



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Tumor biological characteristics of Vestibular Schwannoma

Vries, M. de

Citation

Vries, M. de. (2019, April 2). *Tumor biological characteristics of Vestibular Schwannoma*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/70862>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/70862>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The following handle holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation:

<http://hdl.handle.net/1887/70862>

Author: Vries, M. de

Title: Tumor biological characteristics of Vestibular Schwannoma

Issue Date: 2019-04-02

CHAPTER 6

BCRP expression in schwannoma, plexiform neurofibroma and MPNST

Maurits de Vries, Olaf van Tellingen, Andel. G.L. van der Mey, Antonius M.G. Bunt, Inge Briare-de Bruijn and Pancras C.W. Hogendoorn

Abstract

Objective: to assess if BCRP is expressed in vestibular schwannomas, plexiform neurofibromas and MPNST

Background: peripheral nerve sheath tumors comprise a broad spectrum of neoplasms. Vestibular schwannomas and plexiform neurofibromas are symptomatic albeit benign, but a subset of the latter pre-malignant lesions will transform to malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNST). Surgery and radiotherapy are the primary strategies to treat these tumors. Intrinsic resistance to drug therapy characterizes all three tumor subtypes. The breast cancer resistance protein BCRP is a transmembrane efflux transporter considered to play a key role in various biological barriers such as the blood brain barrier. At the same time it is associated with drug resistance in various tumors. Its potential role in drug resistant tumors of the peripheral nervous system is largely unknown..

Methods: immunohistochemical staining for BCRP was performed on a tissue microarray composed out of 22 sporadic vestibular schwannomas, 10 plexiform neurofibromas and 18 MPNSTs.

Results: sixteen out of twenty-two vestibular schwannomas (73%), nine out of ten plexiform neurofibromas (90%) and six out of eighteen MPNST (33%) expressed BCRP.

Conclusion: BCRP is present in the vasculature of vestibular schwannomas, plexiform neurofibromas and MPSNT. Therefore, it may reduce the drug exposure of underlying tumor tissues and potentially cause failure of drug therapy.

Introduction

Peripheral nerve sheath tumors (PNST) are relatively common neoplasm's that comprise a broad spectrum of different subtypes. Most of these tumors are histologically benign such as schwannomas and neurofibromas^{1,2}. Next to these benign tumors there is a subset of malignant lesions like the malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNST)^{3,4}. Neurofibromas, MPNST and schwannomas are examples of PNST that occur either sporadically or as part of hereditary neurocutaneous diseases like neurofibromatosis type I (NF1) and neurofibromatosis type II (NF2) respectively. Both these disorders seem to result from the inactivation of a classic tumor suppressor gene. Neurofibromas and MPNST show loss of *NF1* expression. The *NF1* gene is located on chromosome 17q11.2. and encodes the tumor suppressor protein neurofibromin⁵. NF1 is caused by germline mutations in *NF1* but there are also mosaic forms of this disease⁶. MPNST or plexiform neurofibromas without other symptoms of NF1, i.e. sporadic tumors, are probably caused by somatic mosaicism for an *NF1* mutation. A similar situation is seen in NF2. NF2 is caused by biallelic inactivation of the *NF2* gene, located on chromosome 22q11, which encodes the tumor suppressor protein merlin⁷. Bilateral vestibular schwannomas are pathognomonic for this rare disease. However, most vestibular schwannomas occur as sporadic unilateral tumors⁸. Schwannomas occur in a wide range of anatomical sites, including the subcutaneous tissues of the distal extremities and the head and neck region. Schwannomas in the head and neck region have a predilection to derive from the vestibular portion of the eighth cranial nerve, better known as vestibular schwannomas (VS). Schwannomas are neoplastic proliferations that exclusively comprise Schwann cells while neurofibromas contain multiple cell types such as perineurial cells, fibroblasts and to a lesser extent Schwann cells^{1,9-11}. There are two types of neurofibromas: dermal and plexiform. Plexiform neurofibromas are strongly related to NF1, affecting 20% to 40% of patients suffering from this condition^{12,13}. These tumors often occur in the head, skull base, or neck but also manifest themselves on the trunk and limbs. Plexiform neurofibromas have the potential to transform into MPNST. However, not all MPNST develop from pre-existing neurofibromas, as approximately half of all MPNST arise sporadically without a known precursor¹⁴.

These different types of tumors require different types of therapy. To date surgical excision is the only effective treatment for plexiform neurofibromas, but recent literature demonstrated that targets for pharmacological treatment are emerging^{15,16}. Therapeutic management of (vestibular) schwannomas consist of surgery or radiotherapy and pharmacological treatment options were recently tested as well¹⁷. Although there are promising results in individual NF2 patients treated with targeted therapy there are also reports indicating drug resistance in these tumors¹⁸. A similar situation exists in MPNST. The triad of surgery, radiotherapy and multi-agent chemotherapy is applied to these tumors as well. Despite promising reports on for instance (neoadjuvant) doxorubicin-ifosfamide treatment regimens, these tumors are

often characterized by a highly aggressive behavior and resistance to multidrug therapy, resulting in poor long-term survival rates¹⁹⁻²¹. In short, despite the different therapies that are applied to these PNST one of their common dominators is the fact that they show a certain degree of drug resistance.

Acquired and/or innate drug resistance of tumor cells is a common phenomenon and a major hurdle to effective chemotherapeutic intervention. An important mechanism contributing to drug resistance concerns the expression of ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporter proteins that are capable of extruding drugs from tumors²². These energy-dependent transmembrane proteins transport a wide range of substrates, including many anticancer drugs, across cell membranes²³⁻²⁷. So far 49 genes have been identified to encode for members of the ABC transporter family²⁸, but only a subset of these is involved in drug resistance. Of these drug transporters ABCB1 (P-gp) and ABCG2 (BCRP) are the most extensively studied. They were first discovered in tumor cells^{29,30}, but are also expressed at the apical membranes of epithelial cells in biological barrier tissues such as in the intestines, kidneys and liver and have an important role in the clearance of xenobiotics from the body²³. In addition, they are expressed in specialized endothelial cells that form the blood-brain, blood-testis and blood-placenta barriers where they help to limit the exposure of the underlying tissues (brain, testis and fetus) to xenobiotics³¹⁻³³. Besides efflux transporters, these specialized endothelial cells also present other barrier properties, such as tight junctions and lack of fenestrations that limit para-cellular entry of drugs. In the brain, the surrounding glial cells (astrocytes, pericytes) govern the expression of these barrier markers in these endothelial cells. The blood-brain barrier (BBB) may thereby “protect” tumor cells that reside within the central nervous system³⁴. Similar to the situation in the brain, tumors originating from the peripheral nerve sheath may be protected by the so called blood-nerve-barrier (BNB). Our hypothesis is that the blood-nerve-barrier might hinder drugs from reaching their target cells in peripheral nerve sheath tumors, thereby contributing to drug resistance (Figure 1).

The three tumor types we included in this analysis were selected because all of them originate from the peripheral nerve sheath and, as mentioned earlier, each of them are characterized by some form of drug resistance. The BNB is located in microvasculature of the endoneurium and the inner most layers of the perineurium³⁵ and there are reports that, analogous to the situation at the BBB, the BNB contains members of the ABC transporter family such as BCRP and P-gb^{36,37}. Apart from the concept of protection by the BNB, these tumors may also be drug resistant because the tumor cells themselves express ABC drug transporters. Since its discovery, BCRP expression has been observed in several types of tumors³⁸⁻⁴⁷ and elevated expression levels of this transporter have been correlated with poor prognosis in a number of studies⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰. Moreover, the expression of BCRP in tumor cells has been associated with a rare subset of so-called cancer stem cells, similar to the expression of BCRP in normal stem cells^{51,52}. Consequently, the expression of BCRP both




Figure 1. A mechanistic figure of the proposed function of BCRP and P-gp at the blood-nerve-barrier.

in tumor blood vessels or in tumor cells can mediate drug resistance.

The aim of this study was to assess the presence and localization of BCRP in peripheral nerve sheath tumors. We investigated the expression pattern of BCRP in twenty-two sporadic vestibular schwannomas, ten plexiform neurofibromas and eighteen MPNST using an immunohistochemical assay performed on a tissue microarray (TMA) composed of these tumors. We used TMA technology because it provides the advantage of simultaneously analyzing a large panel of tumors with a high degree of experimental standardization⁵³. It has also been shown that the clinico-pathological findings obtained by this technique are highly representative of their donor tissues⁵⁴.
 <author>Nocito, A.</author><author>Moch, H.</author><author>Sauter, G.</author></authors></contributor><auth-address>Institute of Pathology, University of Basel, 4003 Basel, Switzerland.</auth-address><titles><title>Tissue microarray (TMA). The results of our analysis show that BCRP is expressed in all three of these tumor types. This observation indicates that BCRP might reduce drug accumulation in these peripheral nerve sheath tumors thus creating a hurdle to effective drug treatment.

Results

The results from this immunohistochemical, tissue micro array based study indicate the presence of BCRP in the microvascular endothelium of MPNST, plexiform neurofibromas and vestibular schwannomas (Figure 2). Six out of the eighteen MPNST samples showed vascular BCRP expression. Two of the positive specimens were NF1 related and the other four were sporadic tumors. Tumor cells were negative for BCRP. One of the studied MPNSTs was a recurrent tumor and matched with another specimen in this study. In both cases, the vasculature of these samples was BCRP negative. None of the MPNST patients received




Figure 2. Immunohistochemistry images showing clear BCRP and CD31 positivity in the microvascular endothelium of MPNST(A and B), plexiform neurofibroma (C and D) and vestibular schwannoma (E and F) respectively. Images G and H show a CD31 positive yet BCRP negative sample of a vestibular schwannoma.

chemotherapy prior to resection. The vasculature of nine out of ten plexiform neurofibroma samples was BCRP positive as well as the vasculature of sixteen of the twenty-two schwannomas. Two separate plexiform neurofibroma samples originated from the same NF1 patient and both these tumors had BCRP positive vasculature. Unfortunately, the analyzability of some of the MPNST tumor specimens on the TMA slide was impaired due to necrosis. We found that in four out of eighteen MPNST cases one or two specimens showed intrinsic tumor necrosis making them unsuitable for microscopic analysis. Nevertheless, at least one of the three specimens of these tumors contained representative tumor tissue. Therefore it was still possible to perform adequate microscopic analysis on tissue from all the tumors in the analysis. Of these four MPNST one was scored positive for BCRP and the other three were scored negative.

Discussion

Present treatment of peripheral nerve sheath tumors is mainly a surgical matter. The unraveling of the underlying molecular pathologies and the ongoing development of new therapeutic agents may provide potentially effective drugs as an alternative- or concomitant therapeutic strategy. Unfortunately, however, the occurrence of innate or acquired drug resistance of tumors is a common event. Drug resistance is a frequently encountered problem in MPNST, but it is also observed in benign or precursor lesions. Multidrug resistance is a complex phenomenon and frequently multifactorial. One important reason is impaired drug delivery to the target tissues because of the expression of drug efflux

proteins in the (micro)vasculature of tumor tissues or because of expression of these proteins by tumor cells themselves. In central nervous system (CNS) tissues, the restricted entry is due to drug transporters located at the interface between the blood and the brain (the BBB). A similar situation may be present at the interface between blood and peripheral nerves, but this has not been well established yet. The most extensively studied efflux transporters of the BBB are P-gp (ABCB1)⁵⁵ and BCRP (ABCG2)⁵⁶. Together, these two efflux transporters team up to restrict the CNS penetration of a wide range of substrates including many potentially useful drugs⁵⁶⁻⁵⁹. In this study, we have investigated the expression of BCRP in tumors of the peripheral nervous system. Based on our results it is not entirely clear if the vascular BCRP expression we observed in a selection of the investigated tumors is a specific characteristic of these tumors, or if it is a remaining part of the blood-nerve-barrier. Dahin et al⁶⁰ identified BCRP expression in retinal nerve fibers suggesting that BCRP is part of the blood-nerve-barrier that protects retinal nerve fibers from injury by removing intracellular toxins and xenobiotics. A contradictory observation was made by Huang et al⁶¹. They investigated BCRP and P-gp in peripheral nerves using a tissue distribution assay on rats but did not find a difference in drug distribution between wild type- or BCRP/P-gp knock out rats. However, in the Huang study the Abcg2 KO had little effect on the brain distribution of known BCRP substrate drugs and these findings are at odds with other ABC KO studies and have not been replicated independently since.^{37,62,63} Furthermore, they are in stark contrast with multi drug resistance observed in clinical studies in NF1 patients with known P-gp and/or BCRP substrate drugs.^{15,16,64-66} Similarly, prior studies in MPNST confirmed the presence of drug resistant sarcoma stem cells^{67,68} and the P-gp and BCRP efflux pumps^{47,50,69,70}, while drug trials in MPNST patients have not improved outcomes^{50,71}. Our findings provide a rationale to further study the hypothesis that endothelial BCRP expression may be part of the reason why drug therapy of PNST often fails⁴⁷. If this hypothesis is correct it could mean that inhibition of BCRP may aid in rendering these tumors more susceptible to drug therapy. A potential strategy to achieve this is to co-administer elacridar, a potent, selective inhibitor of both P-gp and BCRP with molecularly targeted drugs to enhance drug levels in diseased neural tissues and improve outcomes as has been observed in animal models of other pump-protected diseases^{34,72-82}.

In conclusion, our results demonstrate the expression of BCRP in the vascular endothelium in a substantial fraction of MPNST, plexiform neurofibromas and sporadic vestibular schwannomas. Similar to CNS tumors, the presence of BCRP, and perhaps other members of the ABC efflux transporter family, may reduce the drug exposure of underlying tumor tissues and mediate resistance to drug therapy.

Methods

Patients

The cases included in this study were retrospectively selected from the files of the bone- and soft tissue tumor database at the department of Pathology of the Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden the Netherlands. Tumor specimens were obtained from patients surgically treated for their tumors between January 1999 and December 2012. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded samples of twenty-two sporadic vestibular schwannomas, ten plexiform neurofibromas and eighteen MPNST were selected. Of these selected tumors two separate plexiform neurofibromas originated from the same patient and one MPNST was a recurrence of a primary tumor included in this analysis as well. Surgery was performed at the departments of Neurosurgery, Otolaryngology, Orthopedic surgery and General surgery of the Leiden University Medical Center. In each case the diagnosis was made according to the WHO classification of soft tissue tumors⁸³. All tumor samples were handled in a coded fashion and all procedures were performed according to the ethical guidelines of the Code for Proper Secondary Use of Human Tissue in The Netherlands (Dutch Federation of Medical Scientific Societies). Additional clinicopathological data are shown in table one.

Tissue microarray (TMA) preparation

Preparation of the TMAs was performed at the department of pathology of the Leiden University Medical Center. TMAs were constructed from 1mm cores of all tumor samples using a TMA Master (3DHISTECH Ltd, Budapest, Hungary). Per tumor three randomly selected cores were included in the TMA in order to compensate for intra-tumoral heterogeneity. Normal colon, tonsil, placenta, prostate and spleen tissue together with mamma carcinoma were used to serve as internal controls and points of orientation. In line with data provided by the manufacturer we found high BCRP expression in placenta and low expression in colon tissue.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Immunohistochemical reactions were performed according to standard laboratory methods⁸⁴. In brief, heat-induced antigen retrieval was performed after dewaxing and rehydration, followed by blocking of endogenous peroxidase with 3% H₂O₂ in methanol. Incubation with the primary antibodies BCRP (Abcam; ab24115) and CD31 (Abcam; ab28364) was overnight. Subsequently, CD31 sections were conjugated with Labelled Polymer-HRP Anti-Rabbit Envision (DakoCytomation; K4005) while conjugation of the BCRP sections was performed with Goat- α -Rat-Bio (Santa Cruz; SC-2041) and Streptavidin/HRP (DakoCytomation; P0397) respectively. Visualization was carried out with a diaminobenzidine solution. All washing procedures were conducted in phosphate-buffered saline. Slides were counterstained with haematoxylin.

Table 1. patient characteristics

Specimen	Tumor Type	Sex	Age (yrs.)	Tumor Localisation	Brcp
L1399	NF1 MPNST	F	16	upper leg, right	positive
L4304	NF1 MPNST	F	29	second thoracic vertebra, left	negative
L4309	NF1 MPNST	F	15	mandible angle, right	positive
L4326	NF1 MPNST	F	27	flank region, left	negative
L1537	sporadic MPNST*	M	22	back, middle	negative
L1448	sporadic MPNST	M	51	inguinal region, left	negative
L1219	sporadic MPNST	F	35	gluteus region, right	negative
L1503	sporadic MPNST	F	58	upper leg, right	negative
L1509	sporadic MPNST	M	17	upper arm, left	negative
L1867	sporadic MPNST	M	57	upper leg, left	negative
L2056	sporadic MPNST	M	24	inguinal region, left	positive
L2170	sporadic MPNST	M	22	brachial plexus, left	negative
L4303	sporadic MPNST	F	41	brachial plexus, left	positive
L4320	sporadic MPNST	M	22	back, middle	negative
L4322	sporadic MPNST	F	48	brachial plexus, left	negative
L4325	sporadic MPNST	F	35	fifth cervical vertebra, left	negative
L4327	sporadic MPNST	M	68	retroauricular region, right	positive
L4328	sporadic MPNST	V	26	fifth cervical vertebra, left	positive
L4305	NF 1plexiform neurofibroma	F	33	foot, right	negative
L4321	NF1 plexiform neurofibroma	M	23	skin of neck, left	positive
L4330	NF1 plexiform neurofibroma	V	42	supraclavicular region, right	positive
L4331	NF1 plexiform neurofibroma	M	30	upper leg, right	positive
L4332	NF1 plexiform neurofibroma**	M	30	occipital region, middle	positive
L4333	NF1 plexiform neurofibroma	M	24	cheek, left	positive
L4335	NF1 plexiform neurofibroma	F	31	neck region, left	positive
L4302	sporadic plexiform neurofibroma	F	27	median nerve left	positive
L4329	sporadic plexiform neurofibroma	V	26	axilla, right	positive
L4334	sporadic plexiform neurofibroma	F	51	femoral nerve, right	positive
L1493	sporadic schwannoma	F	39	cerebellopontine angle, right	positive
L3580	sporadic schwannoma	M	58	cerebellopontine angle, right	negative
L3583	sporadic schwannoma	M	47	cerebellopontine angle, left	positive
L3586	sporadic schwannoma	F	48	cerebellopontine angle, right	negative
L3590	sporadic schwannoma	M	43	cerebellopontine angle, right	positive
L3593	sporadic schwannoma	F	53	cerebellopontine angle, left	positive
L3604	sporadic schwannoma	F	43	cerebellopontine angle, right	positive
L4306	sporadic schwannoma	M	69	cerebellopontine angle, left	positive
L4307	sporadic schwannoma	F	57	cerebellopontine angle, right	positive
L4308	sporadic schwannoma	M	73	cerebellopontine angle, right	positive

see next page >>

Table 1. Continued

Specimen	Tumor Type	Sex	Age (yrs.)	Tumor Localisation	Brcp
L4310	sporadic schwannoma	F	59	cerebellopontine angle, right	positive
L4311	sporadic schwannoma	F	56	cerebellopontine angle, left	positive
L4312	sporadic schwannoma	F	56	cerebellopontine angle, left	positive
L4313	sporadic schwannoma	M	43	cerebellopontine angle, right	negative
L4314	sporadic schwannoma	F	59	cerebellopontine angle, left	positive
L4315	sporadic schwannoma	F	61	cerebellopontine angle, right	positive
L4316	sporadic schwannoma	F	67	cerebellopontine angle, left	positive
L4317	sporadic schwannoma	F	50	cerebellopontine angle, left	positive
L4318	sporadic schwannoma	M	55	cerebellopontine angle, left	negative
L4319	sporadic schwannoma	F	72	cerebellopontine angle, right	positive
L4323	sporadic schwannoma	M	62	cerebellopontine angle, right	negative
L4324	sporadic schwannoma	F	54	cerebellopontine angle left	negative

* recurrent tumor from specimen L4325; ** separate tumor from the same patient as L4331

Microscopic analysis

After staining the TMA was scanned using a Panoramic MIDI Digital Slide Scanner (3DHISTECH Ltd, Budapest, Hungary). Analysis of the digital slides took place with Panoramic Viewer software version 1.15.3. Scoring was performed by two observers who were unaware of the clinico-pathological data. Staining of tumor specimens was classified as either positive or negative. Differently assessed cases were discussed to reach consistent scoring results.

Abbreviations

ABC	ATP binding cassette
ABCB1	ATP-binding cassette sub-family B member 1
ABCG2	ATP-binding cassette sub-family G member 2
BBB	Blood-brain-barrier
BCRP	Breast Cancer Resistance Protein
BNB	Blood-nerve-barrier
MPNST	Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors
NF 1	Neurofibromatosis type I
NF 2	Neurofibromatosis type II
P-gb	permeability glycoprotein
PNST	Peripheral nerve sheath tumors
TMA	Tissue micro array
VS	Vestibular schwannomas

References

1. Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler ODet al. The 2007 WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system. *Acta Neuropathol* 2007; 114:97-109.
2. Fletcher C.D.M, Bridge J.A, Hogendoorn P.C.W, F. Mertens. WHO classification of tumours of soft tissue and bone. France: Lyon : IARC Press, 2013., 2013.
3. Ferner RE, O'Doherty MJ. Neurofibroma and schwannoma. *CurrOpinNeurol* 2002; 15:679-684.
4. Rodríguez FJ, Folpe AL, Giannini C, Perry A. Pathology of peripheral nerve sheath tumors: diagnostic overview and update on selected diagnostic problems. *Acta Neuropathol* 2012; 123:295-319.
5. Abramowicz A, Gos M. Neurofibromin in neurofibromatosis type 1 - mutations in NF1 gene as a cause of disease. *DevPeriodMed* 2014; 18:297-306.
6. Kehrer-Sawatzki H, Cooper DN. Mosaicism in sporadic neurofibromatosis type 1: variations on a theme common to other hereditary cancer syndromes? *Journal of medical genetics* 2008; 45:622-631.
7. Sainz J, Huynh DP, Figueroa K, Ragge NK, Baser ME, Pulst SM. Mutations of the neurofibromatosis type 2 gene and lack of the gene product in vestibular schwannomas. *HumMolGenet* 1994; 3:885-891.
8. Evans DG, Moran A, King A, Saeed S, Gurusinghe N, Ramsden R. Incidence of vestibular schwannoma and neurofibromatosis 2 in the North West of England over a 10-year period: higher incidence than previously thought. *OtolNeurotol* 2005; 26:93-97.
9. Lassmann H, Jurecka W, Lassmann G, Gebhart W, Matras H, Watzek G. Different types of benign nerve sheath tumors. Light microscopy, electron microscopy and autoradiography. *Virchows ArchA PatholAnatHistol* 1977; 375:197-210.
10. Ushigome S, Takakuwa T, Hyuga M, Tadokoro M, Shinagawa T. Perineurial cell tumor and the significance of the perineurial cells in neurofibroma. *Acta PatholJpn* 1986; 36:973-987.
11. Woodruff JM. The pathology and treatment of peripheral nerve tumors and tumor-like conditions. *CA Cancer J Clin* 1993; 43:290-308.
12. Tongsgard JH, Kwak SM, Short MP, Dachman AH. CT imaging in adults with neurofibromatosis-1: frequent asymptomatic plexiform lesions. *Neurology* 1998; 50:1755-1760.
13. Huson SM, Harper PS, Compston DA. Von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis. A clinical and population study in south-east Wales. *Brain* 1988; 111 (Pt 6):1355-1381.
14. Subramanian S, Thayanithy V, West RBet al. Genome-wide transcriptome analyses reveal p53 inactivation mediated loss of miR-34a expression in malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumours. *JPathol* 2010; 220:58-70.
15. Weiss B, Widemann BC, Wolters Pet al. Sirolimus for progressive neurofibromatosis type 1-associated plexiform neurofibromas: a neurofibromatosis Clinical Trials Consortium phase II study. *NeuroOncol* 2015; 17:596-603.
16. Dombi E, Baldwin A, Marcus LJet al. Activity of Selumetinib in Neurofibromatosis Type 1-Related Plexiform Neurofibromas. *The New England journal of medicine* 2016; 375:2550-2560.
17. de Vries M, van der Mey AG, Hogendoorn PC. Tumor Biology of Vestibular Schwannoma: A Review of Experimental Data on the Determinants of Tumor Genesis and Growth Characteristics. *OtolNeurotol* 2015.
18. Karajannis MA, Legault G, Hagiwara Met al. Phase II study of everolimus in children and adults with neurofibromatosis type 2 and progressive vestibular schwannomas. *NeuroOncol* 2014; 16:292-297.
19. Rubin JB, Gutmann DH. Neurofibromatosis type 1 - a model for nervous system tumour formation? *NatRevCancer* 2005; 5:557-564.
20. Grobmyer SR, Reith JD, Shahlaee A, Bush CH, Hochwald SN. Malignant Peripheral Nerve Sheath Tumor: molecular pathogenesis and current management considerations. *JSurgOncol* 2008; 97:340-349.
21. Kroep JR, Ouali M, Gelderblom Het al. First-line chemotherapy for malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MPNST) versus other histological soft tissue sarcoma subtypes and as a prognostic factor for MPNST: an EORTC soft tissue and bone sarcoma group study. *AnnOncol* 2011; 22:207-214.
22. Chang G. Multidrug resistance ABC transporters. *FEBS Lett* 2003; 555:102-105.
23. Borst P, Elferink RO. Mammalian ABC transporters in health and disease. *Annu Rev Biochem* 2002; 71:537-592.
24. Borst P, Schinkel AH. P-glycoprotein ABCB1: a major player in drug handling by mammals. *J Clin Invest* 2013; 123:4131-4133.
25. Franke RM, Gardner ER, Sparreboom A. Pharmacogenetics of drug transporters. *Curr Pharm Des* 2010; 16:220-230.
26. Hayashi H, Sugiyama Y. Bile salt export pump (BSEP/ABCB11): trafficking and sorting disturbances. *Curr Mol Pharmacol* 2013; 6:95-103.
27. Klaassen CD, Aleksunes LM. Xenobiotic, bile acid, and cholesterol transporters: function and regulation. *Pharmacol Rev* 2010; 62:1-96.
28. Vasilioi V, Vasilioi K, Nebert DW. Human ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter family. *HumGenomics* 2009; 3:281-290.

29. Juliano RL, Ling V. A surface glycoprotein modulating drug permeability in Chinese hamster ovary cell mutants. *Biochimica et biophysica acta* 1976; 455:152-162.
30. Doyle LA, Yang W, Abruzzo LV et al. A multidrug resistance transporter from human MCF-7 breast cancer cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1998; 95:15665-15670.
31. Aye IL, Keelan JA. Placental ABC transporters, cellular toxicity and stress in pregnancy. *Chem Biol Interact* 2013; 203:456-466.
32. Hartz AM, Bauer B. ABC transporters in the CNS - an inventory. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol* 2011; 12:656-673.
33. Robillard KR, Hoque T, Bendayan R. Expression of ATP-binding cassette membrane transporters in rodent and human sertoli cells: relevance to the permeability of antiretroviral therapy at the blood-testis barrier. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2012; 340:96-108.
34. Brandt C, Bethmann K, Gastens AM, Loscher W. The multidrug transporter hypothesis of drug resistance in epilepsy: Proof-of-principle in a rat model of temporal lobe epilepsy. *Neurobiol Dis* 2006; 24:202-211.
35. Peltonen S, Alanne M, Peltonen J. Barriers of the peripheral nerve. *Tissue Barriers* 2013; 1:e24956.
36. Shimizu F, Sano Y, Maeda Tet al. Peripheral nerve pericytes originating from the blood-nerve barrier expresses tight junctional molecules and transporters as barrier-forming cells. *J Cell Physiol* 2008; 217:388-399.
37. Sano Y, Shimizu F, Nakayama Het al. Endothelial cells constituting blood-nerve barrier have highly specialized characteristics as barrier-forming cells. *Cell Struct Funct* 2007; 32:139-147.
38. Scheffer GL, Maliepaard M, Pijnenborg AC et al. Breast cancer resistance protein is localized at the plasma membrane in mitoxantrone- and topotecan-resistant cell lines. *Cancer Res* 2000; 60:2589-2593.
39. Jin Y, Bin ZQ, Qiang Het al. ABCG2 is related with the grade of glioma and resistance to mitoxantrone, a chemotherapeutic drug for glioma. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2009; 135:1369-1376.
40. Faneyte IF, Kristel PM, Maliepaard Met al. Expression of the breast cancer resistance protein in breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2002; 8:1068-1074.
41. Diestra JE, Condom E, Del Muro XGet al. Expression of multidrug resistance proteins P-glycoprotein, multidrug resistance protein 1, breast cancer resistance protein and lung resistance related protein in locally advanced bladder cancer treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy: biological and clinical implications. *JUrol* 2003; 170:1383-1387.
42. Nakayama K, Kanzaki A, Ogawa K, Miyazaki K, Neamati N, Takebayashi Y. Copper-transporting P-type adenosine triphosphatase (ATP7B) as a cisplatin based chemoresistance marker in ovarian carcinoma: comparative analysis with expression of MDR1, MRP1, MRP2, LRP and BCRP. *Int J Cancer* 2002; 101:488-495.
43. Tsunoda S, Okumura T, Ito Tet al. ABCG2 expression is an independent unfavorable prognostic factor in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Oncology* 2006; 71:251-258.
44. Shen B, Dong P, Li D, Gao S. Expression and function of ABCG2 in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and cell lines. *Exp Ther Med* 2011; 2:1151-1157.
45. Bhatia P, Bernier M, Sanghvi Met al. Breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP/ABCG2) localises to the nucleus in glioblastoma multiforme cells. *Xenobiotica* 2012; 42:748-755.
46. Diestra JE, Scheffer GL, Catala let al. Frequent expression of the multi-drug resistance-associated protein BCRP/MXR/ABCP/ABCG2 in human tumours detected by the BXP-21 monoclonal antibody in paraffin-embedded material. *J Pathol* 2002; 198:213-219.
47. Slomiany MG, Dai L, Bomar PA et al. Abrogating drug resistance in malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors by disrupting hyaluronan-CD44 interactions with small hyaluronan oligosaccharides. *Cancer Res* 2009; 69:4992-4998.
48. Mo W, Zhang JT. Human ABCG2: structure, function, and its role in multidrug resistance. *Int J Biochem Mol Biol* 2012; 3:1-27.
49. Ross DD, Nakanishi T. Impact of breast cancer resistance protein on cancer treatment outcomes. *Methods Mol Biol* 2010; 596:251-290.
50. Natarajan K, Xie Y, Baer MR, Ross DD. Role of breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP/ABCG2) in cancer drug resistance. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2012; 83:1084-1103.
51. Zhou S, Schuetz JD, Bunting KDet al. The ABC transporter Bcrp1/ABCG2 is expressed in a wide variety of stem cells and is a molecular determinant of the side-population phenotype. *Nat Med* 2001; 7:1028-1034.
52. Dean M, Fojo T, Bates S. Tumour stem cells and drug resistance. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2005; 5:275-284.
53. Simon R, Mirlacher M, Sauter G. Immunohistochemical analysis of tissue microarrays. *Methods Mol Biol* 2010; 664:113-126.
54. Bubendorf L, Nocito A, Moch H, Sauter G. Tissue microarray (TMA) technology: miniaturized pathology archives for high-throughput in situ studies. *The Journal of pathology* 2001; 195:72-79.
55. Cordon-Cardo C, O'Brien JP, Casals Det al. Multidrug-resistance gene (P-glycoprotein) is expressed by endothelial cells at blood-brain barrier sites. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 1989; 86:695-698.
56. Cooray HC, Blackmore CG, Maskell L, Barrand MA. Localisation of breast cancer resistance protein in microvessel endothelium of human brain. *Neuroreport* 2002; 13:2059-2063.

57. Chen Y, Agarwal S, Shaik NM, Chen C, Yang Z, Elmquist WF. P-glycoprotein and breast cancer resistance protein influence brain distribution of dasatinib. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2009; 330:956-963.
58. de Vries NA, Zhao J, Kroon E, Buckle T, Beijnen JH, van Tellingen O. P-glycoprotein and breast cancer resistance protein: two dominant transporters working together in limiting the brain penetration of topotecan. *Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research* 2007; 13:6440-6449.
59. Polli JW, Olson KL, Chism JPet al. An unexpected synergist role of P-glycoprotein and breast cancer resistance protein on the central nervous system penetration of the tyrosine kinase inhibitor lapatinib (N-{3-chloro-4-[(3-fluorobenzyl)oxy]phenyl}-6-[5-({[2-(methylsulfonyl)ethyl]amino }methyl)-2-furyl]-4-quinazolinamine; GW572016). *Drug Metab Dispos* 2009; 37:439-442.
60. Dahlin A, Geier E, Stocker SLet al. Gene expression profiling of transporters in the solute carrier and ATP-binding cassette superfamilies in human eye substructures. *Mol Pharm* 2013; 10:650-663.
61. Huang L, Li X, Roberts J, Janosky B, Lin MH. Differential role of P-glycoprotein and breast cancer resistance protein in drug distribution into brain, CSF and peripheral nerve tissues in rats. *Xenobiotica* 2015; 45:547-555.
62. Saito T, Zhang ZJ, Shibamori Yet al. P-glycoprotein expression in capillary endothelial cells of the 7th and 8th nerves of guinea pig in relation to blood-nerve barrier sites. *Neurosci Lett* 1997; 232:41-44.
63. Saito T, Zhang ZJ, Ohtsubo Tet al. Homozygous disruption of the mdrla P-glycoprotein gene affects blood-nerve barrier function in mice administered with neurotoxic drugs. *Acta Otolaryngol* 2001; 121:735-742.
64. Payne JM, Barton B, Ullrich NJet al. Randomized placebo-controlled study of lovastatin in children with neurofibromatosis type 1. *Neurology* 2016; 87:2575-2584.
65. Kalamarides M, Acosta MT, Babovic-Vuksanovic Det al. Neurofibromatosis 2011: a report of the Children's Tumor Foundation annual meeting. *Acta Neuropathol* 2012; 123:369-380.
66. Kim A, Dombi E, Tepas Ket al. Phase I trial and pharmacokinetic study of sorafenib in children with neurofibromatosis type I and plexiform neurofibromas. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2013; 60:396-401.
67. Miller SJ, Rangwala F, Williams Jet al. Large-scale molecular comparison of human schwann cells to malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor cell lines and tissues. *Cancer research* 2006; 66:2584-2591.
68. Spyra M, Kluwe L, Hagel Cet al. Cancer stem cell-like cells derived from malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors. *PLoS one* 2011; 6:e21099.
69. Oda Y, Saito T, Tateishi Net al. ATP-binding cassette superfamily transporter gene expression in human soft tissue sarcomas. *International journal of cancer* 2005; 114:854-862.
70. Neradil J, Veselska R. Nestin as a marker of cancer stem cells. *Cancer Sci* 2015; 106:803-811.
71. Jessen WJ, Miller SJ, Jousma Eet al. MEK inhibition exhibits efficacy in human and mouse neurofibromatosis tumors. *J Clin Invest* 2013; 123:340-347.
72. Agarwal S, Hartz AM, Elmquist WF, Bauer B. Breast cancer resistance protein and P-glycoprotein in brain cancer: two gatekeepers team up. *Curr Pharm Des* 2011; 17:2793-2802.
73. Jablonski MR, Markandaiah SS, Jacob Det al. Inhibiting drug efflux transporters improves efficacy of ALS therapeutics. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol* 2014; 1:996-1005.
74. Kirkinezos IG, Hernandez D, Bradley WG, Moraes CT. An ALS mouse model with a permeable blood-brain barrier benefits from systemic cyclosporine A treatment. *J Neurochem* 2004; 88:821-826.
75. Lin F, de Gooijer MC, Roig EMet al. ABCB1, ABCG2, and PTEN determine the response of glioblastoma to temozolomide and ABT-888 therapy. *Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research* 2014; 20:2703-2713.
76. Bai RY, Staedtke V, Wanjiku Tet al. Brain Penetration and Efficacy of Different Mebendazole Polymorphs in a Mouse Brain Tumor Model. *Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research* 2015; 21:3462-3470.
77. Morfouace M, Cheepala S, Jackson Set al. ABCG2 Transporter Expression Impacts Group 3 Medulloblastoma Response to Chemotherapy. *Cancer research* 2015; 75:3879-3889.
78. Zander SA, Sol W, Greenberger Let al. EZN-2208 (PEG-SN38) overcomes ABCG2-mediated topotecan resistance in BRCA1-deficient mouse mammary tumors. *PLoS one* 2012; 7:e45248.
79. Imbert F, Jardin M, Fernandez Cet al. Effect of efflux inhibition on brain uptake of itraconazole in mice infected with *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Drug Metab Dispos* 2003; 31:319-325.
80. Joosen MJ, van der Schans MJ, van Dijk CG, Kuijpers WC, Wortelboer HM, van Helden HP. Increasing oxime efficacy by blood-brain barrier modulation. *Toxicol Lett* 2011; 206:67-71.
81. van Vliet EA, van Schaik R, Edelbroek PMet al. Region-specific overexpression of P-glycoprotein at the blood-brain barrier affects brain uptake of phenytoin in epileptic rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2007; 322:141-147.
82. van Vliet EA, van Schaik R, Edelbroek PMet al. Inhibition of the multidrug transporter P-glycoprotein improves seizure control in phenytoin-treated chronic epileptic rats. *Epilepsia* 2006; 47:672-680.
83. Jo VY, Fletcher CD. WHO classification of soft tissue tumours: an update based on the 2013 (4th) edition. *Pathology* 2014; 46:95-104.
84. Bovee JV, van den Broek LJ, de Boer WI, Hogendoorn PC. Expression of growth factors and their receptors in adamantinoma of long bones and the implication for its histogenesis. *JPathol* 1998; 184:24-30.

