

Aspects of cosmic acceleration

Vardanyan, V.

#### Citation

Vardanyan, V. (2019, September 18). *Aspects of cosmic acceleration*. *Casimir PhD Series*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/78122

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the</u> <u>Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/78122

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



## Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/78122</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Vardanyan, V. Title: Aspects of cosmic acceleration Issue Date: 2019-09-18 Part I

### COSMIC ACCELERATION IN SUPERGRAVITY

2

# DARK ENERGY, $\alpha$ -ATTRACTORS, AND LARGE-SCALE STRUCTURE SURVEYS

This chapter is dedicated to a study of a new class of inflationary models known as cosmological  $\alpha$ -attractors. We promote these models towards a unified framework describing both inflation and dark energy. We construct and study several phenomenologically rich models which are compatible with current observations. In the simplest models, with vanishing cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ , one has the tensor to scalar ratio  $r = \frac{12\alpha}{N^2}$ , with N being the number of e-folds till the end of inflation, and the asymptotic equation of state of dark energy  $w = -1 + \frac{2}{9\alpha}$ . For example, for a theoretically interesting model given by  $\alpha = 7/3$  one finds  $r \sim 10^{-2}$  and the asymptotic equation of state is  $w \sim -0.9$ . Future observations, including large-scale structure surveys as well as Cosmic Microwave Background B-mode polarization experiments will test these, as well as more general models presented here. We also discuss the gravitational reheating in models of quintessential inflation and argue that its investigation may be interesting from the point of view of inflationary cosmology. Such models require a much greater number of *e*-folds, and therefore predict a spectral index  $n_s$  that can exceed the value in more conventional models of inflationary  $\alpha$ -attractors by about 0.006. This suggests a way to distinguish the conventional inflationary models from the models of quintessential inflation, even if the latter predict w = -1.

#### 42 DARK ENERGY, $\alpha$ -ATTRACTORS, AND LARGE-SCALE STRUCTURE SURVEYS

**This chapter is based on:** Y. Akrami, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, V. Vardanyan, *Dark energy*, *α-attractors, and large-scale structure surveys*, JCAP **1806** (2018) 041, arXiv:1712.09693.

#### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

In this chapter we are going to construct viable dynamical dark energy models in the context of recent progress achived in cosmological applications of *supergravity*. We particularly will be using some novel ideas which have been discovered in inflationary cosmology. More concretely, recent investigations have found a broad class of theories, known as cosmological  $\alpha$ -attractors, which are based on models where the kinetic term of a scalar field has a pole [76–81]. In such theories, the potential has a plateau shape, exponentially rapidly approaching a constant at large values of the inflaton field  $\varphi$ . These models, to be described in section 2.2 of this chapter, are favored by the recent inflation-related cosmological observations [82].

Because of the extreme flatness of the potential in  $\alpha$ -attractors, these models can be suitable not only for describing inflation but also to describe dark energy, see e.g. Refs. [83–88]. Moreover, it may also be possible to find  $\alpha$ -attractor models which can simultaneously describe inflation and dark energy [84, 87, 88] in the context of the *quintessential inflation* [89].

In this chapter, we extend the investigation of the quintessential inflation models based on  $\alpha$ -attractors. We study models with arbitrary  $\Lambda$ , relax some of the assumptions made in Refs. [84, 87, 88], and consider a much more general class of theories. In particular, we describe the  $\alpha$ -attractor version of the simplest linear dark energy model, a model with exponential potential with two shoulders proposed in Ref. [90], and a generalized version of the model studied in Refs. [84, 88].

The asymptotic value  $w_{\infty}$  of the parameter w in the equation of state  $p = w\rho$  for quintessential inflation depends on the limiting value of the quintessence potential. If this value is negative, the universe eventually collapses, but under certain conditions it may pass through a temporary but long stage of acceleration. Here we call  $w_{\infty}$  the asymptotic value of w for dark energy, to distinguish it from the time-dependent dark energy

equation of state  $w_{\text{DE}}$  and the observable "all-inclusive" effective equation of state  $w_{\text{eff}}$ .

If the potential *V* of the quintessential inflation models asymptotically vanishes (i.e. if the cosmological constant is zero), the value of  $w_{\infty}$  in the simplest models is given by

$$w_{\infty} = -1 + \frac{2}{9\alpha}.\tag{2.1}$$

Interestingly, the difference between  $w_{\infty}$  and the equation of state w = -1 for the cosmological constant is inversely proportional to  $\alpha$ , whereas the tensor to scalar ratio is directly proportional to it,

$$r = \frac{12\alpha}{N^2},\tag{2.2}$$

where *N* corresponds to the remaining number of *e*-folds from the end of inflation at the moment of generation of perturbations studied by WMAP and Planck. This may help us either to rule out, or to confirm theories of that type by a combination of searches for B-modes and investigation of dark energy.

Note that this result is valid only if the cosmological constant is zero, which provides us with an intriguing possibility to test this hypothesis. Meanwhile in the theories with a negative cosmological constant, the universe eventually collapses. However, in some cases one may have a prolonged state of accelerated expansion, just as in the model proposed in Ref. [91].

If the asymptotic value of the potential is positive (i.e. if the cosmological constant is positive), and the quintessence field slowly rolls towards infinity, the universe asymptotically approaches a de Sitter regime with

$$w_{\infty} = -1. \tag{2.3}$$

This is the most general regime that is relatively easy to achieve in the supergravity constructions discussed here. Of course, if these models correctly describe our world, the observations looking for deviations of quintessence from the cosmological constant will not bring us anything exciting. But there may be a silver lining here.

Indeed, the process of reheating in the models of quintessential inflation is non-standard, and it can be very inefficient. In that case, the inflaton field after the end of inflation may enter a long stage when its energy density is dominated by the kinetic energy with w = +1. This simple fact affects the number of *e*-folds *N* [84]. Indeed, as we will show, the number of *e*folds in the  $\alpha$ -attractor models of quintessential inflation with gravitational reheating can be greater than the corresponding number in the conventional (non-quintessential) versions of  $\alpha$ -attractors and in the Starobinsky model by  $\Delta N \sim 10$ . This is a significant difference, which may have important observational consequences.

In particular, the general prediction of  $\alpha$  attractors for  $n_s$  is

$$n_s = 1 - \frac{2}{N}$$
 (2.4)

One can easily check that the difference between  $n_s$  for conventional  $\alpha$ -attractors with  $N \sim 50$  and  $\alpha$ -attractor models of quintessential inflation with  $N \sim 60$  is about 0.006, which coincides with  $1\sigma$  error bar in the Planck 2015 results [82]. This increase in the value of  $n_s$  and N is not very easy to achieve otherwise, see e.g. Refs. [92, 93].

This suggests that future observations may be able to differentiate between the regular versions of inflationary  $\alpha$ -attractors and their quintessential generalizations. More generally, we might be able to differentiate, though somewhat indirectly, the cosmological constant and quintessence without relying on extreme accuracy in measuring w. This is a rather intriguing byproduct of the present investigation.

In this chapter we will also describe the models which involve two different fields with  $\alpha$ -attractor potentials. The first of these two fields (or

the combination of the two) will be responsible for inflation, and the second field will be responsible for quintessence. The resulting models are very flexible; they are close in spirit to the models of multi-field cascade inflation proposed in Ref. [94].

In addition to the current cosmic microwave background (CMB) experiments, such as WMAP [95], Planck [96], ACTPol [97] and SPT-Pol [98], as well as the Stage III CMB experiments like AdvACT [99] and SPT-3G [100], and the future CMB Stage IV ground [101] and space based experiments such as LiteBIRD [102, 103], aiming at more precise measurements of the CMB B-modes, arguably the next leading cosmological probes are the largescale structure surveys, measuring baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO) and the growth of structure through redshift-space distortions (RSD), as well as weak gravitational lensing. There is a classification of the LSS surveys similar to that of the CMB experiments. This includes Stage III experiments currently taking data and continuing to do so for the next two or three years, as well as Stage IV experiments that are currently being designed and constructed to provide a large amount of high quality data in the next five to ten years. The Stage III experiments include, for example, the Canada-France Hawaii Telescope Lensing Survey (CFHTLenS) [104, 105], the Kilo Degree Survey (KiDS) [106, 107], the Extended Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (eBOSS) [108], and the Dark Energy Survey (DES) [109–111]. We however expect an exciting time to come when the Stage IV LSS surveys start to deliver data. These include several ground based experiments such as the Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument (DESI) [112, 113], the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST) [114, 115], and the Square Kilometre Array (SKA) [116–121], as well as the space based experiments Euclid [122, 123] and the Wide Field InfraRed Survey Telescope (WFIRST) [124, 125]. A synergy of all these various probes of both early- and late-time observables will provide invaluable information about the models of inflation and dark energy.

In this chapter, we perform an analysis of our  $\alpha$ -attractor models of dark energy in view of their implications for the current and future large-scale structure surveys. We do not intend here to perform a comprehensive comparison of our models to the current data or a detailed forecast analysis of the models for the future LSS experiments (such a study is currently ongoing). For some models, we base our discussions solely on simple numerical computations of cosmic histories as well as dark energy and effective equations of state, without going through a detailed comparison to observations, to see whether these models can potentially provide viable cosmologies. For some others, though, we perform a statistical analysis and compare their predictions to geometrical constraints on the cosmic history using a combination of current observational data, which we believe can provide a sufficiently good understanding of our models and their viability. We also discuss the implications of our findings for future cosmological surveys and in particular ask the question of whether the more precise measurements of dark energy properties will enable us to test our models against ACDM. Here we similarly do not perform a detailed forecast analysis of the models and are interested only in a rough estimate of the testability of the models using future data.

#### 2.2 ASYMMETRIC COSMOLOGICAL $\alpha$ -ATTRACTORS

There are several different ways to introduce the theory of  $\alpha$ -attractors, see Refs. [76–81]. On a purely phenomenological level, the main features of all of these models can be represented in terms of a single-field model with the Lagrangian [80, 81]

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\mathcal{L} = \frac{R}{2} - \frac{(\partial_{\mu}\phi)^2}{2(1-\frac{\phi^2}{6\alpha})^2} - V(\phi).$$
(2.5)

Here  $\phi(x)$  is the scalar field, and we use units where  $M_{\text{Pl}} = 1$ . The origin of the pole in the kinetic term can be explained in the context of hyperbolic geometry of the field-space manifold. These geometries are natural in extended supergravity, although they may also describe cosmological models unrelated to supergravity. The parameter  $\alpha$  can take any positive value in the minimal  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supergravity, but recent developments based on extended supergravity, M-theory, and string theory favor 7 particular choices:  $3\alpha = 1, 2, 3, ..., 7$  [94, 126, 127].

In the limit  $\alpha \to \infty$  this model coincides with the standard chaotic inflation with a canonically normalized field  $\phi$  and the inflaton potential  $V(\phi)$  [128]. However, for any finite value of  $\alpha$ , the field  $\phi$  in (2.5) is not canonically normalized, and must satisfy the condition  $\phi^2 < 6\alpha$ .

Instead of the variable  $\phi$ , one can use a canonically normalized field  $\varphi$  by solving the equation  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{1-\frac{\phi^2}{4\pi}} = \partial \varphi$ , which yields

$$\phi = \sqrt{6\alpha} \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} \,. \tag{2.6}$$

The full theory, in terms of the canonical variables, becomes

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\mathcal{L} = \frac{R}{2} - \frac{(\partial_{\mu}\varphi)^2}{2} - V\left(\sqrt{6\alpha} \tanh\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}\right).$$
(2.7)

Note that in the limit  $\phi \to 0$  the variables  $\phi$  and  $\varphi$  coincide; the main difference appears in the limit  $\phi^2 \to 6\alpha$ : In terms of the new variables, a tiny vicinity of the boundary of the moduli space at  $\phi^2 = 6\alpha$  stretches and extends to infinitely large  $|\varphi|$ . We will assume that the potential  $V(\phi)$  and its derivatives are non-singular for  $\phi^2 \leq 6\alpha$ . In that case, generic potentials  $V(\phi) = V(\sqrt{6\alpha} \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}})$  at large  $|\varphi|$  approach two infinitely long plateaus with the heights corresponding to the values of  $V(\phi)$  at the two boundaries,

$$V_{\pm} \equiv V(\phi)|_{\phi=\pm\sqrt{6\alpha}}.$$
(2.8)

The simplest example of such a theory is given by the model with  $V(\phi) = m^2 \phi^2/2$ . In terms of the canonically normalized field  $\varphi$ , the potential is given by

$$V(\varphi) = 3\alpha m^2 \tanh^2 \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}.$$
(2.9)

This is the simplest representative of the so-called T-models, with the T-shaped potential shown in Fig. 2.1.



Figure 2.1: The potential  $V(\varphi) = 3\alpha m^2 \tanh^2 \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}$  for  $\alpha = 1$ , shown in units of  $3m^2$ , with  $\varphi$  in Planck units. For  $1/3 < \alpha < 10$  one has  $n_s \sim 0.965$  and the tensor to scalar ratio r is in the range from  $3 \times 10^{-2}$  to  $10^{-3}$ , providing a good match to the Planck data.

For any values of  $\alpha \leq 10$ , the amplitude of the inflationary perturbations, the prediction for the spectral index  $n_s$ , and the tensor to scalar ratio r match observational data under a single condition [129]

$$\frac{V_{\pm}}{\alpha} \sim 3m^2 \sim 10^{-10} \,. \tag{2.10}$$

To understand what is going on in this class of theories for general potentials  $V(\phi)$ , let us consider, for definiteness, positive values of  $\phi$  and study a small

vicinity of the point  $\phi = \sqrt{6\alpha}$ , which becomes stretched to infinitely large values of the canonical field  $\varphi$  upon the change of variables  $\phi \rightarrow \varphi$ . If the potential  $V(\phi)$  is non-singular at the boundary  $\phi = \sqrt{6\alpha}$ , we can expand it in series with respect to the distance from the boundary,

$$V(\phi) = V_{+} + (\phi - \sqrt{6\alpha}) V'_{+} + \mathcal{O}\left((\phi - \sqrt{6\alpha})^{2}\right), \qquad (2.11)$$

where we have introduced  $V'_{+} \equiv \partial_{\phi} V|_{\phi=+\sqrt{6\alpha}}$ .

In the vicinity of the boundary  $\phi = \sqrt{6\alpha}$ , the relation (2.6) between the original field variable  $\phi$  and the canonically normalized inflaton field  $\phi$  is given by

$$\phi = \sqrt{6\alpha} \left( 1 - 2e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}}\varphi} \right) , \qquad (2.12)$$

up to the higher order terms  $\mathcal{O}(e^{-2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}}\varphi})$ . At  $\varphi \gg \sqrt{6\alpha}$ , these terms are exponentially small as compared to the terms  $\sim e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}}\varphi}$ , and the potential acquires the following asymptotic form

$$V(\varphi) = V_{+} - 2\sqrt{6\alpha} V'_{+} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}}\varphi}.$$
 (2.13)

The constant  $2\sqrt{6\alpha} V'_+$  in this expression can be absorbed into a redefinition of the field  $\varphi$ . This is the reason of the universal inflationary predictions, given the inflation takes places at large  $\varphi \gg \sqrt{\alpha}$ .

In particular, the parameters  $n_s$  and r describing the spectrum of inflationary perturbations are given by

$$r = \frac{12\alpha}{N^2}$$
,  $n_s = 1 - \frac{2}{N}$ . (2.14)

These results depend only on  $\alpha$  and the number of *e*-folds *N* remaining to the end of inflation since the moment when quantum fluctuations were generated. Meanwhile, the amplitude of scalar perturbations for  $\alpha$ -attractors generated at the upper plateau of the potential (2.13) is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = \frac{N^2}{18\pi^2} \, \frac{V_+}{\alpha} \,. \tag{2.15}$$

Thus the COBE/Planck normalization constrains the ratio  $V_+/\alpha$  [129]. Taking the value  $(2.208 \pm 0.075) \times 10^{-9}$  [130, 131] for  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $N \sim 60$  *e*-folds for inflation, we find the constraint on the height of the inflationary plateau,

$$\frac{V_+}{\alpha} \sim 10^{-10}$$
. (2.16)

These results were explained in Refs. [76, 78] and formulated in a particularly general way in Ref. [80]; the kinetic term in this class of models has a pole at the boundary of the moduli space. If inflation occurs in a vicinity of such a pole, and the potential near the pole has a finite first derivative, all other details of the potential  $V(\phi)$  and of the kinetic term far away from the pole are not important for making cosmological predictions. That is why these models are called cosmological attractors.

The simplest model  $V(\phi) = m^2 \phi^2/2$  considered above is symmetric with respect to the change  $\phi \to -\phi$ . However, this is not a universal property. Consider, for example, its generalization [90] with the potential

$$V = \frac{m^2}{2(1+c)^2} (\phi + c\sqrt{6\alpha})^2.$$
 (2.17)

In terms of the canonically normalized field  $\varphi$ , the potential becomes

$$V = \frac{3\alpha m^2}{(1+c)^2} \left( \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + c \right)^2.$$
 (2.18)

The coefficient  $(1 + c)^{-2}$  is introduced to preserve the height of the inflationary plateau at  $\varphi \to \infty$ .



Figure 2.2: The potential (2.17) shown in units of  $\alpha m^2$  for  $\alpha = 1$ , and c = 0 (blue), 0.3 (orange), 1 (red), and 1.9 (green).

For |c| < 1 this potential has a minimum and two asymptotically flat shoulders of different heights, as shown by the orange curve in Fig. 2.2. For c = 1 the minimum of the potential disappears and the left shoulder describes a potential which exponentially decreases to zero at large, negative values of  $\varphi$ . Finally, for c < -1, the potential at large, negative  $\varphi$  approaches a constant value of  $V_- = 3\alpha m^2 (c-1)^2 / (c+1)^2$ . One can further modify the potential by adding to it a constant of any sign, which is absolutely legitimate from the point of view of the string theory landscape.

Historically, the first versions of  $\alpha$ -attractor models have been developed in Refs. [76–81] in the supergravity context, where the potentials could be represented as  $f^2(\phi)$ , where  $f(\phi)$  is a real holomorphic function of the argument. That is why we started the discussion of  $\alpha$ -attractors with presenting models with a quadratic potential  $V(\phi)$ . However, recently a more general approach to  $\alpha$ -attractors in supergravity has been developed [94, 132], which allows us to describe models with arbitrary potentials  $V(\phi)$ , including the simplest linear dark energy potential  $V(\phi) = \gamma \phi + \Lambda$  proposed as early as in Ref. [91].

In this chapter, we study  $V(\varphi)$  at very large, negative  $\varphi$ . Therefore we will often identify  $\Lambda$  not with V(0), but with  $V_-$ , the height of the potential in this limit of large, negative  $\varphi$ . This can be achieved by representing the linear potential as  $V(\varphi) = \gamma \phi + \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} + \Lambda$ . In terms of the canonically normalized field  $\varphi$ , this potential is given by

$$V(\varphi) = \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} (\tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + 1) + \Lambda, \qquad (2.19)$$

where  $\Lambda = V_{-}$  is now the asymptotic value of the potential at  $\varphi \to -\infty$ .

We illustrate the shape of this potential for various values of its parameters in Fig. 2.3.



Figure 2.3: The potential (2.19) has two plateaus, with  $V = V_{\pm}$ . We illustrate its values for  $V_{+} = 1$  and  $V_{-} = \Lambda = -0.1$  (blue), 0 (green), and +0.1 (red).

At  $\varphi \gg \sqrt{6\alpha}$  the potential is given by

$$V = V_{+} - 2\gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} \ e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}}\varphi} ,$$
 (2.20)

whereas at  $\varphi \ll -\sqrt{6\alpha}$  one has

$$V = V_{-} + 2\gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} \ e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}}\varphi} \,. \tag{2.21}$$

In general, the asymptotic behavior of asymmetric potentials  $V(\varphi)$  at large, negative values of the field,  $\varphi \ll -\sqrt{6\alpha}$ , is given by an expression similar to (2.13),

$$V(\varphi) = V_{-} + 2\sqrt{6\alpha} \, V'_{-} \, e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}}\varphi} \,, \tag{2.22}$$

where  $V'_{-} \equiv \partial_{\phi} V|_{\phi = -\sqrt{6\alpha}}$ . Thus, as long as  $V'_{-}$  is non-singular and does not vanish,<sup>1</sup> all such potentials have the same universal asymptotic behavior at large, negative  $\varphi$ : up to a shift  $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi - \sqrt{\frac{3\alpha}{2}} \log(2\sqrt{6\alpha} V'_{-})$  and a redefinition  $\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}} \rightarrow \lambda$ , they can be represented in a more familiar way,

$$V(\varphi) = \Lambda + e^{\lambda \varphi} \,. \tag{2.23}$$

This general asymptotic expression will be very helpful in evaluation of  $\alpha$ -attractors as dark energy candidates.

To explain the basic idea, let us first consider the simplest case of  $\Lambda = 0$ . Then we will have an exponential potential <sup>2</sup>

$$V(\varphi) = e^{\lambda \varphi} , \qquad (2.24)$$

<sup>1</sup> If one fine-tunes the potential  $V(\phi)$  to have a minimum, or maximum, at one of the boundaries  $\phi = \pm \sqrt{6\alpha}$ , the first derivative  $V'_{-}$  in (2.22), or  $V'_{+}$  in (2.13), vanishes. This affects the asymptotic behavior of the potential. For example, in the theory with the quadratic potential (2.17) with c = 1, the asymptotic behavior at  $\phi \to -\infty$  is governed by the higher exponent  $e^{2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}}\phi}$ , which is equivalent to making  $\alpha$  four times smaller.

<sup>2</sup> The related effective models of accelerated expansion in string theory were proposed in Ref. [133], and they lead to  $w_{DE} < -1/3$ .

where

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}}.$$
(2.25)

This potential vanishes in the limit  $\varphi \to -\infty$ . For  $\lambda \ll 1$ , the potential is flat, the energy density of normal matter decreases faster than *V*, and the system eventually enters the asymptotic regime of power-law inflation with (see for example the review [134])

$$w_{\infty} = -1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{3} = -1 + \frac{2}{9\alpha}.$$
 (2.26)

It is interesting to compare this result with the inflationary predictions of  $\alpha$ -attractors (2.14):  $n_s = 1 - \frac{2}{N}$ ,  $r = \frac{12\alpha}{N^2}$ . Thus, in this scenario, inflationary predictions, as well as the value of  $w_{\infty}$ , are determined by the parameter  $\alpha$ . In particular, for  $\Lambda = 0$ , and  $\alpha = 7/3$  (i.e.  $\lambda \sim 0.53$ ), which is one of the values advocated in Refs. [94, 126, 127], the asymptotic equation of state of dark energy is given by

$$w_{\infty} = -0.905$$
. (2.27)

Note, however, that in the derivation of (2.26) we assumed that  $\Lambda = 0$ . This assumption, which simplifies the investigation, is very hard to justify in the supergravity framework. For any positive  $\Lambda$  one has

$$w_{\infty} = -1, \qquad (2.28)$$

but for large  $\alpha$  the transition from  $w = -1 + \frac{2}{9\alpha}$  to w = -1 may take a long time. On the other hand, while in the models with  $\Lambda < 0$ , the universe eventually collapses, if  $\lambda \ll 1$  and  $|\Lambda| \ll 10^{-120}$ , there is a very long interval, potentially longer than the present age of the universe, during

which w is very close to -1 [135]. Also, our universe may be very far from the asymptotic regime discussed above. Therefore, one should keep the estimate (2.26) in mind, but perform a more detailed analysis of different dark energy models, as we will do in this chapter.

#### 2.3 $\alpha$ -ATTRACTORS AND SUPERGRAVITY

#### **2.3.1** General formulation, geometry, and special values of $\alpha$

One of the nice features of all cosmological  $\alpha$ -attractor models which we will study here is that they can be easily embedded into the string theory motivated supergravity where the scalar fields are complex. The most advanced version of these models [94] is based on anti-D3-brane induced geometric models — here we review these models in the simple case where a bosonic model has a single inflaton-quintessence field.

There is one complex scalar *Z*, a coordinate of the Poincaré disk with the following geometry

$$ds^{2} = 3\alpha \frac{dZd\bar{Z}}{(1 - Z\bar{Z})^{2}}.$$
 (2.29)

Advanced formulations of  $\alpha$ -attractors in supergravity also contain a nilpotent superfield *S* such that  $S(x, \theta)^2 = 0$ , whose Kähler geometry represents the interaction between the anti-D<sub>3</sub>-brane and the background fields, including the inflaton-quintessence field *Z*. The scalar component of it, S(x), vanishes on the inflationary trajectory, since in this Volkov-Akulov multiplet the scalar is not independent but is a bilinear of fermions. It is convenient to use the geometric Kähler function formalism [94], where

$$\mathcal{G} \equiv K + \log W + \log \overline{W}, \qquad \mathbf{V} = e^{\mathcal{G}} (\mathcal{G}^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha} \mathcal{G}_{\bar{\beta}} - 3), \qquad (2.30)$$

$$\mathcal{G} = \ln W_0^2 - \frac{3\alpha}{2} \log \frac{(1 - Z\bar{Z})^2}{(1 - Z^2)(1 - \bar{Z}^2)} + S + \bar{S} + \frac{W_0^2}{|F_S|^2 + f(Z, \bar{Z})} S\bar{S}, \quad (2.31)$$

and  $f(Z, \overline{Z})$  is an arbitrary, real function of Z and  $\overline{Z}$ . This employs the Kähler frame that has a manifest inflaton shift symmetry [136]. The potential has a stable minimum at  $Z = \overline{Z}$ . Its value along the inflaton direction  $Z = \overline{Z} = \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{V}|_{Z=\bar{Z}} = f(Z,\bar{Z})|_{Z=\bar{Z}} + \Lambda = f(\tanh\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}) + \Lambda.$$
(2.32)

Here, the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  can take arbitrary values determined by the choice of  $F_S$  and  $W_0$ :

$$\Lambda = F_S^2 - 3W_0^2 \,. \tag{2.33}$$

The choice of the Kähler potential for Z was made in Ref. [94] such that

$$K(Z,\bar{Z})|_{Z=\bar{Z}} = -\frac{3\alpha}{2} \log \frac{(1-Z\bar{Z})^2}{(1-Z^2)(1-\bar{Z}^2)}|_{Z=\bar{Z}} = 0, \qquad K_Z(Z,\bar{Z})|_{Z=\bar{Z}} = 0.$$
(2.34)

This Kähler frame leads to a simple relation between the inflaton potential (2.32) and the *S*-field geometry  $g_{S\bar{S}} = \frac{W_0^2}{|F_S|^2 + f(Z,\bar{Z})}$ . It also provides stabilization of the sinflaton field  $Z - \bar{Z}$  at  $Z - \bar{Z} = 0$ .

In the disk geometry (2.29)  $3\alpha = \mathcal{R}^2$  is a geometric parameter defining the radius square of the Poincaré disk of the hyperbolic geometry of the  $\alpha$ -

#### 58 DARK ENERGY, $\alpha$ -ATTRACTORS, AND LARGE-SCALE STRUCTURE SURVEYS

attractor models, since by change of variables  $Z' = Z\sqrt{3\alpha}$  one can represent the metric in the form

$$ds^{2} = \frac{dZ'd\bar{Z}'}{\left(1 - \frac{Z'\bar{Z}'}{3\alpha}\right)^{2}}, \qquad |Z'|^{2} < 3\alpha.$$
(2.35)

The parameter  $\alpha$  also defines a curvature of the corresponding Kähler manifold,  $\mathbf{R}_K = -\frac{2}{3\alpha}$ . Finally, one can return to the variables used in the previous section by representing the real part of Z' as  $\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{3\alpha} \tanh \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}$ .

The asymptotic freedom of the interactions of the field  $\varphi$  with all other fields protects the asymptotic flatness of the potential for any  $\alpha$ . Thus, in general quantum field theory models, as well as in  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supergravity, there are no constraints on  $\alpha$ , it can take any value  $\alpha > 0^3$ .

From the point of view of maximal supergravity, string theory, and M-theory, the most interesting values of  $\alpha$  are [94, 126, 127]

$$3\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7.$$
 (2.36)

An interpretation of this family of models is rather interesting. These models describe 7 unit size Poincaré disks with  $3\alpha = 1$  for seven different fields  $Z_i$ . The basic choice of  $\alpha = 1/3$  corresponds to a single unit size disk model with  $Z_1\bar{Z}_1 < 1$ . If all other fields are stabilized and cannot move, one has a

<sup>3</sup> One should distinguish the general theoretical constraints on  $\alpha$  and the model-dependent cosmological constraints. In Ref. [84], the authors assumed  $0.03 < \alpha < 1/3$ . In a subsequent paper [88], they noted that these conditions did not lead to a satisfactory dark energy model in their scenario, and instead picked the range  $1.5 < \alpha < 4.2$ . However, they admitted that the constraint  $\alpha < 4.2$  is not firmly motivated because of the asymptotic freedom of the field  $\varphi$  in  $\alpha$ -attractors [137]. Meanwhile, we find that the condition  $\alpha > 1.5$  is excessive, and it completely disappears in the models with a positive cosmological constant, see section 2.5.3.2. In particular, in section 2.5.1 we will present a model with a positive cosmological constant where one can have quintessential inflation for  $\alpha \leq 10^{-2}$ .

single attractor with  $\alpha = 1/3$ , where the corresponding field  $\phi_1$  can change from  $-\sqrt{2}$  to  $+\sqrt{2}$ . If all seven of them interact and are forced dynamically to move together [94, 127], then each of them also moves from  $-\sqrt{2}$  to  $+\sqrt{2}$ , but the combination of these fields changes from  $-\sqrt{14}$  to  $+\sqrt{14}$ , along the diagonal of a 7-dimensional cube.

The choice of  $\alpha = 1$  describes  $\alpha$ -attractor formulations of the Starobinsky model and Higgs inflation. The fibre inflation model, which is based on the large volume compactification in string theory, corresponds to  $\alpha = 2$  [138, 139]. The choice of  $\alpha = 7/3$ , which we will sometimes use in various examples, corresponds to the maximally symmetric realization of the 7-disk M-theory model [94, 126, 127].

#### 2.3.2 Suppressing the fifth force

There is a well known issue with quintessence regarding the fifth force problem. This problem appears if the masses of particles in the standard model depend on the quintessence field  $\phi$ .

Consider first an unrealistic example and assume that the electron mass  $m_{\rm e}$  receives a contribution  $\Delta m_{\rm e} = g \phi$ . Then (in addition to electromagnetic interactions) electrons would attract each other through the gravitational force  $\sim \frac{(m_{\rm e} + g\phi)^2}{r^2}$ , as well as through an additional fifth force  $F_5 \sim \frac{g^2}{r^2}$  due to the interactions via the nearly massless quintessence field  $\phi$ . This force will have the same dependence on r as the gravitational attraction, but it will not be proportional to  $m_{\rm e}^2$ , which would violate the equivalence principle.

An obvious way to avoid this problem is to suppress the interaction of the standard model fields with quintessence. For example, as was already observed in Ref. [88], the asymptotic freedom of the field  $\varphi$  in  $\alpha$ -attractors [137] allows to exponentially suppress this coupling even if it were present. However, the suppression of the fifth force should be extremely strong, which may require very large values of  $\varphi$ . In the  $\alpha$ -attractor models to be discussed in this paper, this may not be a problem since we do not introduce

any direct coupling between  $\phi$  and electrons or quarks, which would lead to the force  $F_5 \sim \frac{g^2}{r^2}$  discussed above.

However, one may wonder whether this coupling may appear in supergravity even if the field  $\varphi$  belongs to the hidden sector, without a direct coupling to the standard model fields. Fortunately, there is a specific feature of our underlying supergravity models which helps to avoid the fifth force issues. The coupling of the inflationary sector to matter in these models has been studied in Ref. [140]. The inflaton-quintessence field is *Z*, and there is also a nilpotent superfield *S*, as explained above. It has been found how to construct the interaction between matter and the inflationary sector so that the presence of the matter fields does not affect a successful inflationary evolution and that there are no tachyons in the matter sector during and after inflation.

One of the most important features of this class of models is the requirement of the flatness of the Kähler potential for the inflaton-quintessence field Z, shown in Eq. (2.34). In particular, since the field  $Z - \overline{Z}$  orthogonal to the inflaton direction is heavy and is stabilized at the inflaton-quintessence trajectory  $Z = \overline{Z}$ , one finds that

$$e^{K(Z=\bar{Z})} = 1$$
, (2.37)

and there is no dependence of the mass of the matter fields on the inflaton field via the Kähler potential since

$$K_Z(Z = \bar{Z}) = 0$$
. (2.38)

These features of the Kähler potential have been discussed in Ref. [141] as the reason for the fifth force problem to be alleviated in supergravity. Our models, which were constructed with the purpose of stabilization of the sinflaton field  $Z - \overline{Z}$  during the cosmological evolution, just satisfy the properties required from the Kähler potentials in Ref. [141].

Moreover, according to Ref. [140] one can construct satisfactory cosmological models where the mass of the matter field U does not depend on the inflaton-quintessence field Z. Examples of such models in Ref. [140] include the following Kähler potential and superpotential:

$$K(Z,\bar{Z}) = -\frac{3\alpha}{2}\log\frac{(1-Z\bar{Z})^2}{(1-Z^2)(1-\bar{Z}^2)} + S\bar{S} + U\bar{U}, \qquad (2.39)$$

$$W = g(Z) + Sf(Z) + \frac{m}{2}U^2.$$
 (2.40)

For our purposes, we need to assume that g(Z) has a negligible dependence on *Z* or is completely *Z*-independent, and the same for the parameter *m* in the superpotential. The mass eigenvalues of the scalar field *U* are

$$\mu^2 = V + |g|^2 \pm |g|m + m^2 .$$
(2.41)

The value of the potential *V* during the quintessence stage is negligible,  $V \sim 10^{-120}$ . The rest of the mass formula is *Z*-independent by the choice of the parameters in the superpotential. The situation with fermions is similar, their masses are *Z*-independent (see Ref. [140] for more details). This means that with a proper embedding of the standard model in our theory, matter fields decouple from quintessence. Such models do not suffer from the fifth force problem.

#### 2.4 SINGLE-FIELD QUINTESSENTIAL INFLATION MODELS

#### **2.4.1** Inflationary dynamics, late-time evolution, and cosmic acceleration

In this section, we focus on several interesting models where a single scalar field  $\phi$  is responsible for both inflation and dark energy. The action for

these single-field,  $\alpha$ -attractor, quintessential inflation models has the general structure

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R - \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi}{2\left(1 - \frac{\phi^2}{6\alpha}\right)^2} + V(\phi) \right) + S_{\text{matter}}[g_{\mu\nu}, \Psi]$$
(2.42)

where the scalar field  $\phi$  has a potential  $V(\phi)$ . Here  $S_{\text{matter}}$  is the matter action where matter fields are denoted collectively by  $\Psi$ . Note that we have absorbed any cosmological constant term  $\Lambda$  into the potential. This action can be rewritten in terms of the canonical field  $\phi$ , as discussed earlier.

Before we discuss specific models, defined by assuming specific forms for the potential  $V(\phi)$ , we briefly review the general dynamical equations and some important quantities for the studies of cosmic histories, during inflation and after that.

During inflation, matter and radiation are both negligible, and the dynamics of our system is given by

$$3H^2 = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2 + V(\varphi), \qquad (2.43)$$

$$\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi} + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varphi}V(\varphi) = 0, \qquad (2.44)$$

where  $H \equiv \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$  is the Hubble parameter, and a dot denotes derivatives with respect to cosmic time. It is useful to work with the number of *e*-folds  $N \equiv \ln a$  as a time coordinate. Denoting a derivative with respect to *N* by a prime, we have  $\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \varphi' H$ . Introducing slow-roll parameter as

$$\epsilon \equiv -\frac{H'}{H}$$
, (2.45)

we will have

$$H^{2} = \frac{V(\varphi)}{3 - \frac{1}{2}{\varphi'}^{2}},$$
(2.46)

$$\varphi'' + (3 - \epsilon)\varphi' + \frac{1}{H^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varphi}V(\varphi) = 0, \qquad (2.47)$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}{\varphi'}^2. \tag{2.48}$$

Note that here we have not made any slow-roll approximation for  $\epsilon$ , and all the expressions are exact. The second slow-roll parameter  $\eta$  has the form,<sup>4</sup>

$$\eta \equiv \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} \,. \tag{2.50}$$

One can solve Eqs. (2.46)-(2.48) numerically to obtain the evolution of  $\varphi$ , H,  $\epsilon$ , and  $\eta$  during inflation, as we will do for our quintessential inflation models in this chapter. In addition, given  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta$ , we can compute two other important inflationary quantities, namely the *spectral index* for scalar perturbations  $n_s$  and the *tensor-to-scalar ratio* r — assuming the approximate relations between these quantities we have

$$\tilde{\eta} \equiv -\frac{\ddot{\varphi}}{H\dot{\varphi}} = -\frac{d\ln|H_{,\varphi}|}{dN} = 2\frac{H_{,\varphi\varphi}}{H} = \frac{d\ln|\dot{\varphi}|}{dN}, \qquad (2.49)$$

where  $H_{,\varphi} \equiv \frac{d}{d\varphi}H$  and  $H_{,\varphi\varphi} \equiv \frac{d}{d\varphi}H_{,\varphi}$ .  $\tilde{\eta}$  is related to our  $\eta$  by  $\tilde{\eta} = \epsilon - \frac{1}{2}\eta$ . The spectral index  $n_s$  now has the form  $n_s \approx 1 + 2\tilde{\eta} - 4\epsilon$ , and since  $\epsilon \approx \epsilon_v$  and  $\tilde{\eta} \approx \tilde{\eta}_v - \epsilon_v$ , where  $\epsilon_v$  and  $\tilde{\eta}_v$  are the slow-roll approximations to  $\epsilon$  and  $\tilde{\eta}$ , respectively, we have that  $n_s \approx 1 + 2\tilde{\eta}_v - 6\epsilon_v$ .

<sup>4</sup> Note that here we have adopted the definition of  $\eta$  from e.g. Ref [142]. There exists another definition for this second slow-roll parameter, namely [143]

$$n_s \approx 1 - 2\epsilon - \eta$$
, (2.51)

$$r \approx 16\epsilon$$
. (2.52)

Later in this paper, we will discuss several observational constraints on the parameters of the quintessential inflation models that we consider in this work, and for that we will scan over the parameters of the models and compare their theoretical predictions to the data. It is therefore important to have an idea for theoretical priors on the values of the parameters in the potential, for a given model, which can provide viable inflation. This can be achieved by applying the approximate constraint placed on the inflationary potentials from the requirement that the power spectrum of curvature fluctuations after inflation should match the COBE/Planck normalization, as discussed in section 2.2. Assuming a slow-roll regime for inflation, i.e. neglecting the terms including  $\varphi'$  and  $\varphi''$  in Eqs. (2.46) and (2.47), respectively, the equations simplify to

$$H^{2} = \frac{1}{3}V(\varphi), \qquad (2.53)$$

$$3\varphi' + \frac{1}{H^2} \frac{d}{d\varphi} V(\varphi) = 0.$$
 (2.54)

In this slow-roll regime, the potential is related to the power spectrum of primordial curvature perturbations  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k)$  through the COBE/Planck normalization equation,

$$\frac{V(\varphi)^3}{(\mathrm{d}V(\varphi)/\mathrm{d}\varphi)^2} = 12\pi^2 \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k)\,,\tag{2.55}$$

see e.g. Ref. [144]. By solving these equations in the slow-roll approximation, one finds that in the large N approximation the results for  $n_s$ , r, and the

amplitude of perturbations for  $\alpha$  attractors are given by Eqs. (2.14), (2.15) and (2.16).

In order to see whether a model of quintessential inflation is able to describe the dynamics of the universe after inflation, we need to add matter and radiation to the system of equations (2.46)-(2.48). In this case, the equations are modified as

$$H^{2} = \frac{V(\varphi) + \rho_{\rm M} + \rho_{\rm R}}{3 - \frac{1}{2}{\varphi'}^{2}},$$
(2.56)

$$\varphi'' + (3 - \epsilon)\varphi' + \frac{1}{H^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varphi}V(\varphi) = 0, \qquad (2.57)$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left( {\varphi'}^2 - \frac{\rho'_{\rm M} + \rho'_{\rm R}}{3H^2} \right), \tag{2.58}$$

where  $\rho_M$  and  $\rho_R$  are the energy densities of matter and radiation, respectively. They can be written as

$$\rho_{\rm M} = 3H_0^2 \Omega_{\rm M} e^{-3N} \,, \tag{2.59}$$

$$\rho_{\rm R} = 3H_0^2 \Omega_{\rm R} e^{-4N} \,, \tag{2.60}$$

with  $\Omega_{\rm M}$  and  $\Omega_{\rm R}$  being the present values of density parameters for matter and radiation, respectively, and  $H_0$  is the present value of the Hubble parameter. We can solve the set of Eqs. (2.56)-(2.60) numerically and obtain the cosmic evolution in terms of H for a specific model and for a set of parameters. This can then be compared to the cosmological measurements of H and therefore constrain the model. We should however note that one important ingredient in solving the evolution equations is the initial conditions for the field  $\varphi$ . This is set by the reheating mechanism after inflation, as we will discuss in section 2.4.2 below. At this stage it is useful to remember about two quantities. The first one is the equation of state  $w_{DE}$  of the scalar field:

$$w_{\rm DE} \equiv \frac{p_{\rm DE}}{\rho_{\rm DE}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}{\varphi'}^2 H^2 - V(\varphi)}{\frac{1}{2}{\varphi'}^2 H^2 + V(\varphi)},$$
(2.61)

where  $\rho_{\text{DE}}$  and  $p_{\text{DE}}$  are the dark energy density and pressure, respectively, and  $V(\varphi)$  is again the dark energy potential (which, as we discussed, can in principle contain a piece from the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ ).  $w_{\text{DE}}$  for a pure  $\Lambda$  is -1.

Similarly to the slow-roll quantity  $\epsilon$  for inflation, a useful quantity for late-time evolution of the universe is the so-called *effective equation of state*  $w_{\text{eff}}$ , defined as

$$w_{\rm eff} \equiv -1 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = -1 + \frac{2}{3} \epsilon \,.$$
 (2.62)

During radiation and matter domination epochs,  $w_{\text{eff}}$  becomes 1/3 and 0, corresponding to  $\epsilon = 2$  and 3/2, respectively. In  $\Lambda$ CDM, the dark energy domination epoch corresponds to  $w_{\text{eff}} = -1$  ( $\epsilon = 0$ ).

We can study in more detail the behavior of dark energy in a given model by parameterizing the dark energy equation of state  $w_{DE}$  in terms of the two so-called Chevallier-Polarski-Linder (CPL) [145, 146] parameters  $w_0$ and  $w_a$  through

$$w_{\rm DE}(z) = w_0 + w_a z / (1+z),$$
 (2.63)

where *z* is the redshift. This parameterization is however valid only near the present time (i.e. in the range  $-1 \leq N \leq 0$ , with N = 0 corresponding to today). However, even though Eq. (2.63) cannot be used to fit the equation of state at early times or in the future, it gives a rough idea of how much the models deviate from  $\Lambda$ CDM at present time.  $w_0$  and  $w_a$  are also the

parameters used in the definition of the *figure of merit* for the upcoming Stage IV large-scale structure surveys to quantify how well they can distinguish dark energy and modified gravity models from  $\Lambda$ CDM. We will therefore compute also  $w_0$  and  $w_a$  for our models below.

It is important to note that it is  $w_{eff}$  (and not  $w_{DE}$ ) which is used in direct comparison of the dynamics of the universe in a given model to the cosmological data, and one cannot directly constrain  $w_{DE}$  without parametrizing it. Even though parametrizations of  $w_{DE}$  are helpful in comparison of a model to the data, a detailed statistical analysis is always required in order to test and constrain the model.

#### 2.4.2 Gravitational reheating versus instant preheating

The conventional mechanism of reheating after inflation is associated with a period of oscillations of the inflaton field at the minimum of its potential. In quintessential inflation, where the inflaton field does not oscillate, this mechanism does not work, and is replaced by gravitational reheating [89, 147, 148], which occurs due to particle production in changing gravitational background [149–151], and instant preheating [152–154]. Out of these two mechanisms, the gravitational reheating is the least efficient but the most general one, so we start with describing it here, limiting ourselves to simple estimates.

Inflationary quantum fluctuations of a light scalar field produced during inflation have the energy density of  $\rho \sim \frac{3H^4}{8\pi^2}$  [155]. When inflation stops, some of this energy converts to the energy of scalar particles. This is an oversimplified way to describe the effect of particle production during inflation, but it shows a special role of the light scalar particles in this process. For example, massless vector particles are not produced, massless fermions are not produced, massive particles with masses much greater than *H* are not produced. Following Refs. [89, 147], and ignoring factors of

 $\mathcal{O}(1)$ , one can estimate the energy of the produced particles at the end of inflation as

$$\rho_{\rm gr} \sim 10^{-2} H_{\rm end}^4 \sim 10^{-3} \rho_{\rm end}^2 \sim 10^{-2} V_{\rm end}^2 \,.$$
(2.64)

Here  $H_{\text{end}}^4$  and  $\rho_{\text{end}} \sim 2V_{\text{end}}$  are, respectively, the Hubble constant and the inflaton energy at the end of inflation, which happens at some field  $\varphi$  end when the kinetic energy of the field approaches  $V_{\text{end}}$  and the universe stops accelerating.

The energy density  $\rho_{gr}$  subsequently decreases as  $a^{-4}$  due to the expansion of the universe, as long as the produced particles have masses much smaller than *H*, which is the case for the flat quintessence potentials.

If the potential after inflation is very steep, as is the case in the single-field models to be considered below, soon after inflation the scalar field falls down and almost all of its energy proportional to *V* becomes converted to its kinetic energy  $\rho_{kin} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2$ . Thus in the first approximation  $\rho_{kin} \sim V$ . This kinetic energy corresponds to the equation of state w = +1, and decreases as  $a^{-6}$ .

Thus, shortly after inflation the universe enters the regime of kinetic energy domination, which is sometimes called *kination*, but this regime ends when  $\rho_{\rm kin} \sim \rho_{\rm end} a^{-6}$  becomes smaller than  $\rho_{\rm gr} \sim 10^{-3} \rho_{\rm end}^2 a^{-4}$ . This happens at  $a^2 \sim 10^3 \rho_{\rm end}^{-1}$ , when the energy density of radiation produced by reheating was  $\rho_{\rm reh} \sim 10^{-9} \rho_{\rm end}^4$ . The energy density scale  $\rho_{\rm end}$  at the end of inflation in  $\alpha$ -attractors is typically in the range close to  $\rho_{\rm end} \sim 10^{-10}$  in the Planck density units. In that case one finds  $\rho_{\rm reh} \sim 10^{-49}$  in Planck density units, or, equivalently  $\rho_{\rm reh} \sim (10^6 {\rm GeV})^4$ .

After that, the field  $\varphi$  continues rolling towards its large negative values until it freezes at some value  $\varphi_F$  due to the famous Hubble friction term  $3H\dot{\varphi}$  in its equation of motion. Eventually, after the densities of radiation and cold dark matter become sufficiently small, the field  $\varphi$  starts rolling down again. The final results of the investigation of the equation of state of all matter in the universe depend on the value of  $\varphi_{\rm F}$ . This value has been estimated in Ref. [84], with the final result that in realistic models with gravitational preheating one may expect

$$|\Delta \varphi| = |\varphi_{\rm F} - \varphi_{\rm end}| \sim 43.$$
(2.65)

Note that this does not necessarily mean  $|\varphi_{\rm F}| \sim 43$  as stated in Ref. [84], where the authors have considered the case with  $\alpha \ll 1$  rendering  $\varphi_{end}$ negligible. Meanwhile for  $\alpha = 7/3$  the end of inflation in the model studied in Ref. [84] occurs not at  $\varphi_{end} \sim 0$ , but at  $\varphi_{end} \sim 8$ , which implies  $\varphi_{\rm F} \sim -35$ .

The value of  $|\varphi_{\rm F}|$  may become much smaller if one takes into account the possibility of instant preheating [152–154]. This effect occurs if we consider interactions of the field  $\varphi$  with some other fields.

For example, one may add to the original theory (2.5) a massless field  $\sigma$  interacting with  $\phi$  as  $\frac{g^2}{2}\phi^2\sigma^2$ . When the field  $\phi$  moves through the point  $\phi = 0$  with velocity  $\dot{\phi}_0$ , it creates particles  $\sigma$  in the small vicinity of the point  $\phi = 0$ , with the width  $|\Delta \phi| \sim \sqrt{\dot{\phi}_0/g}$ . The value of  $\dot{\phi}_0$  in our problem is always smaller than  $\sqrt{\rho_{\rm end}} \lesssim 10^{-5}$ . Therefore, for sufficiently large g one has  $\sqrt{\dot{\phi}_0/g} < \sqrt{6\alpha}$ . In that case, particle production occurs in a small region where  $\phi \approx \varphi$ , and the old results of Refs. [152–154] derived for the canonical field  $\varphi$  apply. These results show that the density of massless particles  $\sigma$ , created when the field  $\varphi$  passes through the point  $\varphi = 0$  is given by

$$n_{\sigma} = \frac{(g\dot{\phi}_0)^{3/2}}{8\pi^3} \,. \tag{2.66}$$

Then the field  $\phi$  continues rolling further, giving each particle  $\sigma$  a mass  $g|\phi|$ . This creates a gas of particles  $\sigma$  with the energy density

$$\rho_{\sigma} = \frac{(g\dot{\phi}_0)^{3/2}}{8\pi^3} g|\phi| \,. \tag{2.67}$$

This potential grows in both directions away from  $\phi = 0$ . For sufficiently large *g*, this may lead to a temporary trapping of the field  $\phi$  near  $\phi = 0$  [154]. The field continues oscillating near this point until it loses some energy, particle production becomes inefficient, and the previously produced particles become diluted either by cosmic expansion or through their decay. Then the field  $\phi$  resumes its rolling downhill. If instead of a single interaction term considered above one considers a more general interaction  $\sum \frac{g_i^2}{2} (\phi - \phi_i)^2 \sigma^2$  with  $|\phi_i| \ll \sqrt{6\alpha}$ , one may have a chain of particle production events at each point  $\phi = \phi_i$  [154, 156].

It is not our goal here to study all the regimes that are possible due to instant preheating; see Refs. [88, 152–154, 156] for a discussion of other possibilities. The efficiency of this process is controlled not only by the values of the couplings  $g_i$ , but also by the possibility of the decay of particles  $\sigma$ . This suggests that by a proper tuning of this scenario one may achieve freezing of the field  $\varphi$  much earlier than in the gravitational reheating scenario. Therefore, in our subsequent analysis we will examine a broad range of possible values of  $\varphi_F$ .

#### 2.4.3 Spectral index: Comparison with the non-quintessence scenario

The calculation of the inflationary parameters  $n_s$  and r in quintessential inflation have some distinguishing features. As we will show shortly, extending the results of Refs. [84, 88, 157], predictions for  $n_s$  and r in quintessential inflation may differ rather significantly from the ones in the more traditional versions of  $\alpha$ -attractors, which do not have a stage of kination where the energy density of the universe is for a long time dominated by the kinetic energy of the inflaton field. This may give us a novel possibility to test quintessential inflation with gravitational reheating and a long stage of kination.

Let us remember that the values of  $n_s$  and r for  $\alpha$ -attractors are given by

$$n_s = 1 - \frac{2}{N}, \qquad r = \frac{12\alpha}{N^2},$$
 (2.68)

where *N* is the number of *e*-folds corresponding to the moment of production of the perturbations with momentum  $k_*$  generated when the potential was equal to  $V_* = V(\varphi_*)$ .

We use the standard equation for the required number of *e*-folds, see Eq. (47) and a description of the notations in Ref. [82]:

$$N \approx 67 - \ln\left(\frac{k_*}{a_0 H_0}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\ln\left(\frac{V_*}{M_{\rm Pl}^4}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\ln\left(\frac{V_*^2}{\rho_{\rm end}}\right)$$
(2.69)

$$+\frac{1-3w_{\rm int}}{12(1+w_{\rm int})}\ln\left(\frac{\rho_{\rm reh}}{\rho_{\rm end}}\right) - \frac{1}{12}\ln(g_{\rm th})\,. \tag{2.70}$$

Using this equation, one can calculate the required number of *e*-folds *N* for any model based on  $\alpha$ -attractors. Unless one studies models with extremely large or extremely small  $\alpha$ , one has  $\rho_{end} \sim V_* = \mathcal{O}(10^{-10})$ , with some variations which typically do not affect too much the value of the term  $\frac{1}{4} \ln \left(\frac{V_*^2}{\rho_{end}}\right)$ . The main difference between *N* for different  $\alpha$ -attractors can be attributed to the term  $\Delta N = \frac{1-3w_{int}}{12(1+w_{int})} \ln \left(\frac{\rho_{reh}}{\rho_{end}}\right)$ .

In the simplest  $\alpha$ -attractor models, as well as in the Starobinsky model, which can be represented as an  $\alpha$ -attractor with  $\alpha = 1$ , after inflation one typically has  $w_{\text{int}} = 0$ , i.e.  $\Delta N = \frac{1}{12} \ln \left(\frac{\rho_{\text{reh}}}{\rho_{\text{end}}}\right)$ . In supergravity-based  $\alpha$ -attractors and in the simplest versions of the Starobinsky model one often encounters an inefficient reheating with the reheating temperature  $T_{\text{reh}} \sim 10^9 - 10^{11}$  GeV. For  $T_{\text{reh}} \sim 10^{10}$  GeV and assuming  $\mathcal{O}(100)$  different types of particles in thermal equilibrium after reheating, one finds  $\Delta N \sim -4$ .

Meanwhile, in the quintessential  $\alpha$ -attractors with gravitational reheating and a long stage of kinetic energy domination, one has  $\Delta N = -\frac{1}{12} \ln \left( \frac{\rho_{\text{reh}}}{\rho_{\text{end}}} \right)$ .

Notice the important sign change. Using the numerical estimates made in section 2.4.2, one finds  $\Delta N = +7.5$ . This particular number is rather sensitive to various assumptions on the energy scale of gravitational reheating, but let us take it at its face value. It shows that the required number of *e*-folds *N* in the quintessential  $\alpha$ -attractor models can be greater than the one in the more conventional  $\alpha$ -attractors or in the Starobinsky model by  $\Delta N \sim 10$ .

As a result, the value of  $n_s$  in quintessential  $\alpha$ -attractors with gravitational reheating is typically greater than in more traditional models by about 0.006. This number coincides with one standard deviation in the Planck results [82]. Thus, by a more precise determination of  $n_s$ , which can be achieved in the future, we may be able to distinguish quintessential  $\alpha$ -attractors with gravitational reheating from other models with more efficient reheating and without a long stage of kinetic energy domination. This result may become quite interesting for development of inflationary models if more precise observations shift  $n_s$  towards greater values as compared to the Planck 2015 results [82]. Moreover, further improvement of the accuracy of the measurement of  $n_s$  may help us to distinguish the conventional inflationary models with the cosmological constant from the models of quintessential inflation, even if the equation of state of dark energy almost exactly coincides with w = -1.
# 2.5 EXAMPLES OF SINGLE-FIELD MODELS OF QUINTESSENTIAL INFLA-TION

## 2.5.1 Linear potential

We begin with the  $\alpha$ -attractor version of the simplest linear dark energy potential [91]

$$V(\phi) = \gamma \phi + \Lambda \,. \tag{2.71}$$

In terms of the canonically normalized field  $\varphi$ , this potential is given by

$$V(\varphi) = \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} (\tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + 1) + \Lambda.$$
(2.72)

At  $\varphi \gg +\sqrt{6\alpha}$  and  $\Lambda \ll \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$  the potential is given by

$$V(\varphi) = 2\gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} \left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}}\varphi}\right), \qquad (2.73)$$

whereas at  $\varphi \ll -\sqrt{6\alpha}$  one has

$$V(\varphi) = \Lambda + 2\gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} \ e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}}\varphi} \,. \tag{2.74}$$

From the COBE/Planck normalization (2.16), we find a constraint

$$\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \sim 2 \times 10^{-11} \,. \tag{2.75}$$

One could expect that the simplest linear model (2.71) with  $\Lambda = 0$  can be used as a model of quintessential inflation if one takes  $\alpha \gtrsim 1$ ; see e.g.



Figure 2.4: Linear potential  $V = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6\alpha}}(\sqrt{6\alpha} + \phi) + \Lambda = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \tanh \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}) + \Lambda$  for  $\alpha = 10^{-2}$  and  $\Lambda \sim 10^{-120}$ . The tiny cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  is crucial for the validity of our scenario, but  $\Lambda$  is so small that it is invisible in this figure.

(2.26) and (2.27) for  $\alpha = 7/3$ . However, one can check that in this model with  $\alpha > 1/3$  the inflationary slow-roll parameter  $\epsilon$  always remains smaller than 1 and inflation never ends.

This problem can be solved by using  $\alpha \ll 1$ , for example  $\alpha = \mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ , and adding a small cosmological constant  $\Lambda \sim 10^{-120}$ , see Fig. 2.4. In that case, inflation does end in a vicinity of  $\varphi = 0$ , at  $\varphi_{end} \approx \sqrt{\frac{3\alpha}{8}} \ln \frac{1}{3\alpha} \sim 0.2$ . Then the field  $\varphi$  rolls down until it freezes at some value  $\varphi = \varphi_F$  depending on the efficiency of reheating, see section 2.4.2. If  $|\varphi_F| > \sqrt{\frac{3\alpha}{2}} \ln \frac{\Lambda}{2\gamma\sqrt{6\alpha}}$ , then the potential (2.72) is dominated by the positive cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ . In that case, at the moment when the field starts moving again, the universe gradually enters the stage of expansion dominated by the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  with the equation of state  $w_{DE} = -1$ .

To go beyond the simple estimates given above and in order to determine the range of possible values of  $\varphi_F$  required in this scenario, we performed a numerical analysis for two different values of  $\alpha = O(10^{-2})$ . Figs. 2.5 and 2.6 show the effective equation of state  $w_{\text{eff}}$  (thick, blue curves), as well as the equation of state of dark energy  $w_{\text{DE}}$  (thick, orange curves) for this linear potential and for two illustrative choices of  $\alpha = 0.02$  and  $\alpha = 0.005$ , and for different choices of  $\varphi_{\text{F}}$ . In both cases,  $\Lambda$  has been set to  $0.7\rho_{\text{c}}$ , with  $\rho_{\text{c}} \equiv 3H_0^2$  being the present value of the critical density, providing a total dark energy density today in agreement with observational data. The value of  $\gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$  has been set to  $2.57 \times 10^{-12}$  and  $6.4 \times 10^{-13}$  for  $\alpha = 0.02$  and  $\alpha = 0.005$ , respectively, in order to obtain a correct inflationary scale; see (2.15) and (2.75). In addition, we have presented  $w_{\text{eff}}$  for  $\Lambda$ CDM in each case (thin, black curves) for comparison.



Figure 2.5: Evolution of the equation of state as a function of the number of *e*-folds *N* after reheating for the linear potential  $\gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} (\tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + 1) + \Lambda$  with  $\Lambda = 0.7\rho_c$  and  $\alpha$  set to 0.02. The panels in the clockwise direction, starting from the top left, correspond to  $\varphi_F = -43$ ,  $\varphi_F = -36$ , and  $\varphi_F = -33$ , respectively. The blue and orange curves in each case correspond to  $w_{eff}$  and  $w_{DE}$ , respectively, and we have also shown  $w_{eff}$  for  $\Lambda$ CDM with a thin, black curve for comparison. N = 0 corresponds to the present time.

For  $\alpha = 0.02$ , we have plotted three cases with  $\varphi_{\rm F} = -43$  (top left panel),  $\varphi_{\rm F} = -36$  (top right panel), and  $\varphi_{\rm F} = -33$  (bottom panel). Looking first at  $w_{\rm eff}$  for  $\varphi_{\rm F} = -43$  we see that the desired cosmic history has been recovered although the evolution of  $w_{\rm eff}$  shows a small difference from the  $\Lambda$ CDM model at around N = -2.  $w_{\text{DE}}$  in this scenario, however, shows a significant difference compared to the standard model —  $w_{\rm DE}$  is not -1always, contrary to a pure  $\Lambda$ , and has a bump at late times. For  $\varphi_{\rm F} = -36$ , we see that although the late-time behavior of  $w_{\rm eff}$  is almost identical to that of  $\Lambda$ CDM, it shows a difference at early times ( $N \leq -10$ ), and  $w_{\text{DE}}$ is drastically different from a pure  $\Lambda$  dark energy. By increasing  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  to -33, we now see that the times earlier than  $N \sim -8$  (corresponding to the matter-radiation equality in  $\Lambda$ CDM) are strongly affected by the dynamics of the scalar field. We no longer recover a radiation domination epoch as in  $\Lambda$ CDM, and  $w_{\text{eff}}$  goes all the way to +1 back in time rather than 1/3 for radiation. This can be understood by looking at how  $w_{\text{DE}}$  behaves at early times. The inflaton is in a kination phase at  $N \lesssim -5$ , and is dominant over matter and radiation at  $N \lesssim -8$ , hence the effective equation of state follows mainly the contribution from the inflaton and takes the value of  $\sim +1$  at early times. Note that in this case the model does not give an early dark energy as  $w_{\text{DE}}$  is  $\sim +1$  and not  $\sim -1$ .

Having this observation, let us systematically study different scenarios depending on the value of  $\varphi_{\rm F}$ . Our numerical investigation of the model with  $\alpha = 0.02$  reveals three different possibilities:

•  $-43 \leq \varphi_F \leq -34$ :  $\varphi_F \approx -43$  is the lowest value that  $\varphi_F$  is allowed to take due to the reheating constraints, see section 2.4.2. For the entire range of [-43, -34] we obtain a dark energy which, while provides viable cosmologies over the entire history, it predicts deviations from a pure  $\Lambda$  that are detectable by future observations. For example, for the two ends of the range,  $\varphi_F = -43$  and  $\varphi_F = -34$ , we obtain  $w_0 \sim -0.936$  and  $w_a \sim 0.192$ , and  $w_0 = -0.956$  and  $w_a = 0.119$ , respectively, which both should be detectable by the future Stage IV

large-scale structure surveys, see section 2.5.3.2. In addition, for this range we recover radiation and matter domination epochs which are very similar to those of  $\Lambda$ CDM, with some small distortions due to the fact that the scalar field is not completely subdominant at early times; the larger the value of  $\varphi_F$ , the larger the distortions.  $w_{eff}$  and  $w_{DE}$  for another example of  $\varphi_F$  in this range are presented in Fig. 2.5 (top right panel) for  $\varphi_F = -36$  with  $w_0 \sim -0.956$  and  $w_a \sim 0.119$ . Note that in this case we are dealing with a tracking solution, with  $w_{DE}$  mimicking the equation of state of the dominating background fluid.

- $-34 \leq \varphi_F \leq -32$ : In this case, the model is viable from the point of view of late-time cosmology, with a  $\Lambda$ -like dark energy at late times  $(w_0 \sim -1 \text{ and } w_a \sim 0)$ , the reason being that the  $\Lambda$  term is dominant over the scalar field during this period. The very early times  $(N \leq -8)$  in this range are however strongly affected by the scalar field, and behave significantly differently from that of  $\Lambda$ CDM, i.e. we do not get radiation domination at early times, but a domination by the inflaton in a kination phase. The model therefore gives viable cosmologies from the point of view of late-time observations, but we obtain no radiation domination epoch at early times. An example of this case has been presented in Fig. 2.5 (bottom panel) for  $\varphi_F = -33$ .
- $-32 \leq \varphi_{\rm F}$ : By increasing  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  to values larger than  $\sim -32$  the scalar field stays in the kination phase for a longer period of time, and is also dominant over matter and radiation for a longer period, resulting in an extended epoch of  $w_{\rm eff} = +1$  at early times. Increasing  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  to -30.5 already extends the domination of the scalar field with  $w_{\rm DE} = +1$  all the way to  $N \approx -5$ , which is the beginning of matter domination. The more we increase  $\varphi_{\rm F}$ , the longer the period of dark energy domination (with  $w_{\rm DE} = +1$ ), so that the model will give predictions that are in clear contradiction with observations. Of course, for any values of  $\varphi_{\rm F}$

the energy density of dark energy will eventually be dominated by the cosmological constant with w = -1, but our numerical studies show that this happens later and later in time when  $\varphi_F$  increases, and the  $\Lambda$  domination eventually happens only in the future.

In summary, our analysis shows that the linear model with  $\alpha = 0.02$  provides viable cosmologies as long as  $\varphi_F$  remains in the relatively broad range of ~ [-43, -34], while predicting detectable deviations from  $\Lambda$ CDM that are sufficiently large for the model to be tested against  $\Lambda$ CDM. One should note that larger values of  $\varphi_F$  all the way to about -32 can also provide viable late-time cosmologies and only affect the epoch of radiation domination in the early universe.

Let us now decrease  $\alpha$  to 0.005. Fig. 2.6 shows the evolution of  $w_{\text{DE}}$  and  $w_{\rm eff}$  for this scenario, but for three choices of  $\varphi_{\rm F} = -22.5$  (top left panel),  $\varphi_{\rm F} = -18$  (top right panel), and  $\varphi_{\rm F} = -16$  (bottom panel). We see that for  $\varphi_{\rm F} = -22.5$ , the model already behaves almost identically to ACDM, with  $w_{\rm DE}$  being -1 for the entire history. Clearly, for  $\varphi_{\rm F} < -22.5$  all the way to our lower bound of -43, the model will remain like  $\Lambda$ CDM. Let us now increase  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  from -22.5 to -21.5 (not shown in Fig. 2.6). Our numerical analysis gives  $w_0 \sim -0.983$  and  $w_a \sim 0.050$  in this case. This shows that the deviations from a pure  $\Lambda$  increases by increasing  $\varphi_{\rm F}$ . Increasing  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  further to  $\sim -16$  still gives viable cosmologies, while the values larger than  $\sim -16$ will make the early times ( $N \lesssim -8$ ) completely affected by the kination domination of the inflaton over radiation, and radiation domination will be lost; the model, however, behaves like a pure cosmological constant at late times, i.e. with  $w_0 \sim -1$  and  $w_a \sim 0$ . An example of how  $w_{\rm eff}$ and  $w_{\rm DE}$  behaves for the range [-21.5, -16] is presented for  $\varphi_{\rm F} = -18$ (with  $w_0 \sim -0.989$  and  $w_a \sim 0.030$ ) in Fig. 2.6 (top right panel), while the behavior of  $w_{\rm eff}$  and  $w_{\rm DE}$  for  $\varphi_{\rm F} = -16$  is given in the bottom panel of the figure. We see that dark energy for  $\varphi_{\rm F} = -18$  shows an evolution similar to the previous case of  $\alpha = 0.02$  with  $\varphi_{\rm F} = -36$ . For values of  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  larger than -16 we see a behavior similar to the case of  $-32 \leq \varphi_F$  for  $\alpha = 0.02$ , i.e

the epoch of dark energy domination in the kination phase gets extended to later times, making the model more and more unviable by increasing  $\varphi_{\rm F}$ . We therefore conclude that the linear model with  $\alpha = 0.005$  provides viable cosmologies for  $\varphi_{\rm F} \in [\sim -21.5, \sim -16]$  with  $w_0$  and  $w_a$  showing deviations from  $\Lambda$ CDM, and for  $\varphi_{\rm F} \lesssim -21$  with dark energy behaving almost identically to a pure  $\Lambda$ . The deviations for the range [-21.5, -16] are not as large as the ones we obtained for  $\alpha = 0.02$ , but *might* still be detectable by the Stage IV LSS surveys.



Figure 2.6: The same as in Fig. 2.5 but for  $\alpha = 0.005$ . The panels in the clockwise direction, starting from the top left, now correspond to  $\varphi_F = -22.5$ ,  $\varphi_F = -18$ , and  $\varphi_F = -16$ , respectively.

In conclusion, we have found a realistic model of quintessential inflation based on the  $\alpha$ -attractor model with a linear potential. This model requires  $\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \sim 2 \times 10^{-11}$ ,  $\alpha \lesssim 0.02$ , and a cosmological constant in the anthropically allowed range of  $\Lambda \sim 10^{-120}$ . The smaller the value of  $\alpha$ , the larger the

range of  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  for which viable cosmic histories exist, although deviations from  $\Lambda$ CDM are expected to become less and less likely in the limit  $\alpha \ll 0.01$ .

This is the simplest model of quintessential inflation based on  $\alpha$ -attractors, so let us pause here a little, before turning to other, more complicated models. The linear potential  $V(\phi) = \gamma \phi + \Lambda$  is the simplest potential ever, and yet it was never used in inflationary theory until now, for a good reason: This potential is unbounded from below, so unless  $\gamma$  is extraordinarily small, it leads to a rapid instability and a collapse of the universe. A linear potential was used in Ref. [91] for describing dark energy and solving the cosmological constant problem, but it required an extremely small constant  $\gamma \lesssim 10^{-120}$  to avoid the collapse of the universe.

In our new model described in this section, we have  $\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \sim 2 \times 10^{-11}$  (2.75), which is the standard inflationary requirement for the COBE/Planck normalization. Thus  $\gamma$  can be 110 orders of magnitude greater than in the quintessence model of Ref. [91]. And nevertheless, we do not have any vacuum instability, because in the context of  $\alpha$ -attractors the potential is defined only in the finite range  $|\phi| < \sqrt{6\alpha}$ . The lower part of the potential in this range becomes an infinite, exponentially flat plateau in canonical variables.

By modifying the value of  $\alpha$  and the strength of interaction of the field  $\varphi$  with matter, one can control the parameter w. One may also increase the value of the inflationary spectral index  $n_s$  by about one standard deviation of the Planck 2015 results for  $n_s$ . The only additional fine-tuning required in this model, as compared to the more conventional models of inflationary  $\alpha$ -attractors, is the condition  $\alpha \leq 0.02$ . It would be nice to find consistent versions of such models with  $\alpha = O(1)$ , and especially with  $\alpha = 1/3, ..., 7/3$ , which are better motivated in extended supergravity, M-theory, and string theory [94, 126, 127]. However, N = 1 supergravity does not impose any constraints on  $\alpha$ . From a purely phenomenological point of view, the requirement  $\alpha \leq 0.02$  is not an unreasonable price to pay for a simple, unified description of inflation and dark energy.

## 2.5.2 Two-shoulder model with exponential potential

The next example to consider is the exponential two-shoulder potential introduced in Ref. [90],

$$V(\phi) = M^2 e^{-2\gamma} \left( e^{\frac{\gamma\phi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}} - 1 \right)^2.$$
(2.76)

In the canonical variables, one finds

$$V(\varphi) = M^2 e^{-2\gamma} \left( e^{\gamma \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}} - 1 \right)^2.$$
(2.77)

The potential has a minimum at  $\varphi = 0$ . The general shape of such potentials is illustrated by Fig. 2.7 for a toy model with M = 1,  $\alpha = 1/3$ , and  $\gamma = 2$ . In realistic models, we need to take  $\gamma \gg 1$ . In this limit, the right shoulder has the height  $V_{+} = M^{2}$ , and the left shoulder has the height  $V_{-} = M^{2}e^{-\gamma}$ .



Figure 2.7: The potential (2.77) shown for a toy model with M = 1,  $\gamma = 4$ , and  $\alpha = 1/3$ . It illustrates the main feature of the models of this class: two shoulders with an exponentially large difference in their heights.

#### 82 DARK ENERGY, $\alpha$ -ATTRACTORS, AND LARGE-SCALE STRUCTURE SURVEYS

An advantage of this model is that it can easily incorporate the exponentially large hierarchy  $e^{2\gamma}$  between the inflationary energy scale  $V_+ = M^2 \sim 10^{-10}$  and the dark energy scale  $V_- = M^2 e^{-2\gamma} \sim 10^{-120}$ . For  $\alpha = O(1)$ ,  $M \sim 10^{-5}$ , and  $\gamma \sim 126$ , this model fits all the inflationary data, and describes the present stage of acceleration driven by the effective cosmological constant  $V_- \sim 10^{-120}$ . It is difficult to show the right and the left plateaus in one figure, because the height of the right shoulder is 110 orders of magnitude greater than the height of the left one. Therefore, we show only the left shoulder of the potential and a small vicinity of its minimum in Fig. 2.8.



Figure 2.8: The potential (2.77) shown in Planck energy density units for  $M \sim 10^{-5}$ ,  $\gamma \sim 126$ ,  $\alpha = 1/3$  (blue curve), 1 (yellow curve), and 7/3 (red curve). Inflation begins at the right shoulder of this potential, which is not shown here because it is 110 orders of magnitude higher. After that, the field rolls to the left plateau, which almost immediately becomes flat. That is why it is practically indistinguishable from the cosmological constant.

The shape of the left plateau shown in Fig. 2.8 is determined by the following asymptotic expression for  $V(\varphi)$  at large negative  $\varphi$ :

$$V = M^2 e^{-2\gamma} \left( 1 - 4\gamma e^{-\gamma} e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}}\varphi} \right) .$$
(2.78)

The potential approaches  $V_{-} = M^2 e^{-2\gamma} \sim 10^{-120}$ , and the asymptotic deviation from this value at large, negative  $\varphi$  is suppressed not only by the factor  $e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}}\varphi}$ , but also by an extra factor  $e^{-\gamma} \sim 10^{-55}$ . This means that the potential is extremely flat everywhere outside a small vicinity near  $\varphi = 0$ . One can check, for example, that the slow-roll parameter  $\epsilon_V$  in this model is smaller than  $10^{-25}$  for  $\varphi < 1$ . The simplest way to understand it is to note that even the potential (2.76) in terms of the original variable  $\phi$  is exponentially flat at the boundary of the moduli space  $\phi = \sqrt{6\alpha}$  for  $\gamma \gg 1$ , and the transition to the canonical variables leads to an additional flattening. As a result, a generic prediction for dark energy in this model is w = -1.

In general, one may add an arbitrary constant  $\Lambda$  to the potential (2.77). By adding a negative constant one may decrease the required value of the parameter  $\gamma$ . As one can see from Fig. 2.9, one can easily tune the asymptotic value of the potential to be  $\Lambda = V_{-} \sim 10^{-120}$  in accordance with anthropic considerations.

Since we generically obtain w = -1 in this model, one may wonder whether it has any merit over the simple  $\Lambda$ CDM. In fact, the model presented here demonstrates that one can easily construct a family of inflationary models in which inflation ends without any need to stabilize the inflaton field at the minimum of its potential. Even in the models where the potential has an anti-de Sitter minimum with a negative cosmological constant at  $\varphi = 0$ , as in Fig. 2.9, one can safely live in a de Sitter-like state on an exponentially flat low plateau. The flatness of the potential in this model, just as in all other models considered in this paper, is protected by the geometric origin of  $\alpha$ -attractors.



Figure 2.9: In the asymmetric potential with a minimum at V < 0 one can achieve exponential hierarchy of the heights  $V_+$  and  $V_-$  with smaller values of  $\gamma$ . For illustration, in this figure we used M = 1,  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1/3$ , and added a constant  $V_0 = -0.047$ . By taking a slightly smaller value of  $V_0$ , one can easily make the asymptotic value of the potential  $\Lambda = V_- \sim 10^{-120}$ , as required by anthropic considerations.

As we have already mentioned, further improvement of the accuracy of the measurement of  $n_s$  may help to distinguish this model and other models of quintessential inflation from the more conventional  $\alpha$ -attractors, even if the equation of state of dark energy in quintessential inflation almost exactly coincides with w = -1, see section 2.4.3. The possibility of having a somewhat larger value of  $n_s$  due to the long stage of kination in this scenario may become very welcome in the future, depending on the observational data.

## 2.5.3 Exponential potential

Let us now assume a simple exponential form for the non-canonical potential  $V(\phi)$  where a free cosmological constant term  $\Lambda$  is also (implicitly)

included. We will later fix  $\Lambda$  to specific values in order to construct two specific working models with this potential.

The total potentials of our single-field, quintessential inflation models have the structure

$$V(\phi) = M^2 e^{\gamma(\frac{\psi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} - 1)} + V_0, \qquad (2.79)$$

which, again with  $\phi = \sqrt{6\alpha} \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}$ , gives

$$V(\varphi) = M^2 e^{\gamma \, (\tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} - 1)} + V_0 \,. \tag{2.80}$$

At large, positive  $\varphi$  this potential tends to the inflationary plateau with  $V_+ = M^2 + V_0$ , and at large, negative  $\varphi$  it tends to the cosmological constant  $\Lambda = V_- = M^2 e^{-2\gamma} + V_0$ . Instead of making a general investigation for arbitrary  $V_0$  (or  $\Lambda$ ), we concentrate here on two particular cases, which we call Exp-model I and Exp-model II:

• **Exp-model I**: The constant V<sub>0</sub> is set to zero. In this case the potential for dark energy is solely the exponential one,

$$V = M^2 e^{\gamma \left(\tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} - 1\right)}.$$
 (2.81)

At large, positive  $\varphi$  this potential tends to  $V_+ = M^2$ . Its asymptotic value at large, negative  $\varphi$  is given by the cosmological constant  $\Lambda = V_- = M^2 e^{-2\gamma}$ .

• **Exp-model II**: The constant  $V_0$  is set to  $-M^2e^{-2\gamma}$  [84]. In this case the potential for dark energy is

$$V = M^2 e^{-2\gamma} \left( e^{\gamma \left( \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + 1 \right)} - 1 \right).$$
(2.82)

At large, positive  $\varphi$  in the large  $\gamma$  limit it reaches  $M^2$ , as before, up to an exponentially small correction  $-M^2e^{-2\gamma}$ . It vanishes asymptotically for large, negative  $\varphi$ , i.e.  $\Lambda = V_- = 0$ .

The ratio of  $V_{-}$  to  $V_{+}$  in Exp-model I is given by

$$\frac{V_{-}}{V_{+}} = e^{-2\gamma} \approx 10^{-110} \approx e^{-252} \,. \tag{2.83}$$

An analogous relation should be valid for Exp-model II, but instead of  $V_{-}$  one should have the present value of dark energy  $V_{\text{today}} \sim 10^{-120}$ . One can view this property of our quintessential inflation models as a drawback, since our potentials have a huge number built in. This is however the price to pay for having one plateau of the model for the early universe at about  $10^{-10}$  in Planck density units, and another one for the current and future acceleration at about  $10^{-120}$ . In the context of a phenomenological model, however, we may view this as a parameter which is determined observationally,

$$\gamma \approx \ln \frac{H_{\text{infl}}}{H_{\text{DE}}}.$$
 (2.84)

In such a case, we still have to find the working models which show a consistent deviation from the cosmological constant dynamically.

Clearly, scenarios with other choices of  $V_0$  (and the resulting cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ ) are also possible in general, but as we will discuss later, our Exp-models I and II are of particular interest, and capture all the interesting features of the exponential potential. The two potentials for our Exp-models I and II are shown in Fig. 2.10. Exp-model I (orange curve) has a constant, nonzero asymptotic value for large, negative  $\varphi$ , while Exp-model II (blue curve) decreases to zero when  $\varphi \rightarrow -\infty$ .



Figure 2.10: The two quintessential inflation models with an exponential potential studied in this work: Exp-model I (orange curve) with the form  $M^2 e^{\gamma(\tanh\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}-1)}$ , and a constant, nonzero asymptotic value for  $\varphi \to -\infty$ , and Exp-model II (blue curve) with the form  $M^2 e^{-2\gamma} \left( e^{\gamma \left( \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + 1 \right)} - 1 \right)$  and a vanishing asymptotic value.

# 2.5.3.1 Inflationary and late-time dynamics

Fig. 2.11 shows an example of the evolution of the inflationary quantities  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta$ , introduced in section 2.4.1, for Exp-model II and for a typical set of parameters with viable cosmologies. The parameters chosen for the plots are the best-fit ones found through the comparison of the model to the current late-time cosmological observations as described in section 2.5.3.2 below. In particular,  $\alpha$  has been set to 7/3. The results for Exp-model I are very similar and we do not present them here.

In each panel, the red, vertical line shows the end of inflation (i.e. when  $\epsilon$  becomes unity), and N is the number of e-folds before that, such that the end of inflation is at N = 0. Both  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta$  have very small values during the inflationary period.  $N \approx 63$  corresponds to the moment at which the cosmological scales observed by the CMB experiments had left the horizon.

Fig. 2.11 shows that  $\epsilon$  at the beginning of the last 63 *e*-folds has a value very close to zero, and stays almost vanishing for a long period (which is a necessary condition for slow-roll inflation), and then suddenly increases and becomes of O(1); this ends inflation. The transition of  $\epsilon$  from almost zero to 3 corresponds to a transition from slow roll (where the potential dominates) to a kination period (where the kinetic energy dominates over the potential). This transition is required for inflation to end, and in order to enter a reheating phase. The second slow-roll parameter,  $\eta$ , is also small during inflation and becomes of O(1) at the end of inflation. For both  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta$  we have computed their exact values over time, i.e. Eqs. (2.45) and (2.50), whereas the *slow-roll* values for these two quantities, which can be written in terms of the potential and its derivatives, are valid only during the inflationary period and not in general. The values of  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta$  measured by the CMB are the ones at  $N \sim 63$ .



Figure 2.11: Evolution of the slow-roll parameters  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta$  as functions of the number of *e*-folds *N* before the end of inflation for Exp-model II and for a typical set of parameters which give viable late-time cosmological histories. In each panel, the red, vertical line depicts the end of inflation (i.e. when  $\epsilon$  becomes of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ ), and N = 63 corresponds to the moment at which the cosmological scales observed by the CMB experiments had left the horizon.

We can also solve the set of Eqs. (2.56)-(2.60) numerically and obtain the cosmic evolution in terms of *H* for a given set of the free parameters  $\Omega_M$ ,  $\Omega_R$ ,  $M^2$ , and  $\gamma$ . This can then be compared to the cosmological measurements of *H* and therefore constrain the models. We should however note that one important ingredient in solving the evolution equations is the initial conditions for the field  $\varphi$ . The initial value of  $\varphi$  is the freezing value  $\varphi_F$  set by the reheating mechanism after inflation, see section 2.4.2.

Let us recap the story. As discussed in section 2.4.2, the field takes positive values during inflation, and rolls down the potential with its value reducing with time and approaching zero. Around this time, and when  $\varphi \sim +8$ , reheating takes place and matter particles are produced. In case the only reheating at work is gravitational particle production, which is not a very efficient mechanism, the field continues rolling down to values around -35 and then freezes. In case other reheating mechanisms, such as instant preheating [152-154], are at work in addition to gravitational particle production, reheating will be more efficient and the field will freeze earlier, to values that can be much larger than -35; we call this value of the field after reheating  $\varphi_{\rm F}$ , at which  $\varphi$  is frozen. The field remains frozen at  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  for some time after reheating until the Hubble friction becomes so low that the field starts rolling down its potential again. The evolution of the field after reheating and starting from the value  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  determines the evolution of the universe and cosmic histories at late times, i.e. from radiation domination all the way to the present time.

Fig. 2.12 depicts an example of the evolution of the scalar field  $\varphi$  as a function of the number of *e*-folds *N* for the entire history of the universe from inflation to late times, for both Exp-models I (left panel) and II (right panel). These have been computed for the same set of parameters as the ones used for computing the inflationary quantities of Fig. 2.11, providing viable late-time cosmological histories. We have set the value of  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  to -10 in both cases.

The vertical, red bands depict the period after the end of inflation and before the time at which the scalar field freezes, separating the inflationary and late-time periods. Note that this period starts with a kination phase, followed by radiation domination, after the occurrence of reheating. Since the exact behavior of the field depends on the details of reheating, we have shown this period of kination plus the start of the radiation domination by a red band. The details of this period are not important for our numerical and statistical analysis later, as long as we have the required information on the initial conditions of the field for our late-time investigation. This boils down to the values of  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  used in our analysis, which we have ensured to be achievable through our reheating mechanisms. The red bands should therefore be considered only as a sketch for illustrative purposes, while the inflationary evolution and the late-time dynamics shown in Fig. 2.12 are the results of precise numerical computations. Note how the field behaves differently in the future (N > 0) for the two models.

The evolutions of the effective equation of state  $w_{\text{eff}}$  as well as the equation of state of dark energy  $w_{\text{DE}}$  as functions of the number of *e*-folds *N* are presented in Fig. 2.13 for both Exp-models I (upper panel) and II (lower panel). The set of parameters used are the same as in Figs. 2.11 and 2.12 with viable late-time cosmological histories. The blue and green curves depict, respectively,  $w_{\text{eff}}$  and  $w_{\text{DE}}$ , and for comparison we have also shown the effective equation of state for the  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology (orange curve). N = 0 corresponds to the present time. For computing these quantities, and for both models, we have again set  $\varphi$  to -10 and  $\varphi'$  to 0 initially. These initial values have been set at N = -15, i.e. well inside the radiation domination epoch.

First of all, the figures show that the evolutions of  $w_{\text{eff}}$  for both Expmodels I and II closely follow the one for  $\Lambda$ CDM in the past, while there are deviations in the future (N > 0).  $w_{\text{eff}}$  for Exp-model I approaches -1asymptotically (when  $N \to +\infty$ ), just as in  $\Lambda$ CDM, while its asymptotic



Figure 2.12: *Left panel:* Evolution of the scalar field  $\varphi$  as a function of the number of *e*-folds *N* over the entire history of the universe for Exp-model I and for the same set of parameters used for computing the inflationary variables shown in Fig. 2.11 with a viable late-time cosmological history. The vertical, red bands depict the period after the end of inflation and before the time at which the scalar field freezes, separating the inflationary and late-time periods. This period includes kination and reheating. Note that the field rolls down during inflation and kination (not shown), and then freezes after reheating (to -10 in this example), for almost the entire history until very recently when it unfreezes again and starts rolling its potential; this is the onset of dark energy domination. N = 0 corresponds to the present time. *Right panel:* The same as in the left panel, but for Exp-model II. Note the different dynamics for  $\varphi$  compared to Exp-model I in the future (N > 0).

value in Exp-model II differs from -1. This asymptotic value  $w_{\infty}$  for Exp-model II is

$$w_{\infty} = -1 + \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{3\alpha}, \qquad (2.85)$$

which is a universal result that does not depend on the values of  $M^2$  and  $\gamma$ ; it depends only on the value of  $\alpha$ . It is this interesting situation, already mentioned earlier in this chapter, where one geometric parameter  $\alpha$  defines



Figure 2.13: *Upper panel:* Evolution of the equation of state as a function of the number of *e*-folds *N* after reheating for Exp-model I and for the same set of parameters used in Figs. 2.11 and 2.12 with a viable late-time cosmological history. The blue and green curves show, respectively, the effective equation of state  $w_{eff}$  and the equation of state of dark energy  $w_{DE}$ . For comparison, the effective equation of state for  $\Lambda$ CDM is also presented as an orange curve. N = 0 corresponds to the present time. *Lower panel:* The same as in the left panel, but for Exp-model II.

the deviation of  $w_{\infty}$  from -1, as well as the level of primordial gravity waves from inflation, see Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2).

Another interesting observation in Fig. 2.13 is the behavior of the dark energy equation of state  $w_{DE}$ , shown by green curves for both models. Clearly, in both cases,  $w_{DE}$  today deviates from the equation of state for  $\Lambda$ , and is also different from its asymptotic value  $w_{\infty}$  in the case of Exp-model II. We will discuss this in more detail in the next section.

# 2.5.3.2 Comparison to observations, and constraints on parameters

We perform a statistical MCMC analysis of Exp-models I and II in order to understand whether the models are cosmologically viable, how much their parameters are constrained by cosmological observations, and to which extent we expect deviations from the standard model. This will also tell us whether the models can be distinguished from  $\Lambda$ CDM using the current and upcoming cosmological surveys. For that, as mentioned in section 2.1, we consider geometrical constraints on the cosmic history at the background level using a combination of the redshift-luminosity relation of supernovae [158], the observed angular scales of the CMB anisotropies [130], measurements of the baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO) [159–163], and the local measurements of the Hubble constant  $H_0$  [164].

Our aim in the present work is not an exhaustive and detailed comparison of the models to observations, and the primary goal is to reach a qualitative understanding of the models, their cosmological viability, and their differences in terms of the observational implications. Additionally, contrary to models of modified gravity for cosmic acceleration, minimally coupled quintessence models (including ours) affect observations only through their impacts on the background dynamics, and they do not directly affect clustering and growth of structure as well as other LSS observables such as weak lensing. For these reasons we believe that the geometrical measurements of the cosmic history on their own should provide sufficiently good constraints on our models; we leave an extensive and detailed analysis of the models using all the available cosmological observations, including those involving the constraints from the full CMB temperature and polarization power spectra, as well as galaxy clustering and weak lensing, for future work where a perturbative analysis of the models will be performed and the models will be implemented in a numerical Boltzmann code. Additionally, here we do not perform detailed forecasts for future galaxy surveys using for example a Fisher matrix approach.

Here, therefore, we use only a simple and rough criterion for a model to be testable against ACDM: We assume a point in the parameter space of the model to be distinguishable from  $\Lambda$ CDM if the corresponding  $w_0$  and  $w_a$  are different from the  $\Lambda$ CDM values of -1 and 0 by more than  $\sim 2\%$ and  $\sim 4\%$ , respectively. These numbers are clearly only rough estimates, and can be different depending on the specific experiments and probes that are being considered. However, we believe that they are good (and perhaps optimistic) estimates of what one will be able to reach using the combination of various probes from the upcoming Stage IV large-scale structure surveys and CMB experiments; see e.g. Ref. [122] for the values that are targets of one of these experiments. In addition, the situation is more subtle than using only the separate errors on  $w_0$  and  $w_a$ , for example because of possible correlations between the two parameters — in fact a more proper way of using these errors is through the 2-dimensional confidence contours for  $w_0$  and  $w_a$ . However, since we do not intend to perform a detailed statistical analysis in this chapter, and are concerned more with a qualitative analysis of the models, we leave these subtle issues to be addressed in future work.

Before we present and discuss the results of our statistical analysis based on the cosmological data described above, let us use the expression (2.55) for the COBE/Planck normalization discussed in section 2.4.1 and see which constraints we can obtain on the values of the parameters in our potentials solely from early-time (inflationary) physics. We will shortly see that the COBE/Planck normalization indeed provides us with an approximate but a quite strong constraint on the two potentials  $M^2 e^{\gamma(\tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}-1)}$  and  $M^2 e^{-2\gamma} \left(e^{\gamma\left(\tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}+1\right)}-1\right)$ , for Exp-models I and II.

We should first note that on the tails of the potentials for large and positive  $\varphi$ , where we assume inflation to take place, the form of the effective potential is approximated by the expression

$$V(\varphi) = M^{2}(1 - 2\gamma e^{-\frac{2\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}}) + V_{0} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\frac{4\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}}), \qquad (2.86)$$

where we have left the cosmological constant undetermined. Note that even for Exp-model II with a nonvanishing  $V_0$ , its contribution  $M^2 e^{-2\gamma}$  to the potential (2.86) is exponentially small compared to the leading term  $M^2$ , by a factor of  $e^{-2\gamma}$ . We will see later that we need  $\gamma$  to be  $\sim 125$  in order to obtain viable cosmic histories for both models, and therefore the contribution from  $V_0$  to the inflationary potential (2.86) is negligible and we can ignore it.

Integrating the slow-roll equations of motion over an arbitrary interval  $[N_1, N_2]$  during the inflationary epoch we will get

$$\int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} \frac{V(\varphi)}{V_{\varphi}(\varphi)} d\varphi = -\int_{N_1}^{N_2} dN , \qquad (2.87)$$

where  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are the values of the field at  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ , respectively. Assuming that both  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are sufficiently large, we can use the approximate expression (2.86) and arrive at

$$\frac{\sqrt{6\alpha}}{4\gamma} \left( \frac{\sqrt{6\alpha}}{2} \left( e^{\frac{2\varphi_2}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}} - e^{\frac{2\varphi_1}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}} \right) - 2\gamma(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) \right) = N_1 - N_2.$$
(2.88)

Now, choosing  $N_1$  to be the moment of horizon crossing  $N_{\text{crossing}}$  for the observable modes and  $N_2$  to correspond to the end of inflation  $N_{\text{end}}$  we arrive at the approximate expression

$$e^{\frac{2\varphi_*}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}} = \frac{4}{3\alpha}\gamma N\,,\tag{2.89}$$

where  $\varphi_*$  is the value of the field at the horizon crossing, and  $N \equiv N_{\text{end}} - N_{\text{crossing}}$  is the number of *e*-folds corresponding to the duration of inflation since the moment at which the observable perturbations left the horizon until the end of inflation. In order to obtain Eq. (2.89) we have assumed that the field has travelled at least a few Planck units between the horizon crossing and the end of inflation, and therefore the term proportional to  $e^{\frac{2\varphi_1}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}}$  on the left-hand side of Eq. (2.88) is the dominant one; we ignore all the other terms. For  $\gamma \sim 125$ , and assuming  $N \approx 63$ , Eq. (2.89) gives  $\varphi_* \sim 15.74$  for  $\alpha = 7/3$ , which is in full agreement with our numerical analysis; note that  $\varphi_{\text{end}} \sim +8$ .

Let us now plug the asymptotic expression for our potential (2.86) into the COBE/Planck normalization equation (2.55). Using Eq. (2.89) we arrive at

$$M^{2} = \frac{144\pi^{2}\alpha N}{(2N - 3\alpha)^{3}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k).$$
(2.90)

Taking into account that  $V_+ \approx M^2$  and considering the limit  $N \gg \alpha$ , we see that this equation reproduces the previously mentioned general  $\alpha$ -attractor result (2.15).

Thus the COBE/Planck normalization constrains the ratio  $M^2/\alpha$  [129]. Assuming  $N \approx 63$ , using (2.90), and applying the measured value of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}$ , we find that

$$\frac{M^2}{\alpha} \sim 10^{-10} \,. \tag{2.91}$$

This means that this early-universe condition does not constrain  $M^2$  and/or  $\alpha$  separately, and the two parameters are degenerate as far as the COBE/-Planck normalization is concerned. We will see later that this degeneracy will be broken when the late-time cosmological data are used.

Let us first focus on  $\alpha = 7/3$ , which is an interesting case. In that case  $M^2 \sim 3 \times 10^{-10}$ . We will later discuss the dependence of our results on  $\alpha$ , as well as the constraints on  $\alpha$  itself. We first scan over all the free parameters of Exp-models I and II, i.e.  $M^2$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\Omega_M$ , and  $\Omega_R$ , as well as the initial value of the field,  $\varphi_{\rm F}$ , comparing the models to the (late-time) cosmological observations described above. Note that although we do not impose the COBE/Planck constraint in our numerical scans, we scan over a range of  $\log M^2$  around the value given by the COBE/Planck normalization (2.91). Additionally, as we argued before, we expect  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  for this potential to be in the range [-35, +8], depending on the reheating mechanism — this is the range we choose for our numerical analysis. We will see, however, that because of the steepness of the potential for large values of  $\varphi_{\rm F}$ , the effective, viable range for  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  will be  $\sim [-35, -5]$ . With all these, we scan over the parameters and compare the cosmic histories with observations. Fig. 2.14 shows the obtained MCMC samples of log  $M^2$  and  $\gamma$  (upper panels), as well as of the two CPL parameters  $w_0$  and  $w_a$  (lower panels) introduced in Eq. (2.63). The color assigned to each point corresponds to the value of  $\varphi_{\rm F}$ and  $w_a$  for the upper and lower panels, respectively, and the vertical, red lines depict the value of  $\log M^2$  given by the COBE/Planck constraint. The figure shows that the constraints on  $\gamma$  are quite tight for Exp-model I (left) compared to Exp-model II (right).

We first focus on Exp-model II, which gives a wider region for  $\gamma$ . The color clearly shows that lower values of  $\gamma$  correspond to larger  $|\varphi_F|$ . The cut from below comes therefore from the fact that we imposed an upper bound of 35 on  $|\varphi_F|$  in our scans, i.e. we did not allow  $\varphi_F$  to become smaller than -35 due to gravitational reheating. (This means that in principle there would be no lower bound on  $\gamma$  if  $|\varphi_F|$  were allowed to take arbitrarily large values.) The upper bound on  $\gamma$ , on the other hand, comes from the fact that if the field does not sufficiently roll down its potential after inflation and before freezing, the model will not provide a viable cosmic history.



Figure 2.14: *Upper panels:* Cosmological MCMC samples of log  $M^2$  and  $\gamma$  for Exp-model I (left panel) and Exp-model II (right panel) in term of  $\varphi_F$ , when it is allowed to vary between -35 and +8. log  $M^2$  has been scanned over only in a range around the COBE/Planck normalization value depicted by the vertical, red lines. *Lower panels:* CPL parameters  $w_0$  and  $w_a$  for the dark energy equation of state, for Exp-models I (left panel) and II (right panel) as functions of  $\varphi_F$ . The points cluster around  $w_0 = -1$  (model I) and  $w_0 \sim -0.96$  (model II) for large, negative values of  $\varphi_F$ .

Focusing now on the left, upper panel in Fig. 2.14 for Exp-model I, we see that the lower bound on  $\gamma$ , for a given value of log  $M^2$ , seems to be highly strict and even increasing  $|\varphi_F|$  will not decrease  $\gamma$ . This can be understood if we remember again that Exp-model I possesses a cosmological constant

limit. Increasing  $|\varphi_F|$  moves the field more and more on the tail of the potential, and the model becomes more and more like  $\Lambda$ CDM. There is however no possibility of decreasing the total potential energy of the field further, as the scalar field only contributes with a positive energy on top of the cosmological constant. Note that the  $\gamma - \log M^2$  degeneracy in these plots can be understood by looking at the  $\varphi \rightarrow -\infty$  limit of the models:

Exp - modelI: 
$$V(\varphi) = M^2 e^{-2\gamma} (1 + 2\gamma e^{2\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}})$$
, (2.92)

Exp – modelII : 
$$V(\varphi) = M^2 e^{-2\gamma} \gamma e^{2\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}}$$
. (2.93)

The lower panels of Fig. 2.14 show how the CPL parameters  $w_0$  and  $w_a$ vary with  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  in both models. First note that the viability regions are quite thin, and already tight as far as the constraints from the cosmological data are concerned. We have checked that by imposing the full COBE/Planck constraint (2.91) these regions become only slightly thiner, which means that the late-time data are quite constraining on their own, independently of the strong constraint on the model imposed by the COBE/Planck normalization. Second, we can clearly see that the models deviate more and more from  $\Lambda$ CDM by increasing  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  to less and less negative values, as illustrated by the deviations in  $w_0$  and  $w_a$  from -1 and 0, respectively. Note that all the points shown in Fig. 2.14 are cosmologically viable, and therefore, by having a sufficiently efficient reheating to stop the field from rolling too much after inflation, we can expect a relatively large deviation from  $\Lambda CDM$ , detectable by future cosmological surveys. The deviations are already quite large around  $\varphi_{\rm F} = -8$  so that we do not obtain viable cosmologies for larger values of  $\varphi_{\rm F}$ . In addition, it is important to note that for Exp-model II, the model does not predict the asymptotic value of  $w_{\infty} = -1 + \frac{2}{9\alpha}$  (~ -0.9 in this case for  $\alpha = 7/3$  for the present value of the dark energy equation of state. The closest value to  $w_{\infty}$  it can reach is  $\sim -0.96$  for large, negative  $\varphi_{\rm F}$ , and deviates more and more from it when  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  increases.

Let us now restrict ourselves to specific values of  $\varphi_F$  to see how much deviation from  $\Lambda$ CDM we can expect for Exp-models I and II by decreasing  $|\varphi_F|$ . This is interesting because specific, observed deviations from  $w_0 = -1$ and  $w_a = 0$  may constrain the initial value of the field after reheating, and therefore in turn constrain the reheating mechanism itself within the framework of these models.

The upper panel of Fig. 2.15 shows the results of our scans of Expmodel I when  $\varphi_F$  has been fixed to three values -10 (red contours), -10.5(blue contours), and -11 (green contours). Each set of contours shows  $1\sigma$ ,  $2\sigma$ , and  $3\sigma$  confidence regions. The shaded, grey regions indicate the planned sensitivity of the upcoming Stage IV large-scale structure surveys in combination with the CMB measurements, which are expected to detect deviations of up to  $\sim 2\%$  and  $\sim 4\%$  in  $w_0$  and  $w_a$ , respectively, from the  $\Lambda$ CDM values; see the discussion earlier in this section.

We first notice that the three sets of contours are extremely tight and  $w_0$  and  $w_a$  are strongly constrained, even though  $M^2$  in these numerical scans is not set to the exact COBE/Planck normalization value, and the range is relatively large. The constraints are already quite strong that even though constraining  $M^2$  to the COBE/Planck-normalization value makes the contours even smaller, it will not affect the results significantly. Our results show that  $|\varphi_F|$  of around 10 or smaller will be detectable by future LSS experiments. It is also interesting to note that the changes in  $w_0$  and  $w_a$  are highly sensitive to the value of  $\varphi_F$ ; we do not expect to detect any deviations from  $\Lambda$ CDM for  $|\varphi_F|$  larger than  $\sim 10.5$  in Exp-model I using the next generation of the LSS surveys. Our analysis also shows that for values smaller than  $\sim 10$ , on the other hand, it becomes difficult to obtain viable late-time cosmologies.

The upper panel of Fig. 2.15 shows the same as the lower panel, but for Exp-model II, where red, blue, green, and orange contours correspond to -10, -10.5, -11, and -12 for  $\varphi_F$ , respectively. The deviations from  $\Lambda$ CDM in this model are generically larger compared to Exp-model I, and are



Figure 2.15: *Upper panel:* Constraints on  $w_0$  and  $w_a$  for Exp-model I, and for three cases of  $\varphi_F = -10$  (red contours),  $\varphi_F = -10.5$  (blue contours), and  $\varphi_F = -11$  (green contours). The shaded, grey regions indicate a rough estimate of the target sensitivity for Stage IV large-scale structure surveys in combination with CMB experiments, expected to detect deviations of up to  $\sim 2\%$  and  $\sim 4\%$  in  $w_0$  and  $w_a$ , respectively, from the  $\Lambda$ CDM values. *Lower panel:* The same as in the left panel, but for Exp-model II. Here, red, blue, green, and orange contours correspond to  $\varphi_F = -10$ ,  $\varphi_F = -10.5$ ,  $\varphi_F = -11$ , and  $\varphi_F = -12$ , respectively. Note that all these cases for Exp-model II show detectable deviations from  $\Lambda$ CDM.

therefore more easily detectable by upcoming surveys; note how all four contours are located outside the shaded, grey regions.

It is interesting to see what happens with Fig. 2.15 when the inflationary constraints on  $M^2$  are relaxed. Fig. 2.16 shows the results of our scans for Exp-model I when  $\varphi_F$  is fixed to the same three values of -10 (red contours), -10.5 (blue contours), and -11 (green contours) as before. First of all, the figure shows that the deviations can be as large as about 10% for both  $w_0$  and  $w_a$  if  $|\varphi_F|$  is allowed to take values as low as about 10. More importantly, since here we have not imposed any inflationary constraints on  $M^2$ , the contours are continuously connected to the  $\Lambda$ CDM values  $w_0 = -1$  and  $w_a = 0$ . We find similar results for Exp-model II, with the only main difference that in that case the contours are no longer connected to the  $\Lambda$ CDM point, as expected; we do not show them here for brevity.



Figure 2.16: The same as in Fig. 2.15, but by fully relaxing the power spectrum normalization.

Finally, we study the effects of varying  $\alpha$  in our two exponential models I and II, by leaving it as a free parameter. We have chosen a representative value for  $\varphi_F$  and have fixed it to -10. The results are presented in Fig. 2.17;



Figure 2.17: The dependence of  $w_0$  and  $w_a$  on  $\alpha$  for Exp-model I (left panel) and Expmodel II (right panel). Here the inflationary power spectrum normalization has been imposed as  $M^2 \approx 10^{-10} \alpha$  and  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  has been fixed to -10.

the left panel corresponds to Exp-model I, and the right panel corresponds to Exp-model II. For Exp-model I, we now see that there is an upper bound of ~ 4 on  $\alpha$  in order for the model to provide cosmic histories consistent with current data;  $\alpha$  can however take any values smaller than this bound. Exp-model II, on the other hand, now allows only values of  $\alpha$ in the approximate range of [0.5, 3.5] when  $\varphi_F$  is fixed to -10. In addition, it is interesting to see that both  $w_0$  and  $w_a$  show different behavior in terms of  $\alpha$  for the two models. The left panel of Fig. 2.17 shows that increasing  $\alpha$ enhances the deviation from  $\Lambda$ CDM in Exp-model I, while the right panel shows that for Exp-model II both  $w_0$  and  $w_a$  are extremized around some intermediate values of  $\alpha \sim 1.5$ , below and above which the deviations from  $\Lambda$ CDM are larger.

#### 2.6 2-FIELD QUINTESSENTIAL INFLATION MODELS

## **2.6.1** Dark energy and exponential potentials

As we discussed in section 2.2, the asymptotic expression for the  $\alpha$ -attractor potential at large negative  $\varphi$ , Eq. (2.22), after a change of variables and a redefinition  $\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\alpha}} \rightarrow \lambda$  can be represented in a more familiar way  $V(\varphi) =$  $\Lambda + e^{\lambda \varphi}$ . These models with a vanishing cosmological constant  $\Lambda = 0$  were among the first candidates for the role of dark energy, see e.g. Refs. [165, 166]. However, unlike the dark energy model with the linear potential, which was proposed a year earlier [91], the original models with exponential potentials discussed in Refs. [165, 166] did not provide a solution to the cosmological constant problem. Some progress in this direction was achieved only much later, in the models with the potential (2.23) and  $\Lambda < 0$ [135]. Even though the models considered in Ref. [135] described single field exponential potentials, the context of this theory was similar to the linear model of Refs. [91, 167], which presumed the prior stage of inflation driven by another field. Therefore, before discussing dark energy in the context of two-field  $\alpha$ -attractors, we describe and generalize the results of Ref. [135], in the light of the string theory landscape developments.

Let us first consider the simplest case of  $\Lambda = 0$ . For  $\lambda \ll 1$  ( $\alpha \gg 1/3$ ), the potential is flat, the energy density of normal matter decreases faster than V, and the system eventually enters the asymptotic regime of power-law inflation with

$$w_{\infty} = -1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{3} = -1 + \frac{2}{9\alpha} .$$
 (2.94)

Meanwhile in the models with a dS plateau,  $\Lambda > 0$ , the asymptotic value of w is -1, but for large  $\alpha$  the transition from  $w = -1 + \frac{2}{9\alpha}$  to w = -1 may take a long time. In the models with  $\Lambda < 0$ , the universe eventually collapses,

but if  $\lambda \ll 1$  and  $|\Lambda| \ll 10^{-120}$ , there is a very long interval, longer than the present age of the universe, when life as we know it can exist, and w is very close to -1 [135]. Thus, one could argue that exponential potentials, as well as  $\alpha$ -attractors, can easily provide us with viable dark energy models with w very close to -1, but still noticeably different from it. However, a more detailed investigation shows that the situation is much more nuanced.

First of all, models with exponential potentials cannot simultaneously describe inflation *and* quintessence. They support inflation for  $\lambda \ll 1$ , but then inflation never ends. A way around it is to assume, along the lines of Ref. [91], that the potential of the dark energy field  $\varphi$  is given by  $V(\varphi) \sim e^{\lambda \varphi} + \Lambda$ , but inflation is driven by some other field. Then, because of inflationary fluctuations of the ultra-light field  $\varphi$ , after inflation the universe becomes divided into exponentially many exponentially large parts where  $\varphi$  takes different values, so that its potential energy  $V(\varphi)$  takes all possible values of  $\Lambda$ , including values many orders of magnitude higher than  $10^{-120}$ . In each of these parts, the field  $\varphi$  is locally very homogeneous. Thus, just as in the linear model of Ref. [91], the universe becomes divided into many parts with different values of the effective cosmological constant  $\Lambda + e^{\lambda \varphi}$ . Therefore all values of the field  $\varphi$  with  $\Lambda + e^{\lambda \varphi} \gg 10^{-120}$  are anthropically forbidden.

Indeed, in the parts of the post-inflationary universe models with  $\lambda \ll 1$  and  $|\Lambda| \ll 10^{-120}$ , the scalar field starts moving (very slowly, because  $V' \sim \lambda V \ll V$ ) when the density of cold (and hot) matter of the universe, which rapidly decreases during its expansion, becomes smaller than  $V(\varphi)$ . If the field was frozen and starts moving at  $V(\varphi) \gg 10^{-120}$ , the universe enters the regime of quasi-exponential expansion too early, which disrupts galaxy formation.

If  $\Lambda$  is negative, but the initial value of  $V(\varphi) \sim e^{\lambda \varphi} + \Lambda$  was positive, the universe in these models may enter the stage of accelerated expansion which may continue for a few billion years after that, until the universe collapses [135]. However, this regime is possible only for  $\lambda \leq 1$ , and only in

some finite ( $\lambda$ -dependent) range of  $\Lambda < 0$  and post-inflationary values of the field  $\varphi$  [135].

On the other hand, if  $\Lambda$  is small but positive,  $\Lambda \sim +10^{-120}$ , the universe may enter the stage consistent with the presently available data for *any* value of  $\lambda$ , and for an infinitely large range of post-inflationary values of the field  $\varphi$  such that  $e^{\lambda\varphi} \leq 10^{-120}$ . Only in a finite part of this range of  $\varphi$  does one have  $e^{\lambda\varphi} \sim \Lambda$  and w close to -1 but distinctly different from it. Meanwhile in the infinitely large range of  $\varphi$ , all the way down to  $-\infty$ , one has  $e^{\lambda\varphi} \ll \Lambda$ . Therefore, for any given  $\lambda$ , the anthropically viable "phase space" of  $\Lambda$  and  $\varphi$  is dominated by positive  $\Lambda \sim +10^{-120}$  and by indefinitely large negative  $\varphi$ , where dark energy is indistinguishable from the cosmological constant, and the equation of state is given by w = -1with an exponentially good accuracy. A similar conclusion was reached in Ref. [168] for a broad class of dark energy models, though some exceptions from this rule are possible, see e.g. Refs. [169, 170].

## 2.6.2 Non-interacting $\alpha$ -attractors

A similar conclusion can be reached in many models of two-field  $\alpha$ attractors, if one assumes, as we did before, that the potential of the field  $\phi$ responsible for dark energy is very small, and inflation is driven by some other field  $\chi$ , not interacting with the field  $\phi$ . To illustrate this possibility, we consider here a toy model of two non-interacting fields.

Let us consider an extended version of the  $\alpha$ -attractor model, adding to it a scalar field  $\sigma$  with a non-canonical kinetic term:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\mathcal{L} = \frac{R}{2} - \frac{(\partial_{\mu}\phi)^2}{2(1-\frac{\phi^2}{6\alpha})^2} - \frac{(\partial_{\mu}\sigma)^2}{2(1-\frac{\sigma^2}{6\beta})^2} - \frac{m^2}{2}\sigma^2 - \gamma\phi - V_0.$$
(2.95)

As before, one can represent this theory in terms of two canonically normalized fields,

$$\phi = \sqrt{6\alpha} \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}, \quad \sigma = \sqrt{6\beta} \tanh \frac{\chi}{\sqrt{6\beta}}.$$
 (2.96)

The inflaton potential in terms of the canonically normalized fields  $\varphi$  and  $\chi$  becomes

$$V(\varphi, \chi) = 3\beta m^2 \tanh^2 \frac{\chi}{\sqrt{6\beta}} + \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + V_0.$$
 (2.97)

We illustrate the general structure of this potential for  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  and some particular (non-realistic) values of parameters such that  $3\beta m^2 \gg \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$ , and  $V_0 \approx \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$ , see Fig. 2.18. In that case the term  $3\beta m^2 \tanh^2 \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\beta}}$  is responsible for inflation in this model, the dark energy potential  $\gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + V_0$  is very shallow, and it approaches a small cosmological constant  $V_- = V_0 - \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$  in the limit  $\varphi \to -\infty$ , and  $V_+ = V_0 + \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$  in the limit  $\varphi \to \infty$ .



Figure 2.18: The shape of the potential  $V(\varphi, \chi)$  (2.97) for  $\alpha = \beta = 1, 3\beta m^2 \gg \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$ , and  $V_0 \approx \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$ .

Inflation begins at the plateau with  $V(\varphi, \chi) = 3\beta m^2 \gg V_+$ . This plateau is almost exactly flat, so inflation may begin with an equally large probability at any point of the plateau with  $\chi \gg \sqrt{6\beta}$  [171]. It ends when the field  $\chi$  falls down to the dark energy valley with  $\chi = 0$ . Since the field  $\varphi$  at the beginning of inflation can take any value with (almost exactly) equal probability because of a (nearly exact) shift symmetry of the potential in the  $\varphi$  direction, all values of the field  $\varphi$  after inflation will be equally probable as well.

In that case, one can use the same argument as the one we used for the theory with exponential potential: After inflation, the fields roll down either to the right plateau, or to the left plateau, but it is most probable that it will end up extremely far from  $\varphi = 0$ . By a proper choice of parameters, including adjustment of the parameter  $V_0$ , one can easily have the regime of acceleration at the time  $t \sim 10^{10}$  years. However, with an overwhelmingly large probability the absolute value of the field  $\varphi$  after inflation will be extremely large, and therefore this stage will be indistinguishable from the pure cosmological constant with w = -1.

The same conclusion is valid for most of the dark energy models based on the  $\alpha$ -attractors with  $V(\varphi)$  much smaller than the energy density of the inflaton field  $\chi$  during inflation. Indeed, for most of such models the asymptotic behavior of the potential  $V(\varphi)$  in the limit  $|\varphi| \rightarrow \infty$  is given by one of the two asymptotic expressions (2.13) or (2.22). The asymptotic values of the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  along the two shoulders of the potential is given either by  $V_-$  or by  $V_+$ . By adding a constant to the potential, one can adjust at least one of these parameters to belong to the anthropic range  $|\Lambda| \leq 10^{-120}$ . Then all arguments given above apply.

Thus we see that one can easily obtain a viable dark energy model in any model of  $\alpha$ -attractors, with a very broad range of parameters and potentials, as long as the value of dark energy potential  $V(\varphi)$  is sufficiently small. But the observational consequences of these models for the most general class of initial conditions are practically indistinguishable from the predictions
of the simplest cosmological constant models. This is good news from the point of view of generality of the predictions, but perhaps not very good news from the point of view of observers.

However, these conclusions were obtained under the conditions some of which can be relaxed. For example, consider the same model as before, but instead of the regime with  $3\beta m^2 \gg \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$  we may investigate an opposite regime  $3\beta m^2 \ll \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$ . The potential in this case is shown in Fig. 2.19.



Figure 2.19: The shape of the potential  $V(\varphi, \chi)$  (2.97) for  $\alpha = \beta = 1, 3\beta m^2 \ll \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$ , and  $V_0 \approx \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$ .

In this model, the potential at the first stage of inflation is dominated by the quintessence potential  $V(\varphi) = \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + V_0$ , falling from the high (red) plateau. Depending on initial conditions, inflationary scenario can be realized in two distinct ways. In the first scenario, the initial value of the field  $\chi$  is extremely large, and its potential is very flat. In that case, the fields will first roll in the  $\varphi$  direction and fall from the cliff to the yellow plateau determined by the term  $3\beta m^2 \tanh^2 \frac{\chi}{\sqrt{6\beta}}$ . Then there will be a second stage of inflation driven by the field  $\chi$ , which ends at  $\chi = 0$ . We call this scenario "cascade inflation" [94]. The value of the field  $\varphi$  at the end of inflation will be determined by the initial conditions, and by the two stages of cascade inflation, including (for some initial conditions) a stage of eternal inflation. On the other hand, if the initial value of the field  $\chi$  is relatively small, and the field  $\varphi$  is very large, then in the beginning of inflation, the field  $\chi$  rolls down the valley with  $\chi = 0$ , and the subsequent stage of inflation and quintessential evolution will be determined by the single field evolution of the field  $\varphi$ .

In the next section we will briefly describe a simple model of two interacting attractors; as we will see taking into account interactions may open many other possibilities.

#### **2.6.3** Interacting $\alpha$ -attractors

Now we add an interaction term  $g^2 \phi^2 \sigma^2$  to the potential of the model (2.95),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\mathcal{L} = \frac{R}{2} - \frac{(\partial_{\mu}\phi)^2}{2(1-\frac{\phi^2}{6\alpha})^2} - \frac{(\partial_{\mu}\sigma)^2}{2(1-\frac{\sigma^2}{6\beta})^2} - \frac{m^2}{2}\sigma^2 - g^2\phi^2\sigma^2 - \gamma\phi - V_0.$$
(2.98)

The inflaton potential in terms of the canonically normalized fields  $\varphi$  and  $\chi$  becomes

$$V(\varphi, \chi) = 36\alpha\beta g^{2} \tanh^{2} \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} \tanh^{2} \frac{\chi}{\sqrt{6\beta}} + 3\beta m^{2} \tanh^{2} \frac{\chi}{\sqrt{6\beta}} + \gamma\sqrt{6\alpha} \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + V_{0}.$$
 (2.99)

We will take the parameters such that  $36\alpha\beta g^2 \gg 3\beta m^2 \gg \gamma\sqrt{6\alpha}$ ,  $V_0$ . In that case, the potential can be illustrated (not to scale) by Fig. 2.20. Inflation begins at one of the high red plateaus of the height approximately given by  $36\alpha\beta g^2$ . The blue valley describes the  $\alpha$ -attractor inflationary potential  $V(\chi) = 3\beta m^2 \tanh^2 \frac{\chi}{\sqrt{6\beta}} + V_0$ . The green valley corresponds to the dark energy potential  $\gamma\sqrt{6\alpha} \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + V_0$ .



Figure 2.20: The shape of the potential  $V(\varphi, \chi)$  (2.99) for  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  and  $36\alpha\beta g^2 \gg 3\beta m^2 \gg \gamma\sqrt{6\alpha}$ ,  $V_0$ . The green valley corresponds to quintessence with the linear potential  $V = \gamma\phi + V_0 = \gamma\sqrt{6\alpha} \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + V_0$ .

One can show that about half of all inflationary trajectories starting at the red plateau describe the fields falling directly to the dark energy valley. We assume that  $3\beta m^2 \sim 10^{-10}$  and  $36\alpha\beta g^2$  is much greater, possibly even as large as  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  in Planck units, then the inflationary trajectories falling directly to the dark energy valley produce parts of the universe with too large perturbations of density, which make such parts of the universe anthropically disfavored.

Another half of all inflationary trajectories starting at the red plateau describe the fields falling towards the blue inflationary valley. Then the inflaton field  $\chi$  rolls along this valley, which generates perturbations of the proper magnitude in accordance with the  $\alpha$ -attractor scenario. The process of reheating occurs due to oscillations of the field  $\chi$  near the point  $\varphi = \chi = 0$ . At this point, the potential has a tiny slope which pushes the

dark energy field  $\varphi$  towards its large negative values, but this field does not start rolling until the density of particles produced by reheating drops down substantially. When this happens, the field  $\varphi$  starts moving towards  $\varphi \rightarrow -\infty$ .

Consider the simplest case of  $V_0 = \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} \sim 10^{-120}$ . Then the dark energy potential  $\gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} \tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + V_0$  is given by  $V_0 \sim 10^{-120}$  at  $\varphi = 0$ , and vanishes in the limit  $\varphi \to -\infty$ . To give a particular example, one may consider  $\alpha = 7/3$ . Then, just like in the theory with exponential potential, the asymptotic value of w for dark energy will be about 0.905, but its initial value at the moment when the field  $\varphi$  starts moving down will be given (almost) exactly by -1. By taking  $V_0$  slightly greater than  $\gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$ , one can make w much closer to -1. This model represents a simple  $\alpha$ -attractor version of the dark energy model with the linear potential proposed in Ref. [91].

# **2.6.4** *Quintessence with a linear potential*

Inspired by our discussions in the previous section, let us now consider a concrete example of the 2-field, interacting,  $\alpha$ -attractor scenario where the simplest linear potential for the quintessence field  $\phi$  has the form given in Eq. (2.19), i.e.

$$V(\varphi) = \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} (\tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + 1) + \Lambda , \qquad (2.100)$$

in terms of the canonical field  $\varphi$ , with  $\Lambda$  being a constant. We additionally assume  $36\alpha\beta g^2 \gg 3\beta m^2 \gg \gamma\sqrt{6\alpha}$ ,  $\Lambda$ . As discussed in the previous section, we further assume that the inflationary trajectory starts at the red plateau of Fig. 2.20 at large values of the field  $\chi$ , and then the fields  $\varphi$  and  $\chi$  fall towards the blue inflationary valley at  $\varphi = 0$ . The inflaton field  $\chi$  then rolls along the valley, and reheating occurs through the oscillations of  $\chi$  near the

point  $\phi = \chi = 0$ . At this point, the tiny slope in the dark energy potential pushes the quintessence field  $\varphi$  towards its negative values. As stated before, in this scenario inflation is *not* driven by  $\varphi$ , and it only sets the value of  $\varphi$  to something around 0 as the initial value of the dark energy field for the late-time evolution of the universe, contrary to the quintessential inflation models, studied in section 2.4, which could accommodate a wide range of initial conditions for the quintessence field  $\varphi$  that was also responsible for inflation.

Now we consider the case with both  $\gamma\sqrt{6\alpha}$  and  $\Lambda$  being of  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-120})$ . Note that the potential approaches a cosmological constant  $V_{-} = \Lambda$  for large, negative  $\varphi$ , and therefore  $\Lambda = 0$  corresponds to a potential with a vanishing asymptotic value in the limit  $\varphi \to -\infty$ . The potential has been shown in Fig. 2.21 for  $\Lambda = 0$  (left panel) and  $\Lambda = \gamma\sqrt{6\alpha}$  (right panel); we have set  $\alpha = 7/3$  for both cases. The figure shows that the potential monotonically decreases for  $\Lambda = 0$  and takes an asymptotic, constant value for  $\Lambda = \gamma\sqrt{6\alpha}$  at large, negative  $\varphi$ . The value of  $\gamma$  has been chosen such that the asymptotic value of the potential gives  $10^{-120}$ .



Figure 2.21: The shape of the potential  $V(\varphi) = \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} (\tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + 1) + \Lambda$  for  $\Lambda = 0$  (left panel) and  $\Lambda = \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$  (right panel). Here we have set  $\gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$  to  $10^{-120}$  and  $\alpha$  to 7/3. The values of the potentials on the *y*-axes are normalized to  $10^{-120}$ .

#### 114 DARK ENERGY, $\alpha$ -ATTRACTORS, AND LARGE-SCALE STRUCTURE SURVEYS

The asymptotic value for the equation of state of dark energy,  $w_{DE}$ , in this model can be obtained by assuming a slow-roll approximation. As we discussed before, this asymptotic value for  $\Lambda = 0$  is

$$w_{\infty} = -1 + \frac{2}{9\alpha}$$
, (2.101)

which depends only on  $\alpha$ . The asymptotic value for  $\Lambda \neq 0$  is -1.

Let us now study the time evolution of  $w_{\text{eff}}$  as well as  $w_{\text{DE}}$  for a few values of  $\Lambda$  and for  $\alpha = 7/3$ . The results have been presented in Fig. 2.22 for  $\Lambda = 0$ ,  $10^{-2} \times \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$ , and  $10^{-1} \times \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$ . Note that  $w_{\text{eff}}$  is almost identical in the past (N < 0) for all the cases (blue curve), and shows different behavior for the future (N > 0). Note also that  $w_{\text{eff}}$  is different from  $w_{\text{DE}}$  in the past, and becomes identical to it in the future, when the field  $\varphi$  dominates. In addition, as expected, the figure shows that the deviation from  $\Lambda$ CDM is maximal when  $\Lambda = 0$ , and decreases when  $\Lambda$  increases. For the specific case of  $\Lambda = 0$ , w has an asymptotic value of  $\sim -0.905$ , in full agreement with our analytical expression (2.101), while for any other values of  $\Lambda$  the asymptotic value is -1.

# 2.6.5 Comparison to observations, and constraints on parameters

With the qualitative discussions of the previous section, let us now study our 2-field, interacting,  $\alpha$ -attractor model in a rigorous way and through the comparison of the late-time predictions of the model to the observations. The potential is of the form given in Eq. (2.100). We scan over the parameters of the model, i.e.  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $\Lambda$ , and compare the evolution of the background cosmological observables to the data. We set  $\varphi_{\rm F}$  to 0 in all our scans.

The upper panels of Fig. 2.23 present our results for  $\gamma$  versus  $\alpha$  (left panel) and  $\Lambda$  (right panel). Note that the values of  $\gamma$  and  $\Lambda$  are given in units of the critical density today. For the left panel, where  $\alpha$  is kept free, the value



Figure 2.22: Evolution of the equation of state as a function of the number of *e*-folds *N* after reheating for the linear potential  $V(\varphi) = \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} (\tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + 1) + \Lambda$  in the framework of the interacting, 2-field  $\alpha$ -attractors. The three yellow-to-orange curves show the dark energy equation of state  $w_{\text{DE}}$  for  $\Lambda = 0$ ,  $10^{-2} \times \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$ , and  $10^{-1} \times \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$ , respectively. The effective equation of state  $w_{\text{eff}}$  is almost identical for all values of  $\Lambda$  in the past (shown collectively by a blue curve), is different from  $w_{\text{DE}}$  in the past, and becomes identical to it in the future when the field  $\varphi$  becomes dominant. N = 0 corresponds to the present time,  $\gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$  has been set to  $10^{-120}$ , and  $\alpha$  has been set to 7/3 for all the cases.



Figure 2.23: Constraints on  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\Lambda$  for the linear, interacting,  $\alpha$ -attractor model with the linear potential  $V(\varphi) = \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} (\tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + 1) + \Lambda$ , when  $\Lambda$  is fixed to 0 (left) and when  $\alpha$  is fixed to 7/3 (right). Note that both  $\gamma$  and  $\Lambda$  are presented in units of the critical density today. The samples are color-coded with the value of  $w_0$ .

of  $\Lambda$  has been set to 0, while for the right panel, with  $\Lambda$  being scanned over,  $\alpha$  has been fixed to 7/3. The value of  $\gamma$  is correlated with both  $\alpha$  and  $\Lambda$ . In order to see this correlation clearly, let us first focus on the left panel with A being fixed to 0, i.e. when the potential is  $V(\varphi) = \gamma \sqrt{6\alpha} (\tanh \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}} + 1)$ . The figure shows that  $\gamma$  increases by decreasing  $\alpha$ . When  $\alpha$  becomes very small, we know that the potential rapidly decreases and the tanh  $\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}$  piece in the potential drops quickly to  $\sim -1$ . This will be largely cancelled by the constant piece  $\gamma \sqrt{6\alpha}$ , and one therefore would need an enormous value of  $\gamma$  to compensate for that and to obtain the required amount of dark energy given by observations. This may mean that we should in principle be able to obtain good fits to the data for very small  $\alpha$  with very large  $\gamma$ . However, the figure tells us that even though  $\gamma$  indeed seems to be increasing at small  $\alpha$ , very small  $\alpha (\leq 0.3)$  are disfavored by our analysis. This can be understood by looking at the color-code of the left panel of the figure, which shows the values of  $w_0$ . This shows that reducing  $\alpha$  corresponds to larger deviations form  $\Lambda$ CDM. This illustrates why  $\alpha$  cannot be smaller than  $\sim 0.3$  for this  $\Lambda = 0$  case, as the model predicts an equation of state for dark energy with present values that deviate too much from the observed values, and the number of viable points is therefore almost vanishing for very small  $\alpha$ . Therefore, even though the required *amount* of dark energy can be provided by the model for small  $\alpha$ , it does not produce the correct behavior for the dark energy equation of state. Clearly, by increasing  $\Lambda$  to nonzero values, which is equivalent to adding a cosmological constant to the potential, small  $\alpha$  can also provide viable models of dark energy.

Let us now investigate the effect of changing  $\Lambda$  on the predictions of the model, by focusing on the right panel of Fig. 2.23, where  $\alpha$  has been fixed to 7/3 and  $\Lambda$  has been allowed to vary. The figure shows that the larger the value of  $\Lambda$ , the smaller the value of  $\gamma$ . This behavior is easily understood, as the total dark energy in our model is a combination of the  $\varphi$ -dependent piece and the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ , and by increasing  $\Lambda$ the contribution from the  $\varphi$ -dependent piece should reduce in order for the model to produce the correct, total amount of dark energy consistent with observations, i.e. to provide  $\Omega_{DE} \approx 0.7$ .  $\Omega_{DE}$  in general includes two pieces, one from the dynamics of the scalar field (i.e. the field-dependent part of the potential plus the kinetic energy of the field), and one from the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ . Here therefore, by increasing the contribution from the cosmological constant the contribution from the field needs to drop in order to have the total amount of  $\Omega_{DE} \approx 0.7$ . Decreasing  $\gamma$  to zero in the right panel of Fig. 2.23 will make  $\Lambda$  take a value of  $\approx 0.7$  in units of  $\rho_c$ , which is what we expect. Note also that, as expected, increasing  $\Lambda$  makes  $w_0$  closer to its  $\Lambda$ CDM value, which is consistent with our illustration in Fig. 2.22.

Our conclusion, based on these results, is that this class of 2-field, interacting models, can provide interesting cosmological evolutions perfectly consistent with the current data. The deviations from the  $\Lambda$ CDM model depend however on the value of  $\alpha$ . For relatively large  $\alpha$ , such as 7/3, the deviations are not large enough to be detected by the next generation of the LSS experiments, as  $w_0$  and  $w_a$  are not sufficiently different from the  $\Lambda$ CDM values, but (depending on the value of  $\Lambda$ ) decreasing  $\alpha$  can make the deviations larger and potentially detectable. This class of models, therefore, has predictions that in some cases can be tested, verified, or ruled out by the future cosmological surveys.

### 2.7 CONCLUSIONS

In this chapter we constructed several viable models of dark energy based on the theory of  $\alpha$ -attractors, using the flexibility of choosing the cosmological constant provided by the string theory landscape. We studied a broad variety of the models, such as the models of quintessential inflation, where a single field  $\varphi$  plays the double role of the inflaton and the quintessence. The simplest of these models is the  $\alpha$ -attractor version of the theory with a linear potential described in section 2.5.1. We also performed a detailed investigation of the models with exponential potential in sections 2.5.2 and 2.5.3.

The asymptotic flatness of the plateau potential in  $\alpha$ -attractors and the possibility to avoid the fifth force problem, see section 2.3.2, make these models particularly suitable candidates for the role of dark energy. In several different models with the asymptotically vanishing height of the potential  $V_{-} = \Lambda = 0$ , we have a universal  $\alpha$ -dependent prediction relating to each other the tensor-to-scalar ratio r and the asymptotic value of the equation of state  $w_{\infty}$ :

$$r = \frac{12\alpha}{N^2}, \qquad w_{\infty} = -1 + \frac{2}{9\alpha};$$
 (2.102)

see Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2). This is a rather interesting correlation between r and  $w_{\infty}$ , which may seem to be suggesting a possible way to test these models using a combination of the upcoming Stage IV cosmological experiments aiming at measuring both the B-mode polarization of the CMB and the growth and evolution of large-scale structure in the universe. One should however note that, as we have shown in this paper for various models of quintessential inflation,  $w_{\infty}$  is only the ultimate value of the dark energy equation of state parameter and not its present value. This means that  $w_{\infty}$  cannot be used directly to test the models, and one needs a detailed analysis in order to compare the predictions of the models to the cosmological observations.

Moreover, if one accepts the simplest interpretation of the predictions of the string theory landscape, one is free to add to the potential any constant that keeps the effective value of  $\Lambda$  within the anthropically allowed range of  $|\Lambda| \leq 10^{-120}$ . If, for example, one adds a positive cosmological constant  $\Lambda \leq 10^{-120}$ , the last prediction in (2.102) changes to  $w_{\infty} = -1$ , without altering the prediction for r and the spectral index  $n_s$ . In other words, by combining quintessential inflation with the string theory landscape, we

have a possibility to describe a broad range of outcomes for w without altering the inflationary predictions of the models.

We also studied  $\alpha$ -attractor models where inflaton and quintessence are described by two different fields. From the point of view of model building, these models described in section 2.6 can be quite simple, but they allow much greater flexibility, which deserves a more detailed investigation.

An interesting byproduct of our investigation of  $\alpha$ -attractors is the realization that their universal prediction  $n_s = 1 - 2/N$  may give distinctly different numerical results for the quintessential  $\alpha$ -attractors as compared to the usual  $\alpha$ -attractors with a conventional reheating mechanism. We noticed that for some of the quintessential  $\alpha$ -attractors with gravitational reheating, the required number of inflationary *e*-folds *N* can be greater than the required number of *e*-folds in more conventional models by  $\Delta N \sim 10$ , which increases the value of  $n_s$  by about 0.006. This increase coincides with the Planck  $1\sigma$  error bar for  $n_s$  [82]. Therefore with the future improvement in the accuracy of CMB observations we might be able to distinguish the conventional inflationary models where the field after inflation oscillates and relaxes at the minimum of its potential, from the simplest models of quintessential inflation, even if these models predict w = -1.

**Acknowledgments** We thank A. Achúcarro, P. Bull, P. Creminelli, R. Flauger, G. Hinshaw, E. Komatsu, E. Linder, M. Martinelli, L. Senatore, E. Silverstein, A. Silvestri, D.-G. Wang, and Y. Yamada for their interest in this work and for helpful discussions and comments.