



<https://openaccess.leidenuniv.nl>

### **License: Article 25fa pilot End User Agreement**

This publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act (Auteurswet) with explicit consent by the author. Dutch law entitles the maker of a short scientific work funded either wholly or partially by Dutch public funds to make that work publicly available for no consideration following a reasonable period of time after the work was first published, provided that clear reference is made to the source of the first publication of the work.

This publication is distributed under The Association of Universities in the Netherlands (VSNU) 'Article 25fa implementation' pilot project. In this pilot research outputs of researchers employed by Dutch Universities that comply with the legal requirements of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act are distributed online and free of cost or other barriers in institutional repositories. Research outputs are distributed six months after their first online publication in the original published version and with proper attribution to the source of the original publication.

You are permitted to download and use the publication for personal purposes. All rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyrights owner(s) of this work. Any use of the publication other than authorised under this licence or copyright law is prohibited.

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please contact the Library through email: [OpenAccess@library.leidenuniv.nl](mailto:OpenAccess@library.leidenuniv.nl)

### **Article details**

Lam H.D., Pas S.L. van der, Baranski A., Hoek B. van, Burgmans M.C., Bennink R.J. & Geus-Oei L.F. de (2018), The role of proportionate kinetic growth rate fraction in future remnant liver function over volume determined by 99m Tc-Mebrofenin hepatobiliary scintigraphy including SPECT and computed tomography in the risk prediction of postoperative mortality in ALPPS, *Surgery* .

Doi: [10.1016/j.surg.2018.10.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2018.10.020)



## The role of proportionate kinetic growth rate fraction in future remnant liver function over volume determined by $^{99m}$ Tc-Mebrofenin hepatobiliary scintigraphy including SPECT and computed tomography in the risk prediction of postoperative mortality in ALPPS

We would like to propose a potential novel method for predicting posthepatectomy liver failure (PHLF) after associating liver partitioning and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy (ALPPS). This technique uses the combined results of future remnant liver volume (fRLV)<sup>1,2</sup> based on volumetric computed tomography (CT) measurements and future remnant liver function (fRLF) based on technetium-99m ( $^{99m}$ Tc)-Mebrofenin hepatobiliary scintigraphy (HBS) with a Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT) camera.<sup>3-6</sup> Individually, the standard analysis of these preoperative assessments have shown shortcomings in predicting PHLF in the interstage of ALPPS.<sup>7-10</sup> But, by using the results in combination, we suggest a new integrated parameter: the relative proportion kinetic growth rate (KGR) fraction of functional over volume increase that might prove to be better at predicting PHLF at the interstage of ALPPS.

In 5 patients (48–57 years of age) who underwent the hybrid ALPPS procedure<sup>11,12</sup> for hepatic malignancy (2 intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, 1 colorectal liver metastasis, 1 gallbladder cancer, and 1 hepatocellular carcinoma), fRLV measurements by volumetric CT and fRLF assessment by HBS scan<sup>5</sup> were performed both preoperatively and during the interstage ALPPS. The decision to proceed to completion hepatectomy was performed if the predefined critical cutoff levels of both fRLV (>30%) and fRLF (>2.7%/min/m<sup>2</sup>) were met.

All patients had an insufficient fRLV (mean 22%; 10%–28.9%) preoperatively. The preoperative mean fRLF was 2.6 %/min/m<sup>2</sup> (range: 0.9%–3.5 %/min/m<sup>2</sup>). At the interstage, the fRLV increased in all patients, showing a mean 98% increase after a mean interval of 10.4 days after completion of the first step (7–14 days). However, all, but 1 patient, had a fRLF growth with a mean 49% increase measured at a mean interval of 10.6 days (8–28 days; Table 1). The patient without fRLF growth did not proceed to completion hepatectomy. The remaining patients underwent completion hepatectomy. In 1 of these 4 remaining patients, completion hepatectomy had been postponed to 28 days after liver partitioning owing to an initially insufficient fRLF, but this patient developed lethal PHLF on postop day 4. The clinical course of this patient further demonstrates the drawbacks of using absolute cutoff values of fRLV or fRLF as described elsewhere<sup>7,9,10</sup>, and the alleged importance of using KGR in clinical context as a better predictor for PHLF.<sup>13–16</sup>

The “disproportionate” increase of the remnant liver volume compared to fRLF might be the cause of the greater morbidity and mortality reported in ALPPS.<sup>7</sup> In addition, recent pathologic studies of the remnant liver showing a higher density but smaller hepatocytes in the remnant liver seem to support the dysfunctional vol-

ume theory. These hepatocytes also contain fewer organelles and are thus less metabolic active.<sup>17</sup> This intangible relationship has led us to recommend the increase over time of function relative to volume (proportionate KGR function over volume fraction [pKGR f/V]) as a predictor for PHLF.

$$\text{pKGR f/V} = \left( \frac{\frac{(fRLF_{interstage} - fRLF_{preoperative})}{fRLF_{preoperative}}}{\text{Interval (days)} fRLF} \right) / \left( \frac{\frac{(fRLV_{interstage} - fRLV_{preoperative})}{fRLV_{preoperative}}}{\text{Interval (days)} fRLV} \right)$$

We encourage further research to validate the ideal cutoff value of proportionate KGR function over volume fraction in larger series.

## References

- Khan AS, Garcia-Aroz S, Ansari MA, Atiq SM, Senter-Zapata M, Fowler K, et al. Assessment and optimization of liver volume before major hepatic resection: current guidelines and a narrative review. *Int J Surg (London, England)*. 2018;52:74–81.
- Bertens KA, Hawel J, Lung K, Buac S, Pineda-Solis K, Hernandez-Alejandro R. ALPPS: challenging the concept of unresectability—a systematic review. *International J Surg (London, England)*. 2015;13:280–287.
- Erdogan D, Heijnen BH, Bennink RJ, Kok M, Dinant S, Straatsburg IH, et al. Preoperative assessment of liver function: a comparison of  $^{99m}$ Tc-Mebrofenin scintigraphy with indocyanine green clearance test. *Liver Int*. 2004;24:117–123.
- de Graaf W, van Lienden KP, Dinant S, Roelofs JJ, Busch OR, Gouma DJ, et al. Assessment of future remnant liver function using hepatobiliary scintigraphy in patients undergoing major liver resection. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2010;14:369–378.
- de Graaf W, van Lienden KP, van Gulik TM, Bennink RJ. ( $^{99m}$ Tc-mebrofenin hepatobiliary scintigraphy with SPECT for the assessment of hepatic function and liver functional volume before partial hepatectomy. *J Nucl Med*. 2010;51:229–236.
- Cieslak KP, Runge JH, Heger M, Stoker J, Bennink RJ, van Gulik TM. New perspectives in the assessment of future remnant liver. *Dig Surg*. 2014;31:255–268.
- Kang D, Schadde E. Hypertrophy and liver function in ALPPS: correlation with morbidity and mortality. *Visc Med*. 2017;33:426–433.
- Schnitzbauer AA, Lang SA, Goessmann H, Nadalin S, Baumgart J, Farkas SA, et al. Right portal vein ligation combined with in situ splitting induces rapid left lateral liver lobe hypertrophy enabling 2-staged extended right hepatic resection in small-for-size settings. *Ann Surg*. 2012;255:405–414.
- Schadde E, Schnitzbauer AA, Tschuor C, Raptis DA, Bechstein WO, Clavien PA. Systematic review and meta-analysis of feasibility, safety, and efficacy of a novel procedure: associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2015;22:3109–3120.
- Olfhof PB, Tomassini F, Huespe PE, Truant S, Pruvot FR, Troisi RI, et al. Hepatobiliary scintigraphy to evaluate liver function in associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy: liver volume overestimates liver function. *Surgery*. 2017;162:775–783.
- Li J, Kantas A, Ittrich H, Koops A, Achilles EG, Fischer L, et al. Avoid “all-Touch” by hybrid ALPPS to achieve oncological efficacy. *Ann Surg*. 2016;263:e6–e7.
- Lai Q, Melandro F, Rossi M. Hybrid partial ALPPS: a feasible approach in case of right trisegmentectomy and macrovascular invasion. *Ann Surg*. 2018;267:e80–e82.
- Kambakamba P, Stocker D, Reiner CS, Nguyen-Kim TD, Linecker M, Eshmuminov D, et al. Liver kinetic growth rate predicts postoperative liver failure after ALPPS. *HPB (Oxford)*. 2016;18:800–805.
- Shindoh J, Truty MJ, Aloia TA, Curley SA, Zimmitti G, Huang SY, et al. Kinetic growth rate after portal vein embolization predicts posthepatectomy outcomes: toward zero liver-related mortality in patients with colorectal liver metastases and small future liver remnant. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2013;216:201–209.

**Table 1**

Preoperative and interstage volumetric and HBS results with calculated fraction proportionate KGR function over volume fraction against postoperative outcome for all 5 patients.

| Patient number | Preoperative fRLV (%) | Interstage fRLV (%) | Preoperative fRLF (%/min/m <sup>2</sup> ) | Interstage fRLF (%/min/m <sup>2</sup> ) | Fraction proportionate KGR function over volume fraction | Postoperative outcome |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------|
| Patient 1      | 22.1                  | 45.0                | 3.5                                       | 4.4                                     | 0.2  | Alive                 |
| Patient 2      | 9.9                   | 31.6                | 2.7                                       | 4.1                                     | 0.2  | Alive                 |
| Patient 3      | 28.7                  | 40.4                | 3.3                                       | 4.1                                     | 0.6  | Alive                 |
| Patient 4      | 24.5                  | 52.0                | 2.8                                       | 2.1                                     | -0.2   | Aborted               |
| Patient 5      | 25.5                  | 28.8 (42.1*)        | 0.9                                       | 2.4 (3.9*)                              | 5.1  | Deceased              |
| <b>Mean</b>    | <b>22.1</b>           | <b>39.6</b>         | <b>2.6</b>                                | <b>3.4</b>                              | <b>2.7</b>   |                       |

\* 28 days after PVE.

15. Sparreli E, Jonas E, Tzortzakis A, Dahlen U, Murquist G, Brismar T, et al. Dynamic evaluation of liver volume and function in associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2017;21:967–974.
16. Tani K, Shindoh J, Takamoto T, Shibahara J, Nishioka Y, Hashimoto T, et al. Kinetic changes in liver parenchyma after preoperative chemotherapy for patients with colorectal liver metastases. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2017;21:813–821.
17. Matsuo K, Murakami T, Kawaguchi D, Hiroshima Y, Koda K, Yamazaki K, et al. Histologic features after surgery associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy versus those after hepatectomy with portal vein embolization. *Surgery*. 2016;159:1289–1298.

Hwai-Ding Lam, MD\*

Department of Surgery, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

Stéphanie Louise van der Pas, PhD

Medical Statistics, Department of Biomedical Data Sciences, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands  
Mathematical Institute, Leiden University, Leiden, the Netherlands

Andrzej Baranski, MD, PhD

Department of Surgery, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

Bart van Hoek, MD, PhD

Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

Mark Christiaan Burgmans, MD, PhD

Department of Radiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

Roelof Johannes Bennink, MD, PhD

Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Lioe-Fee de Geus-Oei, MD, PhD

Department of Radiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

Biomedical Photonic Imaging Group, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Twente, the Netherlands

\*Corresponding author: Leiden University Medical Center, Department of Surgery, Albinusdreef 2, 2333 ZA, Leiden, the Netherlands.

E-mail address: h.lam@lumc.nl (H.-D. Lam)

Accepted 9 October 2018