

# Precision modeling of breast cancer in the CRISPR era Annunziato, S.

#### Citation

Annunziato, S. (2020, January 16). *Precision modeling of breast cancer in the CRISPR era*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/82703

Version: Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: <a href="https://hdl.handle.net/1887/82703">https://hdl.handle.net/1887/82703</a>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

### Cover Page



## Universiteit Leiden



The handle <a href="http://hdl.handle.net/1887/82703">http://hdl.handle.net/1887/82703</a> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Annunziato, S.

Title: Precision modeling of breast cancer in the CRISPR era

Issue Date: 2020-01-16

General introduction 1

Breast cancer represents the most common type of cancer in women worldwide (25% of cases), the second most common cancer overall (12% of cases) and the second most common cause of cancer-related deaths (Torre et al., 2016). Breast cancer encompasses a very diverse family of pathologies that can be classified into distinct subtypes with specific molecular features, phenotypic characteristics and clinical prognosis. Over the last decades, screening campaigns, novel therapeutics and improved treatment schemes have increased overall survival of breast cancer patients, but development of drug resistance and metastatic disease still pose a serious challenges to breast oncologists. Importantly, actionable molecular vulnerabilities are difficult to study in individual full-blown human tumors, as cancer genomes are exquisitely heterogeneous, each displaying distinctive spectra of driver events and passenger mutations of less clear significance. While sequencing studies have shed light on the compendium of somatic alterations in breast cancer (Nik Zainal et al., 2016), deconvoluting breast cancer's complexity and molecular underpinnings requires tractable and uniform models in which robust genotype-phenotype relationships can be drawn. To elucidate the biology of breast cancer, several mouse models with different degrees of sophistication have been established over the years. While a comprehensive catalog of murine mammary tumor models is beyond the scope of this thesis (reviewed in Holen et al., 2017), they can broadly be classified into two main categories. The first category entails models based on orthotopic implantation of human or murine tumor material (cell lines, organoids, tumor fragments) in immunocompromised or syngeneic mice, whereas the second category involves genetically engineered mouse models (GEMMs), in which de novo mammary tumorigenesis is induced by perturbing the function of oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes in the mouse mammary gland. A third strategy, which is more rarely deployed or used in conjunction with GEMMs, relies on induction or acceleration of mammary tumors via carcinogens, ionizing radiation, slow-transforming retroviruses (e.g. MMTV) or transposons.

The simplest models are based on engraftment of human breast cancer cell lines to immunocompromised mice ('xenografts') or mouse mammary tumor cells in syngeneic immunocompetent mice ('allografts'). Cell line-based xenografts are the most commonly used breast cancer models for preclinical testing of novel drugs. While allowing great manipulability, cell lines are usually derived from highly aggressive tumors or pleural effusions containing multiple mutations. Moreover, tumor cell lines undergo stringent selective pressures to adapt to *in vitro* culture conditions, resulting in limited genetic heterogeneity and genetic drift (Ben-David *et al.*, 2018). Patient-derived xenografts (PDXs), on the contrary, have been shown to recapitulate the genetic and phenotypic complexity of their donors and, importantly, their response to therapy, although mouse-specific tumor evolution has been reported after prolonged *in vivo* passaging (Ben-David *et al.*, 2017). Some groups have pioneered the concept of co-clinical trials using

mouse PDX "avatars" for developing patient-tailored therapeutic schemes, while others are humanizing mouse recipients with immune components to test immunotherapy approaches in PDX models (Hidalgo *et al.*, 2014; Byrne *et al.*, 2017). While also PDXs suffer from selection towards aggressive malignancies, recent reports of successful propagation of more indolent subtypes (e.g. luminal ER-positive) or pre-cancerous samples (e.g. ductal carcinoma *in situ*, DCIS) via intraductal injection (rather than fat pad injection) of patient material herald hope for future developments (Behbod *et al.*, 2009; Fiche *et al.*, 2018).

GEMMs represent the most sophisticated models of human breast cancer (Kersten et al., 2017). They rely on the transgenic expression of an oncogene and/or the inactivation of a tumor suppressor gene (TSG) in the mammary gland. Tissue-specificity and sporadic de novo tumor formation is commonly achieved by cross-breeding mice with mammary gland-specific expression of the Cre recombinase together with mice with Cre-conditional alleles for cancer genes of interest. Although the genetic perturbation of the cancer gene is usually irreversible, systems based on doxycyclineor tamoxifen-inducible gene expression/repression permit spatio-temporal control of oncogenic drivers and in vivo assessment of oncogene addiction. A major advantage of GEMMs is the capability to simulate the step-wise progression of a healthy mammary cell to hyperplasia, DCIS and finally invasive disease in the context of a native stromal compartment and in the presence of a functional immune system to be evaded (Gil Del Alcazar et al., 2017) or hijacked (Coffelt et al., 2015). However, an important limitation of GEMMs is that the generation of novel models, which are often based on compound mutant mice carrying multiple engineered alleles, requires great amount of resources, money and time, thus limiting the experimental throughput of these models.

In this thesis, I will describe in **Chapter 2** the different mouse models of breast cancer that have been developed in our laboratory during the past 15 years, how they have been exploited to investigate crucial aspects of tumor cell biology, including metastasis spreading, therapy resistance and tumor heterogeneity, and how they have been of paramount importance for translational cancer medicine in developing effective treatment schemes and in leading to the clinical approval of new drugs.

In recent years, a new technology, named CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing, has revolutionized gene function studies. The unprecedented ease at which endogenous loci can be perturbed with this game-changing technology has opened myriad possibilities in terms of *in vivo* modeling of alterations observed in human malignancies. In **Chapter 3** I will show how *in situ* CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing was used as proof-of-concept for the fast-track development of new mouse models of invasive lobular breast cancer, by manipulating the adult mammary tissue via intraductal injection of sgRNA-encoding

lentiviruses in Cas9-transgenic mice, ultimately resulting in sporadic mammary tumor formation

An important advantage of somatic gene editing is the possibility to rapidly test cancer-relevant hypotheses coming from human deep-sequencing studies or mouse forward genetic screens, without the need to generate complex GEMMs. In **Chapter 4** I will describe an *in vivo* insertional mutagenesis screen that we performed with the Sleeping Beauty transposon system to identify genes that collaborate with E-cadherin loss in the genesis of invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC). Notably, our somatic engineering pipeline permitted immediate *in vivo* validation of the top candidates of the screen as *bona fide* ILC driver genes.

In **Chapter 5** I will shift to triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), an aggressive subtype that often displays dysfunctional DNA repair (e.g. mutations in *BRCA1*) and is therefore primarily driven by DNA copy-number alterations (CNAs) containing large numbers of candidate driver genes. By combining germline and somatic engineering of the mammary gland with comparative oncogenomics analysis of recurrent CNAs in human and mouse tumors we uncovered new culprits of tumorigenesis and identified MCL1 inhibition as a novel therapeutic vulnerability of these tumors.

In **Chapter 6** we took somatic engineering of the mouse mammary gland to the next level by developing new mammary tumor models with the help of *in vivo* base editing. We used this new technology to install *in situ* oncogenic missense and nonsense variants in relevant oncogenes and TSG. With this fast-track approach, we could rapidly test their contribution to tumorigenesis in a mouse model of BRCA1-deficient TNBC.

The possibility to transplant GEMM-derived tumors into syngeneic mice has proven invaluable for studying drug response and resistance *in vivo*. In **Chapter 7** I will describe how we applied the organoid culture technology to our well-defined genetic mouse models of *BRCA1/2*-mutated TNBC, in order to develop a powerful platform for testing mechanisms of drug resistance by deriving 3D cultures that can be genetically modified prior to orthotopic transplantation in recipient mice.

Finally, in **Chapter 8** we went in search of novel mechanisms of PARP inhibitor resistance by deploying CRISPR-Cas9 *in vitro* forward genetic screens. We found restoration of homologous recombination (HR) due to loss of the CST complex to be a BRCA1-independent escape mechanism from the synthetic lethal effects of PARP blockade. Importantly, we could deploy the organoid technology described in Chapter 7 to genetically deplete members of this complex *in vitro*, and demonstrate their role in *in vivo* drug resistance upon transplantation of the modified organoids.

#### References

- Behbod F, Kittrell FS, LaMarca H, Edwards D, Kerbawy S, Heestand JC, et al. An intraductal human-inmouse transplantation model mimics the subtypes of ductal carcinoma in situ. Breast Cancer Res 2009;11:R66
- Ben-David U, Ha G, Tseng YY, Greenwald NF, Oh C, Shih J, et al. Patient-derived xenografts undergo mouse-specific tumor evolution. Nat Genet 2017;49:1567-1575.
- Ben-David U, Siranosian B, Ha G, Tang H, Oren Y, Hinohara K, et al. Genetic and transcriptional evolution alters cancer cell line drug response. Nature 2018;560:325-330
- Byrne AT, Alférez DG, Amant F, Annibali D, Arribas J, Biankin AV, et al. Interrogating open issues in cancer precision medicine with patient-derived xenografts. Nat Rev Cancer 2017;17:254-268
- Coffelt SB, Kersten K, Doornebal CW, Weiden J, Vrijland K, Hau CS, et al. IL-17-producing γδ T cells and neutrophils conspire to promote breast cancer metastasis. Nature 2015;522:345-348
- Fiche M, Scabia V, Aouad P, Battista L, Treboux A, Stravodimou A, et al. Intraductal patient-derived xenografts of estrogen receptor α-positive breast cancer recapitulate the histopathological spectrum and metastatic potential of human lesions. J Pathol 2019;247:287-92
- Gil Del Alcazar CR, Huh SJ, Ekram MB, Trinh A, Liu LL, Beca F, et al. Immune Escape in Breast Cancer During. Cancer Discov 2017;7:1098-1115
- Hidalgo M, Amant F, Biankin AV, Budinská E, Byrne AT, Caldas C, et al. Patient-derived xenograft models: an emerging platform for translational cancer research. Cancer Discov 2014;4:998-1013
- Holen I, Speirs V, Morrissey B, Blyth K. In vivo models in breast cancer research: progress, challenges and future directions. Dis Model Mech 2017;10:359-71
- Kersten K, de Visser KE, van Miltenburg MH, Jonkers J. Genetically engineered mouse models in oncology research and cancer medicine. EMBO Mol Med 2017;9:137-53.
- Nik-Zainal S, Davies H, Staaf J, Ramakrishna M, Glodzik D, Zou X, et al. Landscape of somatic mutations in 560 breast cancer whole-genome sequences. Nature 2016;534:47-54
- Torre LA, Siegel RL, Ward EM, Jemal A. Global Cancer Incidence and Mortality Rates and Trends--An Update. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2016;25:16-27

