

Heterogeneously Catalyzed Continuous-Flow Hydrogenation Using Segmented Flow in Capillary Columns

Bakker, J.J.W.; Zieverink, M.M.P.; Reintjens, R.W.E.G.; Kapteijn, F.; Moulijn, J.A.; Kreutzer, M.T.

Citation

Bakker, J. J. W., Zieverink, M. M. P., Reintjens, R. W. E. G., Kapteijn, F., Moulijn, J. A., & Kreutzer, M. T. (2011). Heterogeneously Catalyzed Continuous-Flow Hydrogenation Using Segmented Flow in Capillary Columns. *Chemcatchem*, *3*(7), 1155-1157. doi:10.1002/cctc.201100044

Version:Publisher's VersionLicense:Leiden University Non-exclusive licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/86158

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

DOI: 10.1002/cctc.201100044 Heterogeneously Catalyzed Continuous-Flow Hydrogenation Using Segmented Flow in Capillary Columns

Jasper J. W. Bakker,^[a] Martijn M. P. Zieverink,^[a] Raf W. E. G. Reintjens,^[b] Freek Kapteijn,^[a] Jacob A. Moulijn,^[a] and Michiel T. Kreutzer^{*[a]}

This paper explores how the visible features of segmentedflow, under reaction conditions, can be used in lab-scale multiphase heterogeneous catalysis. Continuous-flow microreactors are now routinely used in bench-scale synthesis^[1] and optimization^[2] applications, owing to their small reactant inventory, negligible heat effects at small scales, and fast mixing.^[3] However, miniaturizing multiphase heterogeneous catalysis on chips is considerably more difficult than homogeneous liquid phase chemistry. Several applications of gas-liquid^[2b,4] and gas-liquid-solid^[5] reactions have been reported. In these continuous-flow devices, the catalyst was immobilized on the wall of the channel^[5a,6] or incorporated as powder.^[5e,7] A powder packed-bed gas-liquid microreactor may appear ideal for offthe-shelf catalysts, but in practice such reactors are cumbersome: critical packing parameters vary from one instance to the next and channeling and flow hysteresis abound, as we have recently visualized.^[8] For immobilized catalysts, Kobayashi et al. have advocated creating a thin film of liquid on the walls, sheared along by a fast-flowing gas stream.^[5a] A drawback of this system is that it is hard to control or visualize how long the reactants are in contact with the catalyst, because both phases each move at their own velocity. Especially for more complex pathways, the spread in residence time reduces yields.

Here, we overcome monitoring and yield problems by using wall-catalyzed segmented flow (Figure 1). Flow segmentation has found widespread use in liquid–liquid^[4c, 9] and gas–liquid^[4d, 10] applications, motivated by good contact between fluid phases. In this work, we highlight that the flow pattern also enhances contact with the catalyst on the wall, inspired by our work in pilot-plant studies for monolith-based reactors.^[11] We focus on using standard capillary columns, readily available to the bench chemist. The uncomplicated construction and,

 [a] J. J. W. Bakker, Dr. M. M. P. Zieverink, Prof. Dr. F. Kapteijn, Prof. Dr. J. A. Moulijn, Prof. Dr. M. T. Kreutzer Department of Chemical Engineering Delft University of Technology Julianalaan 136, 2628 BL (The Netherlands) Fax: (+ 31)015 278 5006 E-mail: m.t.kreutzer@tudelft.nl

[b] R. W. E. G. Reintjens
 R.W.E.G. Reintjens
 DSM Pharmaceutical Products ASC&D
 P.O. Box 18, 6160 MD Geleen (The Netherlands)

- Supporting Information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201100044.
- Re-use of this article is permitted in accordance with the Terms and Conditions set out at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/10.1002/(ISSN)1867-3899/homepage/2491_onlineopen.html.



Figure 1. Continuous capillary microreactor with a Pd catalyst immobilized on the inner wall operated in segmented gas–liquid flow.

equally important, simple visual monitoring will be a powerful tool in the hands of synthetic chemists.

We used commercially available fused-silica capillaries coated with a 6 µm thick layer of high surface area γ -Al₂O₃ that we pretreated and impregnated with a [Pd(OAc)₂] solution (Figure 2 and see the Supporting Information for details). This resulted in nanosized Pd particles evenly dispersed on the γ -Al₂O₃ coating layer (Figure 2 c). We tested their activity with the well-studied hydrogenation of cyclohexene.^[12] In a 17 cm capillary (residence time \approx 4 s) the conversion was 43% at



Figure 2. a) Darkening of a capillary after Pd impregnation (0, 1.1, 2.7, 5.7 wt% Pd); b) SEM micrograph of porous γ -Al₂O₃ layer coated on the inner wall of the capillary; c) TEM micrograph of 5 nm Pd particles (black dots) supported on γ -Al₂O₃ (5.7 wt% Pd); d) Formation of segmented flow at the capillary inlet.

CHEMCATCHEM



Figure 3. a) Visual observation of shrinking bubbles during a hydrogenation reaction in a Pd-capillary; b) Measurement of the bubble length from photographs at the inlet and outlet of a capillary.

 $20\,^\circ\text{C},$ without deactivation, increasing to $>\!99\,\%$ for a 50 cm piece.

The segmented flow regime (Figure 2d) is an especially useful flow pattern in synthesis because the chemistry can be followed visually.^[4b, 9c] From the velocity of the bubbles, the reaction time is determined, and the conversion rate is seen from the decreasing length of the bubbles, as they move through the capillary (Figure 3). We fully exploit this fact by visually measuring the conversion accurately, provided that conditions are chosen such that hydrogen consumption is significant. Bubble-to-bubble variation requires that several tens of bubbles are measured, but then, the visual method and GC-analysis agree within 5% (details in the Supporting Information). Rapidly available quantitative kinetic data thus allows for fast fine-tuning to maximize yields or minimize deactivation.

Before we discuss such an optimization, we first show that segmented flow ensures an optimal yield. Previously, we reported that mass transfer to the wall in segmented flow is extremely fast.^[11] We confirm this by measuring the activation energy of the hydrogenation of cyclohexene (details in the Supporting Information). In our capillary, we determined that the activation energy was 34 kJ mol⁻¹ at T < 330 K, which is in agreement with literature^[13] and rules out transport limitations inside or outside the porous alumina layer, which would have lowered this value.

Apart from eliminating diffusional effects, segmented flow hardly exhibits axial dispersion,^[14] which is notorious for low yields in continuous-flow reactors and polydisperse particles in continuous crystallization.^[15] We illustrate the improvement with the selective Pd-catalyzed hydrogenation of 3-methyl-1-pentyn-3-ol to **P1** (Scheme 1) without over-hydrogenation to **P2**. Kinetic modeling showed that, for a feed of 0.032 molL⁻¹, the maximum possible yield is 78–81%, which is obtained only at precisely the right residence time.^[16] Any distribution of residence time reduces the yield. In a one-day optimization, we approach the theoretical optimum, obtaining a yield of **P1** (78±2)% using segmented flow (Figure 4). Without H₂ bubbles, the yield of **P1** would have been about 57%.



Scheme 1. Selective hydrogenation of 3-methyl-1-pentyn-3-ol over a Pd catalyst to the desired 3-methyl-1-penten-3-ol (P1) and the overhydrogenation to 3-methyl-3-pentanol (P2).



Figure 4. Effluent concentrations as a function of residence time of the hydrogenation of 3-methyl-1-pentyn-3-ol in ethanol over a 0.02 wt % Pd catalyst operated in segmented-flow at 24 °C. The dashed line shows the maximum obtainable yield.

Now that we have established the benefits of segmented flow in Pd-capillaries for single step hydrogenation reactions, we return to rapid optimization of a multistep synthesis. As an example to demonstrate the benefit of segmented flow, we synthesized primary amine **5** by hydrogenation of the intermediate azide **4** (see Scheme 2). The hydrogenation of the ni-



Scheme 2. Synthesis routes for 3-phenyl-propyl-amine 5.

trogen-containing compounds over Pd catalysts is a good example of strongly adsorbing, or even poisoning, reactants and products. Such deactivation is better analyzed in continuous flow than in repeated batch experiments. Moreover, azide chemistry benefits greatly from miniaturized synthesis, because the toxic and explosive properties of azides complicate the handling of large quantities. Azide **4** was synthesized from **3** or **2** in various solvents, and subsequently hydrogenated without intermediate workup, i.e., purification was postponed until the toxic and explosive **4** was converted (details in the Supporting Information). The optimization problem, then, is to keep the hydrogenation to the primary amine **5** going as long as possible until deactivation of the catalyst by strongly adsorbing compounds in the synthesis mixture.

We investigated how much of the various compounds deactivate Pd, one by one, by measuring how much they reduce the rate of the hydrogenation of cyclohexene—in the azide hydrogenation, bubbles do not shrink owing to the formation of N_2 , so we used a simple test reaction that does exhibit bubble shrinkage because it does not produce a gas. This works because we are predominantly interested in the adsorption strength for the various deactivating compounds, which enters in the same way into the rate expression for the hydrogenation of both azide and cyclohexene. These *spiking* experiments are cumbersome in batch, but easy in our continuous system. Now, only the conversion needed to be monitored to determine the adsorption strength *K* of the various components on the catalyst, and switching to an unspiked feed shows whether adsorption is reversible (Figure 5). Rapidly, the population balance on the Pd surface for these complex synthesis mixtures was determined and used in the optimization of the hydrogenation process and synthesis route.



Figure 5. Spiking of cyclohexene hydrogenation at 24 °C with components from Scheme 2 to determine the strength and reversibility of adsorption on the Pd catalyst.

Based on these rapid spiking experiments, we found that **3** adsorbs irreversibly, whereas azides, including residual NaN₃, adsorb reversibly. Adsorption of **5** was minimized by H₂O in the feed, because the ammonium complex that formed hardly adsorbs. Condensation reactions, giving **5b** and **5c**, occurred less at high dilution and low temperature, and were solvent-sensitive. Toluene as solvent competed significantly with **4** for adsorption on the Pd surface, whereas ethanol enhanced condensation. An optimum of 2 kg of amine **5** could be synthesized using 1 g Pd, using **2** as starting material, in ethanol/H₂O without catalyst regeneration (entry 7, Table S2 in the Supporting Information). This was achieved in the same capillaries, showing that kilolab quantities can readily be made in the same bench-scale equipment, with only longer time-onstream.

Segmented-flow accelerates optimization of continuous-flow hydrogenations using heterogeneous catalysis. Conversion can be monitored visually, which gives hands-on control over the activity and deactivation of the catalyst. Reagents exchange rapidly with the catalyst and without axial dispersion, yields are the same as in batch. We have shown that continuous-flow analysis allows a fast optimization of various aspects of heterogeneous catalysis and synthesis routes, such as solvent effects, competitive adsorption and irreversible poisoning.

Keywords: azides • flow reactions • heterogeneous catalysis • palladium • pharmaceutical intermediates

- a) B. Ahmed-Omer, J. C. Brandt, T. Wirth, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 733; b) H. R. Sahoo, J. G. Kralj, K. F. Jensen, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 5806; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 5704; c) G. Shore, S. Morin, M. G. Organ, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 2827; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2761.
- [2] a) D. Belder, M. Ludwig, L.-W. Wang, M. T. Reetz, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 2523; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2463; b) E. R. Murphy, J. R. Martinelli, N. Zaborenko, S. L. Buchwald, K. F. Jensen, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 1764; c) K. Geyer, J. D. C. Codée, P. H. Seeberger, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 8434; d) J. P. McMullen, M. T. Stone, S. L. Buchwald, K. E. Jensen, Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 7230; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7076; e) O. Trapp, S. K. Weber, S. Bauch, W. Hofstadt, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 7447; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7307.
- [3] a) M. Brivio, W. Verboom, D. N. Reinhoudt, Lab Chip 2006, 6, 329;
 b) P. D. I. Fletcher, S. J. Haswell, E. Pombo-Villar, B. H. Warrington, P. Watts, S. Y. F. Wong, X. Zhang, *Tetrahedron* 2002, 58, 4735; c) K. Jähnisch, V. Hessel, H. Löwe, M. Baerns, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 410; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 406.
- [4] a) P. W. Miller, N. J. Long, A. J. de Mello, R. Vilar, H. Audrain, D. Bender, J. Passchier, A. Gee, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 2933; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2875; b) A. Leclerc, M. Alame, D. Schweich, P. Pouteau, C. Delattre, C. de Bellefon, Lab Chip 2008, 8, 814; c) Y. Önal, M. Lucas, P. Claus, Chem. Ing. Tech. 2005, 77, 101; d) B. K. H. Yen, A. Günther, M. A. Schmidt, K. F. Jensen, M. G. Bawendi, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 5583; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5447.
- [5] a) J. Kobayashi, Y. Mori, K. Okamoto, R. Akiyama, M. Ueno, T. Kitamori, S. Kobayashi, *Science* 2004, 304, 1305; b) S. Saaby, K. R. Knudsen, M. Ladlow, S. V. Ley, *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 2909; c) S. Tadepalli, R. Halder, A. Lawal, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 2007, 62, 2663; d) E. V. Rebrov, E. A. Klinger, A. Berenguer-Murcia, E. M. Sulman, J. C. Schouten, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2009, 13, 991; e) N. Yoswathananont, K. Nitta, Y. Nishiuchi, M. Sato, *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 40.
- [6] a) C. H. Hornung, B. Hallmark, M. R. Mackley, I. R. Baxendale, S. V. Ley, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1736; b) J. F. Ng, Y. T. Nie, G. K. Chuah, S. Jaenicke, J. Catal. 2010, 269, 302; c) N. W. Wang, T. Matsumoto, M. Ueno, H. Miyamura, S. Kobayashi, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 4838; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4744; d) E. V. Rebrov, A. Berenguer-Murcia, H. E. Skelton, B. F. G. Johnson, A. E. H. Wheatley, J. C. Schouten, Lab Chip 2009, 9, 503.
- [7] a) B. Desai, C. O. Kappe, J. Comb. Chem. 2005, 7, 641; b) A. R. Bogdan,
 B. P. Mason, K. T. Sylvester, D. T. McQuade, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 1728; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1698; c) A. Kirschning, W. Soloden-ko, K. Mennecke, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 5972.
- [8] D. van Herk, P. Castano, M. Makkee, J. A. Moulijn, M. T. Kreutzer, Appl. Catal. A 2009, 365, 199.
- [9] a) J. R. Burns, C. Ramshaw, *Lab Chip* 2001, 1, 10; b) G. Dummann, U. Quittmann, L. Groschel, D. W. Agar, O. Worz, K. Morgenschweis, *Catal. Today* 2003, 79, 433; c) H. Song, D. L. Chen, R. F. Ismagilov, *Angew. Chem.* 2006, 118, 7494; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006, 45, 7336.
- [10] A. Günther, K. F. Jensen, Lab Chip 2006, 6, 1487.
- [11] M. T. Kreutzer, P. Du, J. J. Heiszwolf, F. Kapteijn, J. A. Moulijn, Chem. Eng. Sci. 2001, 56, 6015.
- [12] M. W. Losey, M. A. Schmidt, K. F. Jensen, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2001, 40, 2555.
- [13] M. Boudart, R. J. Madon, J. P. O'Connell, AIChE J. 1978, 24, 904.
- [14] M. T. Kreutzer, A. Gunther, K. F. Jensen, Anal. Chem. 2008, 80, 1558.
- [15] S. A. Khan, A. Gunther, M. A. Schmidt, K. F. Jensen, Langmuir 2004, 20, 8604.
- [16] T. A. Nijhuis, G. van Koten, F. Kapteijn, J. A. Moulijn, Catal. Today 2003, 79–80, 315.

Received: February 4, 2011 Published online on June 9, 2011