



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Absolutely continuous invariant measures for non-autonomous dynamical systems**

Góra, P.; Boyarsky, A.; Keefe, C.

### **Citation**

Góra, P., Boyarsky, A., & Keefe, C. (2018). Absolutely continuous invariant measures for non-autonomous dynamical systems. *Journal Of Mathematical Analysis And Applications*, 470(1), 159-168. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2018.09.060

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/82678>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# ABSOLUTELY CONTINUOUS INVARIANT MEASURES FOR NON-AUTONOMOUS DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS.

PAWEŁ GÓRA, ABRAHAM BOYARSKY, AND CHRISTOPHER KEEFE

ABSTRACT. We consider the non autonomous dynamical system  $\{\tau_n\}$ , where  $\tau_n$  is a continuous map  $X \rightarrow X$ , and  $X$  is a compact metric space. We assume that  $\{\tau_n\}$  converges uniformly to  $\tau$ . The inheritance of chaotic properties as well as topological entropy by  $\tau$  from the sequence  $\{\tau_n\}$  has been studied in [4, 5, 10, 13, 17]. In [16] the generalization of SRB measures to non-autonomous systems has been considered. In this paper we study absolutely continuous invariant measures (acim) for non autonomous systems. After generalizing the Krylov-Bogoliubov Theorem [7] and Straube's Theorem [14] to the non autonomous setting, we prove that under certain conditions the limit map  $\tau$  of a non autonomous sequence of maps  $\{\tau_n\}$  with acims has an acim.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Autonomous systems are rare in nature. A more realistic approach to modeling real life processes is to consider non autonomous models. In this note we consider a sequence of maps  $\{\tau_n\}$  on a compact metric space  $X \rightarrow X$ . We assume that  $\{\tau_n\}$  converges uniformly to  $\tau$ . Let  $\tau_{(0,n)} = \tau_n \circ \tau_{n-2} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_1 \circ \tau_0$ . For an initial measure  $\eta$  we consider the sequence  $\mu_n = (\tau_{(0,n)})_* \eta$ . Since  $X$  is compact the space of probability measures on  $X$  is  $*$ -weakly compact and hence we can assume that  $\{\mu_n\}$  converges to a measure  $\mu$ . In this note we study conditions under which the limit map  $\tau$  preserves  $\mu$ . In particular we are interested in the situation when  $\mu_n$  and  $\mu$  are absolutely continuous.

The behaviour of non autonomous sequences of piecewise expanding maps was studied before. In the paper [12] the authors consider a family  $\mathcal{E}$  of exact piecewise expanding maps with uniform expanding properties and show that for any two initial densities  $f_1, f_2$  the iterates  $P_{\tau_{(0,n)}} f_1$  and  $P_{\tau_{(0,n)}} f_2$  get closer to each other with exponential speed. Using the notation of Section 2:

$$\int |P_{\tau_{(0,n)}} f_1 - P_{\tau_{(0,n)}} f_2| dm \leq C(f_1, f_2) \Lambda^n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

for some constants  $C(f_1, f_2) > 0$ ,  $0 < \Lambda < 1$  and any sequence of maps  $\tau_n \in \mathcal{E}$ . In this situation, in general, there is no limit map and the densities  $P_{\tau_{(0,n)}} f$  do not converge. In this note we assume the uniform convergence  $\tau_n \rightrightarrows \tau$ . This allows us to prove that, under some assumptions, the densities  $P_{\tau_{(0,n)}} f$  converge to a  $\tau$ -invariant density.

---

*Date:* August 30, 2019.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37A05, 37E05.

*Key words and phrases.* absolutely continuous invariant measures, non-autonomous systems. The research of the authors was supported by NSERC grants.

Another approach to dealing with compositions of different maps is to consider a random map. Maps from a family  $\mathcal{E} = \{\tau_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$  are applied randomly according to a probability on  $\mathcal{A}$ , which might depend on the current position of the process. The literature on random maps is quite rich. A recent article is [1]. The authors study, in particular, random maps based on the set  $\mathcal{E}$  of the Liverani-Saussol-Vaienti maps

$$\tau_a(x) = \begin{cases} x(1 + 2^a x^a), & x \in [0, 1/2], \\ 2x - 1, & x \in (1/2, 1], \end{cases}$$

with parameters in  $[a_0, a_1] \subset (0, 1)$  chosen independently with respect to a distribution  $\nu$  on  $[a_0, a_1]$ . These maps have indifferent fixed points which makes them non-exponentially mixing. The authors study the fibre-wise (quenched) dynamics of the system. For this point of view a skew-product approach is convenient.

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}, p)$  be a Borel probability space, let  $\Omega = \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be equipped with the product measure  $P := p^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and let  $\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  denote the  $P$ -preserving two-sided shift map. Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be a measurable space. Suppose that  $\tau_a : X \rightarrow X$  is a family of measurable maps defined for  $p$ -almost every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that the skew product

$$T : X \times \Omega \rightarrow X \times \Omega, \quad T(x, \omega) = (\tau_{[\omega]_0}, \sigma\omega),$$

is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{F}$ . If  $X_\omega = X \times \{\omega\}$  denotes the fiber over  $\omega$  and

$$\tau_\omega^n = \tau_{\sigma^{n-1}\omega} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_\omega : X_\omega \rightarrow X_{\sigma^n\omega},$$

we have  $T^n(x, \omega) = (\tau_\omega^n(x), \sigma^n\omega)$ . If a probability measure  $\mu$  is  $T$ -invariant and  $\pi_*\mu = P$  ( $\pi$  is the projection onto  $\Omega$ ), then there exists a family of probability fiber measures  $\mu_\omega$  on  $X_\omega$  such that  $\mu(A) = \int \mu_\omega(A) dP(\omega)$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mu$  is  $T$ -invariant the measures  $\{\mu_\omega\}$  form an equivariant family, i.e.,  $(\tau_\omega)_*\mu_\omega = \mu_{\sigma\omega}$  for almost all  $\omega$ .

The authors study future and past quenched correlations: given  $\phi, \psi : X \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the future and past fibre-wise correlations are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} Cor_{n,\omega}^{(f)} &= \int (\phi \circ \tau_\omega^n) \psi d\mu_\omega - \int \phi d\mu_{\sigma^n\omega} \int \psi d\mu_\omega, \\ Cor_{n,\omega}^{(p)} &= \int (\phi \circ \tau_{\sigma^{-n}\omega}^n) \psi d\mu_{\sigma^{-n}\omega} - \int \phi d\mu_\omega \int \psi d\mu_{\sigma^{-n}\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

They prove that for the random map based on family  $\mathcal{E}$  there exists an equivariant family of measures  $\mu_\omega$  which are absolutely continuous  $P$ -a.e., characterize their densities and show that both future and past quenched correlations are of order  $\mathcal{O}(n^{1-1/a_0} + \delta)$  for bounded  $\phi$  and Hölder continuous  $\psi$  and arbitrary  $\delta > 0$ . The system  $(T, \mu)$  is mixing.

In this note we assume that  $\tau_n \rightrightarrows \tau$  and consider the compositions  $\tau_{(0,n)} = \tau_n \circ \tau_{n-2} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_1 \circ \tau_0$ , so we can say that we study one fixed fiber under very special assumptions.

In Section 2 we give the definitions and introduce the notation. In Section 3 we generalize the Krylov-Bogoliubov Theorem [7] and Straube's Theorem [14] to the non autonomous setting. Section 4 is independent of the previous section. We make stronger assumptions on the  $\tau_n$ 's and establish the existence of an acim for the limit map  $\tau$  and show that any convergent subsequence of  $\{P_{\tau_{(0,n)}} f\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to an invariant density of the limit map, where  $P_{\tau_{(0,n)}}$  is the Frobenius-Perron operator induced by  $\tau_{(0,n)}$  and  $f$  is a density.

## 2. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a compact metric space. Let  $\{\tau_n\}$  be a sequence of maps  $\tau_n : X \rightarrow X$  which converges uniformly to a continuous map  $\tau$ . We shall consider the non-autonomous dynamical system defined by

$$x_{m+1} = \tau_m(x_m), \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where we assume that  $\tau_0$  is the identity and  $x_0 \in I$ .

We write

$$\tau_{(m,n)} = \tau_n \circ \tau_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ \tau_{m+1} \circ \tau_m, \quad n > m.$$

In particular,

$$\tau_{(0,n)} = \tau_n \circ \tau_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ \tau_1 \circ \tau_0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $X$ .

For a map  $\tau : X \rightarrow X$  we define an operator on measures on  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ :

$$\tau_*\mu(A) = \mu(\tau^{-1}A),$$

for any measurable set  $A$ .

## 3. GENERALIZATION OF THE KRYLOV-BOGOLIUBOV THEOREM AND STRAUBE'S THEOREM

We will now prove a generalization of the Krylov-Bogoliubov Theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\{\tau_n\}$  be a sequence of transformations defining a nonautonomous dynamical system on the metric compact space  $X$  with a continuous limit  $\tau$ . We assume that the  $\tau_n$ 's converge uniformly to  $\tau$ . Let  $\eta$  be a fixed probability measure on  $X$ . Define the measures  $\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i$ , where  $\nu_i = (\tau_{(0,i)})_*(\eta)$ . Let  $\mu$  be a  $*$ -weak limit point of the sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ . Then  $\mu$  is a  $\tau$ -invariant measure, i.e.,  $\tau_*\mu = \mu$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the proof of the original Krylov-Bogoliubov Theorem. Let  $\eta$  be a probability measure on  $X$ . Then the sequence  $\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i$ , where  $\nu_i = (\tau_{(0,i)})_*(\eta)$  is a sequence of probability measures and contains a convergent subsequence  $\mu_{n_k}$ . Let  $\mu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n_k}$ . We will prove that  $\tau_*\mu = \mu$ . To this end it is enough to show that for any  $g \in C^0(X)$ ,  $\mu(g) = \tau_*\mu(g) = \mu(g \circ \tau)$ .

We estimate the difference

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & |\mu_n(g) - \mu_n(g \circ \tau)| = \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i(g) - \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i(g \circ \tau) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left| \eta(g \circ \tau_{(0,1)}) + \eta(g \circ \tau_{(0,2)}) + \dots + \eta(g \circ \tau_{(0,n-1)}) + \eta(g \circ \tau_{(0,n)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \eta(g \circ \tau \circ \tau_{(0,1)}) - \eta(g \circ \tau \circ \tau_{(0,2)}) - \dots - \eta(g \circ \tau \circ \tau_{(0,n-1)}) - \eta(g \circ \tau \circ \tau_{(0,n)}) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left| \eta(g \circ \tau_{(0,1)}) + \sum_{i=2}^n (\eta(g \circ \tau_{(0,i)}) - \eta(g \circ \tau \circ \tau_{(0,i-1)})) - \eta(g \circ \tau \circ \tau_{(0,n)}) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\omega_g$  be the modulus of continuity of  $g$ , i.e.,

$$\omega_g(\delta) = \sup_{\rho(x,y) < \delta} |g(x) - g(y)|.$$

For an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\omega_g(\delta) < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\tau_n \rightarrow \tau$  uniformly for this  $\delta$  we can find an  $N \geq 1$  such that  $\sup_{x \in X} \rho(\tau_n(x), \tau(x)) < \delta$  for all  $n > N$ .

For  $i > N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \eta(g \circ \tau_{(0,i)}) - \eta(g \circ \tau \circ \tau_{(0,i-1)}) \right| = \left| \eta(g \circ \tau_i \circ \tau_{(0,i-1)} - g \circ \tau \circ \tau_{(0,i-1)}) \right| \\ & = \left| \eta((g \circ \tau_i - g \circ \tau)(\tau_{(0,i-1)})) \right| \leq \omega_g(\delta) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $n > N$ , we have

$$|\mu_n(g) - \mu_n(g \circ \tau)| \leq \frac{1}{n} (N \cdot 2 \cdot \sup |g| + (n - N)\varepsilon),$$

which becomes arbitrarily close to  $\varepsilon$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This shows that

$$\mu_{n_k}(g) - \mu_{n_k}(g \circ \tau) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

We have  $\mu_{n_k}(g) \rightarrow \mu(g)$  and since  $\tau$  is continuous  $\mu_{n_k}(g \circ \tau) \rightarrow \mu(g \circ \tau) = \tau_*\mu(g)$ . Thus,  $\mu$  is a  $\tau$ -invariant measure.  $\square$

**Remark:** The only place where we needed the continuity of  $\tau$  is the last line of the proof: since  $\tau$  is continuous  $g \circ \tau$  is continuous for any continuous  $g$  and then the  $*$ -weak convergence of  $\mu_{n_k}$  implies  $\mu_{n_k}(g \circ \tau) \rightarrow \mu(g \circ \tau)$ .

Theorem 1 does not yield any more information about the  $\tau$ -invariant measure  $\mu$ . The next result is a generalization of a theorem by Straube [14], which provides a sufficient condition for  $\mu$  to be absolutely continuous.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$  be a normalized measure space and let  $\{\tau_n\}$  be a sequence of non-singular transformations defining a non-autonomous dynamical system on  $X$ . We do not assume that the limit  $\tau$  is continuous. Assume there exists  $\delta > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$  such that*

$$\nu(E) < \delta \implies \sup_{k \geq 1} \nu(\tau_{(0,k)}^{-1}(E)) < \alpha,$$

for all  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then there exists a  $\tau$ -invariant normalized measure  $\mu$  which is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$ .

(The proof uses a number of facts from the theory of finitely additive measures which are collected in the Appendix. The proof is similar to the proof in [14] but is modified to allow the use of the estimates from the proof of Theorem 1.)

*Proof.* Let us define the measures

$$\nu_n(E) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \nu(\tau_{(0,k)}^{-1}(E)), \quad E \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Then, for all  $n$ ,

- (a)  $\nu_n(X) = 1$ ;
- (b)  $\nu_n \ll \nu$  ( $\tau_n$  is non-singular for every  $n$ );
- (c)  $\nu_n(\cdot) \geq 0$ .

Thus,  $\{\nu_n\}$  is a sequence of positive, normalized, absolutely continuous measures and can be treated as a sequence in the unit ball of  $L_\infty^*(X)$  with the  $*$ -weak topology. Thus, it contains a convergent subsequence  $\nu_{n_k} \rightarrow z$  and  $z$  can be identified with a finitely additive measure on  $X$ . The measure  $z$  is finitely additive, positive, normalized and absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$ .

By Lemma 7 in the Appendix we can uniquely decompose  $z$  into

$$z = z_c + z_p,$$

where  $z_c$  is countably additive and  $z_p$  is purely finitely additive. Now, we claim that  $z_c \neq 0$ . Otherwise, by Lemma 6, there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{E_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(E_n) = 0$  and  $z(E_n) = z(X) = 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $\nu(E_n) \rightarrow 0$ , for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists an  $n_0$  such that  $n > n_0 \implies \nu(E_n) < \delta$ . Now, by our assumptions, there is an  $\alpha < 1$  such that,

$$\sup_k \nu(\tau_{(0,k)}^{-1}(E_n)) < \alpha < 1.$$

Thus,  $\nu(\tau_{(0,k)}^{-1}(E_n)) < \alpha$  for all  $k$ . So,

$$z(E_n) < \alpha < 1,$$

which is a contradiction. We have demonstrated that  $z_c \neq 0$ .

Now we will prove that  $z_c$  is  $\tau$ -invariant. Consider the finitely additive measure

$$\kappa = z - z \circ \tau^{-1} = z_c - z_c \circ \tau^{-1} + z_p - z_p \circ \tau^{-1}.$$

In the proof of Theorem 1 we showed that for any continuous function  $g$  on  $X$  we have

$$\mu_{n_k}(g) - \mu_{n_k}(\tau^{-1}(g)) \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

This means that for any continuous function  $g$  (which is bounded since  $X$  is compact) we have

$$\kappa(g) = z(g) - z \circ \tau^{-1}(g) = 0.$$

We do not need continuity of  $\tau$  here as  $\mu_{n_k}(h) \rightarrow z(h)$  for all bounded  $h$ . By Lemma 9 in the Appendix the countably additive component of  $\kappa$  is 0, which means

$$z_c - z_c \circ \tau^{-1} = 0,$$

or that  $z_c$  is  $\tau$ -invariant.  $\square$

In the following example we show that, unlike in the case of one transformation, the converse implication in Theorem 2 may not hold. We will construct a sequence of maps  $\tau_n \rightarrow \tau$ , such that  $\tau$  admits an acim and

$$(2) \quad \forall \delta > 0 \exists E \in \mathcal{B} \sup_{k \geq 1} \nu(\tau_{(2,k)}^{-1}(E)) = 1.$$

**Example 3.** Let us consider maps  $\tau_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ , defined as follows

$$\tau_n(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - \frac{1}{n})x, & \text{for } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}); \\ 2x - 1, & \text{for } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

The limit map  $\tau(x) = x\chi_{[0, \frac{1}{2})}(x) + (2x + 1)\chi_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(x)$  admits an acim and condition (2) holds.

*Proof.* Let  $\rho_n = \tau_n|_{[0, \frac{1}{2})}$  be the first branch of  $\tau_n$ . The slope of  $\rho_n = \frac{n-1}{n}$  so the slope of  $\rho_{m,n} = \rho_n \circ \rho_{n-1} \circ \rho_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ \rho_m$ ,  $n > m$ , is  $\frac{n-1}{n} \cdot \frac{n-2}{n-1} \cdot \frac{n-3}{n-2} \dots \frac{m-1}{m} = \frac{m}{n} < 1$ . Then, the interval  $\rho_{m,n}^{-1}([0, \delta])$  is the interval from 0 to the minimum of  $\delta \cdot \frac{n}{m}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Note, that for any  $k$ , we have

$$(3) \quad \rho_k^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{2}]) = [0, \frac{1}{2}].$$

Letting  $\varrho = \varrho_n = \tau_n|_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}$  be the second branch of  $\tau_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^{-1}\left(\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]\right) &= \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right]; \\ \varrho^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right]\right) &= \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8}\right]; \\ &\vdots \\ \varrho^{-1}\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2^i}, \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{2^i}\right]\right) &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{2^i}, \sum_{i=1}^{k+2} \frac{1}{2^i}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

This and (3) imply that

$$\tau_{(2, m-1)}^{-1}\left(\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]\right) = \left[0, \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^i}\right].$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $m$  such that  $1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^i} < \varepsilon$ . Let  $n$  satisfy  $\delta \cdot \frac{n}{m} > \frac{1}{2}$ . Then the Lebesgue measure of  $\tau_{2,n}^{-1}([0, \delta])$  is larger than  $1 - \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

#### 4. EXISTENCE OF AN ABSOLUTELY CONTINUOUS INVARIANT MEASURE FOR THE LIMIT MAP

In this section we will assume that all the maps  $\tau_n$  are piecewise expanding maps of an interval. For the general theory of such maps we refer the reader to [3] or [8].

Let  $I = [0, 1]$ . The map  $\tau : I \rightarrow I$  is called piecewise expanding iff there exists a partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{I_i := [a_{i-1}, a_i], i = 1, \dots, q\}$  of  $I$  such that  $\tau : I \rightarrow I$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\tau$  is monotonic on each interval  $I_i$ ;
- (ii)  $\tau_i := \tau|_{I_i}$  is  $C^2$ , i.e.,  $C^2$  in the interior and the one-sided limits of the derivatives are finite at endpoints;
- (iii)  $|\tau'_i(x)| \geq s_i \geq s > 1$  for any  $i$  and for all  $x \in (a_{i-1}, a_i)$ .

The following Frobenius-Perron operator  $P_\tau : L^1(I, m) \rightarrow L^1(I, m)$ , where  $m$  is Lebesgue measure, is a basic tool in the theory of piecewise expanding maps. For a general non-singular map  $\tau$  [ $m(A) = 0 \implies m(\tau^{-1}(A)) = 0$ ], we define  $P_\tau f$  as a Radon-Nikodym derivative  $\frac{d(\tau_* m)}{dm}$ . For piecewise expanding maps the operator can be written explicitly [3]:

$$P_\tau f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{f(\tau_i^{-1}(x))}{|\tau'_i(\tau_i^{-1}(x))|}.$$

In particular  $P_\tau f = f$  iff  $f \cdot m$  is an acim of  $\tau$ . Piecewise expanding maps of the interval satisfy the following Lasota-Yorke inequality [9]. For any bounded variation function  $f \in BV(I)$  the variation  $V(P_\tau f)$  satisfies

$$V(P_\tau f) \leq AV(f) + B \int_I |f| dm,$$

where the constants  $A = \frac{2}{s}$ ,  $B = \frac{\max_s |\tau''|}{s} + \frac{2}{h}$  and  $h = \min_i \{m(I_i)\}$ . In particular, we can assume that  $A < 1$ , considering an iterate  $\tau^k$ , if necessary. We always assume that bounded variation functions are modified to satisfy  $f(x_0) = \limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)$  for all  $x_0 \in I$ .

We will prove the following:

**Theorem 4.** *Assume that  $\tau_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  are piecewise expanding maps of an interval and satisfy the Lasota-Yorke inequality with common constants  $A < 1$  and  $B$ . Then, for any density  $f \in BV(I)$ , the sequence  $f_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n P_{\tau_{(1,i)}} f$  forms a precompact set in  $L^1$  and any convergent subsequence converges to a density of an acim of the limit map  $\tau$ .*

**Remark:** We do not assume that the maps  $\tau_n$  are defined on a common partition. We assume that they all satisfy Lasota-Yorke inequality with the same constant  $B$ . In the following lemma we show that this implies that the limit map  $\tau$  is defined on a finite partition and the partitions for maps  $\tau_n$  are “asymptotically” the same as the partition for  $\tau$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4 the limit map  $\tau$  is piecewise monotonic and there exists a constant  $K$  such that for any interval  $J$  we have  $m(\tau^{-1}(J)) \leq Km(J)$ . In particular, it follows that the limit map  $\tau$  is non-singular.*

*Proof.* Since the constant  $B$  depends on the reciprocal of  $h$ , there is a universal bound  $q_u$  on the number of elements of the partition  $\mathcal{P}$  for  $\tau_n$ . This places a restriction on the number  $k$  of iterates we can use to make  $A < 1$ . Thus, there exists a universal lower bound  $s_u$  for the modulus of the derivative  $\tau'_n$ .

Now, we prove that  $\tau$  is piecewise monotonic. Assume that the graph of  $\tau$  contains  $p$  points forming a “zigzag”, i.e., there exist  $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \dots < x_{p-1} < x_p$  such that  $\tau(x_i) < \tau(x_{i+1})$  for odd  $i$  and  $\tau(x_i) > \tau(x_{i+1})$  for even  $i$  (or other way around). Then,  $p \leq 2q_u$ . If not, then since  $\tau_n \rightrightarrows \tau$  uniformly, for large  $n$  the graph of  $\tau_n$  also contains a zigzag of length  $p$ . This is impossible as  $\tau_n$  has at most  $q_u$  branches of monotonicity. Thus,  $\tau$  is piecewise monotonic with at most  $q_u$  branches of monotonicity.

Let  $[a, b] \subset I$  be an interval. Each line  $y = a$ ,  $y = b$  intersects the graph of  $\tau$  in at most  $q_u$  points. Let points  $(x_1, a)$ ,  $(x_2, b)$  be the points of intersection of these lines with one monotonic, say increasing, branch of  $\tau$ . Then,

$$b - a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n(x_2) - \tau_n(x_1) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_u \cdot (x_2 - x_1) = s_u \cdot (x_2 - x_1).$$

If one (or two) of the intersections is empty, we replace appropriate  $x_i$  by the endpoint of the interval of monotonicity. Thus, for any interval  $J$  we have

$$(4) \quad m(\tau^{-1}(J)) \leq \frac{q_u}{s_u} m(J).$$

□

We can now prove Theorem 4.

*Proof of Theorem 4.* Since  $f$  is a density and the Frobenius-Perron operator preserves the integral of positive functions, we have  $\int |P_{\tau_n} f| dm = 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $P_{\tau_{(1,i)}} = P_{\tau_i} \circ P_{\tau_{i-1}} \circ \dots \circ P_{\tau_2} \circ P_{\tau_1}$ , we can apply the Lasota-Yorke inequality consecutively and obtain

$$V(P_{\tau_{(1,i)}} f) \leq A^i V(f) + B(A^{i-1} + A^{i-2} + \dots + A^2 + A + 1) \leq A^i V(f) + \frac{B}{1-A}, \quad i \geq 1.$$

Thus, the functions  $P_{\tau_{(1,i)}} f$  and also the functions  $f_n$ ,  $i, n \geq 1$ , have uniformly bounded variation. Since for a bounded variation density  $f$ ,  $\sup_{x \in I} f(x) \leq 1 + V(f)$ , these functions are also uniformly bounded. The sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , being both



uniformly bounded and of uniformly bounded variation contains a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$  convergent almost everywhere to a function  $f^*$  of bounded variation by Helly's Theorem [11]. Additionally, by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem,  $\int_I f^* dm = 1$ . This means that, by Scheffe's Theorem [2],  $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f^*$  in the  $L^1$ -norm. Thus, the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  forms a pre-compact set in  $L^1$  and in particular, contains a subsequence convergent in  $L^1$  to a function of bounded variation.

Now, we will prove that for any density  $F$ ,  $(P_{\tau_n} F - P_\tau F) \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $L^1$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $g \in L^\infty(I, m)$  be an arbitrary bounded function and let us fix an  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By Lusin's Theorem [6, Th. 7.10] for any  $\eta > 0$  there exists an open set  $U \subset I$ ,  $m(U) < \eta$ , and a continuous function  $G \in C^0(I)$  such that  $g = G$  on  $I \setminus U$  and  $\sup |G| \leq \|g\|_\infty$ . The Frobenius-Perron operator is a conjugate of the Koopman operator, that is for any  $f \in L^1$  and any  $g \in L^\infty$ , we have  $\int_I P_\tau f \cdot g dm = \int_I f \cdot g \circ \tau dm$ . Therefore, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_I (P_\tau F \cdot g - P_{\tau_n} F \cdot g) dm \right| \leq \int_I F |g \circ \tau - g \circ \tau_n| dm \\ &= \int_I F |g \circ \tau - G \circ \tau + G \circ \tau - G \circ \tau_n + G \circ \tau_n - g \circ \tau_n| dm \\ &\leq \int_{\tau^{-1}(U)} F |g \circ \tau - G \circ \tau| dm + \int_I F |G \circ \tau_n + G \circ \tau_n| dm + \int_{\tau_n^{-1}(U)} F |g \circ \tau_n - G \circ \tau_n| dm. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\sup G \leq \|g\|_\infty = M_g$ . Let  $I_F(t) = \sup_{\{A: m(A) < t\}} \int_A |F| dm$ . It is known that  $I_F(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\omega_G$  be the modulus of continuity of  $G$ :  $\omega_G(t) = \sup_{|x-y| \leq t} |G(x) - G(y)|$ . Again,  $\omega_G(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Using estimate (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_I (P_\tau F \cdot g - P_{\tau_n} F \cdot g) dm \right| \\ (5) \quad & \leq 2M_g I_F\left(\frac{qu}{su}\eta\right) + \omega_G(\sup |\tau_n - \tau|) + 2M_g I_F\left(\frac{qu}{su}\eta\right) \\ &= \omega_G(\|\tau_n - \tau\|_\infty) + 4M_g I_F\left(\frac{qu}{su}\eta\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us fix an  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\|\tau_n - \tau\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we can find  $N \geq 1$  such that for all  $n \geq N$  we have  $\omega_G(\|\tau_n - \tau\|_\infty) < \varepsilon$ . We can also find an  $\eta > 0$  such that  $4M_g I_F\left(\frac{qu}{su}\eta\right) < \varepsilon$ . This shows that  $(P_{\tau_n} F - P_\tau F) \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $L^1$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Note, that this convergence is uniform over precompact subsets of  $L^1$ , since the estimate (5) can be made common for all  $F$  in such a set (the functions in a precompact set are uniformly integrable).

Let  $\{f_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$  be a subsequence of  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  convergent in  $L^1$  to  $f^*$ . To simplify the notation we will skip the subindex  $k$ . We will show that  $f^*$  is the density of an acim of  $\tau$ , i.e.,  $P_\tau f^* = f^*$ . We have

$$P_\tau f^* = P_\tau \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_\tau f_n.$$

We will show that  $P_\tau f_n - f_n$  converges weakly in  $L^1$  to 0. Let  $\phi_i = P_{\tau_{(1,i)}} f$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then,  $f_n = \frac{1}{n} (\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \dots + \phi_{n-1} + \phi_n)$ . We can write

$$\begin{aligned} P_\tau f_n - f_n &= \frac{1}{n} (P_\tau \phi_1 + P_\tau \phi_2 + P_\tau \dots + P_\tau \phi_{n-1} + P_\tau \phi_n) - \frac{1}{n} (\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \dots + \phi_{n-1} + \phi_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} (P_\tau \phi_n - \phi_1) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (P_\tau \phi_i - \phi_{i+1}) = \frac{1}{n} (P_\tau \phi_n - \phi_1) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (P_\tau \phi_i - P_{\tau_{i+1}} \phi_i). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $I_\Phi$  be a common  $I_F$  function for all  $\phi_i$ 's. Let  $N$  and  $\eta$  be chosen as above. Let  $n \geq N + 2$ . Then, using estimate (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_I (P_\tau f_n - f_n) g \, dm \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \int_I |(P_\tau \phi_n - \phi_1) g| \, dm + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_I |(P_\tau \phi_i - P_{\tau_{i+1}} \phi_i) g| \, dm \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=N+1}^{n-1} \int_I |(P_\tau \phi_i - P_{\tau_{i+1}} \phi_i) g| \, dm \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n} M_g + \frac{2}{n} N M_g + \frac{n-1-N}{n} (2\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the right hand side becomes smaller than say  $3\varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary this proves that  $P_\tau f_n - f_n$  converges weakly in  $L^1$  to 0 and  $P_\tau f^* = f^*$ .  $\square$

## 5. APPENDIX

Here we collect the results about finitely additive measures necessary for the proof of Theorem 2

**Lemma 6.** [Theorem 1.22 of [15]] *Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be a compact measure space. Let the measure  $\eta$  be purely finitely additive and  $\eta \geq 0$ . Let  $\kappa$  be a countably additive measure defined on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  such that  $\kappa \geq 0$ . Then, there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{E_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa(E_n) = 0$  and  $\eta(E_n) = \eta(X)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Conversely, if  $\kappa$  is a measure and the above conditions hold for all countably additive  $\kappa$ , then  $\eta$  is purely finitely additive.*

**Lemma 7.** [Theorems 1.23 and 1.24 of [15]] *Let  $\eta$  be a measure such that  $\eta \geq 0$ . Then there exist unique measures  $\eta_p$  and  $\eta_c$  such that  $\eta_p \geq 0$ ,  $\eta_c \geq 0$ ,  $\eta_p$  is purely finitely additive,  $\eta_c$  is countably additive and*

$$\eta = \eta_p + \eta_c.$$

**Lemma 8.** [Contained in the proof of Theorem 1.23 of [15]] *Let  $\eta$  be a measure decomposed as  $\eta = \eta_p + \eta_c$ . Then,  $\eta_c$  is the greatest of the measures  $\kappa$ , such that  $0 \leq \kappa \leq \eta$ .*

**Lemma 9.** *If  $\eta$  is a non-negative finitely additive measure and*

$$\int_X g d\eta = 0,$$

*for any continuous function on  $X$ , then  $\eta$  is purely finitely additive measure.*

*Proof.* According to the Definition 1.13 of [15] we have to show that any countably additive measure  $\kappa$  satisfying

$$(6) \quad 0 \leq \kappa \leq \eta$$

is a zero measure. Let  $\kappa$  satisfy (6). Then for any continuous function  $g$ , we have

$$0 \leq \kappa(g) \leq \eta(g) = 0.$$

Therefore  $\kappa(g) = 0$  for all continuous functions  $g$ . Since  $\kappa$  is a countably additive measure,  $\kappa = 0$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are grateful to the anonymous reviewer for his very detailed comments which helped to improve the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bahsoun, Wael; Bose, Christopher; Ruziboev, Marks, *Quenched decay of correlations for slowly mixing systems*, arXiv:1706.04158v3 [math.DS].
- [2] Billingsley, Patrick, *Convergence of probability measures*, Second edition, Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1999.
- [3] Boyarsky, Abraham; Góra, Paweł; *Laws of chaos. Invariant measures and dynamical systems in one dimension*, Probability and its Applications. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1997.
- [4] [1] MR2783362 Cánovas, Jose S., *Li-Yorke chaos in a class of nonautonomous discrete systems*, J. Difference Equ. Appl. **17** (2011), no. 4, 479–486.
- [5] [5] MR3111777 Cánovas, Jose S., *On entropy of non-autonomous discrete systems*, Progress and challenges in dynamical systems, 143–159, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., **54**, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [6] Folland, Gerald B., *Real analysis. Modern techniques and their applications*, Second edition, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York), A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1999.
- [7] MR1503326 Kryloff, Nicolas; Bogoliouboff, Nicolas, *La théorie générale de la mesure dans son application. L'étude des systèmes dynamiques de la mécanique non linéaire*, (French) Ann. of Math. (2) **38** (1937), no. 1, 65–113.
- [8] Lasota, Andrzej; Mackey, Michael C., *Chaos, fractals, and noise. Stochastic aspects of dynamics*, Second edition, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 97, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [9] Lasota, A., Yorke, James A., *On the existence of invariant measures for piecewise monotonic transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **186** (1973), 481–488 (1974).
- [10] [4] MR3430474 Li, Zhiming, *Remarks on topological entropy of nonautonomous dynamical systems*, Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg. **25** (2015), no. 12, 1550158, 5 pp.
- [11] Natanson, I. P., *Theory of functions of a real variable*, Translated by Leo F. Boron with the collaboration of Edwin Hewitt, Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York, 1955.
- [12] MR2511626 Ott, William; Stenlund, Mikko; Young, Lai-Sang(1-NY-X), *Memory loss for time-dependent dynamical systems*, Math. Res. Lett. **16** (2009), no. 3, 463–475.
- [13] [3] MR3485444 Štefánková, Marta, *Inheriting of chaos in uniformly convergent nonautonomous dynamical systems on the interval*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **36** (2016), no. 6, 3435–3443. arXiv. 1311.4083v1. Nov. 16 2013.
- [14] E. Straube, *On the existence of invariant absolutely continuous measures*, Commun. Math. Phys. **81**, (1982), 27–30.
- [15] MR0045194 Yosida, Kôsaku, Hewitt, Edwin, *Finitely additive measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **72**, (1952), 46–66.
- [16] [6] MR3607578 Young, Lai-Sang, *Generalizations of SRB measures to nonautonomous, random, and infinite dimensional systems*, J. Stat. Phys. **166** (2017), no. 3–4, 494–515.
- [17] [2] Zhu, Hao; Shi, Yuming; Shao, Hua, *Devaney chaos in nonautonomous discrete systems*, Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg. **26** (2016), no. 11, 1650190, 10 pp.

(P. Góra) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY, 1455 DE  
MAISONNEUVE BLVD. WEST, MONTREAL, QUEBEC H3G 1M8, CANADA  
*E-mail address*, P. Góra: [pawel.gora@concordia.ca](mailto:pawel.gora@concordia.ca)

(A. Boyarsky) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY, 1455  
DE MAISONNEUVE BLVD. WEST, MONTREAL, QUEBEC H3G 1M8, CANADA  
*E-mail address*, A. Boyarsky: [abraham.boyarsky@concordia.ca](mailto:abraham.boyarsky@concordia.ca)

(Ch. Keefe) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY, 1455  
DE MAISONNEUVE BLVD. WEST, MONTREAL, QUEBEC H3G 1M8, CANADA  
*E-mail address*, Ch. Keefe: [chriskeefe3.14159@gmail.com](mailto:chriskeefe3.14159@gmail.com)