

A sense of society: entheseal change as an indicator of physical activity in the Post-Medieval Low Countries: potential and limitations Palmer, J.L.A.

Citation

Palmer, J. L. A. (2019, March 20). A sense of society: entheseal change as an indicator of physical activity in the Post-Medieval Low Countries: potential and limitations. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/69814

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/69814

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The following handle holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation: http://hdl.handle.net/1887/69814

Author: Palmer, J.L.A.

Title: A sense of society: entheseal change as an indicator of physical activity in the

Post-Medieval Low Countries: potential and limitations

Issue Date: 2019-03-20

A SENSE OF SOCIETY

ENTHESEAL CHANGE AS AN INDICATOR OF PHYSICAL ACTIVITY IN THE POST-MEDIEVAL LOW COUNTRIES:

POTENTIAL AND LIMITATIONS

A SENSE OF SOCIETY

ENTHESEAL CHANGE AS AN INDICATOR OF PHYSICAL ACTIVITY IN THE POST-MEDIEVAL LOW COUNTRIES: POTENTIAL AND LIMITATIONS

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 20 maart 2018
klokke 11.15 uur

door

Jessica Lisbeth Antonia Palmer

geboren te Gent in 1988

Promotor

Dr. M. L. P. Hoogland

Co-promotor

Dr. A. Waters-Rist

Committee

Prof. dr. J. Kolen (voorzitter, Universiteit Leiden)

Prof. dr. M. Soressi (secretaris, Universiteit Leiden)

Prof. dr. W. De Clercq (Universiteit Gent)

Prof. dr. E. Weiss (San Jose State University)

Dr. A. E. van der Merwe (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

Dr. S. A. Schrader (Universiteit Leiden)

Dr. L. Llorente Rodriguez (Universiteit Leiden)

Prof. dr. M.C. de Ruiter (Universiteit Leiden)

Imprint: Krijn Boom

Layout and cover design: Krijn Boom

Illustration cover: Map of Aalst (Belgium) by Braun and Hogenberg

Humerus by Krijn Boom



"The story is in the soil keep your ear to the ground"
- Conor Oberst

Acknowledgements

A PhD is a strange journey. It twists, turns, rattles, and, occasionally, derails, to finally culminate in a body of work which bears one individual name. However, behind this individualistic-looking book hides a small army of people who have, in some way or another, made it all possible. I will try to do them justice.

I want to thank my supervisors, Dr. Menno Hoogland and Dr. Andrea Waters-Rist. Andrea, your support and enthusiasm have guided me ever since I started my MSc in 2011. Without you I would never have realized there were academic options for me past a master's degree. I'm still not sure whether that was a blessing or a curse, but it led me to do what I love these past five years and for that I am forever grateful. Menno, you have helped me and fought for me at every turn. Without you none of this would have been possible.

I'd also like to thank the colleagues and friends who have been with me through these years. My osteocrew; Sarah Inskip, Rachel Schats, Sarah Schrader, Felicia Fricke, Femke Reidsma, and Esther 't Gilde. I'm sorry for all the puns. Well, a little. Well, I know I should be sorry. Still, our office lunches, emergency whisky breaks, and occasional exasperated ranting sessions have added so much fun to my daily life. My climbing crew; for giving me a way to spend all the pent-up energy desk work gives me- I've thought long and hard about this. The Johnfoxes, for being amazing housemates.

To the friends back home- thanks for never feeling far away. I can't list all of you without filling too many pages but, to use a worn-out cliché: you know who you are. A few special mentions. Valerie, from now on you can tease me for being *Doctor* Bones. Hah! Elke, your common sense perspective on life has helped me keep my priorities straight more often than you know.

My parents, of course, deserve a place of honor in this list. Ingrid and Albert, you were in the acknowledgements of my BA, MA, and MSc theses, and now you're in the acknowledgements of my PhD. I think we can take this as evidence of some pretty solid (and enduring) parenting. I'd like to add my grandparents, as well, Antoine and Agnes, for always supporting me, and asking about my work, no matter how weird it may seem to you. Merci.

Maria Walgraeve, you have run this mental marathon with me, start to finish. I truly could not have done any of this without you.

And finally, Krijn; now we're both doctors! I'm so happy we found each other in time to share this experience, and I can't wait to continue to level up together.

Contents

| 1. Introduction and outline | 13 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1.1 Archaeological context | 17 |
| 1.2 Thesis outline | 21 |
| 2. Activity Reconstruction of Post-Medieval Dutch Rural Villagers from | 25 |
| Upper Limb Osteoarthritis and Entheseal Changes | |
| Abstract | 26 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 27 |
| 2.2 Materials | 28 |
| 2.3 Methods | 29 |
| 2.4 Results | 31 |
| 2.4.1 OA | 31 |
| 2.4.2 EC | 32 |
| 2.4.3 Correlation of OA and EC data | 34 |
| 2.5 Discussion | 35 |
| 2.6 Conclusions | 39 |
| Acknowledgements | 39 |
| 3. A comparison of two methods for recording entheseal change on a | 41 |
| post-medieval urban skeletal collection from Aalst (Belgium) | |
| Abstract | 42 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 42 |
| 3.2 Materials and methods | 43 |
| 3.3 Results | 46 |
| 3.3.1 Left and right side | 46 |
| 3.3.2 Comparing the methods | 48 |
| 3.3.3 Upper and lower limb | 49 |
| 3.3.4 Age | 49 |
| 3.3.5 Sex | 50 |
| 3.4 Discussion | 50 |
| 3.4.1 Concordance of results between methods | 50 |
| 3.4.2 Implications for inter-study comparison | 51 |
| 3.4.3 Advantages and limitations of each method | 52 |
| 3.4.4 Further findings | 53 |
| 3.5 Conclusion | 53 |
| Acknowledgements | 54 |

| 4. A recording method for sixteen nonadult muscle entheses | 55 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Abstract | 56 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 57 |
| 4.2 Materials | 58 |
| 4.4 Method creation | 60 |
| 4.4.1 Entheseal selection | 60 |
| 4.4.2 Observation | 60 |
| 4.4.3 Draft method creation | 61 |
| 4.4.4 Revised method creation | 61 |
| 4.5 Terminology and scoring of traits | 62 |
| 4.5.1 Entheseal delineation | 62 |
| 4.5.2 Porosity | 62 |
| 4.5.3 Surface irregularity/rugosity | 62 |
| 4.5.4 Ridges | 62 |
| 4.5.5 Depression/sulcus | 62 |
| 4.5.6 Presence of a distinct tubercle | 62 |
| 4.5.7 Enthesophytes | 63 |
| 4.5.8 Scoring classification | 63 |
| 4.6 Method testing | 63 |
| 4.7 Results | 64 |
| 4.7.1 Trait expression per enthesis | 64 |
| 4.7.2 Entheseal changes, sex, and age | 66 |
| 4.7.3 Inter-and intra-observer agreement | 68 |
| 4.7.3.1 Intra-observer agreement | 68 |
| 4.7.3.2 Inter-observer agreement | 70 |
| 4.7.3.3 Inter-observer agreement general | 74 |
| 4.7.4 Method amelioration based on testing | 75 |
| 4.8 Final method creation | 77 |
| 4.9 Discussion and conclusion | 78 |
| Acknowledgements | 79 |
| 5. Diversity in death: skeletal evidence of burial preferences in a late to | 81 |
| post-medieval convent in Aalst (Belgium) | |
| Abstract | 82 |
| 5.1 Introduction and historical context | 83 |
| 5.2 Archaeological and socio-historical context | 84 |
| 5.2.1 Louis D'haeseleerstraat | 84 |
| 5.2.2 Hopmarkt and Saint Martin's church | 85 |
| 5.2.3 Socio-economic context | 87 |

| 5.3 Physical anthropological methods | 87 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.4 Results | 87 |
| 5.4.1 Demography | 87 |
| 5.4.2 Pathology | 88 |
| 5.4.3 Dietary isotopes | 91 |
| 5.5 Conclusion | 95 |
| Acknowledgements | 96 |
| 6. Acts of Life: assessing the use of entheseal change as an indicator of | 97 |
| social differentiation in post-medieval Aalst (Belgium) | |
| Abstract | 98 |
| 6.1 Introduction | 99 |
| 6.2 Materials | 99 |
| 6.3 Methods | 102 |
| 6.4 Results | 103 |
| 6.4.1 Status | 103 |
| 6.4.2 Sex | 105 |
| 6.4.3 Age | 106 |
| 6.5 Discussion | 106 |
| 6.6 Conclusion | 109 |
| Acknowledgements | 109 |
| 7. Entheseal change in osteoarchaeology: uses, limitations, and future | 111 |
| perspectives | |
| 7.1 Activity marker research | 111 |
| 7.2 EC and other activity markers | 112 |
| 7.3 Study comparability | 112 |
| 7.4 EC in nonadults | 113 |
| 7.5 EC as an indicator of social differentiation | 113 |
| 7.6 Osteoarchaeological contribution | 113 |
| 7.7 Future research | 114 |
| 7.8 In conclusion | 115 |
| References | 117 |
| Appendices | 135 |
| Appendix A: appendix to chapter 2 | 135 |
| Appendix A1: tables listing OA and EC occurrence per joint surface and | 135 |
| per age and sex category. | |
| Appendix A2: tables listing EC occurrence per entheses and per age and | 137 |
| sex category | |

| Appendix B: appendix to chapter 4 | 142 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Appendix B1: muscle marking score gradation as it was envisioned in the | 142 |
| first version of the recording method. | |
| Appendix B2: inter-observer agreement test data | 146 |
| Appendix B3: ec data on all individuals per side, enthesis and trait | 152 |
| Appendix B4: scoring guide | 162 |
| Appendix B5: recording form | 168 |
| Appendix C: appendix to chapter 6 | 169 |
| Appendix D: appendix to chapters 3, 5, and 6 | 170 |
| Appendix D1: kort Rapport: Aalst-Hopmarkt 2011: fysisch antropologische | 170 |
| analyse | |
| Appendix D2: fysisch antropologische analyse Aalst-Louis D'haeseleerstraat | 177 |
| Appendix D3: Fysisch antropologische analyse Aalst-Sint Martinuskerk | 201 |
| Appendix E: additional literature | 202 |
| Affiliations of co-authors | 207 |
| Summary | 209 |
| Nederlandse samenvatting | 213 |
| Samenvatting voor niet-specialisten | 217 |
| Curriculum vitae | 219 |