

The effect of arthropathies on illness perceptions, coping strategies, outcomes, and their changes over time in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a 12-month follow-up study

Sanne J.H. van Erp^{a,*}, Mike van der Have^{a,*}, Herma H. Fidder^e, Désirée van der Heijde^b, Ron Wolterbeek^c, Daniel W. Hommes^{a,f}, Ad A. Kaptein^d and Andrea E. van der Meulen-de Jong^a

Objectives Arthropathies are a common extraintestinal manifestation (EIM) in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). This study evaluated the differences in illness perceptions, coping strategies, and illness outcomes between patients with IBD with and without arthropathies at baseline and examined changes at 12 months in these variables in patients with arthropathies.

Methods In total, 204 patients with ($n = 123$) and without ($n = 81$) arthropathies completed questionnaires at baseline and after 1 year, assessing illness perceptions, coping strategies, quality of life, and work and activity impairment. A linear regression analysis assessed the effect of arthropathies on these factors compared with patients without arthropathies. A mixed model analysis evaluated changes in illness perceptions, coping strategies, and outcomes in patients with arthropathies over time.

Results Patients with arthropathies had more persistent thoughts on symptomatology and the variability of symptoms, held more negative views on the effects of illness, had heightened emotions that affected daily functioning, and had a poorer understanding of IBD than patients without arthropathies. Patients with arthropathies could more efficiently divert attention, felt more useful to others, and perceived a reduced physical and mental health and an increased activity impairment compared with patients without arthropathies. At follow-up, patients with arthropathies were more sceptical about the effectiveness of medical treatment but were better able to adapt their activities to their complaints compared with baseline.

Conclusion Patients with arthropathies in IBD adopt different illness perceptions and coping strategies and have different outcomes compared with patients without arthropathies, which is important to know when designing behavioral and physical interventions to improve functioning. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 30:465–470
Copyright © 2018 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD), comprising Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis (UC), are immune-mediated chronic inflammatory diseases of the gastrointestinal tract characterized by periods of inflammation and remission. Receiving a diagnosis of IBD can be stressful and can affect illness outcomes such as quality of life (QoL), activity impairment, and work productivity in a variety of ways [1–4]. IBD is associated with a range of extraintestinal manifestations (EIMs), of which arthropathies, with a prevalence of

~30%, are the most common [5–7]. Arthropathies can be subdivided into inflammatory (spondyloarthritis) and non-inflammatory (arthralgia) musculoskeletal symptoms. Recent studies have shown the significant effect of arthritis and IBD separately on different illness outcomes. It is well recognized that disability in patients with arthritis and in patients with IBD is not only associated with clinical variables of the disease process itself but also with behavioral factors including illness perceptions and coping strategies [8–10]. Illness perceptions are individual cognitions and emotions about a disease [11]. Coping refers to cognitive and behavioral efforts and strategies to deal with stressful stimuli, such as a chronic illness. Different coping styles may be required to deal with the various aspects of a disease [12]. Understanding and targeting the illness perceptions and coping strategies of patients with IBD with and without arthropathies by medical or psychological interventions may improve treatment in this patient population and may thereby improve illness outcomes including QoL and activity and work impairment.

We have shown that arthropathies in patients with IBD have a strong negative effect on QoL and work impairment [13]. However, it is unknown whether this is caused by differences in illness perceptions, coping strategies, and illness outcomes in patients with IBD with and without arthropathies. Therefore, our goal in the present study was to examine the differences in illness perceptions, coping

European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2018, 30:465–470

Keywords: activity impairment, arthropathies, coping, illness perceptions, inflammatory bowel disease, longitudinal study, quality of life, work productivity

^aDepartment of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, ^bDepartment of Rheumatology, ^cDepartment of Medical Statistics and Bioinformatics, ^dDepartment of Medical Psychology, LUMC, Leiden, ^eDepartment of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, UMCU, Utrecht, The Netherlands and ^fCenter for Inflammatory Bowel Diseases, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

Correspondence to Andrea E. van der Meulen-de Jong, MD, PhD, Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology, Leiden University Medical Center, Albinusdreef 2, 2333 ZA Leiden, The Netherlands

Tel: +31 715 262 915; fax: +31 715 248 115; e-mail: ae.meulen@lumc.nl

*Sanne J.H. van Erp and Mike van der Have contributed equally to the writing of this article.

Received 8 October 2017 **Accepted** 26 November 2017

strategies, and illness outcomes between patients with IBD with and without arthropathies. A secondary aim of our study was to evaluate changes in illness perceptions, coping strategies, and outcomes at 12-month follow-up in patients with IBD with arthropathies. This line of research might be instrumental in identifying targets for intervention via addressing and changing maladaptive illness perceptions and coping styles.

Methods

Our cohort was based on the JOINT cohort [5], which includes 255 patients with IBD (155 with and 100 without self-reported arthropathies), all with a long duration of IBD disease [14]. Of these 255 patients, 204 were included in the present study based on completion of all questionnaires at study inclusion and after 1-year follow-up. Of the 204 participants, 178 patients completed the questionnaires based on their IBD, of which 109 had self-reported joint complaints. Subsequently, eight patients fulfilled the questionnaires based on having arthropathies and 18 patients completed the questionnaires regarding other diseases. Patients with IBD were classified with self-reported arthropathies when they had chronic back pain and/or peripheral joint complaints currently or during the previous year. A rheumatologic examination was performed in all 204 patients (123 patients with and 81 without self-reported joint complaints) at baseline and after 12-month follow-up. At both study time points, patients were asked to complete questionnaires about disease activity, illness perceptions, coping strategies, QoL, as well as activity and work impairment [11,15–19]. Illness perceptions were measured with the Revised Illness Perception Questionnaire. This questionnaire covers eight subscales including ‘Identity’ (thoughts about the illness and the symptoms associated with it), ‘Timeline chronic’ (cognitions about the duration), ‘Timeline cyclical’ (perceived variability of symptoms), ‘Consequences’ (ideas about the severity of the illness and the impact on daily functioning), ‘Personal control’ (cognitions about the manageability of the illness through personal efforts), ‘Treatment control’ (perceptions about the effectiveness of medical treatment), ‘Emotional representations’ (the emotional impact) and ‘Illness coherence’ (personal understanding of the illness) [13]. Coping strategies were evaluated with the Coping with Rheumatic Stressors Questionnaire (CORS), which assesses eight coping strategies covering the different consequences of coping with pain, limitations, and dependence [17]. Coping strategies covering pain included ‘Comforting cognitions’ (self-encouragement), ‘Decreasing activity’ (taking more rest), and ‘Diverting attention’ (thinking about nice things). Strategies covering limitations encompassed ‘Optimism’ (thinking positively), ‘Pacing’ (adapting the level of activities), and ‘Creative solution seeking’ (creative things to achieve a goal). Strategies related to dependence included ‘Acceptance’ (making an effort to accept one’s dependence) and ‘Consideration’ (being useful to others). Illness outcomes included QoL, activity impairment, and work impairment. QoL was measured with the Short Form-36 and was subdivided into physical health via the physical component score and mental health by the mental component score [18]. Activity and work impairment was

measured with the Work Productivity Activity Index [19]. The study was approved by the institutional medical ethical committee of the LUMC, and patients signed a written informed consent before study enrolment.

Statistics

All analyses were performed in SPSS, version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA). Descriptive statistics were used for patients’ characteristics, and comparisons of the baseline characteristics of patients with and without arthropathies were analysed with an independent *t*-test and a χ^2 -test. Linear regression models were used to assess potential associations of arthropathies as a predictor of illness perceptions, coping strategies, and outcomes in patients with IBD. Variables with a statistical level of *P* up to 0.05 in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis. The multivariate analysis included ‘arthropathies’ as the independent variable, adjusted for age, sex, IBD disease activity, smoking, employment status, and 5-ASA treatment, as these variables were significantly different at baseline between study groups. For the follow-up analysis, a linear mixed model was used. Patients with IBD with arthropathies at baseline were included in this analysis and compared with the follow-up sample consisting of the same patients with IBD with arthropathies except for the patients who reported a cessation of their joint complaints after 1 year. A *P* value of up to 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Demographic data

Of the 204 patients with IBD included in the present study, 123 (60.3%) had self-reported arthropathies, and 81 (39.7%) had no arthropathies. Patients with IBD with arthropathies had a mean IBD disease duration of 15.3 (SD = 12.0) years at study inclusion, a mean age of 44.1 (SD = 13.8) years, and were representative of the JOINT cohort. Patients with IBD with arthropathies were more often diagnosed with Crohn’s disease (*P* = 0.03), with an active disease course (*P* < 0.001), with a mean Harvey Bradshaw Index of 6.0 (*P* < 0.001), and were more often smokers (*P* = 0.009) compared with patients with IBD without arthropathies. Of the 123 patients with arthropathies, 63 (51.2%) patients reported peripheral joint complaints only, 12 (9.8%) patients reported back pain, and 48 (39%) reported both axial and peripheral joint complaints. In total, 13 (10.6%) patients were formally diagnosed with arthritis by a rheumatologist based on physical examination and radiographs. Sixteen (13%) patients met the ASAS criteria for axial and peripheral spondyloarthritis. Patients with IBD without arthropathies (*n* = 81) were more likely to be male (*P* = 0.003), employed (*P* = 0.007), and were more often treated with 5-ASA (including sulfasalazine or mesalazine) for their IBD (*P* = 0.02) compared with the patients with arthropathies (Table 1). Of the 123 patients with IBD with self-reported arthropathies at baseline, 12 reported a cessation of joint complaints at 1-year follow-up, leaving a total of 111 (90.2%) patients with joint complaints. In the group without arthropathies (*n* = 81), four of 81 patients

Table 1. Baseline characteristics

	Patients with IBD with arthropathies (n = 123)	Patients with IBD without arthropathies (n = 81)	P-value
Type of IBD [n (%)]			0.03
Crohn's disease	95 (77.2)	51 (63.0)	
Ulcerative colitis	28 (22.8)	30 (37.0)	
Male [n (%)]	40 (32.5)	43 (53.1)	0.003
Age at inclusion [mean (SD)] (years)	44.1 (13.8)	44.5 (13.7)	
Age of IBD onset [mean (SD)] (years)	28.4 (11.9)	26.6 (10.4)	
IBD disease duration [mean (SD)] (years)	15.3 (12.0)	17.4 (11.6)	
HBI [mean (SD)] (n)	6.0 (4.9) (95)	2.3 (2.5) (51)	<0.001
SCCAI [mean (SD)] (n)	4.1 (1.6) (28)	3.6 (2.0) (30)	0.49
Smoker [n (%)]	36 (29.3)	11 (13.6)	0.009
Employed [n (%)]	68 (55.3)	60 (74.1)	0.007
Current medication use [n (%)]			
5-ASA (mesa, sulfa)	20 (16.3)	24 (29.6)	0.02
Steroids	8 (6.5)	2 (2.5)	0.19
Immunosuppressive drugs (Aza/6MP/MTX)	28 (22.8)	17 (21.0)	0.78
Anti-TNF	33 (26.8)	23 (28.4)	0.81
None	34 (27.6)	15 (18.5)	0.14
Type of joint complaints [n (%)]			
Peripheral joint complaints	63 (51.2)	–	
Back pain	12 (9.8)	–	
Both	48 (39.0)	–	
Diagnosed with arthritis and fulfilled the ASAS criteria ^a [n (%)]	13 (10.6)	–	

CI, confidence interval; HBI, Harvey Bradshaw Index; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; SCCAI, Simple Clinical Colitis Activity Index; SpA, spondyloarthritis; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

^aASAS criteria for SpA.

developed self-reported arthropathies during the 12-month follow-up.

Differences in illness perceptions, coping strategies, and outcomes between patients with inflammatory bowel disease with and without arthropathies at baseline

Univariate analyses showed that arthropathies in patients with IBD were associated with the illness perceptions: 'identity' β (95% confidence interval): 1.05 (0.73–2.28), $P < 0.001$; 'cyclical timeline' 1.63 (0.70–2.55), $P = 0.001$; 'consequences' 2.52 (1.17–3.86), $P = 0.001$; 'personal control' –1.22 (–2.34 to –0.11), $P = 0.032$; 'emotional representations' 1.69 (0.31–3.06), $P = 0.017$; 'illness coherence' –1.36 (–2.42 to –0.31), $P = 0.011$; and 'treatment control' –0.83 (–1.58 to –0.07), $P = 0.031$. In addition, arthropathies were associated with the coping strategies: 'decreasing activity' 1.33 (0.15–2.51), $P = 0.027$; 'diverting attention' 1.61 (0.39–2.82), $P = 0.010$; 'pacing' 1.72 (0.16–3.29), $P = 0.031$; and 'consideration' 1.11 (0.11–2.11), $P = 0.030$. Significant associations between arthropathies and the following illness outcomes were found: 'physical health' –3.61 (–6.25 to –0.97), $P = 0.008$; 'mental health' –9.00 (–11.40 to –6.12), $P < 0.001$; 'activity' 0.21 (0.14–0.29), $P < 0.001$; and 'work impairment' 0.11 (0.01–0.20), $P = 0.030$.

In the multivariate model, arthropathies remained associated with a strong 'identity' 1.15 (0.31–1.98), $P = 0.007$; more 'cyclical timeline' 1.33 (0.33–2.34), $P = 0.010$; increased 'consequences' 2.00 (0.60–3.42), $P = 0.006$; more

'emotional representations' 1.58 (0.08–3.08), $P = 0.039$; and less 'illness coherence' –1.29 (–2.45 to –0.14), $P = 0.029$, compared with patients without arthropathies. These results indicate that patients with IBD with arthropathies had more persistent thoughts about symptoms and the perceived variability of these symptoms associated with illness, they had more negative beliefs about the effect of IBD, they experienced an increased emotional burden of the illness on daily life, and they had a reduced coherence of IBD compared with patients without arthropathies (Table 2). Furthermore, arthropathies in IBD were related to increased 'diverting attention' 1.34 (0.02–2.66), $P = 0.047$, and more 'consideration' 1.18 (0.10–2.27), $P = 0.033$. The illness outcomes, including a poorer 'mental health' –3.10 (–5.99 to –0.23), $P = 0.035$, and 'physical health' –7.22 (–9.68 to –4.77), $P < 0.001$, and elevated levels of 'activity impairment' 0.15 (0.07–0.23), $P < 0.001$, were found in patients with IBD with arthropathies. More specifically, patients with IBD with arthropathies were better able to alter the focus of their attention and were more helpful to others but experienced poor physical and mental health and greater activity impairment compared with patients with IBD without arthropathies.

Follow-up

The secondary aim of the present study was to examine changes of illness perceptions and coping strategies in patients with IBD with arthropathies at 1-year follow-up. After 12 months, patients with IBD with arthropathies had lower scores on the illness perception dimension 'treatment control' ($P = 0.001$) but had an increased score on the coping strategy 'pacing' ($P = 0.030$) (Table 3). These results indicate that patients with IBD with arthropathies perceived the use of medical interventions as having little efficacy, but they were more able to adapt to the level and intensity of their activities in daily life over time.

Discussion

In this longitudinal follow-up study, we explored the effect of IBD-related arthropathies on illness perceptions, coping strategies, and outcomes and evaluated the changes in these factors at 1-year follow-up in patients with IBD with arthropathies. The findings of this study indicate that persistent thoughts on symptomatology, perceived variability of symptoms, increased negative ideas regarding illness, increased emotional effect on daily functioning, and less personal understanding of IBD were all illness perceptions more commonly perceived by patients with arthropathies compared with patients without arthropathies.

In the present study, we found a different coping pattern in patients with arthropathies compared with patients without arthropathies. Patients with IBD with arthropathies were more able to divert attention and were trying to be more useful to others. Parekh *et al.* [20] have reported that patients who use optimistic, adaptive coping styles have an increased QoL compared with patients with IBD who use evasive coping styles. In contrast to the report by Parekh *et al.* [20], patients with arthropathies in the present study applied these optimistic coping strategies (diverting attention and consideration) more frequently, but still reported a reduced mental and physical health and

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate linear regression models showing potential associations for arthropathies as a predictor for illness perceptions, coping strategies, and illness outcomes in inflammatory bowel disease ($n = 204$; 123 patients with self-reported arthropathies)

Variables	Univariate		Multivariate ^a	
	β (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	β (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value
Demographic characteristics				
Type of IBD	0.14 (0.02–0.27)	0.027		
CD (ref)				
UC				
Sex				
Male (ref)	0.21 (0.07–0.34)	0.003		
Female				
Active IBD				
Yes (ref)	0.26 (0.13–0.40)	< 0.001		
No				
Smoking				
Yes (ref)	0.16 (0.04–0.27)	0.009		
No				
Employed				
Yes (ref)	–0.18 (–0.32 to –0.05)	0.006		
No				
5-ASA treatment				
Yes (ref)	–0.13 (–0.25 to –0.02)	0.023		
No				
Illness perceptions				
Identity	1.05 (0.73–2.28)	< 0.001	1.15 (0.31–1.98)	0.007
Timeline chronic	0.17 (–0.78–1.12)	0.729	–	
Timeline cyclical	1.63 (0.70–2.55)	0.001	1.33 (0.33–2.34)	0.010
Consequences	2.52 (1.17–3.86)	0.001	2.00 (0.60–3.42)	0.006
Personal control	–1.22 (–2.34 to –0.11)	0.032	–0.83 (–2.03–0.37)	0.176
Emotional representations	1.69 (0.31–3.06)	0.017	1.58 (0.08–3.08)	0.039
Illness coherence	–1.36 (–2.42 to –0.31)	0.011	–1.29 (–2.45 to –0.14)	0.029
Treatment control	–0.83 (–1.58 to –0.07)	0.031	–0.48 (–1.28–0.31)	0.230
Coping strategies				
Comforting cognitions	1.36 (–0.04–2.76)	0.057	–	
Decreasing activity	1.33 (0.15–2.51)	0.027	0.64 (–0.61–1.88)	0.316
Diverting attention	1.61 (0.39–2.82)	0.010	1.34 (0.02–2.66)	0.047
Optimism	0.58 (–0.29–1.44)	0.190	–	
Pacing	1.72 (0.16–3.29)	0.031	0.87 (–0.73–2.46)	0.286
Creative solution seeking	1.0 (–0.14–2.14)	0.086	–	
Acceptation	0.24 (–0.86–1.33)	0.670	–	
Consideration	1.11 (0.11–2.11)	0.030	1.18 (0.10–2.27)	0.033
Illness outcomes				
Mental health	–3.61 (–6.25 to –0.97)	0.008	–3.10 (–5.99 to –0.23)	0.035
Physical health	–9.00 (–11.40 to –6.12)	< 0.001	–7.22 (–9.68 to –4.77)	< 0.001
Activity impairment	0.21 (0.14–0.29)	< 0.001	0.15 (0.07–0.23)	< 0.001
Work impairment	0.11 (0.01–0.20)	0.030	0.09 (–0.02–0.20)	0.094

CD, Crohn's disease; CI, confidence interval; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; UC, ulcerative colitis.

^a β 's shown in the multivariate model represent the value for the variable 'arthropathies' adjusted for demographic characteristics (type of IBD, sex, active IBD, smoking, employment status, and 5-ASA).

Bold values indicates significant ($P \leq 0.05$).

elevated levels of activity impairment compared with patients without arthropathies.

Furthermore, an impaired QoL has been observed in the patients with arthropathies compared with the patients without arthropathies. This has also been found in a study with patients with psoriatic arthritis (PsA) compared with patients with psoriasis only (PsO). Patients with PsA experienced greater physical disability than those with PsO, reflecting the functional disability owing to the musculoskeletal disease. In contrast to our results, no differences were found regarding mental health between the patients with PsA and those with PsO [21].

In the present study, patients with IBD with arthropathies had less faith in the effectiveness of medical treatment at 1-year follow-up. This may be attributed to the fact that patients still reported arthropathies after 1 year, despite regular medical IBD treatment, indicating that this medical intervention was ineffective in alleviating their joint complaints. Furthermore, we have previously reported that active IBD is associated with arthropathies [5].

In this study, the mean Harvey Bradshaw Index and Simple Clinical Colitis Activity Index showed a score above 4, signifying active IBD.

Bijsterbosch *et al.* [9] studied changes in illness perceptions over a period of 6 years in patients with osteoarthritis. Over this period, understanding of the illness (i.e. illness coherence) increased, and patients perceived their illness as less manageable and more chronic but associated fewer negative emotions with the osteoarthritis. Patients who displayed increased symptoms, a negative effect on daily life, and had stronger beliefs regarding chronicity after 6-years follow-up experienced a progression of disability [9]. Patients with IBD with arthropathies were better able to adapt the number and intensity of activities to their complaints after 1-year follow-up, indicating that they adjusted to IBD-related symptoms compared with baseline. In patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and diabetes mellitus, Gåfväls *et al.* [22] described a change in coping strategies during the 24-month follow-up. In both patient groups, less perceived

Table 3. Changes in illness perceptions, coping strategies, and illness outcomes in patients with inflammatory bowel disease with arthropathies in 1-year follow-up

	IBD patients with arthropathies (<i>t</i> =0) (<i>n</i> =123)	IBD patients with arthropathies (<i>t</i> =12) (<i>n</i> =111)	<i>P</i> -value ^a
Illness perceptions [mean (SD)]			
Identity	4.95 (2.83)	4.97 (2.87)	0.95
Timeline chronic	26.08 (3.39)	26.12 (2.73)	0.92
Timeline cyclical	14.68 (2.91)	14.79 (2.66)	0.67
Consequences	19.50 (4.40)	19.79 (4.64)	0.34
Personal control	17.00 (3.77)	17.11 (3.96)	0.72
Emotional representations	16.08 (5.02)	15.58 (5.36)	0.18
Illness coherence	18.15 (3.97)	18.43 (3.89)	0.45
Treatment control	15.53 (2.83)	14.56 (3.05)	0.001
Coping [mean (SD)]			
Pain			
Comforting cognitions	26.63 (4.49)	26.45 (4.37)	0.64
Decreasing activity	18.41 (3.77)	18.70 (4.26)	0.35
Diverting attention	19.36 (3.99)	19.30 (4.10)	0.89
Limitations			
Optimism	14.94 (3.09)	14.86 (3.13)	0.78
Pacing	24.13 (5.37)	25.16 (5.49)	0.03
Creative solution	20.31 (3.96)	20.66 (4.46)	0.42
Dependence			
Accepting	12.82 (3.86)	13.15 (3.65)	0.35
Consideration	19.38 (3.48)	19.54 (3.11)	0.61
Illness outcomes [mean (SD)]			
PCS	43.42 (9.00)	43.76 (9.28)	0.63
MCS	45.33 (10.16)	45.36 (10.16)	0.98
Work impairment (<i>n</i>)	0.29 (0.29) (68)	0.27 (0.27) (62)	0.78
Activity impairment	0.43 (0.27)	0.40 (0.28)	0.26

IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; MCS, mental component score; PCS, physical component score.

^aMixed model analysis.

Bold values indicates significant ($P \leq 0.05$).

effort was required to reduce the problems associated with disability and less support was obtained from family and friends. In addition, patients with RA perceived a reduced ability to adapt to disease-related disability [22].

Some limitations need to be acknowledged. The first limitation of our study was cohort selection. We included patients with IBD with a mean disease duration of 15.3 years and a mean arthropathy duration of 11.6 years after IBD diagnosis. Broadbent *et al.* [23] reported that most illness perceptions are created in the first months after the patient is diagnosed with a disease. In addition, once an illness perception has been developed, this perception will hardly change over time [24]. Future studies should include newly diagnosed patients with IBD ideally with concurrent or future onset of arthropathies. The second limitation that needs to be mentioned is that 178 of the 204 patients with IBD completed the questionnaires regarding their IBD, of which 109 patients had self-reported joint complaints. Only eight completed the questionnaires based on arthropathies. Nevertheless, the apparent differences in illness perceptions, coping strategies, and outcomes between the groups with and without arthropathies found in the present study probably indicate that patients with IBD with arthropathies consider IBD and arthropathies to be one disease and thus attribute subconsciously one illness perception, coping strategy, or outcome to both diseases. The third limitation is that the CORS questionnaire used in the present study was originally designed to measure coping strategies in patients

with RA and has not been validated in patients with IBD. However, this questionnaire was considered appropriate in the present study as the CORS has been validated in patients with RA, an inflammatory joint disease. Future research could use the recently developed IBD-cope to evaluate the coping strategies in patients with IBD, and this could be compared with results obtained by the CORS [25].

Despite these limitations, the present study provides a better understanding of the effect of arthropathies on illness perceptions, coping strategies, and outcomes in patients with IBD. However, although arthropathies in IBD were associated with different illness perceptions, coping strategies, and outcomes compared with patients without arthropathies, for the health professional, it is important that these issues are addressed for all patients with IBD visiting the outpatient clinic. It is also important that the gastroenterologist actively listens to a patient's complaints and additionally explores the effect of the disease on daily functioning. This may modify the maladaptive illness perceptions: the perceived diversity of symptoms related to IBD, strong (negative) views concerning severity, the increased emotional effect, and the lower coherence of the illness in patients with IBD. Furthermore, the gastroenterologist should be aware of the effect of IBD-associated arthropathies on mental and physical health and activity impairment. Effects that might possibly be reduced by physical exercise and psychosocial interventions [26,27]. Cognitive behavioral therapy or mindfulness-based therapies appear to be effective in patients with IBD, resulting in improved QoL, medical therapy adherence, and coping, and should therefore be considered in the health management of these patients [28].

Although, over the long-term, IBD patients with arthropathies became more sceptical about the efficacy of medical interventions, they were better able to adapt physical activity to their complaints compared to patients without arthropathies. Knowledge and understanding of these progressive changes in patients' illness perceptions and coping strategies should help stimulate the promotion, for recently diagnosed patients with IBD and arthropathies, of cognitive behavioral therapy and face-to-face consultations with a psychologist aimed at disease self-management. Furthermore, it is important that gastroenterologists provide a clear explanation of the intended effects of medication on arthropathies and the importance of achieving IBD remission, as disease activity is associated with arthropathy [5].

Acknowledgements

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Alarhayem A, Achebe E, Logue AJ. Psychosocial support of the inflammatory bowel disease patient. *Surg Clin North Am* 2015; 95:1281–1293.
- Regueiro M, Greer JB, Szigethy E. Etiology and treatment of pain and psychosocial issues in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterol* 2017; 152:430–439.
- Berniklev T, Jahnsen J, Henriksen M, Lygren I, Aadland E, Sauar J, *et al.* Relationship between sick leave, unemployment, disability, and health-related quality of life in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2006; 12:402–412.

- 4 Feagan BG, Bala M, Yan S, Olson A, Hanauer S. Unemployment and disability in patients with moderately to severely active Crohn's disease. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2005; 39:390–395.
- 5 Van Erp SJ, Brakenhoff LK, van Gaalen FA, van den Berg R, Fidder HH, Verspaget HW, et al. Classifying back pain and peripheral joint complaints in inflammatory bowel disease patients: a prospective longitudinal follow-up study. *J Crohns Colitis* 2016; 10:166–175.
- 6 De Vlam K, Mielants H, Cuvelier C, De Keyser F, Veys EM, De Vos M. Spondyloarthropathy is underestimated in inflammatory bowel disease: prevalence and HLA association. *J Rheumatol* 2000; 27:2860–2865.
- 7 Salvarani C, Vlachonikolis IG, van der Heijde DM, Fornaciari G, Macchioni P, Beltrami M, et al. Musculoskeletal manifestations in a population-based cohort of inflammatory bowel disease patients. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2001; 36:1307–1313.
- 8 Mattila K, Buttgerit F, Tuominen R. Impact of morning stiffness on working behaviour and performance in people with rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatol Int* 2014; 34:1751–1758.
- 9 Bijsterbosch J, Scharloo M, Visser AW, Watt I, Meulenbelt I, Huizinga TW, et al. Illness perceptions in patients with osteoarthritis: change over time and association with disability. *Arthr Rheum* 2009; 61:1054–1061.
- 10 Dorrian A, Dempster M, Adair P. Adjustment to inflammatory bowel disease: the relative influence of illness perceptions and coping. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2009; 15:47–55.
- 11 Moss-Morris R, Weinman J, Petrie KJ, Horne R, Cameron LD, Buick D. The Revised Illness Perception Questionnaire (IPQ-R). *Psychol Health* 2002; 17:1–16.
- 12 Aldwin CM, Brustrom J. *Theories of coping with chronic stress*. New York, NY: Plenum Press; 1997. pp. 75–103.
- 13 Van Erp SJ, Brakenhoff LK, Vollmann M, van der Heijde D, Veenendaal RA, Fidder HH, et al. Illness perceptions and outcomes in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: is coping a mediator? *Int J Behav Med* 2017; 24:205–214.
- 14 Van der Have M, Brakenhoff LK, van Erp SJ, Kaptein AA, Leenders M, Scharloo M, et al. Back/joint pain, illness perceptions and coping are important predictors of quality of life and work productivity in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a 12-month longitudinal study. *J Crohns Colitis* 2015; 9:276–283.
- 15 Harvey RF, Bradshaw JM. A simple index of Crohn's disease activity. *Lancet* 1980; 1:876.
- 16 Walmsley RS, Ayres RCS, Pounder RE, Allan RN. A simple clinical colitis activity index. *Gut* 1998; 43:29–32.
- 17 Van Lankveld W, van't Pad Bosch P, van de Putte L, Näring G, van der Staak C. Disease-specific stressors in rheumatoid arthritis: coping and well-being. *Br J Rheumatol* 1994; 33:1067–1073.
- 18 Ware JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Med Care* 1992; 30:473–483.
- 19 Zhang W, Bansback N, Boonen A, Young A, Singh A, Anis AH. Validity of the work productivity and activity impairment questionnaire – general health version in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010; 12:R177.
- 20 Parekh NK, McMaster K, Nguyen DL, Shah S, Speziale A, Miller J. Coping strategies used by adult patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *South Med J* 2015; 108:337–342.
- 21 Rosen CF, Mussani F, Chandran V, Eder L, Thavaneswaran A, Gladman DD. Patients with psoriatic arthritis have worse quality of life than those with psoriasis alone. *Rheumatol* 2012; 51:571–576.
- 22 Gäfvels C, Hägerström M, Rane K, Wajngot A, Wändell PE. Coping strategies among patients newly diagnosed with diabetes or rheumatoid arthritis at baseline and after 24 months. *J Health Psychol* 2016; 1:14.
- 23 Broadbent E, Wilkes C, Koschwanez H, Weinman J, Norton S, Petrie KJ. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire. *Psychol Health* 2015; 30:1361–1385.
- 24 Fischer M, Scharloo M, Abbink J, van't Hul A, van Ranst D, Rudolphus A, et al. The dynamics of illness perceptions: Testing assumptions of Leventhal's common-sense model in a pulmonary rehabilitation setting. *Br J Health Psychol* 2010; 15:887–903.
- 25 McCombie A, Swaminathan A, Mulder R, Frampton C, Kortlever T, Geary R. The IBD-cope: a new instrument for measuring coping in inflammatory bowel disease patients. *J Crohns Colitis* 2016; 10:678–686.
- 26 Lykouras D, Karkoulias K, Triantos C. Physical exercise in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2017; 11:1024.
- 27 Mussell M, Böcker U, Nagel N, Olbrich R, Singer MV. Reducing psychological distress in patients with inflammatory bowel disease by cognitive-behavioural treatment: exploratory study of effectiveness. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2003; 38:755–762.
- 28 Ballou S, Keefer L. Psychological interventions for irritable bowel syndrome and inflammatory bowel diseases. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol* 2017; 8:e214.