daurat A, Roger C, Gris J, *et al*. Apheresis platelets are more frequently associated with adverse reactions than pooled platelets both in recipients and in donors: a study from French hemovigilance data. *Transfusion* 2016;**56**: 1295-303.
3. Janssen MP, van Tilborgh AJW, de Vooght KMK, *et al*. Direct costs of transfusion reactions - an expert judgement approach. *Vox Sang* 2018;**113**: 143-51.
4. van Hout FMA, van der Meer PF, Wiersum-Osselton JC, *et al*. Transfusion reactions after transfusion of platelets stored in PAS-B, PAS-C, or plasma: a nationwide comparison. *Transfusion* 2018;**58**: 1021-7.
5. Kreuger AL, Caram-Deelder C, Jacobse J, *et al*. Effect of storage time of platelet products on clinical outcomes after transfusion: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Vox Sang* 2017;**112**: 291-300.
6. Yazer MH, Podlosky L, Clarke G, *et al*. The effect of prestorage WBC reduction on the rates of febrile nonhemolytic transfusion reactions to platelet concentrates and RBC. *Transfusion* 2004;**44**: 10-5.
7. Oakley FD, Woods M, Arnold S, *et al*. Transfusion reactions in pediatric compared with adult patients: a look at rate, reaction type, and associated products. *Transfusion* 2015;**55**: 563-70.
8. Hirayama F. Current understanding of allergic transfusion reactions: incidence, pathogenesis, laboratory tests, prevention and treatment. *Br J Haematol* 2013;**160**: 434-44.
9. Goubran HA, Burnouf T, Stakiw J, *et al*. Platelet microparticle: a sensitive physiological "fine tuning" balancing factor in health and disease. *Transfus Apher Sci* 2015;**52**: 12-8.
10. Kaufman J, Spinelli SL, Schultz E, *et al*. Release of biologically active CD154 during collection and storage of platelet concentrates prepared for transfusion. *J Thromb Haemost* 2007;**5**: 788-96.
11. Rank A, Nieuwland R, Liebhardt S, *et al*. Apheresis platelet concentrates contain platelet-derived and endothelial cell-derived microparticles. *Vox Sang* 2011;**100**: 179-86.
12. Losos M, Biller E, Li J, *et al*. Prolonged platelet storage associated with increased frequency of transfusion-related adverse events. *Vox Sang* 2018;**113**: 170-6.

- 1
2
3 13. Kreuger AL, Caram-Deelder C, Jacobse J, *et al.* Effect of storage time of platelet products on
4 clinical outcomes after transfusion: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Vox Sang* 2017.
5
6
- 7 14. Oksanen K, Kekomaki R, Ruutu T, *et al.* Prevention of alloimmunization in patients with acute
8 leukemia by use of white cell-reduced blood components--a randomized trial. *Transfusion*
9
10 1991;**31**: 588-94.
11
- 12 15. Muylle L, Peetermans ME. Effect of prestorage leukocyte removal on the cytokine levels in
13 stored platelet concentrates. *Vox Sang* 1994;**66**: 14-7.
14
- 15 16. Oksanen K, Ebeling F, Kekomaki R, *et al.* Adverse reactions to platelet transfusions are
16 reduced by use of platelet concentrates derived from buffy coat. *Vox Sang* 1994;**67**: 356-61.
17
- 18 17. Heddle NM, Klama L, Meyer R, *et al.* A randomized controlled trial comparing plasma removal
19 with white cell reduction to prevent reactions to platelets. *Transfusion* 1999;**39**: 231-8.
20
- 21 18. Miettinen O. Estimability and estimation in case-referent studies. *Am J Epidemiol* 1976;**103**:
22 226-35.
23
- 24 19. Kreuger AL, Middelburg RA, Bank CMC, *et al.* Storage time of platelet concentrates and all-
25 cause bacteremia in hematologic patients. *Transfusion* 2017;**57**: 2096-103.
26
- 27 20. Wiersum-Osselton JC, van Tilborgh-de Jong AJ, Zijlker-Jansen PY, *et al.* Variation between
28 hospitals in rates of reported transfusion reactions: is a high reporting rate an indicator of
29 safer transfusion? *Vox Sang* 2013;**104**: 127-34.
30
- 31 21. CBO Blood Transfusion Guideline available at
32 [http://www.sanquin.nl/repository/documenten/en/prod-en-dienst/287294/blood-transfusion-](http://www.sanquin.nl/repository/documenten/en/prod-en-dienst/287294/blood-transfusion-guideline.pdf)
33 [guideline.pdf](http://www.sanquin.nl/repository/documenten/en/prod-en-dienst/287294/blood-transfusion-guideline.pdf) (accessed May 3, 2018)
34
- 35 22. <https://www.tripnet.nl/pages/en/documents/TRIP2014Hemovigilancedefinitief.pdf> (accessed
36 May 3, 2018).
37
- 38 23. Mittal K, Kaur R. Platelet storage lesion: An update. *Asian J Transfus Sci* 2015;**9**: 1-3.
39
- 40 24. Caram-Deelder C, Kreuger AL, Jacobse J, *et al.* Effect of platelet storage time on platelet
41 measurements: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Vox Sang* 2016;**111**: 374-82.
42
- 43 25. Kaufman RM, Assmann SF, Triulzi DJ, *et al.* Transfusion-related adverse events in the
44 Platelet Dose study. *Transfusion* 2015;**55**: 144-53.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 26. MacLennan S, Harding K, Llewelyn C, *et al.* A randomized noninferiority crossover trial of
4 corrected count increments and bleeding in thrombocytopenic hematology patients receiving
5 2- to 5- versus 6- or 7-day-stored platelets. *Transfusion* 2015;**55**: 1856-65.
6
7
8
9 27. Benjamin RJ GL, Lopez PI, Strauss R, McCullough J, Slichter S, J-S Lin. Fresh (1-2 day-old)
10 vs. aged (4-5 day-old) INTERCEPT platelets and conventional platelets provide comparable
11 count increments. However fresh platelets result in superior hemostasis: results of the
12 SPRINT trial. *Transfusion* 2003;**43**.
13
14
15
16 28. Kaplan A, Lindgren B, Marschner S, *et al.* Evaluation of the post-transfusion platelet
17 increment and safety of riboflavin-based pathogen reduction technology (PRT) treated platelet
18 products stored in platelet additive solution for 5 days or less versus 6-7 days. *Transfus Apher*
19 *Sci* 2016;**54**: 248-52.
20
21
22
23
24 29. Riccardi D, Raspollini E, Rebulli P, *et al.* Relationship of the time of storage and transfusion
25 reactions to platelet concentrates from buffy coats. *Transfusion* 1997;**37**: 528-30.
26
27
28 30. van Hout FMA, Bontekoe IJ, de Laleijne LAE, *et al.* Comparison of haemostatic function of
29 PAS-C-platelets vs. plasma-platelets in reconstituted whole blood using impedance
30 aggregometry and thromboelastography. *Vox Sang* 2017;**112**: 549-56.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Tables**
4

5 **Table 1 Crude number of distributed platelet concentrates and reported transfusion reactions**
6
7 **per storage fluid**
8

9

	Storage fluid		
	plasma	PAS-B	PAS-C
Transfusions			
Total number of transfusions	425,127	96,699	45,227
Mean storage time (days)	4.74	3.45	4.50
Median (IQR) storage time (days)	5 (3 - 6)	3 (2 - 4)	4 (3 - 6)
Reactions			
Total number	1,472	297	101
Per storage time category			
- 1 - 3 days	387	120	21
- 4 - 5 days	512	177	37
- 6 - 7 days	573		43
Mean storage time (days)	4.78	3.69	5.04
Median (IQR*) storage time (days)	5 (3 - 6)	4 (3 - 5)	5 (4 - 6)
Years included	2006 - 2015	2004 - 2012	2012 - 2015

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 * IQR interquartile range
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 2 Odds ratios (with 95% CI) for transfusion reactions after platelet concentrate transfusion: old versus fresh units

Plasma-platelets	Storage time			
	Reaction type	1 - 3 days	4 - 5 days	6 - 7 days
	Allergic reaction	reference	0.95 (0.81;1.13)	1.02 (0.87;1.21)
	FNHTR	reference	1.07 (0.82;1.39)	1.15 (0.89;1.49)
	TRALI	reference	1.56 (0.50;4.80)	1.44 (0.46;4.52)
	TACO	reference	0.45 (0.18;1.15)	0.78 (0.35;1.75)
	Other reaction	reference	0.82 (0.53;1.28)	1.13 (0.75;1.71)
	Overall	reference	0.96 (0.84;1.10)	1.06 (0.93;1.21)
PAS-B-platelets	Storage time			
	Reaction type	1 - 3 days	4 - 5 days	
	Allergic reaction	reference	1.60 (1.17;2.18)	
	FNHTR	reference	1.47 (1.09;1.98)	
	TRALI	reference	NA	
	TACO	reference	1.56 (0.43;5.67)	
	Other reaction	reference	1.44 (0.69;3.02)	
	Overall	reference	1.54 (1.26;1.89)	
PAS-C-platelets	Storage time			
	Reaction type	1 - 3 days	4 - 5 days	6 - 7 days
	Allergic reaction	reference	3.78 (1.31;10.9)	4.57 (1.57;13.3)
	FNHTR	reference	0.90 (0.37;2.19)	1.93 (0.86;4.31)
	TRALI	reference	NA	NA
	TACO	reference	0.56 (0.04;7.31)	NA
	Other reaction	reference	0.60 (0.16;2.24)	0.73 (0.19;2.77)
	Overall	reference	1.41 (0.81;2.44)	2.03 (1.18;3.49)

Odds ratios with 95% confidence interval, weighted for reporting rate, with storage time of 1 to 3 days as the reference category.

FNHTR non-hemolytic transfusion reaction; NA not applicable; TACO transfusion-associated circulatory overload; TRALI transfusion-related acute lung injury

1
2
3 **Effect of storage of platelet concentrates in PAS-B, PAS-C or plasma on transfusion reactions**
4

5 Fabienne M.A. van Hout^{1,2}, Rutger A. Middelburg^{1,2}, Pieter F. van der Meer¹, Aad Pors¹, Johanna C.
6

7 Wiersum-Osselton³, Martin R. Schipperus^{3,4}, Jean-Louis Kerkhoffs^{1,4}, Johanna G. van der Bom^{1,2}
8
9

- 10
11 1. Center for Clinical Transfusion Research, Sanquin/LUMC, Leiden, the Netherlands
12
13 2. Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the
14
15 Netherlands
16
17 3. Transfusion and Transplantation Reactions in Patients, Dutch National Hemovigilance and
18
19 Biovigilance Office, Leiden, the Netherlands
20
21 4. Department of Hematology, Haga Teaching Hospital, The Hague, the Netherlands
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Supporting information**
4
5

6 **Table S1 Crude, unweighted incidences of transfusion reactions per storage fluid per storage**
7 **time category**
8

9

Storage fluid	Storage time			overall
	1 - 3 days	4 - 5 days	6 - 7 days	
plasma	0.346	0.327	0.366	0.346
PAS-B	0.241	0.377	not applicable	0.307
PAS-C	0.154	0.208	0.312	0.223

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20
21 Absolute, unweighted incidences per 100
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table S2 Crude (unweighted) odds ratios (with 95% CI) for transfusion reactions after platelet concentrate transfusion: old versus fresh units

Plasma-platelets		Storage time		
Reaction type	1 - 3 days	4 - 5 days	6 - 7 days	
Allergic reaction	reference	0.93 (0.79;1.10)	1.01 (0.85;1.19)	
FNHTR	reference	1.05 (0.80;1.36)	1.15 (0.88;1.49)	
TRALI	reference	1.14 (0.37;3.48)	1.28 (0.43;3.83)	
TACO	reference	0.50 (0.19;1.31)	0.86 (0.37;1.98)	
Other reaction	reference	0.85 (0.55;1.31)	1.18 (0.78;1.77)	
Overall	reference	0.94 (0.83;1.08)	1.06 (0.93;1.20)	
PAS-B-platelets		Storage time		
Reaction type	1 - 3 days	4 - 5 days		
Allergic reaction	reference	1.66 (1.17;2.36)		
FNHTR	reference	1.46 (1.03;2.05)		
TRALI	reference	NA		
TACO	reference	1.41 (0.32;6.30)		
Other reaction	reference	1.48 (0.66;3.33)		
Overall	reference	1.56 (1.24;1.97)		
PAS-C-platelets		Storage time		
Reaction type	1 - 3 days	4 - 5 days	6 - 7 days	
Allergic reaction	reference	3.21 (1.21;8.52)	3.95 (1.48;10.5)	
FNHTR	reference	0.93 (0.39;2.26)	2.09 (0.94;4.61)	
TRALI	reference	NA	NA	
TACO	reference	0.38 (0.04;4.21)	NA	
Other reaction	reference	0.61 (0.16;2.28)	0.79 (0.21;2.94)	
Overall	reference	1.35 (0.79;2.30)	2.02 (1.20;3.41)	

Crude **odds ratios** with 95% confidence interval, not weighted for reporting rate, with storage time of 1 to 3 days as the reference category.

FNHTR non-hemolytic transfusion reaction; NA not applicable; TACO transfusion-associated circulatory overload; TRALI transfusion-related acute lung injury