



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Simple rule learning is not simple : studies on infant and adult pattern perception and production

Geambasu, A.

Citation

Geambasu, A. (2018, December 11). *Simple rule learning is not simple : studies on infant and adult pattern perception and production*. LOT dissertation series. LOT, Utrecht. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/67540>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/67540>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/67540> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Geambasu, A.

Title: Simple rule learning is not simple : studies on infant and adult pattern perception and production

Issue Date: 2018-12-11

References

- Alhama, R. G. (2017). *Computational modeling of artificial language learning: Retention, recognition and recurrence*. University of Amsterdam.
- Ashby, F. G., Maddox, W. T., & Bohil, C. J. (2002). Observational versus feedback training in rule-based and information-integration category learning. *Memory & Cognition*, *30*(5), 666–677.
- Aslin, R. N. (2007). What's in a look? *Developmental Science*, *10*(1), 48–53.
- Beckers, G. J., Bolhuis, J. J., Okanoya, K., & Berwick, R. C. (2012, feb). Birdsong neurolinguistics. *NeuroReport*, *23*(3), 139–145.
- Bergelson, E., & Swingle, D. (2012). At 6-9 months, human infants know the meanings of many common nouns. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *109*(9), 3253–8.
- Bernard, C., & Gervain, J. (2012). Prosodic cues to word order: What level of representation? *Frontiers in Psychology*, *3*(OCT), 451.
- Boersma, P., & Weenink, D. (2007). Praat: doing phonetics by computer (Version 4.5.) [Computer program]. Retrieved from <http://www.praat.org/>, *5*(9/10), 341–345.
- Braine, M. D. S. (1987). *What is Learned in Acquiring Word Classes - A Step Toward an Acquisition Theory*.
- Bunge, S. A., & Zelazo, P. D. (2006). A brain-based account of the development of rule use in childhood. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *15*(3), 118–121.
- Chen, J., & ten Cate, C. (2015). Zebra finches can use positional and transitional cues to distinguish vocal element strings. *Behavioural Processes*, *117*, 29–34.
- Chen, J., van Rossum, D., & ten Cate, C. (2015). Artificial grammar learning in zebra finches and human adults: XYX versus XXY. *Animal Cognition*, *18*(1), 151–164.
- Christiansen, M. H., Conway, C. M., & Curtin, S. (2000). A Connectionist Single-Mechanism Account of Rule-Like Behavior in Infancy. *Proceedings*

- of the 22nd Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 1(Experiment 1), 83–88.
- Christophe, A., & Morton, J. (1998). Is Dutch native English? Linguistic analysis by 2-month-olds. *Developmental Science*, 1(2), 215–219.
- Cohen, J. (1994). The earth is round ($p < .05$). *American Psychologist*, 49(12), 997–1003.
- Conway, C. M., & Christiansen, M. H. (2006). Statistical learning within and between modalities : Pitting abstract against stimulus-specific representations. *Psychological Science*, 17(10), 905–912.
- Cooper, R. P., & Aslin, R. N. (1990). Preference for Infant-Directed Speech in the First Month After Birth. *Child Development*, 61(5), 1584–1595.
- Corballis, M. C. (2007). Recursion, language, and starlings. *Cognitive science*, 31(4), 697–704.
- Creel, S. C., Newport, E. L., & Aslin, R. N. (2004). Distant melodies: statistical learning of nonadjacent dependencies in tone sequences. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 30(5), 1119–1130.
- Csibra, G., Hernik, M., Mascaró, O., Tatone, D., & Lengyel, M. (2015). Statistical treatment of looking-time data. *Developmental Psychology*(2015), 1–16.
- Dale, R., & Christiansen, M. H. (2004). Active and passive statistical learning: Exploring the role of feedback in artificial grammar learning and language. In *Proceedings of the annual meeting of the cognitive science society* (Vol. 26).
- Davis, B. L., & MacNeilage, P. F. (1995). The articulatory basis of babbling. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 38(6), 1199–1211.
- de Boysson-Bardies, B. (2001). *How language comes to children: From birth to two years*. MIT Press.
- de Boysson-Bardies, B., & Vihman, M. M. (1991). Adaptation to language: Evidence from babbling and first words in four languages. *Language*, 67(2), 297–319.
- Demuth, K., Culbertson, J., & Alter, J. (2006). and Coda Licensing in the Early Acquisition of English.
- Demuth, K., & Tremblay, A. (2008). Prosodically-conditioned variability in children's production of french determiners. *Journal of Child Language*, 35(1), 99–127.
- DePaolis, R. A., Vihman, M. M., & Nakai, S. (2013). The influence of babbling patterns on the processing of speech. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 36(4), 642–649.
- de Vries, M., Christiansen, M., & Petersson, K. M. (2011). Learning recursion: Multiple nested and crossed dependencies. *Biolinguistics*, 5(1-2), 010–035.
- de Vries, M., Monaghan, P., Knecht, S., & Zwitserlood, P. (2008). Syntactic structure and artificial grammar learning: The learnability of embedded

- hierarchical structures. *Cognition*, 107(2), 763–774.
- Edmunds, C., Milton, F., & Wills, A. J. (2015). Feedback can be superior to observational training for both rule-based and information-integration category structures. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 68(6), 1203–1222.
- ELAN Version 5.0.0*. (2017). Nijmegen: Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics.
- Endress, A. D. (2013). Bayesian learning and the psychology of rule induction. *Cognition*, 127(2), 159–176.
- Endress, A. D., & Bonatti, L. L. (2007). Rapid learning of syllable classes from a perceptually continuous speech stream. *Cognition*, 105(2), 247–299.
- Endress, A. D., Dehaene-Lambertz, G., & Mehler, J. (2007). Perceptual constraints and the learnability of simple grammars. *Cognition*, 105(3), 577–614.
- Endress, A. D., Nespors, M., & Mehler, J. (2009). Perceptual and memory constraints on language acquisition. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 13(8), 348–353.
- Endress, A. D., Scholl, B. J., & Mehler, J. (2005). The role of salience in the extraction of algebraic rules. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 134(3), 406–419.
- E-Prime 2.0, Psychology Software Tools, Inc.* (2012).
- Fagan, M. K. (2015). Why repetition? Repetitive babbling, auditory feedback, and cochlear implantation. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 137, 125–136.
- Ferguson, B., & Lew-Williams, C. (2016). Communicative signals support abstract rule learning by 7-month-old infants. *Nature Publishing Group*(May), 1–7.
- Ferguson, B., & Waxman, S. R. (2015). Visual abstract rule learning by 3- and 4-month-old infants. *Proceedings of the 39th Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, 692–697.
- Fitch, W. T. (2014). Toward a computational framework for cognitive biology: unifying approaches from cognitive neuroscience and comparative cognition. *Physics of Life Reviews*, 11(3), 329–364.
- Fitch, W. T., & Friederici, A. D. (2012, jul). Artificial grammar learning meets formal language theory: an overview. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London - Series B: Biological Sciences*, 367(1598), 1933–55.
- Fitch, W. T., & Hauser, M. D. M. (2004). Computational constraints on syntactic processing in a nonhuman primate. *Science*, 303(5656), 377.
- Forkstam, C., Elwér, Å., Ingvar, M., & Petersson, K. M. (2008). Instruction effects in implicit artificial grammar learning: A preference for grammaticality. *Brain Research*, 1221, 80–92.
- Frank, M. C. (2013). Throwing out the Bayesian baby with the optimal bathwater: Response to Endress (2013). *Cognition*, 128(3), 417–423.

- Frank, M. C., Slemmer, J. A., Marcus, G. F., & Johnson, S. P. (2009). Information from multiple modalities helps 5-month-olds learn abstract rules. *Developmental Science*, *12*(4), 504–509.
- Frank, M. C., & Tenenbaum, J. B. (2011, sep). Three ideal observer models for rule learning in simple languages. *Cognition*, *120*(3), 360–371.
- Freitas, M. J. (1997). *Aquisição da estrutura silábica do português europeu*.
- Friederici, A. D., Bahlmann, J., Heim, S., Schubotz, R. I., & Anwander, A. (2006). The brain differentiates human and non-human grammars: functional localization and structural connectivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *103*(7), 2458–63.
- Geambaşu, A., Ravignani, A., & Levelt, C. C. (2016). Preliminary experiments on human sensitivity to rhythmic structure in a grammar with recursive self-similarity. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *10*, 281.
- Geambaşu, A., Scheel, M., & Levelt, C. C. (2016). Cross-linguistic patterns in infant babbling. In *Proceedings of the 40th annual Boston University Conference on Language Development*. Cascadilla Press.
- Gebhart, A. L., Newport, E. L., & Aslin, R. N. (2009). Statistical Learning of Adjacent and Non-Adjacent Dependencies among Non-Linguistic Sounds. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *16*(3), 486–490.
- Gebhart, A. L., Newport, E. L., & Aslin, R. N. (2010). NIH Public Access. , *16*(3), 486–490.
- Gerken, L. (2006). Decisions, decisions: Infant language learning when multiple generalizations are possible. *Cognition*, *98*(3), B67–74.
- Gerken, L. (2010). Infants use rational decision criteria for choosing among models of their input. *Cognition*, *115*(2), 362–366.
- Gerken, L., & Boltt, A. (2008). Three Exemplars Allow at Least Some Linguistic Generalizations: Implications for Generalization Mechanisms and Constraints. *Language Learning and Development*, *4*(3), 228–248.
- Gerken, L., Dawson, C., Chatila, R., & Tenenbaum, J. (2015). Surprise! Infants consider possible bases of generalization for a single input example. *Developmental Science*, *18*(1), 80–89.
- Gerken, L., Wilson, R., & Lewis, W. (2005). Infants can use distributional cues to form syntactic categories. *Journal of Child Language*, *32*(2), 249–268.
- Gervain, J., Macagno, F., Cogoi, S., Peña, M., & Mehler, J. (2008). The neonate brain detects speech structure. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *105*(37), 14222–14227.
- Gervain, J., & Werker, J. F. (2013). Learning non-adjacent regularities at age 0 ; 7. *Journal of Child Language*, *40*(4), 860–72.
- Gómez, R. L. (1997). Transfer and Complexity in Artificial Grammar Learning. *Cognitive Psychology*, *33*, 154–207.
- Gómez, R. L. (2002). Variability and detection of invariant structure. *Psychological science : a journal of the American Psychological Society / APS*, *13*(5), 431–436.
- Gómez, R. L., & Gerken, L. (1999). Artificial grammar learning by 1-year-olds

- leads to specific and abstract knowledge. *Cognition*, 70(2), 109–35.
- Gómez, R. L., & Gerken, L. (2000). Infant artificial language learning and language acquisition. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 4(5), 178–186.
- Gómez, R. L., Gerken, L., & Schvaneveldt, R. W. (2000). The basis of transfer in artificial grammar learning. *Memory & Cognition*, 28(2), 253–263.
- Hauser, M. D., Chomsky, N., & Fitch, W. T. (2002). The faculty of language: What is it, who has it, and how did it evolve? *Science*, 298(5598), 1569–1579.
- Hay, J. S. F., & Diehl, R. L. (2007). Perception of rhythmic grouping: testing the iambic/trochaic law. *Perception & psychophysics*, 69(1), 113–122.
- Hochmann, J. R., Azadpour, M., & Mehler, J. (2008). Do Humans Really Learn A^nB^n Artificial Grammars From Exemplars? *Cognitive Science*, 32, 1021–1036.
- Hoff, E. (2008). *Language development*. Cengage Learning.
- Horst, J. S., & Hout, M. C. (2016). The Novel Object and Unusual Name (NOUN) Database: A collection of novel images for use in experimental research. *Behavior Research Methods*, 48(4), 1393–1409.
- Isbilen, E. S., McCauley, S. M., Kidd, E., & Christiansen, M. H. (2017). Testing statistical learning implicitly: a novel chunk-based measure of statistical learning. In *the 39th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society (CogSci 2017)* (pp. 564–569).
- Jang, Y., Wixted, J. T., & Huber, D. E. (2009). Testing signal-detection models of yes/no and two-alternative forced-choice recognition memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 138(2), 291.
- JASP Team. (2017). *JASP*.
- Jeffreys, H. (1961). The theory of probability. *International series of monographs on physics*.
- Johansson, T. (2009). Strengthening the case for stimulus-specificity in artificial grammar learning. *Exp Psychol*, 56(3), 188–197.
- Johnson, E. K., & Zamuner, T. (2010). Using infant and toddler testing methods in language acquisition research. *Experimental Methods in Language Acquisition Research*, 73–94.
- Johnson, S. P., Fernandes, K. J., Frank, M. C., Kirkham, N., Marcus, G., Rabagliati, H., & Slemmer, J. A. (2009). Abstract Rule Learning for Visual Sequences in 8- and 11-Month-Olds. *Infancy*, 14(1), 2–18.
- Johnstone, T., & Shanks, D. R. (1999). Two Mechanisms in Implicit Artificial Grammar Learning ? *Cognition*, 25(2), 524–531.
- Johnstone, T., & Shanks, D. R. (2001). Abstractionist and processing accounts of implicit learning. *Cognitive Psychology*, 42(1), 61–112.
- Junge, C., Cutler, A., & Hagoort, P. (2012). Electrophysiological evidence of early word learning. *Neuropsychologia*, 50(14), 3702–3712.
- Jusczyk, P. W., & Aslin, R. N. (1995). Infants detection of the sound patterns of words in fluent speech. *Cognitive Psychology*, 29, 1–23.
- Jusczyk, P. W., & Luce, P. (1994). Infants' Sensitivity to Phonotactic Patterns

- in the Native Language. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 33(5), 630–645.
- Kemler Nelson, D. G., Jusczyk, P. W., Mandel, D. R., Myers, J., Turk, A., & Gerken, L. (1995). The head-turn preference procedure for testing auditory perception. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 18(1), 111–116.
- Kern, S., Davis, B., & Zink, I. (2009). From babbling to first words in four languages: Common trends across languages and individual differences.
- Kidd, C., Piantadosi, S. T., & Aslin, R. N. (2010). The Goldilocks Effect: Infants' preference for stimuli that are neither too predictable nor too surprising. *Proceedings of the 32nd Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, 2476–2481.
- Kinder, A., & Assmann, A. (2000). Learning artificial grammars: no evidence for the acquisition of rules. *Memory & Cognition*, 28(8), 1321–1332.
- Knowlton, B. J., & Squire, L. R. (1996). Artificial grammar learning depends on implicit acquisition of both abstract and exemplar-specific information. *Journal of experimental psychology. Learning, memory, and cognition*, 22(1), 169–181.
- Koopmans-van Beinum, F. (1980). *Vowel contrast reduction: An acoustic and perceptual study of Dutch vowels in various speech conditions*.
- Koopmans-Van Beinum, F. J., & van der Stelt, J. M. (1986). Early stages in the development of speech movements. In *Precursors of early speech* (pp. 37–50). Springer.
- Kovács, Á. M., & Endress, A. D. (2014). Hierarchical processing in seven-month-old infants. *Infancy*, 19(4), 409–425.
- Kovács, Á. M., & Mehler, J. (2009). Cognitive gains in 7-month-old bilingual infants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(16), 6556–60.
- Kuhn, G., & Dienes, Z. (2005). Implicit Learning of Nonlocal Musical Rules: Implicitly Learning More Than Chunks. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 31(6), 1417–1432.
- Kuhn, G., & Dienes, Z. (2006). Differences in the types of musical regularity learnt in incidental- and intentional-learning conditions. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 59(10), 1725–1744.
- Lai, J., & Poletiek, F. H. (2013). How “small” is “starting small” for learning hierarchical centre-embedded structures? *Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 25(4), 423–435.
- Lany, J., & Gómez, R. L. (2008). Twelve-Month-Old Infants Benefit From Prior Experience in Statistical Learning. *Psychological Science*, 19(12), 1247–1252.
- Levelt, C. C. (1994). *On the acquisition of a place feature representation*. Dordrecht.
- Levelt, W. (2013). *A history of psycholinguistics: The pre-Chomskyan era*. Oxford University Press.
- Lindenmayer, A. (1968). Mathematical Models for Cellular Interactions in

- Development I. Filaments with One-sided Inputs. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 18, 280–299.
- Lindenmayer, A., & Rozenberg, G. (1972). Developmental systems and languages. In *STOC '72 proceedings of the fourth annual ACM symposium on theory of computing* (pp. 214–221).
- Lipkind, D., Marcus, G. F., Bemis, D. K., Sasahara, K., Jacoby, N., Takahasi, M., . . . Tchernichovski, O. (2013). Stepwise acquisition of vocal combinatorial capacity in songbirds and human infants. *Nature*, 498(7452), 104–8.
- Maddox, W. T., Ashby, F. G., & Bohil, C. J. (2003). Delayed feedback effects on rule-based and information-integration category learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 29(4), 650–662.
- Majorano, M., Vihman, M. M., & DePaolis, R. A. (2014). The relationship between infants' production experience and their processing of speech. *Language Learning and Development*, 10(2), 179–204.
- Marcus, G. F., Fernandes, K. J., & Johnson, S. P. (2007). Infant rule learning facilitated by speech. *Psychological Science*, 18(5), 387–391.
- Marcus, G. F., Vijayan, S., Bandi Rao, S., & Vishton, P. (1999). Rule learning by seven-month-old infants. *Science*, 283(5398), 77–80.
- Martins, M. D. (2012). Distinctive signatures of recursion. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 367(1598), 2055–2064.
- Maye, J., Weiss, D. J., & Aslin, R. N. (2008). Statistical phonetic learning in infants: Facilitation and feature generalization. *Developmental Science*, 11(1), 122–134.
- Maye, J., Werker, J. F., & Gerken, L. (2002). Infant sensitivity to distributional information can affect phonetic discrimination. *Cognition*, 82(3), B101–11.
- Mealor, A. D., & Dienes, Z. (2013). Explicit feedback maintains implicit knowledge. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 22(3), 822–832.
- Mehler, J., Nespore, M., & Peña, M. (2008). What Infants Know and What They have to Learn about Language. *European Review*, 16(04), 429.
- Milne, A. E., Wilson, B., & Christiansen, M. H. (2018). Structured sequence learning across sensory modalities in humans and nonhuman primates. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 21, 39–48.
- Moon, C., Cooper, R. P., & Fifer, W. P. (1993). Two-day-olds prefer their native language. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 16(4), 495–500.
- Mueller, J. L., Friederici, A. D., & Mannel, C. (2012). Auditory perception at the root of language learning. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(39), 15953–15958.
- Newport, E. L., & Aslin, R. N. (2004). Learning at a distance I. Statistical learning of non-adjacent dependencies. *Cognitive Psychology*, 48(2), 127–162.

- Newport, E. L., Hauser, M. D., Spaepen, G., & Aslin, R. N. (2004). Learning at a distance II. Statistical learning of non-adjacent dependencies in a non-human primate. *Cognitive Psychology*, *49*(2), 85–117.
- Oller, D., Yeni-Komshian, G., Kavanaugh, J., & Ferguson, C. (1980). Child phonology.
- Onnis, L., Christiansen, M. H., Chater, N., & Gómez, R. (2003). Reduction of uncertainty in human sequential learning: Evidence from artificial grammar learning. In *Proceedings of the annual meeting of the Cognitive Science Society* (Vol. 25).
- Opitz, B., Ferdinand, N. K., & Mecklinger, A. (2011). Timing matters: the impact of immediate and delayed feedback on artificial language learning. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *5*(February), 8.
- Ota, M. (2003). *The development of prosodic structure in early words: Continuity, divergence, and change* (Vol. 34). John Benjamins Publishing.
- Peña, M., Bonatti, L. L., Nespors, M., & Mehler, J. (2002). Signal-driven computations in speech processing. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *298*(5593), 604–607.
- Perruchet, P., & Pacteau, C. (1990). Synthetic grammar learning: Implicit rule abstraction or explicit fragmentary knowledge? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *119*(3), 264–275.
- Perruchet, P., & Pacton, S. (2006). Implicit learning and statistical learning: one phenomenon, two approaches. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *10*(5), 233–238.
- Perruchet, P., Tyler, M. D., Galland, N., & Peerean, R. (2004). Learning non-adjacent dependencies: no need for algebraic-like computations. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *133*(4), 573–583.
- Petersson, K.-M., Folia, V., & Hagoort, P. (2012). What artificial grammar learning reveals about the neurobiology of syntax. *Brain and Language*, *120*(2), 83–95.
- Poletiek, F. H., Fitz, H., & Bocanegra, B. R. (2016). What baboons can (not) tell us about natural language grammars. *Cognition*, *151*(2016), 108–112.
- Prusinkiewicz, P., & Lindenmayer, A. (1990). *The Algorithmic Beauty of Plants (The Virtual Laboratory)*.
- Rabagliati, H., Senghas, A., Johnson, S. P., & Marcus, G. F. (2012). Infant rule learning: Advantage language, or advantage speech? *PLoS ONE*, *7*(7), e40517.
- Ravignani, A., Westphal-Fitch, G., Aust, U., Schlumpp, M. M., & Fitch, W. T. (2015). More than one way to see it: Individual heuristics in avian visual computation. *Cognition*, *143*, 13–24.
- Reber, A. S. (1967). Implicit learning of artificial grammars. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, *6*(6), 855–863.
- Reber, A. S. (1976). Implicit learning of synthetic languages: The role of instructional set. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning*

- and *Memory*, 2(1), 88–94.
- Reber, A. S. (1989). Implicit Learning and Tacit Knowledge. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 118(3), 219–235.
- Reber, A. S., Kassin, S. M., Lewis, S., & Cantor, G. (1980). On the relationship between implicit and explicit modes in the learning of a complex rule structure. *Journal of Experimental Psychology Human Learning and Memory*, 6(5), 492–502.
- Romberg, A. R., & Saffran, J. R. (2013). All together now: Concurrent learning of multiple structures in an artificial language. *Cognitive Science*, 37(7), 1290–1320.
- Rose, Y., & MacWhinney, B. (2014). The PhonBank project. In *The Oxford handbook of corpus phonology*.
- Rose, Y., MacWhinney, B., Byrne, R., Hedlund, G., Maddocks, K., O'Brien, P., & Wareham, T. (2006). Introducing phon: A software solution for the study of phonological acquisition. In *Proceedings of Boston University Conference on Language Development* (Vol. 2006, p. 489).
- Rost, G. C., & McMurray, B. (2009). Speaker variability augments phonological processing in early word learning. *Developmental Science*, 12(2), 339–349.
- Roug, L., Landberg, I., & Lundberg, L. (1989). Phonetic development in early infancy : a study of four Swedish children during the first eighteen months of life. *Journal of Child Language*, 16, 19–40.
- Saddy, D. (2009). *Perceiving and processing recursion in formal grammars*. (Recursion: Structural Complexity in Language and Cognition Conference at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst)
- Saffran, J. R., Aslin, R. N., & Newport, E. L. (1996). Statistical Learning by 8-Month-Old Infants. *Science*, 274(5294), 1926–1928.
- Saffran, J. R., Pollak, S. D., Seibel, R. L., & Shkolnik, A. (2007). Dog is a dog is a dog: Infant rule learning is not specific to language. *Cognition*, 105(3), 669–680.
- Saffran, J. R., & Wilson, D. P. (2003). From Syllables to Syntax : Multilevel Statistical Learning by 12-Month-Old Infants. *Infancy*, 4(2), 273–284.
- Samal, A., Peterson, B., & Holliday, D. J. (1994). Recognizing plants using stochastic L-systems. In *Proceedings - International Conference on Image Processing, ICIP* (Vol. 1, pp. 183–187).
- Schlichting, L., & Lutje Spelberg, H. (2009). Lexilijsten en Lexiconlijsten, oudervragenlijsten voor de taalontwikkeling. *Logopedie en Foniatrie*, 6, 190–195.
- Shi, R. (2007). Infants' Recognition of Function Words in Continuous Speech. *ICPhS XVI*(August), 1541–1544.
- Shirley, E. J. (2014). *Representing and remembering Lindenmayer grammars*. University of Reading.
- Sloetjes, H., & Wittenburg, P. (2008). Annotation by category - ELAN and ISO DCR. *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Language*

- Resources and Evaluation (LREC'08)*, 816–820.
- Smith, B. L., Brown-Sweeney, S., & Stoel-Gammon, C. (1989). A quantitative analysis of reduplicated and variegated babbling. *First Language*, *9*(6), 175–189.
- Spierings, M. J. (2016). *The music of language in zebra finches and budgerigars*. Leiden University.
- Spierings, M. J., & ten Cate, C. (2016). Budgerigars and zebra finches differ in how they generalize in an artificial grammar learning experiment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *113*(27), E3977–E3984.
- Stark, R. E. (1980). Stages of speech development in the first year of life. In *Child phonology* (pp. 73–92). Elsevier.
- Steege, S., Tuerlinckx, F., Gelman, A., & Vanpaemel, W. (2016). Increasing Transparency Through a Multiverse Analysis. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *11*(5), 702–712.
- Sun, F., Hoshi-Shiba, R., Abla, D., & Okanoya, K. (2012). Neural correlates of abstract rule learning: An event-related potential study. *Neuropsychologia*, *50*(11), 2617–2624.
- ter Haar, S. M., & Levelt, C. C. (2018). Disentangling attention for frequency and phonological markedness in 9-and 12-month-old infants. *Language Learning and Development*, 1–18.
- Thiessen, E. D. (2012). Effects of Inter- and Intra-modal Redundancy on Infants' Rule Learning. *Language Learning and Development*, *8*(3), 197–214.
- Uddén, J., Ingvar, M., Hagoort, P., & Petersson, K. M. (2012). Implicit Acquisition of Grammars With Crossed and Nested Non-Adjacent Dependencies: Investigating the Push-Down Stack Model. *Cognitive Science*, *36*(2012), 1078–1101.
- Uriagereka, J., Reggia, J. A., & Wilkinson, G. S. (2013, jan). A framework for the comparative study of language. *Evolutionary psychology : an international journal of evolutionary approaches to psychology and behavior*, *11*(3), 470–92.
- van der Kant, A. (2015). *Neural correlates of vocal learning in songbirds and humans*.
- van Heijningen, C. A. A., Chen, J., van Laatum, I., van der Hulst, B., & ten Cate, C. (2013). Rule learning by zebra finches in an artificial grammar learning task: which rule? *Animal Cognition*, *16*(2), 165–75.
- van Heijningen, C. A. A., de Visser, J., Zuidema, W., & ten Cate, C. (2009). Simple rules can explain discrimination of putative recursive syntactic structures by a songbird species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *106*(48), 20538–20543.
- Vihman, M. M. (1996). *Phonological development: The origins of language in the child*. Blackwell Publishing.
- Wagenmakers, E.-J., Morey, R. D., & Lee, M. D. (2016). Bayesian Benefits for the Pragmatic Researcher. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*,

25(3), 169–176.

- Whittlesea, B. W., & Dorken, M. D. (1993). Incidentally, things in general are particularly determined: An episodic-processing account of implicit learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 122(2), 227–248.
- Zimmerer, V. C., Cowell, P. E., & Varley, R. a. (2011). Individual behavior in learning of an artificial grammar. *Memory & Cognition*, 39(3), 491–501.

