

Biliary Complications After Liver Transplantation From Donation After Cardiac Death Donors: An Analysis of Risk Factors and Long-term Outcome From a Single Center

To the Editor:

The article by Foley et al¹ reflects the great work of the University of Wisconsin group in the field of donation after cardiac death (DCD) and liver transplantation since 1993.²⁻⁴ They performed a retrospective analysis of their center's results and compared outcome after liver transplantation from DCD donors with the results after liver transplantation from brain death donation (DBD). Patient and graft survival and complication rates were compared between these 2 groups. In the discussion, the authors state that the literature on identification of risk factors for graft survival is limited to only 2 reports, those of Mateo et al and Lee et al. In our opinion, we do not believe this is correct.

We have previously published the results of the outcome of DCD liver transplantation in The Netherlands. We compared outcomes after orthotopic liver transplantation with DBD donor livers versus controlled DCD livers, using predefined restrictive acceptance criteria.⁵ Primary endpoints were patient and graft survival and nonanastomotic biliary strictures. We also examined

risk factors for graft loss. Our results showed similar 1- and 3-year patient survival rates for DCD (85% and 80%) and DBD liver transplantation (86% and 80%) and similar 1- and 3-year graft survival rates (74% and 68% vs 80% and 75%). We identified the following risk factors for 1-year DCD graft loss: transplantation center, recipient warm ischemia time, and cold ischemia time. The occurrence of nonanastomotic biliary strictures was higher in the DCD group. Primary sclerosing cholangitis was a risk factor for nonanastomotic biliary strictures in both DBD and DCD. Because in our analysis, transplant center was significantly associated with outcome in DBD and DCD liver transplantation, these data show that not just recipient and donor factors play a significant role in outcome after transplantation. This may explain why some single-center results of patient and graft survival may differ from data derived from large database analysis. Programs with restrictive acceptance criteria for DCD livers may have better results than average. We agree with the conclusions of the authors that careful selection of DCD donors and minimizing the ischemic times might be the key to optimizing the results of DCD liver transplantation.

Jeroen Dubbeld, MD

Bart van Hoek, MD

Jan Ringers, MD

Department of Surgery
Leiden University Medical Center
Leiden, The Netherlands

Herold Metselaar, MD, PhD

Department of Gastroenterology
and Hepatology

Erasmus Medical Center
Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Geert Kazemier, PhD

Department of Surgery
Erasmus Medical Center
Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Aad van den Berg, PhD

Department of Gastroenterology
and Hepatology
University Medical Center
Groningen, The Netherlands

Robert J. Porte, MD, PhD

Department of Surgery
University Medical Center
Groningen, The Netherlands
j.dubbeld@lumc.nl

REFERENCES

1. Foley DP, Fernandez LA, Levenson G, et al. Biliary complications after liver transplantation from donation after cardiac death donors. An analysis of risk factors and long-term outcome from a single center. *Ann Surg*. 2011;253:817-825.
2. D'Alessandro AM, Hoffmann RM, Knechtle SJ, et al. Liver transplantation from controlled non-heart-beating donors. *Surgery*. 2000;128:579-588.
3. Foley DP, Fernandez LA, Levenson G, et al. Donation after cardiac death: the University of Wisconsin experience with liver transplantation. *Ann Surg*. 2005;242:724-731.
4. D'Alessandro AM, Fernandez LA, Chin LT, et al. Donation after cardiac death: the University of Wisconsin experience. *Ann Transpl*. 2004;9:68-71.
5. Dubbeld J, Hoekstra H, Farid W, et al. Similar liver transplantation survival with selected cardiac death donors and brain death donors. *Br J Surg*. 2010;97:744-754.

Disclosure: The authors disclose no conflicts of interest or funding relevant to this article.

Copyright © 2014 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

ISSN: 0003-4932/14/26103-e0064

DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000000513