



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Perspectives on cutback management in public organisations : what public managers do**

Schmidt, J.E.T.

### **Citation**

Schmidt, J. E. T. (2020, January 30). *Perspectives on cutback management in public organisations : what public managers do*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/83488>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/83488>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/83488> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Schmidt, J.E.T.

**Title:** Perspectives on cutback management in public organisations : what public managers do

**Issue Date:** 2020-01-30



# Bibliography





## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 't Hart, P., and Wille, A. (2006). Ministers and top officials in the dutch core executive: Living together, growing apart? *Public Administration*, 84(1), 121–146.
- A**
- Aberbach, J. D., Putnam, R. D., and Rockman, B. A. (1981). *Bureaucrats and Politicians in Western Democracies*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Aberbach, J. D., and Rockman, B. A. (1988). Mandates or Mandarins? Control and Discretion in the Modern Administrative State. *Public Administration Review*, 48(2), 606–612.
- Aberbach, J. D., and Rockman, B. A. (2002). Conducting and coding elite interviews. *PS - Political Science and Politics*, 35(4), 673–676.
- Aberbach, J. D., and Rockman, B. A. (2006). The past and future of political-administrative relations: Research from Bureaucrats and Politicians to In the Web of Politics - And beyond. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 29(12), 977–995.
- Aguinis, H., and Solarino, A. M. (2019). Transparency and replicability in qualitative research : The case of interviews with elite informants, *Strategic Management Journal*, Advance Online Access.
- Alvarez, A. (2016). Executive Leadership Challenges Implementing Systemic Change Under Conditions of Litigated Reform. *Human Service Organisations: Management, Leadership and Governance*, 40(2), 131–151.
- Andersen, L. B., Jorgensen, T. B., Kjeldsen, A. M., Pedersen, L. H., and Vrangbaek, K. (2013). Public Values and Public Service Motivation: Conceptual and Empirical Relationships. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 43(3), 292–311.
- Andersen, L. B., Jorgensen, T. B., Kjeldsen, A. M., Pedersen, L. H., and Vrangbaek, K. (2012). Public Value Dimensions: Developing and Testing a Multi-Dimensional Classification. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 35(11), 715–728.
- Andrews, R., and Boyne, G. A. (2010). Capacity, Leadership, and Organisational Performance: Testing the Black Box Model of Public Management. *Public Administration Review*, 70(3), 443–454.
- Andrews, R., Boyne, G. A., Law, J., and Walker, R. M. (2009a). Centralization, organisational strategy, and public service performance. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 19(1), 57–80.
- Andrews, R., Boyne, G. A., Law, J., and Walker, R. M. (2009b). Strategy, structure and process in the public sector: A test of the miles and snow model. *Public Administration*, 87(4), 732–749.
- Andrews, R., Boyne, G. A., Meier, K. J., O'Toole, L. J., and Walker, R. M. (2012). Vertical strategic alignment and public service performance. *Public Administration*, 90(1), 77–98.
- Andrews, R. W., Boyne, G. A., and Walker, R. M. (2012). Overspending in Public Organisations: Does Strategic Management Matter? *International Public Management Journal*, 15(1), 39–61.
- Antonakis, J., Donovan, J. A., Gopalakrishna-Pilla, K., Pellegrini, E. K., and Rossomme, J. L. (2004). Methods for Studying Leadership. In: Antonakis, J., Cianciolo, A.T., and Sternberg R.J. (Eds.) *The Nature of Leadership*. Thousand Oaks: Sage
- Armingeon, K. (2012). The Politics of Fiscal Responses to the Crisis of 2008-2009. *Governance*, 25(4), 543–565.
- Ashworth, R. E., McDermott, A. M., and Currie, G. (2018). Theorizing from Qualitative Research in Public Administration: Plurality through a Combination of Rigor and Richness. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 29(2), 318-333.

## B

- Barbera, C., Guarini, E., and Steccolini, I. (2016). Italian Municipalities and the Fiscal Crisis: Four Strategies for Muddling Through. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 32(3), 335–361.
- Barbera, C., Jones, M., Korac, S., Saliterer, I., and Steccolini, I. (2017). Governmental financial resilience under austerity in Austria, England and Italy: How do local governments cope with financial shocks? *Public Administration*, 95(3), 670–697.
- Bardi, A., and Schwartz, S. H. (2003). Values and Behaviour: Strength and Structure of Relations. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 29(10), 1207–1220.
- Bass, B. M., Avolio, B. J., Jung, D. I., and Berson, Y. (2003). Predicting unit performance by assessing transformational and transactional leadership. *The Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(2), 207–218.
- Behn, R. D. (1980). Leadership for cutback management: the use of corporate strategy. *Public Administration Review*, 40(6), 613–620.
- Behn, R. D. (2013). Cutbacks vs. Performance stat: what's the conflict? Financial deficits and attention deficits. *International Public Management Review*, 14(2), 1–16.
- Berry, F. S. (1994). Innovation in Public Management: The Adoption of Strategic Planning. *Public Administration Review*, 54(4), 322–330.
- Berry, J. M. (2002). Validity and Reliability Issues In Elite Interviewing. *PS: Political Science and Politics*, 35(4), 679–682.
- Boin, A. (2001). *Crafting Public Institutions: Leadership in two prison systems*. Colorado: Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Boin, A., and 't Hart, P. (2003). Public leadership in times of crisis: mission impossible? *Public Administration Review*, 63(5), 544–553.
- Boin, A., James, O., and Lodge, M. (2006). The new public management 'revolution' in political control of the public sector: promises and outcomes in three European prison systems. *Public Policy and Administration*, 21(2), 81–100.
- Boin, A., 't Hart, P., and McConnell, A. (2009). Crisis exploitation: political and policy impacts of framing contests. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 16(1), 81–106.
- Boin, A., 't Hart, P., McConnell, A., and Preston, T. (2010). Leadership style, crisis response and blame management: The case of hurricane katrina. *Public Administration*, 88(3), 706–723.
- Boin, A., Kuipers, S., and Overdijk, W. (2013). Leadership in times of crisis: A Framework for Assessment. *International Review of Public Administration*, 18(1), 79–91.
- Bostock, J., Breese, R., Ridley-Duff, R., and Crowther, P. (2019). Challenges for third sector organisations in cutback management : a sporting case study of the implications of publicness. *Public Management Review*, Advance Online Access.
- Bourgault, J., and Van Dorpe, K. (2013). Managerial reforms, Public Service Bargains and top civil servant identity. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 79(1), 49–70.
- Bovens, M., Geveke, H., and de Vries, J. (1995) Open Public Administration in the Netherlands: The Politics of Leaking. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 61(1), 17–40.
- Boyne, G. A., and Walker, R. M. (2004). Strategy content and public service organisations. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 14(2), 231–252.
- Boyne, G. A. (2006). Strategies for public service turnaround: Lessons from the private sector? *Administration and Society*, 38(3), 365–388.
- Bozeman, B. (2007). *Public Values and Public Interest: Counterbalancing Economic Individualism*. Washington D.C.: Georgetown University Press.
- Bozeman, B. (2010). Hard lessons from hard times: Reconsidering and reorienting the “Managing decline” literature. *Public Administration Review*, 70(4), 557–563.
- Bozeman, B., and Pandey, S. K. (2011). Public Management Decision Making: Effects of Deci-

- sion Content. *Public Administration Review*, 64(5), 553–565.
- Brewer, G. D. (1978). Termination: Hard Choices-Harder Questions. *Public Administration Review*, 38(4), 338–345.
- Bryman, A. (2004). Qualitative research on leadership: A critical but appreciative review. *Leadership Quarterly*, 15(6), 729–769.
- Bryman, A., Stephens, M., and Campo, C. À. (1996). The importance of context: Qualitative research and the study of leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 7(3), 353–370.
- Bryson, J. M., Berry, F. S., and Yang, K. (2010). The state of public strategic management research: A selective literature review and set of future directions. *American Review of Public Administration*, 40(5), 495–521.
- Burns, T. E., and Stalker, G. M. (1961). *The management of innovation*. London: Tavistock.
- By, R. T. (2005). Organisational Change Management: a critical review. *Journal of Change Management*, 5(4), 369–380.
- ## C
- Cameron, K. S., Kim, M. U., and Whetten, D. A. (1987). Organisational Effects of Decline and Turbulence. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 32(2), 222–240.
- Cameron, K. S., Whetten, D. A., and Kim, M. U. (1987). Organisational Dysfunctions of Decline. *Academy of Management Journal*, 30(1), 126–138.
- Carmeli, A. (2006). The managerial skills of the top management team and the performance of municipal organisations. *Local Government Studies*, 32(2), 153–176.
- Cayer, N. J. (1986). Management Implications of Reduction in Force. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 10(1), 36–49.
- Centraal Planbureau (CPB) (2012). *Centraal Economisch Plan 2012*. Den Haag: SDU Uitgevers.
- Cepiku, D., Giordano, F., and Savignon, A. B. (2018). Does strategy rhyme with austerity? *Public Management Review*, 20(3), 421–443.
- Cepiku, D., Mussari, R., and Giordano, F. (2016). Local governments managing austerity: Approaches, determinants and impact. *Public Administration*, 94(1), 223–243.
- Cepiku, D., and Savignon, A. B. (2012). Governing cutback management: is there a global strategy for public administrations? *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 25(6/7), 428–436.
- Chan, H. S., and Gao, J. (2013). Can the same key open different locks? Administrative values underlying performance measurement in China. *Public Administration*, 91(2), 366–380.
- Clark, E., and Soulsby, A. (2007). Understanding top management and organisational change through demographic and processual analysis. *Journal of Management Studies*, 44(6), 932–954.
- Colebatch, H. K., Hoppe, R., and Noordegraaf, M. (2010). *Working for Policy*. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.
- Connor, P. E., and Becker, B. W. (2003). Personal Value Systems and Decision-Making Styles of Public Managers. *Public Personnel Management*, 32(1), 155–180.
- Conway, N., Kiefer, T., Hartley, J., and Briner, R. B. (2014). Doing more with less? Employee reactions to psychological contract breach via target similarity or spillover during public sector organisational change. *British Journal of Management*, 25(4), 737–754.
- ## D
- De Graaf, G. (2011). The loyalties of top public administrators. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 21(2), 285–306.
- De Graaf, G., Huberts, L., and Smulders, R. (2016). Coping With Public Value Conflicts. *Administration and Society*, 48(9), 1101–1127.
- Desarbo, W. S., Di Benedetto, C. A., Song, M., and Sinha, I. (2005). Revisiting the Miles and Snow strategic framework: Uncovering interrelationships between strategic types, capabilities, environmental uncertainty, and



- firm performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 26(1), 47–74.
- Di Mascio, F., and Natalini, A. (2014). Austerity and Public Administration: Italy Between Modernization and Spending Cuts. *American Behavioural Scientist*, 58(12), 1634–1656.
- Di Mascio, F., Galli, D., Natalini, A., Ongaro, E., and Stolfi, F. (2017). Learning-Shaping Crises: A Longitudinal Comparison of Public Personnel Reforms in Italy, 1992–2014. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice*, 19(2), 119–138.
- Di Mascio, F., and Natalini, A. (2015). Fiscal Retrenchment in Southern Europe Changing patterns of public management in Greece, Italy, Portugal and Spain. *Public Management Review*, 17(1), 129–148.
- Di Mascio, F., Natalini, A., and Stolfi, F. (2013). The ghost of crises past: Analyzing reform sequences to understand Italy's response to the global crisis. *Public Administration*, 91(1), 17–31.
- Diamond, J., and Vangen, S. (2017). Coping with austerity: innovation via collaboration or retreat to the known? *Public Money and Management*, 37(1), 47–54.
- Dooren, W. van, Bouckaert, G., and Halligan, J. (2015). *Performance Management in the Public Sector*. New York: Routledge.
- Dougherty, M. J., and Klase, K. A. (2009). Fiscal Retrenchment in State Budgeting: Revisiting Cutback Management in a New Era. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 32(7), 593–619.
- Douglas, J. W., Raudla, R., Randma-Liiv, T., and Savi, R. (2019). The Impact of Greater Centralization on the Relevance of Performance Information in European Governments During the Fiscal Crisis. *Administration and Society*, 51(7), 1020–1050.
- Downs, A. (1967). *Inside Bureaucracy*. Boston: Little Brown.
- Duisenberg, P. (2016). New development: How MPs in the Dutch parliament strengthened their budgetary and accounting powers. *Public Money & Management*, 36(7), 521–526.
- Dunsire, A., and Hood, C. (1989). *Cutback Management in Public Bureaucracies. Popular Theories and Observed Outcomes in Whitehall*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- ## E
- Elston, T. (2017). Conflict between Explicit and Tacit Public Service Bargains in U.K. Executive Agencies. *Governance*, 30(1), 85–104.
- Esteve, M., Schuster, C., Albareda, A., and Losada, C. (2017). The Effects of Doing More with Less in the Public Sector: Evidence from a Large-Scale Survey. *Public Administration Review*, 77(4), 544–553.
- ## F
- Favero, N., Andersen, S. C., Meier, K. J., O'Toole, L. J., and Winter, S. C. (2018). How Should we Estimate the Performance Effect of Management? Comparing Impacts of Public Managers' and Front-Line Employees' Perceptions of Management. *International Public Management Journal*, 21(1), 105–130.
- Fernandez-Gutiérrez, M., and Van de Walle, S. (2019). Equity or Efficiency? Explaining Public Officials' Values. *Public Administration Review*, 79(1), 25–34.
- Fernandez, S., and Rainey, H. G. (2006). Managing Successful Organisational Change in the Public Sector. *Public Administration Review*, 66(2), 168–176.
- Ferry, L., Coombs, H., and Eckersley, P. (2017). Budgetary Stewardship, Innovation and Working Culture: Identifying the Missing Ingredient in English and Welsh Local Authorities' Recipes for Austerity Management. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 33(2), 220–243.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2006). Five Misunderstandings About Case-Study Research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(2), 219–245.
- ## G
- Gerring, J., and Cojocaru, L. (2016). *Selecting Cases for Intensive Analysis: A Diversity of Goals and*

- Methods. Sociological Methods and Research*, 35(3), 392-423.
- Gill, R. (2002). Change management--or change leadership? *Journal of Change Management*, 3(4), 307-318.
- Glassberg, A. (1978). Organisational Responses to Municipal Budget Decreases. *Public Administration Review*, 38(4), 325-331.
- Goldstein, K. (2002). Getting in the Door: Sampling and completing elite interviews. *Political Science Online*, 35(4), 669-672.
- ## H
- Hannah, S. T., Uhl-Bien, M., Avolio, B. J., and Cavarretta, F. L. (2009). A framework for examining leadership in extreme contexts. *Leadership Quarterly*, 20(6), 897-919.
- Hansen, M. B., and Salomonsen, H. H. (2011). The public service bargains of Danish permanent secretaries. *Public Policy and Administration*, 26(2), 189-208.
- Hansen, M. B., Steen, T., and De Jong, M. (2013). New Public Management, Public Service Bargains and the challenges of interdepartmental coordination: a comparative analysis of top civil servants in state administration. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 79(1), 29-48.
- Harmon, M. and Mayer, R. (1986). *Organization theory for public administration*. Boston: Little Brown.
- Harvey, W. S. (2010). Methodological approaches for interviewing elites. *Geography Compass*, 4(3), 193-205.
- Harvey, W. S. (2011). Strategies for conducting elite interviews. *Qualitative Research*, 11(4), 431-441.
- Higgs, M., and Rowland, D. (2011). What does it take to implement change successfully? A study of the behaviour of successful change leaders. *The Journal of Applied Behavioural Science*, 47(2), 309-335.
- Hinterleitner, M. (2017). Reconciling Perspectives on Blame Avoidance Behaviour Reconciling Perspectives on Blame Avoidance Behaviour. *Political Studies Review*, 15(2), 243-254.
- Hondeghem, A. (2011). Changing public service bargains for top officials. *Public Policy and Administration*, 26(2), 159-165.
- Hood, C. (1991). A Public Management for all Seasons? *Public Administration*, 69(1), 3-19.
- Hood, C. (2002). Control, Bargains, and Cheating: The Politics of Public-Service Reform. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 12(3), 309-332.
- Hood, C., and Dixon, R. (2013). A model of cost-cutting in government? The great management revolution in uk central government reconsidered. *Public Administration*, 91(1), 114-134.
- Hood, C., and Dixon, R. (2015). What We Have to Show for 30 Years of New Public Management: Higher Costs, More Complaints. *Governance*, 28(3), 265-267.
- Hood, C., Jennings, W., Dixon, R., Hogwood, B., and Beeston, C. (2009). Testing times : Exploring staged responses and the impact of blame management strategies in two examination fiasco cases. *European Journal of Political Research*, 48(2), 695-722.
- Hood, C., and Lodge, M. (2006). *The Politics of Public Service Bargains*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hood, C., and Wright, M. (1981). From incrementalism to quantum cuts? In: C. Hood and M. Wright (Eds.), *Big Governments in Hard Times* (pp. 199-227). Oxford: Martin Robertson.
- ## J
- Jacobsen, C. B., and Andersen, L. B. (2015). Is Leadership in the Eye of the Beholder? A Study of Intended and Perceived Leadership Practices and Organisational Performance. *Public Administration Review*, 75(6), 829-841.
- Jimenez, B. S. (2017). When ties bind: Public managers' networking behaviour and municipal fiscal health after the Great Recession. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 27(3), 450-467.
- Jimenez, B. S. (2018). Organisational Strategy and the Outcomes of Fiscal Retrenchment in Major

- Cities in the United States. *International Public Management Journal*, 21(4), 589–618.
- Johns, G. (2006). The essential impact of context on organisational behaviour. *Academy of Management Review*, 31(2), 396–408.
- Johnsen, Å. (2015). Strategic management thinking and practice in the public sector: A strategic planning for all seasons? *Financial Accountability and Management*, 31(3), 243–268.
- Johnsen, Å. (2018). Impacts of strategic planning and management in municipal government: an analysis of subjective survey and objective production and efficiency measures in Norway. *Public Management Review*, 20(3), 397–420.
- Jorgensen, T. B., and Bozeman, B. (2007). Public Values: An Inventory. *Administration and Society*, 39(3), 354–381.
- ## K
- Kickert, W. (2012a). How the Dutch government responded to financial, economic and fiscal crisis. *Public Money and Management*, 32(6), 439–443.
- Kickert, W. (2012b). State Responses to the Fiscal Crisis in Britain, Germany and the Netherlands. *Public Management Review*, 14(3), 299–309.
- Kickert, W. (2014). Specificity of Change Management in Public Organisations: Conditions for Successful Organisational Change in Dutch Ministerial Departments. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 44(6), 693–717.
- Kickert, W. (2015). The politics of fiscal consolidation in the Netherlands: mainly compromising but hesitant attempts at targeted priority-setting. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 81(3), 541–561.
- Kickert, W., and Randma-Liiv, T. (2015). *Europe Managing the Crisis: the politics of fiscal consolidation*. Milton Park: Routledge.
- Kickert, W., and Randma-Liiv, T. (2017). The politics of cutback management in thirteen European countries: statistical evidence on causes and effects. *Public Management Review*, 19(2), 175–193.
- Kickert, W., Randma-Liiv, T., and Savi, R. (2015). Politics of fiscal consolidation in Europe: a comparative analysis. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 81(3), 562–584.
- Kickert, W., and Ysa, T. (2014). New development: How the Spanish government responded to the global economic, banking and fiscal crisis. *Public Money and Management*, 34(6), 37–41.
- Kiefer, T., Hartley, J., Conway, N., and Briner, R. B. (2015). Feeling the Squeeze: Public Employees' Experiences of Cutback-and Innovation-Related Organisational Changes Following a National Announcement of Budget Reductions. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 25(4), 1279–1305.
- Kim, J., and Oh, S. S. (2016). Local Managerial Strategies for External Versus Own Source Fiscal Resources: Do Administrators' Previous Career and Education Matter? *American Review of Public Administration*, 46(1), 28–50.
- Kjeldsen, A. M. (2012). Sector and Occupational Differences in Public Service Motivation: A Qualitative Study. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 35(1), 58–69.
- Kuipers, B. S., Higgs, M., Kickert, W., Tummers, L., Grandia, J., and Van Der Voet, J. (2014). The management of change in public organisations: A literature review. *Public Administration*, 92(1), 1–20.
- ## L
- Ladi, S. (2013). Austerity politics and administrative reform: The Eurozone crisis and its impact upon Greek public administration. *Comparative European Politics*, 12(2), 184–208.
- Lambright, W. H. (1998). Downsizing big science: Strategic choices. *Public Administration Review*, 58(3), 259–268.
- Lemstra, W. (1993). *De Secretaris-Generaal. Een onderzoek naar de rol van de Secretaris-Generaal in het overheidsmanagement*. Groningen: Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.
- Leslie, K., and Canwell, A. (2010). Leadership at all levels: Leading public sector organisations in an age of austerity. *European Management Journal*, 28(4), 297–305.

- Levine, C. H. (1978). Organisational Decline and Cutback Management. *Public Administration Review*, 38(4), 316–325.
- Levine, C. H. (1979). More on Cutback Management: Hard Questions for Hard Times. *Public Administration Review*, 39(2), 179–183.
- Levine, C. H. (1984). Retrenchment, human resource erosion, and the role of the personnel manager. *Public Personnel Management Journal*, 13(3), 249–263.
- Levine, C. H. (1985). Police Management in the 1980s: From Decrementalism to Strategic Thinking. *Public Administration Review*, 45(4), 691–700.
- Levine, C. H., Rubin, I. S., and Wolohojian, G. G. (1981). Resource Scarcity and the Reform Model: The Management of Retrenchment in Cincinnati and Oakland. *Public Administration Review*, 41(6), 619–628.
- Levine, C., Rubin, I. S., and Wolohojian, G. G. (1982). Managing organisational retrenchment pre-conditions, deficiencies, and adaptations in the public sector. *Administration and Society*, 14(1), 101–136.
- Liu, X. (2018). Interviewing Elites. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 17(1), 1–19.
- Lodge, M., and Hood, C. (2012). Into an age of multiple austerities? Public management and Public Service Bargains across OECD countries. *Governance*, 25(1), 79–101.
- Lyons, S. T. ., Duxbury, L. E., and Higgins, C. A. (2006). A Comparison of the Values and Commitment of Private Sector, Public Sector, and Parapublic Sector Employees. *Public Administration Review*, 66(4), 605–618.
- M**
- March, J. G., and Olson, J. P. (1983). Organizing Political Life: What Administrative Reorganisation Tells Us about Government. *American Political Science Review*, 77(2), 281–296.
- Mason-Bish, H. (2019). The elite delusion: reflexivity, identity and positionality in qualitative research. *Qualitative Research*, 19(3), 263–276.
- Mccann, L. (2013). Reforming public services after the crash: The roles of framing and hoping. *Public Administration*, 91(1), 5–16.
- McTighe, J. J. (1979). Management Strategies to Deal with Shrinking Resources. *Public Administration Review*, 39(1), 86–90.
- Meier, K., Andersen, S. C., O’Toole, L. J., Favero, N., and Winter, S. C. (2015). Taking managerial context seriously: Public management and performance in U.S. and Denmark schools. *International Public Management Journal*, 18(1), 130–150.
- Meier, K. J., and O’Toole, L. J. (2009). The dog that didn’t bark: How public managers handle environmental shocks. *Public Administration*, 87(3), 485–502.
- Meier, K. J., O’Toole, L. J., Boyne, G. A., and Walker, R. M. (2008). Strategic management and the performance of public organisations: Testing venerable ideas against recent theories. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 17(3), 357–377.
- Meyer, R. E., Egger-Peitler, I., Höllerer, M. A., and Hammerschmid, G. (2014). Of bureaucrats and passionate public managers: Institutional logics, executive identities, and public service motivation. *Public Administration*, 92(4), 861–885.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, M. A, and Saldana, J. (2014). *Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook (3rd Edition)*. London: Sage.
- Miles, R. E., and Snow, C. C. (1978). *Organisational Strategy, Structure, and Process*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Milward, B., Jensen, L., Roberts, A., Dussauge-Laguna, M. I., Junjan, V., Torenvlied, R., ... Durant, R. (2016). Is Public Management Neglecting the State? *Governance*, 29(3), 311–334.
- Mintzberg, H. (1985). The Organisation as Political Arena. *Journal of Management Studies*, 22(2), 133–154.
- Moynihan, D. P. (2013). Does Public Service Motivation Lead to Budget Maximization? Evidence from an Experiment. *International Public Management Journal*, 16(2), 179–196.

Moynihan, D. P., Pandey, S. K., and Wright, B. E. (2012). Setting the table: How transformational leadership fosters performance information use. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 22(1), 143–164.

## N

Nakrosis, V., Vilpisauskas, R., and Kuokstis, V. (2015). Fiscal consolidation and structural reforms in Lithuania in the period 2008–2012: from grand ambitions to hectic firefighting. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 81(3), 522–540.

Noordegraaf, M. (2015). Shadowing managerial action instead of recording managerial text. *Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management: An International Journal*, 9(1), 41–46.

Nowell, B., and Albrecht, K. (2018). A Reviewer's Guide to Qualitative Rigor. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 29(2), 348–363.

## O

O'Toole, L. J., and Meier, K. (2015). Public Management, Context, and Performance: In Quest of a More General Theory. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 25(1), 237–256.

O'Toole, L., Meier, K., and Nicholson-Crotty, S. (2005). Managing upward, downward and outward: Networks, hierarchical relationships and performance. *Public Management Review*, 7(1), 45–68.

O'Toole, L., and Meier, K. (2010). In Defense of Bureaucracy. *Public Management Review*, 12(3), 341–361.

Okun, A. M. (1975). *Equality and Efficiency: The Big Tradeoff*. Washington D.C.: Brookings Institution Press.

Oldenhof, L., Postma, J., and Putters, K. (2014). On Justification Work: How Compromising Enables Public Managers to Deal with Conflicting Values. *Public Administration Review*, 74(1), 52–63.

Ospina, S. M. (2017). Collective Leadership and Context in Public Administration: Bridging Public Leadership Research and Leadership Studies. *Public Administration Review*, 77(2), 275–287.

Ospina, S. M., Esteve, M., and Lee, S. (2018). Assessing Qualitative Studies in Public Administration Research. *Public Administration Review*, 78(4), 593–605.

Overmans, T., and Timm-Arnold, K. P. (2016). Managing Austerity: Comparing municipal austerity plans in the Netherlands and North Rhine-Westphalia. *Public Management Review*, 18(7), 1043–1062.

## P

Pandey, S. K. (2010). Cutback Management and the Paradox of Publicness. *Public Administration Review*, 70(4), 564–571.

Park, S. (2019). Cutbacks revisited: the relationship between resources and performance. *Public Management Review*, 21(4), 515–536.

Patton, M.Q. (2002). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods (3rd edition)*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Patz, R. (2018). Leaking, leak prevention, and decoupling in public administrations: the case of the European Commission. *West European Politics*, 41(4), 1049–1071.

Peters, B. G., and Pierre, J. (2004). *Politization of the Civil Service in Comparative Perspective. The Quest for Control*. London: Routledge.

Peters, B. G., Pierre, J., and Randma-Liiv, T. (2011). Global Financial Crisis, Public Administration and Governance: Do New Problems Require New Solutions? *Public Organisation Review*, 11(1), 13–27.

Pfeffer, J., and Salancik, G. R. (1978). *The external control of organisations: A resource dependence approach*. New York: Harper and Row Publishers.

Poister, T. H., Pitts, D. W., and Edwards, L. H. (2010). Strategic management research in the public sector: A review, synthesis, and future direc-

- tions. *American Review of Public Administration*, 40(5), 522–545.
- Pollitt, C. (2010). Cuts and reforms — Public services as we move into a new era. *Society and Economy*, 32(1), 17–31.
- Pollitt, C. (2008). *Time, Policy, Management: Governing with the Past*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Pollitt, C. (2015). *Public Servant to Public Managers: The European Story: Transformation or Torture?* Paper supporting a keynote address to 11th Transatlantic Dialogue (TAD) Boston, 3-5 June 2015.
- Pollitt, C., and Bouckaert, G. (2011). *Public Management Reform. A comparative analysis*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Porter, L. W., and McLaughlin, G. B. (2006). Leadership and the organisational context: Like the weather? *Leadership Quarterly*, 17(6), 559–576.
- Posner, P., and Blöndal, J. (2012). Democracies and deficits: Prospects for fiscal responsibility in democratic nations. *Governance*, 25(1), 11–34.
- ## R
- Raadschelders, J. C. N., Toonen, T. A. J., and van der Meer, F. M. (2007). *The Civic Service in the 21st Century*. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.
- Raadschelders, J., and van der Meer, F. M. (2014). Administrative elites in the Netherlands from 1980 to 2011: making the invisible visible. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 80(4), 726–745.
- Raaphorst, N., and Groeneveld, S. (2018). Double Standards in Frontline Decision Making: A Theoretical and Empirical Exploration. *Administration and Society*, 50(8), 1175–1201.
- Raaphorst, N., Groeneveld, S., and Van de Walle, S. (2018). Do tax officials use double standards in evaluating citizen-clients? A policy-capturing study among Dutch frontline tax officials. *Public Administration*, 96(1), 134–153.
- Rainey, H. G. (2009). *Understanding and Managing Public Organisations*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Randma-Liiv, T., and Kickert, W. (2017). The Impact of the Fiscal Crisis on Public Administration Reforms: Comparison of 14 European Countries. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice*, 19(2), 155–172.
- Raudla, R., Savi, R. and Randma-Liiv, T. (2013). Literature Review on Cutback Management, Cocops Work Package.
- Raudla, R., Savi, R., and Randma-Liiv, T. (2015). Cutback management literature in the 1970s and 1980s: taking stock. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 81(3), 433–456.
- Raudla, R., Douglas, J. W., Randma-Liiv, T., and Savi, R. (2015). The Impact of Fiscal Crisis on Decision-Making Processes in European Governments: Dynamics of a Centralization Cascade. *Public Administration Review*, 75(6), 842–852.
- Raudla, R., Douglas, J. W., Savi, R., and Randma-Liiv, T. (2017). Fiscal Crisis and Expenditure Cuts. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 47(3), 376–394.
- Raudla, R., and Kattel, R. (2013). Fiscal Stress Management During the Financial and Economic Crisis: The Case of the Baltic Countries. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 36(10), 732–742.
- Raudla, R., and Savi, R. (2015). The use of performance information in cutback budgeting. *Public Money and Management*, 35(6), 409–416.
- Rhodes, R. A. W. (2005). Everyday Life in a Ministry: Public Administration as Anthropology. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 35(1), 3–25.
- Ricard, L. M., Klijn, E. H., Lewis, J. M., and Ysa, T. (2017). Assessing Public Leadership Styles for Innovation: A Comparison of Copenhagen, Rotterdam and Barcelona. *Public Management Review*, 19(2), 134–156.
- Richards, D. (1996). Elite Interviewing: Approaches and Pitfalls. *Politics*, 16(3), 199–204.

- Ring, P. S., and Perry, J. L. (1985). Strategic Management in Public and Private Organisations: Implications of Distinctive Contexts and Constraints. *Academy of Management Review*, 10(2), 276–286.
- Rosenberg Hansen, J., and Ferlie, E. (2016). Applying Strategic Management Theories in Public Sector Organisations: Developing a typology. *Public Management Review*, 18(1), 1–19.
- Rutgers, M. R. (2008). Sorting Out Public Values? On the Contingency of Value Classifications in Public Administration. *Administrative Theory and Praxis*, 30(1), 92–113.
- Rutherford, A. (2016). The Effect of Top-Management Team Heterogeneity on Performance in Institutions of Higher Education. *Public Performance and Management Review*, 40(1), 119–144.
- ## S
- Salomonsen, H. H., and Knudsen, T. (2011). Changes in public service bargains: Ministers and civil servants in Denmark. *Public Administration*, 89(3), 1015–1035.
- Savi, R., and Randma-Liiv, T. (2015). Decision-making in time of crisis: cutback management in Estonia. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 81(3), 479–497.
- Schmidt, E., Groeneveld, S., and Van de Walle, S. (2017). A change management perspective on public sector cutback management: towards a framework for analysis. *Public Management Review*, 19(10), 1538–1555.
- Schwartz, R., and Sulitzeanu-Kenan, R. (2004). Managerial Values and Accountability Pressures: Challenges of Crisis and Disaster. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 14(1), 79–102.
- Schwartz, S. H. (1992). Universals in the content and structure of values: Theoretical advances and empirical tests in 20 countries. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 25(1), 1–65.
- Scorsone, E. A., and Plerhoples, C. (2010). Fiscal Stress and Cutback Management Amongst State and Local Governments: What Have We Learned and What Remains to Be Learned? *State and Local Government Review*, 42(2), 176–187.
- Scott, R. W. (2002). *Organisations: Rational, Natural, and Open Systems (5th edition)*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.
- Seawright, J., and Gerring, J. (2008). Case Selection Techniques in A Menu of Qualitative and Quantitative Options. *Political Research Quarterly*, 61(2), 294–308.
- Sigelman, L. (1986). The Bureaucrat as Budget Maximizer: An Assumption Examined. *Public Budgeting and Finance*, 6(1), 50–59.
- Steen, T., and van der Meer, F. M. (2011). Public service bargains in dutch top civil service. *Public Policy and Administration*, 26(2), 209–232.
- Stewart, J., and Kringas, P. (2003). Change management-strategy and values in six agencies from the Australian Public Service. *Public Administration Review*, 63(6), 675–688.
- Strange, S. (1988). *States and Markets: an introduction to international political economy*. London: Pinter.
- Svara, J. H. (2006). Introduction: Politicians and Administrators in the Political Process—A Review of Themes and Issues in the Literature. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 29(12), 953–976.
- ## T
- Taylor, J., and Taylor, R. (2015). Does the economy matter? Tough times, good times, and public service motivation. *Public Money and Management*, 35(5), 333–340.
- Tonkiss, K. (2016). Delegation in Hard Times: The Financial Management of Arm's Length Bodies in the UK. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 32(3), 362–378.
- Toshkov, D. (2016). *Research Design in Political Science*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Troupin, S., Steen, T., and Stroobants, J. (2015). Fiscal consolidation in federal Belgium. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 81(3), 457–478.

## V

- van den Bekerom, P., Torenvlied, R., and Akkerman, A. (2016). Managing All Quarters of the Compass? How Internally Oriented Managerial Networking Moderates the Impact of Environmental Turbulence on Organisational Performance. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 46(6), 639-659.
- van der Meer, F. M., van den Berg, C. F., and Dijkstra, G. S. A. (2013). Rethinking the 'Public Service Bargain': the changing (legal) position of civil servants in Europe. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 79(1), 91-109.
- van der Voet, J. (2016). Change Leadership and Public Sector Organisational Change: Examining the Interactions of Transformational Leadership Style and Red Tape. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 46(6), 660-682.
- van der Voet, J., and Vermeeren, B. (2017). Change Management in Hard Times: Can Change Management Mitigate the Negative Relationship Between Cutbacks and the Organisational Commitment and Work Engagement of Public Sector Employees? *The American Review of Public Administration*, 47(2), 230-252.
- van der Voet, J. (2018). Organisational Decline and Innovation in Public Organisations: A Contextual Framework of Cutback Management. *Perspectives on Public Management and Governance*, Advance Online Access.
- van der Voet, J., Groeneveld, S., and Kuipers, B. S. (2013). Talking the Talk or Walking the Walk? The Leadership of Planned and Emergent Change in a Public Organisation. *Journal of Change Management*, 14(2), 171-191.
- van der Voet, J., Kuipers, B. S., and Groeneveld, S. (2015). Held back and pushed forward: leading change in a complex public sector environment. *Journal of Organisational Change Management*, 28(2), 290-300.
- van der Voet, J., and Van de Walle, S. (2018). How Cutbacks and Job Satisfaction Are Related: The Role of Top-Level Public Managers' Autonomy. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 38(1), 5-23.
- van der Voet, J., Kuipers, B. S., and Groeneveld, S. M. (2015). Implementing change in public organisations: The relationship between leadership and affective commitment to change in a public sector context. *Public Management Review*, 18(6), 842-865.
- van der Wal, Z., and Huberts, L. (2008). Value Solidity in Government and Business: Results of an Empirical Study on Public and Private Sector Organisational Values. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 38(3), 264-285.
- van der Wal, Z. (2014). Elite Ethics: Comparing Public Values Prioritization Between Administrative Elites and Political Elites. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 37(14), 1030-1043.
- van Der Wal, Z. (2013). Mandarins versus machiavellians? On differences between work motivations of administrative and political elites. *Public Administration Review*, 73(5), 749-759.
- van der Wal, Z., De Graaf, G., and Lasthuizen, K. (2008). What's valued most? Similarities and differences between the organisational values of the public and private sector. *Public Administration*, 86(2), 465-482.
- van der Wal, Z., Nabatchi, T., and de Graaf, G. (2015). From Galaxies to Universe. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 45(1), 13-28.
- van Dorp, E. (2018). Trapped in the hierarchy: the craft of Dutch city managers. *Public Management Review*, 20(8), 1228-1245.
- van Knippenberg, D., and Sitkin, S. B. (2013). A Critical Assessment of Charismatic—Transformational Leadership Research: Back to the Drawing Board? *The Academy of Management Annals*, 7(1), 1-60.
- van Thiel, S. (2012). Party Patronage in the Netherlands: Sharing Appointments to Maintain Consensus. In: P. Kopecky, P. Mair, and M. Spirova (Eds.), *Party Patronage and Party Government in European Democracies* (pp. 250-271). Oxford: Oxford University Press.



- Van Wart, M., and Kapucu, N. (2011). Crisis management competencies: the case of emergency managers in the USA. *Public Management Review*, 13(4), 489–511.
- Vandenabeele, W. (2008a). Development of a Public Service Motivation Measurement Scale: Corroborating and Extending Perry's Measurement Instrument. *International Public Management Journal*, 11(1), 143–167.
- Vandenabeele, W. (2008b). Government calling: Public service motivation as an element in selecting government as an employer of choice. *Public Administration*, 86(4), 1089–1105.
- Vogel, R., and Masal, D. (2015). Public leadership: A review of the literature and framework for future research. *Public Administration Review*, 17(8), 1165–1189.
- Van de Walle, S., and Groeneveld, S. (2011). *New steering concepts in Public Management*. Bingley: Emerald Group Publishing.
- W**
- Walker, R.M., Andrews, R., Boyne, G. A., Meier, K. J., and O'Toole, L. J. (2010). Wakeup call: Strategic management, network alarms, and performance. *Public Administration Review*, 70(5), 731–741.
- Walker, R. M. (2013). Strategic management and performance in public organisations: Findings from the miles and snow framework. *Public Administration Review*, 73(5), 675–685.
- Walter, S. (2016). Crisis Politics in Europe: Why Austerity Is Easier to Implement in Some Countries Than in Others. *Comparative Political Studies*, 49(7), 841–873.
- Weitzel, W., and Jonsson, E. (1989). Decline in Organisations: A Literature Integration and Extension. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 34(1), 91–109.
- Whetten, D. A. (1980). Organizational Decline: A Neglected Topic in Organizational Science. *Academy of Management Review*, 5(4), 577–588.
- Whetten, D. A. (1987). Organisational Growth And Decline Processes. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 13(1), 335–358.
- Wilks, S. (2010). Cutback Management in the United Kingdom: Challenges of Fiscal Consolidation for the Administrative System. *The Korean Journal of Policy Studies*, 25(1), 85–108.
- Witesman, E. M., and Walters, L. C. (2015). Modeling Public Decision Preferences Using Context-Specific Value Hierarchies. *American Review of Public Administration*, 45(1), 86–105.
- Witesman, E. M., and Walters, L. C. (2014). Public service values: A new approach to the study of motivation in the public sphere. *Public Administration*, 92(2), 375–405.
- Wright, B. E., Christensen, R. K., and Isett, K. R. (2013). Motivated to Adapt? The Role of Public Service Motivation as Employees Face Organisational Change. *Public Administration Review*, 73(5), 738–747.
- Wright, B. E., and Pandey, S. K. (2010). Transformational leadership in the public sector: Does structure matter? *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 20(1), 75–89.
- Y**
- Yesilkagit, K., and van Thiel, S. (2012). The Netherlands. In: K. Verhoest, S. van Thiel, G. Bouckaert, and P. Laegried (Eds.), *Government Agencies: Practices and Lessons from 30 Countries*. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.
- Young, R. A. (1991). Budget Size and Bureaucratic Careers. In: A. Blais and S. Dion (Eds.), *The Budget-Maximizing Bureaucrat: Appraisals and Evidence*. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.





# Appendices





## APPENDICES

The next pages include the appendices for this dissertation. The appendices are organised per chapter, and are the same of the submitted or published (empirical) chapters.

### APPENDIX TO CHAPTER 1 AND 2

This overview shows a list of respondents. In order to safeguard anonymity of the respondents, we only include their position and the case.

Position	Case
Director DJI	Case 1
Prison director	Case 1
Director DJI	Case 1
Director DJI	Case 1
Director DJI	Case 1
Director ministry	Case 1
Director ministry	Case 1
Prison director	Case 1
Prison director	Case 1
Prison director	Case 1
Prison director	Case 1
Prison director	Case 1
Prison director	Case 1
Prison director	Case 1
Political actor	Case 1
Director DJI	Case 1
Political actor	Case 1
Director	Case 1
Prison director	Case 1
Political actor	Case 1
Prison director	Case 1
Director DJI	Case 1
Director DJI	Case 1
Director-General	Case 1
Director ministry	Case 1
Secretary-General	Case 1
Personnel representative	Case 1
Director	Case 1
Prison director	Case 1
Secretary-General	Case 1

Appendices

<b>Position</b>	<b>Case</b>
Director	Case 1
Director	Case 1
Employees (focus group)	Case 1
Employees (focus group)	Case 1
Team leaders (focus group)	Case 1
Team leaders (focus group)	Case 1
Head of department	Case 2
Director-General	Case 2
Director	Case 2
Director	Case 2
Director-General	Case 2
Director	Case 2
Director	Case 2
Director	Case 2
Director	Case 2
Director-General	Case 2
Director-General	Case 2
Secretary-General	Case 2
Director	Case 2
Policy Advisor	Case 2
Head of department	Case 2
Director	Case 2
Secretary-General	Case 2
Head of Department	Case 2
Project manager	Case 2
Director	Case 2
Director-General	Case 2
Secretary-General	Case 2
Director-General	Case 2
Director-General	Case 2
Director-General	Case 2
Director-General	Case 2
Policy Advisor	Case 2
Policy Advisor	Case 2
Head of Department	Case 2
Director-General	Case 2
Director	Case 2
Director	Case 2
Director	Case 2
Head of Department / Project manager	Case 2
Policy advisor	Case 2

Position	Case
Policy advisor	Case 2
Policy advisor	Case 2
Director-General	Case 3
Director-General	Case 3
Secretary-General	Case 3
Director-General	Case 3
Director-General	Case 3
Director-General	Case 3
Director-General	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director-General	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director-General	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director-General	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director-General	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director-General	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director-General	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Secretary-General	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Secretary-General	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Secretary-General	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Secretary-General	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Secretary-General	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6
Director	Preliminary interviews, chapter 6



## APPENDIX TO CHAPTER 3

### APPENDIX 3A Overview cutback measures <sup>17</sup>

Most important measures of the “Masterplan DJI”	Explanation		Estimated budgetary reduction in millions in 2018 <sup>17</sup>	
	Masterplan I	Masterplan II	Masterplan I	Masterplan II
Closing prisons	Closing down 26 prison locations (out of 55), Reduction of 3400 FTE.	Closing down 19 prison locations (out of 55), Reduction of 2000 FTE.	92 + 29 (sum of two measures below)	16 + 31 (sum of two measures below)
Electronic detention	Making use of electronic detention so that detainees can fulfil their prison time at home.	Making use of electronic detention for a limited number of inmates, who are allowed to work outside prison.	92	16
Increased number of multi-person cells	Increasing the number of inmates that are sharing a cell, from 1431 to 2856.	Increasing the number of inmates that are sharing a cell, from 1431 to 3060.	29	31
Austerity measures prison regimes	Less time for sports, leisure, and work (which are relatively expensive) for detainees.	Less time for sports, leisure, and work (which are relatively expensive) for detainees.	10	8
Reduction of the reserve capacity	Decreasing the backup capacity of prison cells.	Decreasing the backup capacity of prison cells.	11	11
Cutbacks for mental institutions and juvenile penitentiary institutions	Decreasing the number of mental health institutions and juvenile penitentiary institutions	Decreasing the number of mental health institutions and juvenile penitentiary institutions	56	56
Various efficiency cuts	-	-	98	98
<b>Total amount of cutbacks</b>			<b>343</b>	<b>267</b>

<sup>17</sup> Most cutback management measures take some time to be realised and hence to pay off, thus we display the amount of money that would structurally (as of 2018) be cut from the budget.

**APPENDIX 3B** *List of respondents*<sup>18</sup>

Organisation <sup>18</sup>	Number of interviewees
Prisons	7
Executive Agency DJI	8
Ministry of Safety & Justice	6
Other civil servants (policy advisors)	2
Political principals (not recorded)	1
Other political actors (not recorded)	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>26</b>

**APPENDIX 3C** *Policy documents*

Document	(Publicly) released
1. Masterplan DJI	March 19 <sup>th</sup> 2013
2. Refined Masterplan DJI	June 19 <sup>th</sup> 2013
3. Internal (Leaked) concept plans closing prisons	Undated (released November 11 <sup>th</sup> 2013)
4. Internal Departmental policy evaluation	April 2013
5. Internal Cost-efficiency analysis	March 5 <sup>th</sup> 2013
6. Internal Personnel Policyplan	July 1 <sup>st</sup> 2013
7. Internal Q&A Masterplan DJI	Undated
8. Minutes of Parliamentary meeting	
a. Minutes debate January 24 <sup>th</sup> 2013	January 24 <sup>th</sup> 2013
b. Document number 24587-503	April 24 <sup>th</sup> 2013
c. Document number 24587-507	May 27 <sup>th</sup> 2013
d. Minutes debate March 14 <sup>th</sup> 2013	March 14 <sup>th</sup> 2013
e. Minutes debate June 6 <sup>th</sup> 2013	June 6 <sup>th</sup> 2013
9. Letters to parliament	
a. Document number 24587-490	March 22 <sup>nd</sup> 2013
b. Document number 24587-506	May 17 <sup>th</sup> 2013
c. Document number 24587-508	June 4 <sup>th</sup> 2013
d. Document number 24587-509	June 11 <sup>th</sup> 2013
e. Document number 24587-510	June 19 <sup>th</sup> 2013
f. Document number 24587-534	August 28 <sup>th</sup> 2013
g. Document number 24587-535	November 5 <sup>th</sup> 2013
h. Document number 24587-564	November 12 <sup>th</sup> 2013
i. Document number 24587-135	November 12 <sup>th</sup> 2013
j. Document number 24587-568	June 17 <sup>th</sup> 2013
10. Letter by the Association of Prison Directors	April 4 <sup>th</sup> 2013

18 As interviewees was promised anonymity, the list of respondents only contains more general information on the interviewed persons for this study.

## APPENDIX TO CHAPTER 4

### APPENDIX 4A *Newspaper analysis*

To identify actors that were involved in the cutback management process, we first analysed newspapers articles from September 2012 to December 2013. This timeframe was used as elections in the Netherlands in September 2012 resulted in a new government. In July 2013, the Dutch Parliament accepted the cutback management plans for the prison sector, coming into effect from January 2014 onwards. In other words, by December 2013, decision-making was finalized. We combined two different ways of searching for relevant newspapers articles. First, the Lexis Nexus database for newspapers articles was used to search for articles that were published in-print, using a number of different keywords (these can be found in the appendix). For each search term, the number of articles was registered, and all unique articles were downloaded. Some articles appeared in multiple newspapers, for example shared articles by regional newspapers. Such identical articles have been included once. Second, we collected newspaper articles by performing a Google News search in order to identify articles that were published in major online news-outlets. In total, 107 news articles were coded.

All articles were coded using Nvivo. For all articles, we coded which actors were mentioned in the article, whether they could be considered as external or internal actors, and what their main message (hence their goal during cutbacks) was. Based on a previous study of the same policy sector we made a list of predefined codes. After coding all articles, all codes in the more general categories were reviewed and possibly be given new codes or merged with other codes. Table A, below, shows a descriptive overview of the actors involved in the process.

**TABLE A** *Representation of different actors (total number of articles = 107)*

<b>External actors</b>	<b>Appeared in ... articles</b>	<b>Internal Actors</b>	<b>Appeared in ... articles</b>
Mayor	35	Detainees	9
Local politicians	14	Partner organisations	6
King's commissioners	6	Personnel representation	19
Members of Parliament	23	Personnel	19
Labour unions	21	Prison directors	26
Other external actors	15	Other internal actors	8
<b>Total</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>87</b>

## APPENDIX TO CHAPTER 5

### Appendix 5A Coding scheme by chapter 5 Managing downward: a leadership perspective

Leadership behaviour	Open Coding	Axial coding
	<i>In this phase, fragments of the transcripts were given specific codes that reflect leadership behaviour as expressed by the respondents.</i>	<i>In this phase, the codes from the open coding round were assessed on differences and similarities and, afterwards, merged or split, and given codes reflecting the leadership literature in table 1. CL = Crisis Leadership, TL = Transformational Leadership, ChL = Change Leadership</i>
<b>Communication</b> <i>How and why do public managers communicate with employees during cutbacks</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Framing changes</li> <li>• Communicating plans</li> <li>• Explaining why change is necessary</li> <li>• Explaining why change is desired</li> <li>• Sending newsletters with updates on cutbacks</li> <li>• Explaining role in cutback-related changes to employees</li> <li>• Explaining changes must go through</li> <li>• Weekly meetings with employees</li> <li>• Promoting the benefits of changes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensuring the need for change (ChL)</li> <li>• Motivating through inspiration (TL)</li> <li>• Blame games (CL)</li> <li>• Framing changes (CL / ChL)</li> </ul>
<b>Interaction with employees: Engaging in change process</b> <i>How and why do public managers (try to) engage employees in the change process</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Asking employees opinion</li> <li>• Discussing with formal representatives</li> <li>• Making new rules in the workplace</li> <li>• Organising workshops</li> <li>• Motivating employees during cutbacks</li> <li>• Motivating employees to take action against cutbacks</li> <li>• Organising brainstorming</li> <li>• Asking employees for expertise</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Asking employees opinions (ChL)</li> <li>• Collecting input from employees expertise (ChL)</li> <li>• Institutionalizing changes (ChL)</li> <li>• Motivating employees to come up with ideas (ChL)</li> <li>• Intellectual Stimulation (TL)</li> </ul>

**Coding scheme, continued**

<p><b>Interacting with employees: Individual consideration</b> <i>How and why do public managers interact with employees on individual basis</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Taking employee needs into account</li> <li>• Informal contact with employees</li> <li>• Protecting from cutbacks</li> <li>• Listening to employee needs</li> <li>• Being in contact with employees</li> <li>• Supporting employees in the change process</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recognizing individual needs (ChL)</li> <li>• Individual consideration (TL)</li> </ul>
<p><b>Role Modelling</b> <i>How and why do public managers use role modelling behaviour</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Giving away privileges</li> <li>• Promoting good behaviour</li> <li>• Behaviour congruent with organisational culture</li> <li>• Leadership by example</li> <li>• Rewarding good behaviour</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Giving the right example (TL / ChL)</li> <li>• Being a role model (TL)</li> <li>• Showing behaviour congruent with the projected vision (TL / ChL)</li> </ul>
<p><b>Orientation</b> <i>What is public managers orientation while managing cutback-related change</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Role political superiors in decision-making</li> <li>• Seeking help from top civil servants</li> <li>• Asking superiors for help with change</li> <li>• Seeking advice outside the organisation</li> <li>• Seeking support from external stakeholders</li> <li>• Seeking internal support</li> <li>• Making sure business continues as usual</li> <li>• Asking for resources for change</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Institutionalizing changes (ChL)</li> <li>• Interacting with external stakeholders (CL)</li> </ul>
<p><b>Cutbacks</b> <i>What are aspects of cutback-related changes that public managers take into account</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Role management board</li> <li>• Centralizing decision-making</li> <li>• Top-down change processes</li> <li>• Planned change process</li> <li>• Dealing with resistance</li> <li>• Cutback management strategy</li> <li>• Setting direction</li> <li>• Trying to innovate</li> <li>• Providing a plan for change</li> <li>• Setting goals</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aspects of cutback-related change</li> </ul>

