



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Conflicting virtues of scholarship : moral economies in late nineteenth-century German Academia

Engberts, C.A.

Citation

Engberts, C. A. (2019, December 12). *Conflicting virtues of scholarship : moral economies in late nineteenth-century German Academia*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/81791>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/81791>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/81791> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Engberts, C.A.

Title: Conflicting virtues of scholarship : moral economies in late nineteenth-century German Academia

Issue Date: 2019-12-12

Conflicting Virtues of Scholarship

Moral Economies in Late Nineteenth-Century German Academia

Cover image: The main building of Strasbourg University.

Originally published in *Die Gartenlaube*, 708, 1884.

[https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Category:Palais_universitaire_de_Strasbourg#/media/File:Die_Gartenlaube_\(1884\)_b_708.jpg](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Category:Palais_universitaire_de_Strasbourg#/media/File:Die_Gartenlaube_(1884)_b_708.jpg)

Conflicting Virtues of Scholarship

Moral Economies in Late Nineteenth-Century German Academia

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van

de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,

op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,

volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties

te verdedigen op donderdag 12 december 2019

klokke 13:45 uur

door

Christiaan Aart Engberts

geboren te Amsterdam

in 1982

Promotores:

Prof. H.J. Paul

Prof. K. Wils (KU Leuven)

Promotiecommissie:

Prof. L.J. Dorsman (Universiteit Utrecht)

Prof. H.G.M. Jorink

Prof. I.H. Stamhuis (VU Amsterdam)

Acknowledgements

The last six years I have been in a fortunate position. For almost six years I have been able to work on my dissertation within the framework of the research project *The Scholarly Self: Character, Habit, and Virtue in the Humanities, 1860-1930*. I owe the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) my gratitude for funding the project. My gratefulness is not limited, however, to this one organization. Through the years many people have supported me in many different ways.

A quick glance at the acknowledgements in recent dissertations has taught me that it is a Leiden tradition to refrain from thanking your supervisors. The same short survey, however, has also made clear that most doctoral candidates knowingly or unknowingly break with this tradition. I would like to follow their example and thank Prof. Herman Paul at Leiden University and Prof. Kaat Wils at KU Leuven for their commitment and support. I could not have wished for kinder and more engaged supervisors!

There are three more people at the Institute for History at Leiden University who deserve to be mentioned by name here. In the first place I would like to thank my closest colleagues, Katharina Manteufel and Léjon Saarloos. You have not only been great colleagues but great company as well! I would also like to thank Prof. Jos Gommans for his kind comments on an overview of my early research findings. Finally, the ever-changing but always welcoming community of PhD candidates at the Institute for History has made me feel right at home at Leiden University.

Through the years I have also enjoyed the hospitality of research groups and universities abroad. I would like to thank Prof. Matthias Middell and Dr. Martina Keilbach at the Global and European Studies Institute at the University of Leipzig for hosting me during the autumn of 2015. Prof. Arnd Bauerkämper at the Friedrich-Meinecke-Institut of the Freie Universität Berlin deserves my gratitude for hosting me during the autumn semester of 2016. Finally, I would like to thank the members of the research group Cultural History since 1750 at KU Leuven for their hospitality during the final months of 2017.

Of course, my research would not have been possible without the support of a small army of librarians and archivists. I am grateful to the staff at Leiden University Library, the *Universitätsarchiv* of the Martin-Luther-University in Halle, the *Universitätsarchiv* and the *Universitätsbibliothek* of the University of Leipzig, and the *Geheimes Staatsarchiv Preussischer Kulturbesitz*, *Staatsbibliothek* and *Robert Koch Institut* in Berlin.

This dissertation has greatly benefited from the editorial work of Annemieke Righart of Dunfield Editing. The blame for remaining mistakes or unusual turns of phrase is, of course, all mine.

Finally, I would like to underline that the successful completion of a dissertation benefits from an enjoyable life outside the university walls as well. I have briefly considered an attempt to list all family and friends who deserve some form of grateful acknowledgement, but there are just too many of them. If you find your name missing from these acknowledgements, you can rest assured that you are in very good company indeed!

Contents

Introduction	1
Virtues and scholarship	3
Conflicting virtues and moral economies	6
A cross-disciplinary history of scholarship	9
Three disciplines	12
The protagonists	14
Primary sources	17
Cultures of scholarship	20
Anthropology and thick description	22
Practices of scholarship	24
The structure of this study	26
1. A Helping Hand: Support and Criticism in Scholarly Correspondence	30
The use of private correspondence	30
The friendship between Nöldeke and De Goeje	32
Collaborating on the <i>Annals</i> of al-Ṭabarī	36
Evaluating the minutiae of Arabic texts	38
The need for clinical testing	43
Testing the diphtheria blood serum	45
Evaluating texts and serums: a comparison	49
Working with Wundt	50
The bacteriological and the psychological laboratory: a comparison	54
Conclusion: a range of evaluative practices	58
2. The Editorial Experience: Balancing between Editors, Authors, Publishers and Audiences	62
The importance of editors	62
Wilhelm Wundt as editor	64
Peripheral scholars in the <i>Philosophische Studien</i>	69
The omnipotence of Heinrich Leberecht Fleischer	73
The struggles of August Fischer	78
The birth of a review journal	82

Zarncke's continuous balancing act	87
Editors, publishers, authors, and audiences	92
3. A Review of Book Reviews: Criticism and Community Formation in Book Reviews	96
The genre of the book review	96
Nöldeke on theology and linguistics	99
Wundt on philosophy and medicine	100
Nöldeke on nationality and religion	103
Wundt on nationality and religion	107
Nöldeke on academic insiders	109
Wundt on academic insiders	112
Nöldeke on academic outsiders	113
Wundt on academic outsiders	114
Nöldeke's praise	116
Wundt's praise	118
Community and the language of book reviews	120
What do book reviews do?	124
4. State and Scholarship: Recommendations and Appointments under the 'Althoff System'	128
The Althoff system	128
Althoff's intimate knowledge	131
A medical mess in Breslau	134
A Saxon paediatrician	138
Althoff's full force	142
Philosophy and morality	146
Schools of thought	150
The individual, the institution and the state	154
5. A Moral Economy of Scholarship: Balancing Critical Independence and Loyal Collegiality	158
Moral economies	158
Friendship and collegiality	162
Students and subordinates	166
The larger peer group and the reputation of the discipline	171
Institutions I: scholarly journals	175
Institutions II: universities, faculties and research institutes	178

Institutions III: state and society	180
Institutions IV: between state and commerce	182
The moral economy of scholarship and its limits	187
Epilogue: The Moral Economy of 21st-Century Scholarship	192
A century of change?	192
Moral economies in the 21st century	196
Bibliography	201
Summary in Dutch	229
Curriculum Vitae	236

