



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## Control of cytomegalovirus viremia after T cell depleted allogeneic stem cell transplantation

Heiden, P.L.J. van der

### Citation

Heiden, P. L. J. van der. (2019, March 20). *Control of cytomegalovirus viremia after T cell depleted allogeneic stem cell transplantation*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/70208>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/70208>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/70208> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Heiden, P.L.J. van der

**Title:** Control of cytomegalovirus viremia after T cell depleted allogeneic stem cell transplantation

**Issue Date:** 2019-03-20

# Chapter 1

**General introduction and aim of the thesis**



## Allogeneic stem cell transplantation

Allogeneic stem cell transplantation (alloSCT) is a potentially curative treatment for various malignant and non-malignant hematological diseases<sup>1</sup>. The goal of alloSCT is to replace the recipient hematopoietic cells with hematopoietic cells derived from stem cells from a human-leukocyte-antigen (HLA) matched donor. Immune suppressive conditioning of the recipient prior to the alloSCT is necessary to allow engraftment of the donor stem cells. This conditioning leads to a period of profound pancytopenia prior to engraftment of donor hematopoietic cells. The duration of this pre-engraftment period is correlated with the occurrence of infectious complications<sup>2</sup>. Conventional myeloablative conditioning (MAC) regimens aim to fully eradicate the hematopoietic cells of the recipient. Reduced intensity conditioning (RIC) regimens aim to allow engraftment of donor hematopoietic cells without full elimination of recipient derived hematopoietic stem cells. RIC regimens are less toxic, but additional immune suppression is necessary to allow engraftment of donor hematopoietic cells leading to additional immune deficiency<sup>3,4</sup>. The mere ablation of recipient hematopoietic cells by chemotherapy and/or irradiation in the conditioning regimen is not sufficient to prevent disease relapse after transplantation, as demonstrated by the high risk of disease relapse after autologous stem cell transplantation in acute leukemia. Long-term control of the disease can be achieved by donor derived alloreactive T cells. These alloreactive T cells can eradicate residual malignant cells by inducing a graft versus leukemia (GVL) response when the immune response is directed against the hematopoietic cells of the patient<sup>5, 6</sup>. However, when alloreactive T cells also target non-hematopoietic cells in the tissues and organs of the patient, potentially fatal graft versus host disease (GVHD) can occur. GVL is part of a spectrum of GVHD, as illustrated by the increased risk of disease relapse in the absence of GVHD in alloSCT using stem cells from an HLA identical syngeneic twin<sup>7</sup>.

## Prevention of GVHD

GVHD can be prevented or reduced by long-term immune suppression or by depleting donor T cells from the graft (T cell depletion, TCD)<sup>8-10</sup>. In non-TCD alloSCT, recipients are treated with long-term immune suppression, which may be tapered in the months or years after alloSCT. Immune suppression is not selective and suppresses not only alloreactive immune responses causing GVHD, but also potentially beneficial immune responses causing GVL or immune responses needed for protection against infectious diseases. In TCD alloSCT strategies, donor T cells are depleted from the stem cell graft or depleted in-vivo by infusion of T cell specific antibodies (e.g. ATG, alemtuzumab). Various methods are used to deplete T cells from the graft such as CD34<sup>+</sup> selection or the use of lymphocyte-depleting antibodies such as alemtuzumab (anti CD52)<sup>11, 12</sup>. TCD strategies are effective in preventing GVHD and long-term post-transplant immune suppression is generally not required. The absence of immune suppression makes TCD alloSCT suitable as a platform for cellular therapy such as donor lymphocyte infusion (DLI) or adoptive cell transfer (ACT) of selected or manipulated T cell populations. Postponed application of DLI several months after TCD alloSCT to induce

a GVL effect is associated with an acceptable risk of GVHD<sup>13-15</sup>. In ACT strategies, in-vitro selected T cell populations are adoptively transferred to restore (anti-viral) immunity. By selecting specific T cells with a defined antigen specificity (e.g. targeting viral epitopes), the risk for inducing GVHD is lower compared to unmodified DLI containing T cells of unknown specificity<sup>16, 17</sup>.

Inherently to the effect of TCD on the prevention of GVHD, a period of profound and prolonged T cell deficiency follows TCD. During this period patients are at risk for developing infectious complications, especially for reactivations of endogenous herpes viruses.

### **Herpes virus reactivations after alloSCT**

Infections with herpes viruses are common in the general population. These infections usually occur during childhood and the clinical symptoms are often mild or even absent. The infection is controlled by virus-specific memory T cells, which develop following a primary immune response. Although virus-specific T cells control these viruses, herpes viruses are not completely cleared and lead to latent infections in their hosts. This latency results in an equilibrium between these viruses and the virus-specific T cells. The most common herpes viruses complicating alloSCT are cytomegalovirus (CMV), Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) and varicella zoster virus (VZV)<sup>18-20</sup>. These viruses share the ability for lifelong persistence and reactivation when T cell immunity fades. T cell immunity is provided by CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, where CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells regulate immune responses and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells eliminate the pathogens. CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells recognize peptides presented in HLA class II molecules, that are primarily expressed by antigen presenting cells (APCs), whereas CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells recognize peptides presented in HLA class I molecules that are ubiquitous expressed on all human tissues. Professional APCs are required for the induction of a primary T cell response leading to a rapid increase of effector T cells and the formation of memory T cells that can react directly upon re-encounter with the pathogen. In CMV and EBV infections, repeated stimulation of memory T cells by reactivation of the virus can result in frequencies of up to 40% of these virus-specific T cells within the T cell compartment in peripheral blood in immune competent individual<sup>21, 22</sup>. VZV resides in an immune privileged site and does not reactivate as often as CMV and EBV. VZV-specific memory T cells are therefore not stimulated repeatedly leading to decreasing frequencies of circulating VZV-specific memory T cells in time<sup>23</sup>.

In the period of profound and prolonged T cell deficiency after (TCD) alloSCT the equilibrium between the T cells and the virus is lost and control of reactivation of CMV and EBV infection is impaired. The impaired control may lead to potentially fatal CMV disease in case of CMV reactivation or Post Transplantation Lymphoproliferative Disease (PTLD) after EBV reactivation, caused by uncontrolled proliferation of EBV infected B cells. The decline in VZV-specific memory T cells is accelerated by the conditioning and/or TCD leading to an increased risk for reactivation of VZV leading to herpes zoster. Uncontrolled herpes zoster due to insufficient VZV-specific T cells may lead to potentially fatal disseminated herpes zoster.

## Cytomegalovirus

Cytomegalovirus, a double stranded DNA virus, can infect a broad range of cell types upon primary infection. Primary infection is followed by a lifelong persistence with monocytes and vascular endothelial cells as important sites for latency<sup>24</sup>. The clinical course of CMV infection in immune competent individuals is generally asymptomatic or mild and self-limiting with the exemption of congenital neurological disease by maternal transfer of the virus in primary CMV infection during pregnancy. In immune competent individuals CMV reactivation is controlled by CMV-specific memory T cells. In immune compromised patients, lack of CMV-specific T cells and consequential absence of immune control of CMV reactivation can lead to potentially fatal CMV disease, such as CMV pneumonitis, CMV colitis or CMV encephalitis following CMV infection or reactivation<sup>25</sup>. Reactivation of endogenous CMV is the most frequently occurring herpes virus reactivation following alloSCT with an incidence of 80% in CMV seropositive recipients<sup>19</sup>. Approximately 60% of alloSCT recipients are seropositive for CMV and are therefore at risk for endogenous reactivation of latent CMV virus<sup>26</sup>. CMV infection of a CMV seronegative recipient via a stem cell graft from a CMV seropositive donor occurs, but less frequently because endothelial cells and monocytes, the most important sites for CMV latency and persistence, are not an elementary components of the stem cell graft<sup>27</sup>. CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells can be analyzed and monitored using artificial HLA class I constructs loaded with a specific antigen. These constructs consist of multiple HLA molecules (tetramers or pentamers depending on the number of HLA molecules used) combined with a fluorescent label, allowing direct detection using flow cytometry. For CMV several HLA constructs have been developed and studies have demonstrated that presence of CMV-specific tetramer<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells is directly related with control of CMV reactivation<sup>28,29</sup>.

## Epstein-Barr Virus

Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) is a herpes virus, which infects more than 90% of the population. After primary infection, which may lead to the clinical syndrome of infectious mononucleosis, the virus latently resides in the B cell population<sup>30</sup>. Infectious mononucleosis is caused by a massive expansion of EBV specific T cells upon recognition of an EBV antigen presented in HLA molecules with the goal to control the EBV infection. After alloSCT, reactivation of EBV may occur in the absence of sufficient EBV specific T cell immunity. With failing T cell control, EBV infected B cells can expand massively leading to potentially fatal PTLD. Although the incidence of EBV associated PTLD is low following alloSCT (4%)<sup>31</sup>, the risk correlates with the level of TCD. TCD strategies deleting only T cells, the risk increases because B cells, the principle site for EBV latency are not depleted. In TCD strategies using depleting antibodies targeting both T and B cells, such as alemtuzumab, the risk is not increased<sup>32</sup>. Analogous to CMV, also for EBV several HLA constructs have been developed and studies have also demonstrated increased control of EBV reactivation by EBV specific tetramer<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells<sup>28, 29, 33, 34</sup>.

## **Varicella zoster virus**

Varicella zoster virus (VZV) is a herpes virus, which infects about 95% of the population. The primary infection with VZV leads to the clinical entity of varicella (chickenpox). After the primary infection VZV resides latently in neurons and reactivation leads to herpes zoster (shingles). Similar to CMV, cellular immunity is essential for preventing reactivation of VZV. After alloSCT, reactivation of the virus causes considerable morbidity and is potentially fatal in disseminated reactivation<sup>18</sup>. Most frequent complications are post-herpetic neuralgia and peripheral neuropathy. In contrast to CMV little is known about VZV-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell immunity because validated VZV-derived immunodominant peptides for HLA class I are lacking<sup>35</sup>. Previous studies demonstrated VZV-specific memory CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells but VZV-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were only detectable after in-vitro expansion. The inability to directly detect VZV-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells directly ex-vivo may be due to the low frequencies of VZV-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells or to the low sensitivity of the screening methods used to detect CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells<sup>36-38</sup>. Identification of a VZV derived immunodominant peptide and the construction of VZV-specific peptide-HLA complexes is important to ex vivo analyze the role of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the immune responses to VZV infection and reactivation after alloSCT.

## **Prevention of CMV disease by antiviral medication**

In order to prevent CMV disease, a period of profound T cell deficiency after (TCD) alloSCT must be bridged to allow CMV-specific T cell immunity to restore and prevent CMV disease. Bridging this period is possible using antiviral medication. Ganciclovir is a synthetic nucleoside that inhibits DNA viruses, such as herpes viruses and especially CMV, by inhibiting viral DNA polymerase and viral DNA elongation. Ganciclovir is the golden standard for treating CMV disease but has considerable side effects, the most important being bone marrow suppression<sup>39</sup>. Furthermore ganciclovir has poor bioavailability, which precludes oral administration and often necessitates hospitalization for intravenous treatment<sup>40</sup>. Prophylactic use of ganciclovir to prevent CMV disease is therefore not feasible. However, because high viral loads precede the development of CMV disease when patients are still asymptomatic, prevention of CMV disease is possible by pre-emptive administration of ganciclovir<sup>40, 41</sup>. In a pre-emptive treatment strategy, antiviral therapy is initiated when the viral load is above a predetermined threshold. CMV viral load can be detected and monitored by using quantitative PCR<sup>42</sup>. Valganciclovir is an orally administered pre-drug of ganciclovir and suitable for pre-emptive outpatient clinical treatment to prevent CMV disease<sup>43-45</sup>. However, similar to ganciclovir, prolonged usage of valganciclovir is not appropriate for long-term prevention of CMV disease due to adverse effects and possible development of resistance<sup>46</sup>.

## **CMV-specific T cell reconstitution**

Restoration of immune control by reconstitution of CMV-specific T cells is required for long-term control of viral replication and prevention of CMV disease<sup>28, 29, 47, 48</sup>. Reconstitution of



CMV-specific T cells can be the result of expansion of recipient memory T cells that survived the conditioning regimen prior to alloSCT or donor memory T cells transferred with the graft. Various factors can influence CMV-specific T cell reconstitution. Immune suppression for prevention of GVHD after transplantation with an unrelated or partially matched donor or treatment of GVHD can impair T cell reconstitution. CMV-specific T cell reconstitution may also be impaired by more intensive conditioning regimens prior to alloSCT due to more profound eradication of residual recipient T cell immunity.

Following transplantation with a CMV *seronegative* donor, the CMV-specific T cells reconstituting after alloSCT are expected to be of recipient origin, because a primary immune response by donor T cells is not likely to occur shortly after alloSCT. Residual CMV-specific T cells of the recipient can be eradicated by alloreactive donor T cells when an immune response is induced after alloSCT and/or Donor Lymphocyte Infusion (DLI), leaving the patient at risk for developing CMV disease. In these patients, development of a primary donor derived CMV-specific T cell response from donor origin would be essential to prevent CMV disease. For a primary CMV-specific immune response, naive T cells recognizing CMV antigens are required. Naive T cells need thymic education, and because the function of the thymus is impaired in (adult) alloSCT patients<sup>49</sup>, a primary donor derived CMV-specific T cell response is not expected shortly after alloSCT.

Following transplantation with a CMV *seropositive* donor, CMV-specific T cells can be of recipient and/or donor origin, possibly at the same time leading to a state of mixed CMV-specific T cell chimerism. CMV-specific T cell reconstitution can originate from donor memory T cells transferred with the graft from CMV seropositive donors. Manipulation of the graft by TCD may abrogate this transfer of CMV-specific T cells and increase the risk of developing CMV disease. Eradication of recipient lymphopoietic cells in patients with mixed CMV-specific T cell chimerism by an alloreactive donor T cell response is not expected to be harmful as protection by donor CMV-specific T cells is still present or transferred with the DLI.

Despite pre-emptive antiviral medication, persistent CMV reactivation or CMV disease can occur when CMV-specific T cell reconstitution is not sufficient. Adoptive transfer of donor T cells may be an elegant strategy to enhance T cell reconstitution after alloSCT. However, although this approach may be effective in reconstituting antiviral T cell immunity, it may induce potentially fatal GVHD. To enhance CMV-specific T cell reconstitution and to minimize the risk of inducing GVHD, donor derived CMV-specific T cells can be transferred to the recipient after alloSCT (CMV-specific adoptive cell transfer (ACT))<sup>50-52</sup>. CMV-specific ACT can be used either as a prophylactic or pre-emptive treatment to prevent CMV disease or as treatment for overt CMV disease. Adoptive transfer of T cells is most effective in the absence of immune suppression, as is in general the case in TCD alloSCT. However, the use of adoptive transfer is not commonplace, as questions regarding safety and efficacy still need answering.

## Aim of the thesis

Profound T cell deficiency can lead to reactivation of endogenous herpes viruses after TCD alloSCT. Inadequate control of these viruses by virus-specific T cells can lead to significant complications. Long-term immunity depends on virus-specific T cell reconstitution. In case of CMV reactivation, antiviral medication can bridge the period of T cell deficiency, at the expense of potential toxic side effects. The aim of this thesis is to evaluate several options for preventing CMV disease after T cell depleted (TCD) allogeneic stem cell transplantation (alloSCT). These options include a choice in conditioning regimen and in donor prior to alloSCT, pharmacological intervention following alloSCT and adoptive cell transfer in treatment of refractory CMV reactivation or CMV disease.

In **chapter 2** we aimed to determine the efficacy and safety of oral valganciclovir compared to intravenous ganciclovir to prevent CMV disease after TCD alloSCT in a pre-emptive outpatient strategy. Ganciclovir is associated with hematological toxicity and intravenous administration necessitates hospital admission. Oral valganciclovir is considered to be less toxic compared to intravenous ganciclovir and does not necessitate hospital admission. Efficacy and safety of valganciclovir was already demonstrated in other high-risk populations such as renal- and heart-transplant patients. In this chapter we evaluated the use of oral valganciclovir in preventing CMV disease in 107 consecutive patients following TCD alloSCT. Reduced intensity conditioning (RIC) relatively spares residual recipient hematopoietic cells compared to conventional myeloablative conditioning (MAC). Therefore, reconstitution of CMV-specific T cells may be improved after RIC by sparing residual recipient CMV-specific T cell immunity. In **chapter 3** our aim was to determine whether the incidence and severity of CMV reactivation was affected by the intensity of the conditioning regimen. To determine whether a less toxic conditioning regimen would lead to differences in incidence of CMV reactivation and disease, we compared the frequency and severity of CMV reactivation and the incidence of CMV disease in 107 consecutive patients following RIC or MAC TCD alloSCT. Transplantation with a CMV seropositive donor implies that the donor graft may confer donor derived CMV-specific T cells in contrast to the graft from a CMV negative donor. CMV-specific T cells may be transferred with the graft from CMV seropositive donors and provide protection for CMV disease, but profound TCD can eradicate this transfer of CMV-specific T cells. In **chapter 4** our aim was to determine the effect of donor CMV serostatus on the incidence of CMV disease and T cell reconstitution after TCD alloSCT. We analyzed the incidence of CMV disease after TCD alloSCT in CMV positive recipients transplanted with either a CMV seropositive or seronegative donor. Furthermore we investigated if and when a primary donor derived CMV-specific T cell response could be detected following TCD alloSCT. Demonstrating CMV-specific T cells of donor origin after transplantation with a CMV seronegative donor who lacks CMV-specific memory T cells would be illustrative of the induction of a primary CMV specific T cell response. Therefore, we determined the origin of

CMV-specific T cells in CMV seropositive recipients transplanted with a CMV seronegative donor.

The risk for potentially fatal CMV disease increases if pre-emptive treatment fails to control CMV reactivation and rapid reconstitution of CMV-specific T cells is then pivotal for preventing CMV disease. Adoptive transfer of CMV-specific T cells may be a treatment option in patients failing preemptive anti-viral treatment, although routine application of adoptive cellular immunotherapy is hampered by questions regarding safety and efficacy. Therefore, in **chapter 5** we aimed to analyze the safety and efficacy of adoptive transfer of CMV pp65-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell lines to restore CMV-specific T cell immunity in patients with persistent CMV reactivation failing anti-viral therapy. CMV-specific T cells from donor or patient were isolated using an IFN $\gamma$ -based isolation technique, cultured for 1–2 weeks to generate CMV-specific T cell lines, which were transferred to patients with refractory CMV reactivation. Adverse events, clinical effects and CMV-specific T cell reconstitution were monitored to assess the safety and efficacy of adoptive transfer of CMV-specific T cells.

In contrast to CMV-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell reconstitution little is known about VZV-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell reconstitution. Identification of VZV-derived immunodominant peptides binding in HLA class I and development of VZV-specific peptide-HLA complexes could facilitate analysis of VZV-specific T cell reconstitution. In **chapter 6** we searched for immunogenic antigens for VZV to develop VZV-specific pentamers using a new pentamer-based epitope discovery method. This method has the potential to quickly assess whether part of a protein can be immunogenic by determining the binding affinity with the HLA molecule. Development of VZV-specific peptide-HLA complexes is important to ex vivo analyze VZV-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell reconstitution and the immune response to VZV infection, reactivation, and possibly VZV vaccination.

In **chapter 7** we summarized and reviewed recent studies on prevalence and treatment of CMV disease after alloSCT in the era of pre-emptive antiviral treatment. We reviewed literature on the influence of Graft versus Host Disease, unrelated or HLA mismatched donors and TCD on the prevalence of CMV disease. We reviewed studies on the influence of donor CMV status on CMV-specific T cell reconstitution and CMV disease. Recent studies on the safety and efficacy of adoptive transfer of donor CMV-specific T cells for the prevention and treatment of CMV disease following alloSCT are discussed, including studies on adoptive transfer of third-party CMV-specific T cells as a possible alternative when donor T cells are not available.

## References

1. Thomas ED. Bone marrow transplantation from bench to bedside. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1995; **770**: 34-41.
2. Tomblyn M, Chiller T, Einsele H, Gress R, Sepkowitz K, Storek J *et al*. Guidelines for preventing infectious complications among hematopoietic cell transplantation recipients: a global perspective. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2009; **15**(10): 1143-1238. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2009.06.019
3. Giral S, Estey E, Albitar M, van Besien K, Rondon G, Anderlini P *et al*. Engraftment of allogeneic hematopoietic progenitor cells with purine analog-containing chemotherapy: harnessing graft-versus-leukemia without myeloablative therapy. *Blood* 1997; **89**(12): 4531-4536.
4. Slavin S, Nagler A, Naparstek E, Kapelushnik Y, Aker M, Cividalli G *et al*. Nonmyeloablative stem cell transplantation and cell therapy as an alternative to conventional bone marrow transplantation with lethal cytoablation for the treatment of malignant and nonmalignant hematologic diseases. *Blood* 1998; **91**(3): 756-763.
5. Gale RP, Horowitz MM, Ash RC, Champlin RE, Goldman JM, Rimm AA *et al*. Identical-twin bone marrow transplants for leukemia. *Ann Intern Med* 1994; **120**(8): 646-652.
6. Horowitz MM, Gale RP, Sondel PM, Goldman JM, Kersey J, Kolb HJ *et al*. Graft-versus-leukemia reactions after bone marrow transplantation. *Blood* 1990; **75**(3): 555-562.
7. Sullivan KM, Weiden PL, Storb R, Witherspoon RP, Fefer A, Fisher L *et al*. Influence of acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease on relapse and survival after bone marrow transplantation from HLA-identical siblings as treatment of acute and chronic leukemia. *Blood* 1989; **73**(6): 1720-1728.
8. Hale G, Cobbold S, Waldmann H. T cell depletion with CAMPATH-1 in allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *Transplantation* 1988; **45**(4): 753-759.
9. Martin PJ, Hansen JA, Buckner CD, Sanders JE, Deeg HJ, Stewart P *et al*. Effects of in vitro depletion of T cells in HLA-identical allogeneic marrow grafts. *Blood* 1985; **66**(3): 664-672.
10. Mitsuyasu RT, Champlin RE, Gale RP, Ho WG, Lenarsky C, Winston D *et al*. Treatment of donor bone marrow with monoclonal anti-T cell antibody and complement for the prevention of graft-versus-host disease. A prospective, randomized, double-blind trial. *Ann Intern Med* 1986; **105**(1): 20-26.
11. Chakraverty R, Orti G, Roughton M, Shen J, Fielding A, Kottaridis P *et al*. Impact of in vivo alemtuzumab dose before reduced intensity conditioning and HLA-identical sibling stem cell transplantation: pharmacokinetics, GVHD, and immune reconstitution. *Blood* 2010; **116**(16): 3080-3088. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-05-286856
12. Hale G, Waldmann H. Control of graft-versus-host disease and graft rejection by T cell depletion of donor and recipient with Campath-1 antibodies. Results of matched sibling transplants for malignant diseases. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 1994; **13**(5): 597-611.
13. Barge RM, Starrenburg CW, Falkenburg JH, Fibbe WE, Marijt EW, Willemze R. Long-term follow-up of myeloablative allogeneic stem cell transplantation using Campath "in the bag" as T cell depletion: the Leiden experience. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2006; **37**(12): 1129-1134. doi: 10.1038/sj.bmt.1705385

14. Peggs KS, Thomson K, Hart DP, Geary J, Morris EC, Yong K *et al.* Dose-escalated donor lymphocyte infusions following reduced intensity transplantation: toxicity, chimerism, and disease responses. *Blood* 2004; **103**(4): 1548-1556. doi: 10.1182/blood-2003-05-1513
15. Eefting M, Halkes CJ, de Wreede LC, van Pelt CM, Kersting S, Marijt EW *et al.* Myeloablative T cell depleted alloSCT with early sequential prophylactic donor lymphocyte infusion is an efficient and safe post-remission treatment for adult ALL. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2014; **49**(2): 287-291. doi: 10.1038/bmt.2013.111
16. Porter D, Levine JE. Graft-versus-host disease and graft-versus-leukemia after donor leukocyte infusion. *Semin Hematol* 2006; **43**(1): 53-61. doi: 10.1053/j.seminhematol.2005.09.005
17. von dem Borne PA, Starrenburg CW, Halkes SJ, Marijt WA, Fibbe WE, Falkenburg JH *et al.* Reduced-intensity conditioning allogeneic stem cell transplantation with donor T cell depletion using alemtuzumab added to the graft ('Campath in the bag'). *Curr Opin Oncol* 2009; **21 Suppl 1**: S27-29. doi: 10.1097/01.cco.0000357472.76337.0e
18. Koc Y, Miller KB, Schenkein DP, Griffith J, Akhtar M, DesJardin J *et al.* Varicella zoster virus infections following allogeneic bone marrow transplantation: frequency, risk factors, and clinical outcome. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2000; **6**(1): 44-49.
19. Ljungman P, Hakki M, Boeckh M. Cytomegalovirus in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am* 2011; **25**(1): 151-169. doi: 10.1016/j.hoc.2010.11.011
20. Styczynski J, Einsele H, Gil L, Ljungman P. Outcome of treatment of Epstein-Barr virus-related post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder in hematopoietic stem cell recipients: a comprehensive review of reported cases. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2009; **11**(5): 383-392. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3062.2009.00411.x
21. Klarenbeek PL, Remmerswaal EB, ten Berge IJ, Doorenspleet ME, van Schaik BD, Esveldt RE *et al.* Deep sequencing of antiviral T cell responses to HCMV and EBV in humans reveals a stable repertoire that is maintained for many years. *PLoS Pathog* 2012; **8**(9): e1002889. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002889
22. Sylwester AW, Mitchell BL, Edgar JB, Taormina C, Pelte C, Ruchti F *et al.* Broadly targeted human cytomegalovirus-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells dominate the memory compartments of exposed subjects. *J Exp Med* 2005; **202**(5): 673-685. doi: 10.1084/jem.20050882
23. Weinberg A, Lazar AA, Zerbe GO, Hayward AR, Chan IS, Vessey R *et al.* Influence of age and nature of primary infection on varicella-zoster virus-specific cell-mediated immune responses. *J Infect Dis* 2010; **201**(7): 1024-1030. doi: 10.1086/651199
24. Sinzger C, Digel M, Jahn G. Cytomegalovirus cell tropism. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 2008; **325**: 63-83.
25. Boeckh M, Leisenring W, Riddell SR, Bowden RA, Huang ML, Myerson D *et al.* Late cytomegalovirus disease and mortality in recipients of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplants: importance of viral load and T cell immunity. *Blood* 2003; **101**(2): 407-414. doi: 10.1182/blood-2002-03-0993
26. Gandhi MK, Khanna R. Human cytomegalovirus: clinical aspects, immune regulation, and emerging treatments. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2004; **4**(12): 725-738. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(04)01202-2

27. Jarvis MA, Nelson JA. Human cytomegalovirus tropism for endothelial cells: not all endothelial cells are created equal. *J Virol* 2007; **81**(5): 2095-2101. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01422-06
28. Gratama JW, van Esser JW, Lamers CH, Tournay C, Lowenberg B, Bolhuis RL *et al*. Tetramer-based quantification of cytomegalovirus (CMV)-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes in T cell depleted stem cell grafts and after transplantation may identify patients at risk for progressive CMV infection. *Blood* 2001; **98**(5): 1358-1364.
29. Cwynarski K, Ainsworth J, Cobbold M, Wagner S, Mahendra P, Apperley J *et al*. Direct visualization of cytomegalovirus-specific T cell reconstitution after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Blood* 2001; **97**(5): 1232-1240.
30. Dunmire SK, Hogquist KA, Balfour HH. Infectious Mononucleosis. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 2015; **390**(Pt 1): 211-240. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-22822-8\_9
31. Uhlin M, Wikell H, Sundin M, Blennow O, Maeurer M, Ringden O *et al*. Risk factors for Epstein-Barr virus-related post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Haematologica* 2014; **99**(2): 346-352. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2013.087338
32. Reddy N, Rezvani K, Barrett AJ, Savani BN. Strategies to prevent EBV reactivation and posttransplant lymphoproliferative disorders (PTLD) after allogeneic stem cell transplantation in high-risk patients. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2011; **17**(5): 591-597. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2010.08.007
33. Annels NE, Kalpoe JS, Bredius RG, Claas EC, Kroes AC, Hislop AD *et al*. Management of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) reactivation after allogeneic stem cell transplantation by simultaneous analysis of EBV DNA load and EBV-specific T cell reconstitution. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; **42**(12): 1743-1748. doi: 10.1086/503838
34. Meij P, van Esser JW, Niesters HG, van Baarle D, Miedema F, Blake N *et al*. Impaired recovery of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)--specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes after partially T-depleted allogeneic stem cell transplantation may identify patients at very high risk for progressive EBV reactivation and lymphoproliferative disease. *Blood* 2003; **101**(11): 4290-4297. doi: 10.1182/blood-2002-10-3001
35. Vossen MT, Gent MR, Weel JF, de Jong MD, van Lier RA, Kuijpers TW. Development of virus-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells on reexposure to Varicella-Zoster virus. *J Infect Dis* 2004; **190**(1): 72-82. doi: 10.1086/421277
36. Arvin AM, Kinney-Thomas E, Shriver K, Grose C, Koropchak CM, Scranton E *et al*. Immunity to varicella-zoster viral glycoproteins, gp I (gp 90/58) and gp III (gp 118), and to a nonglycosylated protein, p 170. *J Immunol* 1986; **137**(4): 1346-1351.
37. Jones L, Black AP, Malavige GN, Ogg GS. Persistent high frequencies of varicella-zoster virus ORF4 protein-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells after primary infection. *J Virol* 2006; **80**(19): 9772-9778. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00564-06
38. Sadzot-Delvaux C, Kinchington PR, Debrus S, Rentier B, Arvin AM. Recognition of the latency-associated immediate early protein IE63 of varicella-zoster virus by human memory T lymphocytes. *J Immunol* 1997; **159**(6): 2802-2806.
39. Jacobsen T, Sifontis N. Drug interactions and toxicities associated with the antiviral management of cytomegalovirus infection. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2010; **67**(17): 1417-1425. doi: 10.2146/ajhp090424

40. Crumacker CS. Ganciclovir. *N Engl J Med* 1996; **335**(10): 721-729. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199609053351007
41. Ljungman P, Reusser P, de la Camara R, Einsele H, Engelhard D, Ribaud P *et al.* Management of CMV infections: recommendations from the infectious diseases working party of the EBMT. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2004; **33**(11): 1075-1081. doi: 10.1038/sj.bmt.1704505
42. Kalpoe JS, Schippers EF, Eling Y, Sijpkens YW, de Fijter JW, Kroes AC. Similar reduction of cytomegalovirus DNA load by oral valganciclovir and intravenous ganciclovir on pre-emptive therapy after renal and renal-pancreas transplantation. *Antivir Ther* 2005; **10**(1): 119-123.
43. Brown F, Banken L, Saywell K, Arum I. Pharmacokinetics of valganciclovir and ganciclovir following multiple oral dosages of valganciclovir in HIV- and CMV-seropositive volunteers. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 1999; **37**(2): 167-176. doi: 10.2165/00003088-199937020-00005
44. Jung D, Dorr A. Single-dose pharmacokinetics of valganciclovir in HIV- and CMV-seropositive subjects. *J Clin Pharmacol* 1999; **39**(8): 800-804.
45. Pescovitz MD, Rabkin J, Merion RM, Paya CV, Pirsch J, Freeman RB *et al.* Valganciclovir results in improved oral absorption of ganciclovir in liver transplant recipients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2000; **44**(10): 2811-2815.
46. Fisher CE, Knudsen JL, Lease ED, Jerome KR, Rakita RM, Boeckh M *et al.* Risk Factors and Outcomes of Ganciclovir-Resistant Cytomegalovirus Infection in Solid Organ Transplant Recipients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017; **65**(1): 57-63. doi: 10.1093/cid/cix259
47. Hebart H, Daginik S, Stevanovic S, Grigoleit U, Dobler A, Baur M *et al.* Sensitive detection of human cytomegalovirus peptide-specific cytotoxic T-lymphocyte responses by interferon-gamma-enzyme-linked immunospot assay and flow cytometry in healthy individuals and in patients after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Blood* 2002; **99**(10): 3830-3837.
48. Reusser P, Riddell SR, Meyers JD, Greenberg PD. Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte response to cytomegalovirus after human allogeneic bone marrow transplantation: pattern of recovery and correlation with cytomegalovirus infection and disease. *Blood* 1991; **78**(5): 1373-1380.
49. Chalandon Y, Degermann S, Villard J, Arlettaz L, Kaiser L, Vischer S *et al.* Pretransplantation CMV-specific T cells protect recipients of T cell depleted grafts against CMV-related complications. *Blood* 2006; **107**(1): 389-396. doi: 10.1182/blood-2005-07-2746
50. Mackinnon S, Thomson K, Verfuether S, Peggs K, Lowdell M. Adoptive cellular therapy for cytomegalovirus infection following allogeneic stem cell transplantation using virus-specific T cells. *Blood Cells Mol Dis* 2008; **40**(1): 63-67. doi: 10.1016/j.bcmd.2007.07.003
51. Peggs KS, Mackinnon S. Augmentation of virus-specific immunity after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation by adoptive T cell therapy. *Hum Immunol* 2004; **65**(5): 550-557. doi: 10.1016/j.humimm.2004.02.016
52. Peggs KS, Verfuether S, Pizzey A, Chow SL, Thomson K, Mackinnon S. Cytomegalovirus-specific T cell immunotherapy promotes restoration of durable functional antiviral immunity following allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; **49**(12): 1851-1860. doi: 10.1086/648422