



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **The rise of the Köprülü family: the reconfiguration of vizierial power in the seventeenth century**

Bekar, C.

### **Citation**

Bekar, C. (2019, March 6). *The rise of the Köprülü family: the reconfiguration of vizierial power in the seventeenth century*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/69483>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/69483>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/69483> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Bekar C.

**Title:** The rise of the Köprülü family: the reconfiguration of vizierial power in the seventeenth century

**Issue Date:** 2019-03-06

**The Rise of the Köprülü Family: The Reconfiguration  
of Vizierial Power in the Seventeenth Century**

**PROEFSCHRIFT**

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M.  
Stolker, volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties  
te verdedigen op woensdag 6 maart  
2019 klokke 15.00 uur

door

**Cumhur Bekar**

geboren te  
Karaisalı in 1982

Promotores: Prof. dr. J.F.J. Duindam (Universiteit Leiden)  
Prof. dr. M. Kunt (University of Cambridge, UK)

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. J.J.L. Gommans (Universiteit Leiden)  
Prof. dr. E.J. Zurcher (Universiteit Leiden)  
Dr. R.L.A. van Leeuwen (Universiteit Amsterdam)  
Prof. dr. C. Kafadar (Harvard University, USA)  
Prof.dr. T. Artan (Sabancı University, Turkey)

To my mother and father,

*Zeynep and Mehmet*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>SAMENVATTING (SUMMARY IN DUTCH).....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>CURRICULUM VITAE.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>STELLINGEN (PROPOSITIONS).....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1: THE RIVALRY BETWEEN THE INNER-COURT SERVANTS- AND THE GRAND VIZIER IN THE LATE SIXTEENTH AND EARLY SEVENTEENTH CENTURIES .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>1.1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>1.2. The Rise of the Royal Favorites at the Ottoman Court.....</b>	<b>26</b>
1.2.1. Favorites of Murad III, Mehmed III and Ahmed I.....	28
1.2.2. The Favorites of Murad IV and Ibrahim I .....	33
<b>1.3. The Creation of the Office of the Chief Black Eunuch in the Harem.....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>1.4. The Demise of the Power of the Grand Vizierate.....</b>	<b>43</b>
1.4.1. The changes in the hierarchical pattern of vizierial promotion.....	48
1.4.2. The emergence of the <i>telhis</i> as the main mode of communication .....	53
<b>CHAPTER 2: THE RISE OF KÖPRÜLÜ MEHMED PASHA: RESTORATION OF THE AUTHORITY OF THE GRAND VIZIER (1651-1661).....</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>2.1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>2.2. The Early Career of Köprülü Mehmed Pasha .....</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>2.3. The Regency of Hadice Turhan Sultan: The path to the grand vizierate of Köprülü Mehmed Pasha (1651-1656).....</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>2.4. The Grand Vizierate of Köprülü Mehmed Pasha: The Consolidation of the Authority of the Grand Vizierate .....</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>2.5. The Greatest Challenge to the Authority of Köprülü Mehmed Pasha: Abaza Hasan Pasha Rebellion and its Repercussions.....</b>	<b>92</b>

<b>CHAPTER 3: THE BALANCE BETWEEN MEHMED IV AND FAZIL AHMED PASHA (1661-1676).....</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>3.1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>3.2. The Swift Rise Of Köprülü Fazıl Ahmed Pasha .....</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>3.3. A Late Response to the Growing Power of the Köprülü Family: The Execution of Şamizade and his Son-In-Law.....</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>3.4. A New Sovereignty Mode of Mehmed IV.....</b>	<b>125</b>
3.4.1. The Deputies of the Grand Vizier in Edirne and Istanbul: The New Configuration of the Administrative System.....	135
3.4.3. The Circle of Sultan Mehmed IV: The Rise of <i>Musahib</i> Mustafa Pasha and the Silence of the Chief Harem Eunuchs .....	146
 <b>CHAPTER 4: THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE KÖPRÜLÜ POWER: A NEW ANALYSIS OF THE KÖPRÜLÜ HOUSEHOLD.....</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>4.1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>4.2. The First Layer of the Köprülü Household.....</b>	<b>164</b>
4.2.1. Family Members.....	164
4.2.2. Always Loyal, Always Client: <i>Kethüdas</i> of the Köprülü Household .....	170
4.2.3. <i>Agas</i> .....	178
4.2.4. Scribes.....	181
<b>4.3. The Second Layer of the Köprülü Household: Clients in the Administrative System .</b>	<b>184</b>
4.3.1. Sons-in-law as main force of the Köprülü household.....	185
4.3.2. Other influential Pashas related to the Köprülü Household .....	191
<b>4.4. The Third Layer of the Köprülü Household: The Relationship between Köprülü Household and Provincial Timariots .....</b>	<b>194</b>
<b>4.5. Conclusion.....</b>	<b>200</b>
 <b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>203</b>
 <b>BIBLIOGRAPHY .....</b>	<b>211</b>

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank supervisors of this dissertation, Jeroen Duindam and Metin Kunt. I am greatly indebted to Jeroen Duindam who constantly pushed me to do better for the last five years and provided me with every possible help during the process. I hope that the dissertation has eventually proven “clear” as he has demanded. I am also grateful to Metin Kunt for his genuine interest in my project and generously sharing his immense knowledge on the Ottoman history. I truly and greatly owe to Cemal Kafadar for his unremitting support and unwavering encouragement.

This research was carried out as part of the NWO-Horizon research program “Eurasian Empires.” First of all, I present my gratitude to our post-doc researchers Liesbeth Gevers and Marie Favereau-Doumenjou and researchers William Flinterman, Kim Ragetli and Lennart Bess. The actual “emperors” of the project were, of course, Barend Noordam and Hans Voeten. I will forever remember with great joy our conversations on politics and history that we enjoyed along with either latte macchiato or Turkish tea. I would like to thank Maaïke van Berken, Peter Rietbergen, Richard van Leeuwen, Rebecca Wensma and Josephine van den Bent for their firm support.

Many professors and friends made considerable contributions to the writing process. Firstly, Helen Pfeifer lent her full support from the beginning to the end of the project and endeavored to convince me that I am a good historian. She read parts of the dissertation and gave me precious comments. Moreover, Oktay Özel offered a colossal help to the dissertation. Our conversations on bikes, along the lakeshores or inside the sleek coffee shops of Leiden have solidly nourished this thesis. I would like to thank Özgür Kolçak. With great modesty, he has shared with me every source he possessed with great patience; he has answered every question I constantly bothered him with. My dear friend Ahmet Tunç Şen taught me how to ably introduce my arguments at a most critical time of the writing process and saved me from a good deal of mistakes through a diligent reading of the text. I am grateful to Halef Cevrioğlu. As the dragoman of our day, Halef has not only read each and every page of this dissertation but has also responded to my endless requests with great astute since the day we met in Leiden.



I made many great acquaintances during the long while I spent in Leiden. I express my thanks to İsmet Erdi Somuncuođlu, Hilal Kutlu, Remzi ađatay akırlar, Gzde Kirciođlu, AyŖe Arslan, Hava Ycel, Hasan olak, Faryaneh Fadaeiresketi, Eftychia Mylona, Okan Bahtiyar, ıđdem Ođuz, and mer Koyiđit.

For the friends who deserve special thanks not merely within the framework of the present dissertation, but also for their life-long support: if it were not for my comrades Selim Tezcan and Fatih Durgun, I would have departed from the academic route long ago. I greatly owe to their sagacity, and even more so to their friendship. I shall never forget our conversation in the Chopin Park, Ankara. I should also thank Erkan Kartalođlu, Burak Grtuđ Gney, Murat Ŗivilođlu, Polat Safi, zgn Deniz YoldaŖlar, Turgay Koak, Uđur Bektemir, Togan Oral, Mehmet Ttnc, Didar AyŖe Akbulut, Polina Ivanova, Leon Aslanov, Grkem zizmirli, Cemal etin, Alp Eren Topal, Sevin Kkođlu, AyŖe Ozil, Hatice Oru, Aykut Muslak, Nir Shafir, Bilal Kalyoncu and Sinan Ŗanlıer for their friendship and support. I can no longer thank the late my high school friend Mustafa Tatlı, whose memory continues to support me.

The most important parts of this thesis were written in Adana and London. I am deeply grateful to the Dnmez family, Murat Akkoyun, Mehmet Can Aslan and Sevcan Akyar in Adana and Mehmet KarakuŖ, Kbra Yıldırım, Sermet ađan, Yunus Emre Karadađ and Zlatka Kaptieva in London. I would also like to thank M.Talha icek for enlightening conversations in the beautiful parks of London.

It goes without saying that I wholeheartedly thank my family who has encouraged me from the first day I ventured into academic life onward and who made sure that I feel their support despite the long distances between us. My mother Zeynep Bekar has made great sacrifices in order to establish the optimal ambience for me to complete this dissertation while she was also making great efforts to take care of my father whose health condition went worse every passing day. And as my father, Mehmet Bekar, unfortunately, kept losing contact with the real world progressively, it comforted me to stay beside him throughout his difficult days. Finally, without the unflinching love and enduring companionship of my wife, AyŖe Gken Ycel, I would not have managed to complete the present dissertation. Her unconditional and unrestrained love has meant everything.