



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Fault-tolerant satellite computing with modern semiconductors**

Fuchs, C.M.

### **Citation**

Fuchs, C. M. (2019, December 17). *Fault-tolerant satellite computing with modern semiconductors*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/82454>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/82454>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/82454> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Fuchs, C.M.

**Title:** Fault-tolerant satellite computing with modern semiconductors

**Issue Date:** 2019-12-17

# Fault-Tolerant Satellite Computing with Modern Semiconductors

ISBN: 978-94-028-1766-9

# Fault-Tolerant Satellite Computing with Modern Semiconductors

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,  
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties  
te verdedigen op dinsdag, 17 december 2019  
klokke 11:15 uur  
door

Christian Martin Fuchs

Geboren te Linz, Oostenrijk in 1984

Promotor: Prof. Dr. A. Plaats

Promotiecommissie:

Dr. H. Quinn	Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, USA
Prof. Dr. X. Wen	Kyushu Institute of Technology, Japan
Dr. M.S. Gorbunov	Scientific Research Institute of System Analysis, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
Prof. Dr. J.J. Liou	National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. S. Wu	Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China
Dr. M. Kenworthy	
Prof. Dr. S. Manegold	
Dr. E. Bakker	
Prof. Dr. H. Wijshoff	

For taking these first steps into a new frontier.

**Front & Back cover:** Illustrations by Dr. Nadia M. Murillo Mejías. Image of Europa taken by the Galileo spacecraft during its second orbit around Jupiter. Copyright by NASA/JPL/DLR, in the public domain.



# Contents

<b>Preface</b>	<b>1</b>
Space: The Final Frontier . . . . .	1
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Problem Statement . . . . .	5
1.2 Research Questions . . . . .	6
1.3 Thesis Organization . . . . .	7
<b>2 A Brief Introduction to Spaceflight and Fault Tolerance</b>	
<i>Thesis Motivation and Legitimization</i>	<b>11</b>
2.1 Spacecraft and Satellite Miniaturization . . . . .	12
2.2 Early CubeSat Reliability and Motivation . . . . .	17
2.3 Nanosatellites Today and Legitimization . . . . .	19
2.4 Fault-Tolerant Computer Architecture . . . . .	21
<b>3 The Space Environment</b>	
<i>Physical Fault Profile and Operational Considerations</i>	<b>31</b>
3.1 The Impact of the Space Environment on Electronics . . . . .	32
3.2 Technology Readiness and Standardization . . . . .	39
3.3 Operational Constraints for Satellite Computers . . . . .	41
<b>4 A Fault Tolerance Architecture for Modern Semiconductors</b>	
<i>Stage 1 &amp; Architecture Overview</i>	<b>47</b>
4.1 Introduction . . . . .	48
4.2 Related Work . . . . .	49
4.3 Fault Tolerance through Software . . . . .	51
4.4 Stage 1: Short-Term Fault Mitigation . . . . .	54
4.5 Stage 2: MPSoC Reconfiguration & Repair . . . . .	59
4.6 Stage 3: Applied Mixed Criticality . . . . .	61
4.7 Platform Architecture . . . . .	62
4.8 Discussions . . . . .	67
4.9 Conclusions . . . . .	68
4.10 Annex: Worst-Case Performance Estimation . . . . .	69
<b>5 MPSoC Management and Reconfiguration</b>	
<i>Stage 2</i>	<b>73</b>
5.1 Introduction . . . . .	74

5.2	Debugging and Reliability . . . . .	75
5.3	Implementation Details . . . . .	76
5.4	Use Cases beyond Debugging . . . . .	82
5.5	Discussions . . . . .	87
5.6	Conclusions . . . . .	87
<b>6</b>	<b>Mixed Criticality and Resource Pooling</b>	
	<i>Stage 3</i>	<b>89</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	90
6.2	Background . . . . .	90
6.3	Related Work . . . . .	91
6.4	System Overview & Requirements . . . . .	92
6.5	System Architecture Review . . . . .	94
6.6	Spare Resource Pooling . . . . .	97
6.7	Adapting to Varying Mission Requirements . . . . .	98
6.8	Discussions . . . . .	103
6.9	Conclusions . . . . .	104
<b>7</b>	<b>Reliable Data Storage for Miniaturized Satellites</b>	
	<i>Memory Fault Tolerance</i>	<b>105</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	106
7.2	Data Integrity as Foundation of Fault Tolerance . . . . .	107
7.3	Volatile Memory Consistency . . . . .	109
7.4	A Radiation-Robust Filesystem for Space Use . . . . .	115
7.5	High-Performance Flash Memory Integrity . . . . .	122
7.6	Conclusions . . . . .	131
<b>8</b>	<b>Validating Software-Implemented Fault Tolerance</b>	
	<i>Systematic Fault Injection</i>	<b>133</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	134
8.2	Related Work . . . . .	136
8.3	Target Implementation . . . . .	138
8.4	Obtaining a Practical Fault Model . . . . .	139
8.5	Suitable Fault-Injection Techniques . . . . .	140
8.6	Test Campaign Setup . . . . .	142
8.7	Executing a Test Campaign . . . . .	143
8.8	Results & Interpretation . . . . .	150
8.9	ArchC MPSoC vs. FIES Result Comparison . . . . .	153
8.10	Comparison to Literature . . . . .	154
8.11	Discussions . . . . .	155
8.12	Conclusions . . . . .	157
<b>9</b>	<b>Combining Hardware and Software Fault Tolerance</b>	
	<i>High-Level System Design</i>	<b>159</b>
9.1	Introduction . . . . .	160
9.2	Background & Related Work . . . . .	160
9.3	A Hybrid Fault Tolerance Approach . . . . .	161
9.4	The MPSoC Architecture . . . . .	163
9.5	Subsystem Connectivity and Peripheral I/O . . . . .	167

9.6	Implementation Considerations . . . . .	169
9.7	Conclusions . . . . .	170
<b>10</b>	<b>On-Board Computer Integration and MPSoC Implementation</b>	
	<i>Practical Design Verification on FPGA</i>	<b>171</b>
10.1	Introduction . . . . .	172
10.2	Related Work . . . . .	173
10.3	A Reliable CubeSat On-Board Computer . . . . .	175
10.4	Handling Chip-Level SEFIs and Failure . . . . .	187
10.5	Utilization and Power Comparison . . . . .	189
10.6	Experimental Results and Testing . . . . .	192
10.7	Conclusions . . . . .	192
<b>11</b>	<b>Conclusions and Outlook</b>	<b>195</b>
11.1	Conclusions . . . . .	195
11.2	Discussions . . . . .	197
11.3	Outlook and Future Work . . . . .	199
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>202</b>
	<b>Nederlandse Samenvatting</b>	<b>229</b>
	<b>中文摘要</b>	<b>235</b>
	<b>中文摘要 (繁體)</b>	<b>239</b>
	<b>日本語の要約</b>	<b>243</b>
	<b>Resumen en Español</b>	<b>247</b>
	<b>Резюме на Русском Языке</b>	<b>253</b>
	<b>English Summary</b>	<b>259</b>
	<b>List of Selected Publications</b>	<b>263</b>
	<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	<b>265</b>
	<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>269</b>







# Preface

## Space: The Final Frontier

Humankind has been fascinated by the stars, and planets of our solar system, probably since before our species developed complex language. Many cultures have considered them to be ancestors, spirits of nature, and deities guiding our life and influencing our world. As humankind developed, people chose to see their heroes in the constellations, and these curious objects in the sky sometimes even were considered gods. Knowing what these gods wanted or liked could help a society prosper, or could doom it. Even more were we intrigued by the Sun, our neighboring planets, the Moon.

Technology has always been critical in our quest to understand our environment, and our world. Today, we are dependent upon the availability and correct functioning of our technology. It has enabled us to transform nature, but also to damage it and most likely change it for generations. And we are using technology even in our attempts to repair some of that same damage we inflict through it. Without technology, modern societies and our every day life would be unthinkable.

Humans are curious, and using our technology, we began exploring space just recently, considering the timescale of human existence. We operate vast telescopes on the ground and in space, which help us answer the most fundamental questions about how we came to be and where we are going. A few decades ago, we began launching satellites into space, which we today use for science, commerce, and education. Two superpowers conducted a great race to the Moon just a few decades ago, arrived there, took pictures, and then returned home. Today, this race is being rerun with more participants, resulting maybe in an extension to Mars, or better and more productively, to the Galilean Moons of Jupiter.

Satellites allow us to communicate with any point on the surface of the Earth in real-time, and with Mars with more than 10 minutes delay. Weather forecasts, communication services, flight information, and geolocation systems today are possible only due to information transmitted, or relayed by satellites. In many aspects, our modern life would be unimaginable without them.

We have outgrown our homeworld and its limited pool of resource already in many aspects, and most likely we even have to go to space to survive, like a young bird leaving its nest. Within the next few generations, we will reach out into space, begin to understand whatever we may find there, and utilize the vast resources which we may find within our solar system for the benefit of all. To design, construct, test, and operate the spacecraft that we will require we depend upon modern computer technology and electronics.

Electronics and semiconductor technology are indispensable in spacecraft design,

and microprocessors can be found in all major satellite subsystems. Spacecraft and computers represent the peak of our technology, the application of all our skills in engineering, and the result of all the combined interdisciplinary scientific knowledge we have as a species. The reliability of these components is mission critical; and directly or indirectly, lives depend upon them, even in unmanned spaceflight. Scientists and engineers therefore seek to invent, develop, and utilize computer designs which can guarantee sufficient robustness and reliability for a space mission. The topic of this thesis is to enable the use of modern computer technology manufactured in fine technology nodes, which at the time of writing can not be used aboard spacecraft in a reliable manner.