



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Furthering the fight against impunity in Latin America: the contributions of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights to domestic accountability processes

Bosdriesz, H.

Citation

Bosdriesz, H. (2019, December 3). *Furthering the fight against impunity in Latin America: the contributions of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights to domestic accountability processes*. Meijers-reeks. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/81377>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/81377>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/81377> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Bosdriesz, H.

Title: Furthering the fight against impunity in Latin America: the contributions of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights to domestic accountability processes

Issue Date: 2019-12-03

Furthering the fight against impunity in Latin America
*The contributions of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights
to domestic accountability processes*

*Voor Ivan,
die alles veranderde*

Furthering the fight against impunity in Latin America

The contributions of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights to domestic accountability processes

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 3 december 2019
klokke 15.00 uur

door

Hanna Bosdriesz

geboren te Amsterdam

in 1985

Promotores: prof. dr. L.J. van den Herik
 prof. mr. dr. M.A.H. van der Woude

Promotiecommissie: prof. dr. H. Duffy
 prof. dr. C. Stahn
 prof. dr. J.M. Ubink
 prof. dr. J.A.E. Vervaele (Universiteit Utrecht)
 prof. dr. L. Zegveld (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

Lay-out: AlphaZet prepress, Bodegraven
Printwerk: Ipskamp Printing

© 2019 Hanna Bosdriesz

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet van 1912 gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden verveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enig andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de auteur.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without written permission of the author.

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	IX
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1 The international fight against impunity: beyond Rome and The Hague	1
1.1 The importance of national prosecutions for the international fight against impunity	1
1.2 The Inter-American human rights system and the fight against impunity in Latin America	6
1.3 Research questions	9
2 Scientific context of this study: what we know about the contributions of the Inter-American system to the fight against impunity	12
2.1 Legal scholarship on the obligation to investigate, prosecute and punish as developed by the Inter-American system	12
2.2 What we know about the contributions of the Inter-American system to domestic accountability processes	15
2.3 How to study the influence of international norms and institutions on domestic processes: lessons from different disciplines	17
2.3.1 International legal scholarship: moving beyond compliance	18
2.3.2 Social sciences: broadening the circle of relevant actors	20
2.3.3 Transitional justice: dynamics of domestic accountability processes	21
2.4 This study's approach to examining Inter-American contributions to domestic accountability processes	23
3 Research design and methodology	26
3.1 Analysis of Inter-American jurisprudence on the duty to investigate, prosecute and punish human rights violations	26
3.2 Analysis of the IAHRS' contributions to practice: design and case selection	28
3.3 Data collection and analysis	32
3.3.1 Types of sources used	32
3.3.2 Research trips: purpose and domestic circumstances	33
3.3.3 Open Interviews	37
4 Structure of the book	40

2	THE IACtHR'S DOCTRINE ON THE DUTY TO INVESTIGATE, PROSECUTE AND PUNISH HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS	43
1	Introduction: structural impunity and the development of the IACtHR's case law	43
2	Legal basis and rationale of the duty to investigate, prosecute and punish human rights violations under the ACHR	46
2.1	From procedural obligation	46
2.2	To a form of reparation	51
2.3	To separate human right('s violation): the victim's right to justice	55
3	The duty to prosecute, the right to truth and the crime of enforced disappearance	59
3.1	The crime of enforced disappearance and the emergence of a right to the truth	60
3.2	Legal basis of the right to the truth and its link to the duty to prosecute	63
3.3	Implications for the duty to prosecute	67
4	Triggering the duty to prosecute: only grave human rights violations?	71
5	The IACtHR as part of a developing legal framework against impunity	77
6	Conclusion	85
3	ANATOMIZING THE OBLIGATION TO INVESTIGATE, PROSECUTE AND PUNISH HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS	87
1	Introduction: giving practical meaning to the obligation to investigate, prosecute and punish	87
2	The obligation to remove all legal obstacles maintaining impunity	88
2.1	The obligation to remove legal obstacles maintaining impunity and Article 2 ACHR	88
2.2	The prohibition of amnesty provisions	90
2.3	The non-applicability of provisions on prescription	101
2.4	Limitations to the principle of <i>ne bis in idem</i> and the concept of 'fraudulent <i>res judicata</i> '	103
2.5	Codification of enforced disappearance as an autonomous crime	110
2.6	The principle of legality and the prosecution of cases of enforced disappearance	114
3	The obligation to remove all practical obstacles maintaining impunity	119
3.1	Obligation to cooperate in the collection of evidence	120
3.2	Obligation to punish state agents who obstruct justice	123
3.3	Obligation to protect those who participate in the domestic proceedings	125
3.4	Obligation to seek inter-state cooperation in judicial matters	126

4	The obligation to investigate, prosecute and punish effectively	128
4.1	Impartiality and independence of judicial officers: the prohibition of military jurisdiction over human rights violations	131
4.2	Due diligence	138
4.2.1	Standards on the collection and management of forensic evidence	140
4.2.2	The obligation to investigate exhaustively and analyze all available information	144
4.2.3	The judge's obligation to guide the proceedings and avoid excessive formalism	150
4.3	Obligation to impose a punishment proportionate to the gravity of the crime	155
5	Conclusion	162
4	CRITIQUES OF THE FIGHT AGAINST IMPUNITY AND THE IACtHR'S JURISPRUDENCE ON THE OBLIGATION TO INVESTIGATE, PROSECUTE AND PUNISH HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS	165
1	Introduction	165
2	The 'turn to criminal law' and the diversion of the human rights movement	167
3	Individualization and decontextualization of human rights violations	171
4	The fight against impunity as a threat to the rights of the accused	173
5	The fight against impunity as alignment with the state's repressive powers	177
6	Conclusion	183
5	INTER-AMERICAN CONTRIBUTIONS TO 'POST-TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE' IN GUATEMALA	187
1	Introduction: the Guatemalan civil war	187
2	The struggle for post-transitional justice in Guatemala: origins and main actors	191
2.1	The Agreement for a Firm and Lasting Peace and the start of the struggle for post-transitional justice	191
2.2	Pro-accountability constituencies: the human rights organizations driving the struggle against impunity	194
2.3	Anti-accountability constituencies: the continuation of existing power-structures after the war	199
3	The fight against impunity in Guatemala: an uphill battle	202
4	Results of the domestic struggle against impunity: criminal trials for serious human rights violations committed in the context of the civil war	209
5	IACtHR contributions to the Guatemalan fight against impunity: direct interactions	212
5.1	Involving the Inter-American system in the domestic struggle against impunity	212

5.2	Contributions through the proceedings at the Inter-American level	214
5.2.1	Monitoring of domestic proceedings	214
5.2.2	Protection of pro-accountability actors	221
5.3	Contributions of IACtHR judgments in Guatemalan cases	226
5.3.1	The self-executing nature of IACtHR judgments	226
5.3.2	The IACtHR's account of what happened during the Guatemalan civil war	228
6	Contributions of the IACtHR's doctrines to Guatemalan accountability efforts	239
6.1	The obligation to investigate, prosecute and punish human rights violations	239
6.2	Removing legal obstacles to prosecution	245
6.2.1	Application of amnesty laws	249
6.2.2	Enforced disappearance and the principle of legality	254
6.2.3	Imprescriptibility of international crimes	258
7	Conclusion	260
6	INTER-AMERICAN CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE DESIGN OF TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE MECHANISMS IN COLOMBIA	263
1	Introduction	263
2	The paramilitary demobilization process (2002 – 2006): actors and process	266
2.1	Negotiating parties: the government and the paramilitary groups	266
2.2	Pro-accountability constituencies: human rights organizations opposing amnesty	270
2.3	The Constitutional Court	274
2.4	Starting point of the legislative process: presentation of the Alternative Punishment Draft	276
3	Transitional justice outcome: the Justice and Peace Law	279
4	Inter-American contributions to the transitional justice framework: direct interactions	283
4.1	The Inter-American Commission's monitoring of the negotiations	283
4.2	IACtHR judgments concerning the paramilitary phenomenon	284
5	Inter-American contributions to the transitional justice framework: the influence of the IACtHR's jurisprudence on the right to justice and the prohibition of amnesty.	287
5.1	Framing the debate on transitional justice in the context of the paramilitary demobilization process	287
5.1.1	Introducing the language of truth, justice and reparation	287
5.1.2	Articulating a hard core of international legal obligations	291
5.1.3	Presenting international law as a credible threat	294

5.2	Contributions to the normative content of the Justice and Peace Law	297
5.2.1	Contributions through parliament	297
5.2.2	Contributions through the Constitutional Court	301
6	The peace process with the FARC (2011 – 2016): actors and process	307
6.1	The negotiating parties: the Santos government and the FARC-EP	308
6.2	Starting point of the negotiation process: the Legal Framework for Peace	310
6.3	Pro-accountability constituencies and the peace negotiations with the FARC-EP	313
7	The negotiations and their transitional justice outcome: the Special Jurisdiction for Peace	315
8	Inter-American contributions to the FARC peace process: direct interactions	320
9	Inter-American contributions to the peace process: influence of the IACtHR's case law on the right to justice and the prohibition of amnesty	323
9.1	Framing the debate on transitional justice	323
9.1.1	The discourse of the Santos government: reinterpreting the hard core of human rights obligations	325
9.1.2	Opposition to the transitional justice approach	332
9.1.3	The contribution of the El Mozote judgment	336
9.2	Contributions to the normative content of the transitional justice mechanisms adopted in the context of the peace process	339
9.2.1	Contributions to negotiations leading to the Special Jurisdiction for Peace	339
9.2.2	The Constitutional Court's review of the government's transitional justice approach	343
10	Conclusion	347
7	INTER-AMERICAN CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE INVESTIGATION AND PROSECUTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS IN COLOMBIA	351
1	Introduction: Obstacles to investigation of serious human rights violation by the National Human Rights Unit of the Public Ministry	351
1.1	Armed conflict and security concerns	351
1.2	Political sensitivity of cases and relationship between UDH and other state entities	353
1.3	Lack of resources and heavy caseload	357
1.4	Internal organization and culture of the Attorney General's Office	359
2	Monitoring and prioritizing cases through direct interactions with the Inter-American system	362

2.1	Monitoring of domestic investigations as a result of parallel proceedings by the Inter-American system	362
2.2	Prioritization of Inter-American cases	366
2.3	Keeping cases on the agenda	370
3	Collusion, context and the obligation to conduct an exhaustive investigation	375
3.1	Exposing links between the state and paramilitary organizations	377
3.2	Expanding the scope of domestic investigations	381
3.3	Developing the practice of analysis of context at the Justice and Peace tribunals	387
3.4	Formalizing the practice of analysis of context in the ordinary criminal justice system	393
4	Overcoming practical obstacles and a lack of institutional will to investigate and prosecute human rights violations	398
4.1	Taking unwilling officials off the case	399
4.2	Revision of previous acquittals and the principle of <i>ne bis in idem</i>	401
5	Conclusion	404
8	THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE INTER-AMERICAN HUMAN RIGHTS SYSTEM TO DOMESTIC STRUGGLES FOR ACCOUNTABILITY: A SYNTHESIS OF THE CASE STUDIES	407
1	Introduction	407
2	Contribution to? – spheres of IAHRs influence	408
2.1	Discourse	409
2.2	Narrative	412
2.3	Normative framework	415
2.4	Progress of domestic proceedings	417
3	Contribution through? Mechanisms underlying the IACtHR's contributions to domestic accountability processes	418
3.1	Translating	419
3.2	Legitimizing and depoliticizing	420
3.3	Monitoring	421
3.4	Modelling	421
3.5	Protecting	422
4	In sum	423
	SUMMARY	425
	SAMENVATTING (DUTCH SUMMARY)	433
	ANNEX 1 – SCHEMATIC OVERVIEW ACTHR DOCTRINES	441
	ANNEX 2 – INTERVIEWS: GUATEMALA CASE STUDY	443
	ANNEX 3 – INTERVIEWS: COLOMBIA CASE STUDIES	447
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	451
	TABLE OF CASES	463
	CURRICULUM VITAE	469

Acknowledgments

Firstly, I want to express my gratitude to my *promotores*, Larissa van den Herik and Maartje van der Woude, for their guidance and support throughout this project. I want to thank them in particular for continuing to support me when my research led me in directions in which I had no prior experience. Their confidence in this project and in me as a researcher has made it possible for me to continue when, at times, my own was wavering.

This project would have been impossible without the help and support I received during my research trips abroad. I am profoundly grateful to all the respondents who were willing to share their knowledge and experience with me and who helped me to understand the subject of my research in new ways. I want to thank Maritza for helping me to connect to the human rights community in Guatemala. Thank you also to the Universidad del Rosario in Bogotá and its International Law Clinic for being my base in Colombia. Finally, thanks to the iCourts Center at the University of Copenhagen for helping me to work through the methodological aspects of my research as a participant in their summer school and as a visiting researcher.

I am grateful as well to my colleagues at the Grotius Center for International Legal Studies. Working alongside all of you has been one of the great privileges of my PhD experience. In particular, I want to thank my fellow PhD candidates at the Grotius Center and at Leiden Law School, who have shared this road with me and who have helped me maintain my sanity (to a degree) through long lunches, coffees and Friday drinks.

To the amazing friends (you know who you are) who have been in my life since high school, primary school and even before: you have shaped me as a person and you have therefore shaped this research.

I also want to thank my family. Evert, for being my big brother and great example (in science and otherwise). My parents, Jan and Ina, for supporting me in every way possible and for teaching me everything I know. Particularly, thank you for patiently reading every essay and paper I wrote as a student in high school and university and providing the best writing-skills training imaginable.

Finally, I want to thank Sander, my 'colleague from the University of Amsterdam' and my partner in work and in life. This research project grew from our shared interests and ideals. It has truly been a labor of love.