



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Simple rule learning is not simple : studies on infant and adult pattern perception and production

Geambasu, A.

Citation

Geambasu, A. (2018, December 11). *Simple rule learning is not simple : studies on infant and adult pattern perception and production*. LOT dissertation series. LOT, Utrecht. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/67540>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/67540>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/67540> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Geambasu, A.

Title: Simple rule learning is not simple : studies on infant and adult pattern perception and production

Issue Date: 2018-12-11

Simple Rule Learning is Not Simple

Studies on Infant and Adult

Pattern Perception and Production

The research reported here was supported by NWO Vrije Competitie grant 360.70.452 to Clara C. Levelt.

Published by

LOT
Trans 10
3512 JK Utrecht
The Netherlands

phone: +31 30 253 6111
e-mail: lot@uu.nl
<http://www.lotschool.nl>

Cover illustration: Copac (Tree), by Mircea Nechita

ISBN: 978-94-6093-310-3
NUR: 616

Copyright © 2018 Andreea Geambaşu. All rights reserved.

Simple Rule Learning is Not Simple
Studies on Infant and Adult
Pattern Perception and Production

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus Prof.mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 11 december 2018
klokke 12.30 uur

door

Andreea Geambaşu

geboren 29 april 1986
te Boekarest, Roemënie

Promotores: Prof. Dr. Clara C. Levelt
Prof. Dr. Carel ten Cate

Promotiecommissie: Prof. Dr. LouAnn Gerken (University of Arizona)
Prof. Dr. Janet Grijzenhout
Prof. Dr. Judith Rispens (University of Amsterdam)
Prof. Dr. Niels Schiller

*For my family,
past, present, and future,
perfect and imperfect*

Contents

Acknowledgements	ix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Artificial grammar learning	2
1.2 Domain specificity	3
1.3 Repetition	4
1.4 Familiarity	6
1.5 Ecological validity	7
1.5.1 Variability	7
1.5.2 Task and instruction	8
1.5.3 Grammar complexity	9
1.6 Summary	9
2 Rule learning in infants in the speech and general auditory domains	11
2.1 Abstract	11
2.2 Introduction	12
2.2.1 The present work	14
2.3 Experiment 1	16
2.3.1 Methods	16
2.3.2 Results	19
2.3.3 Discussion	24
2.4 Experiment 2	24
2.4.1 Methods	24
2.4.2 Results	25
2.4.3 Discussion	27
2.5 Experiment 3	29
2.5.1 Methods	29
2.5.2 Results	31
2.5.3 Discussion	32

2.6	Combination of the 3 experiments	32
2.6.1	Results	33
2.7	General Discussion	34
2.7.1	Repetition biases	35
2.7.2	Future work	36
2.8	Appendices	37
2.8.1	Appendix A	37
2.8.2	Appendix B	38
3	Rule learning in infants in the visual domain	41
3.1	Abstract	41
3.2	Introduction	42
3.3	Method	44
3.3.1	Participants	44
3.3.2	Stimuli	44
3.3.3	Procedure	46
3.4	Results	47
3.4.1	Data pre-processing	47
3.4.2	Overall analysis	48
3.4.3	Analysis per condition	51
3.5	General Discussion	54
3.5.1	Conclusion and future work	55
3.6	Appendices	57
3.6.1	Appendix A	57
3.7	Acknowledgements	57
4	Patterns in infant babbling	59
4.1	Abstract	59
4.2	Introduction	60
4.3	Language and Corpora Selection	61
4.4	Corpus Data Collection	62
4.5	Results	63
4.5.1	Analysis	65
4.6	General discussion	70
4.7	Acknowledgements	72
5	Rule learning in adults in the speech domain	73
5.1	Abstract	73
5.2	Introduction	74
5.3	Experiment 1 - Undirected familiarization	77
5.3.1	Methods	77
5.3.2	Results	80
5.3.3	Reported strategies	83
5.3.4	Discussion	83
5.4	Experiment 2 - Directed familiarization	83

5.4.1	Methods	83
5.4.2	Results	84
5.4.3	Discussion	85
5.5	Experiment 3 - Implicitly directed testing	85
5.5.1	Methods	85
5.5.2	Results	86
5.5.3	Discussion	87
5.6	Experiment 4 - Feedback training	87
5.6.1	Methods	87
5.6.2	Results	88
5.6.3	Discussion	89
5.7	Overall analysis	89
5.7.1	Stimulus variability	89
5.7.2	Training length	90
5.7.3	Reported strategies	90
5.8	General Discussion	91
5.8.1	Variation	92
5.8.2	Feedback	93
5.8.3	Instruction	93
5.9	Conclusion	94
5.10	Acknowledgements	95
6	Rule learning with Lindenmayer grammars	97
6.1	Abstract	97
6.2	Introduction	98
6.3	Experiments 1 and 2	101
6.3.1	Methods and materials	101
6.3.2	Descriptive statistics and results	103
6.3.3	Discussion	104
6.4	Experiment 3	107
6.4.1	Methods and materials	107
6.4.2	Participants	107
6.4.3	Stimuli	107
6.4.4	Materials	108
6.4.5	Procedure	108
6.4.6	Analysis of the data	109
6.4.7	Results	109
6.4.8	Discussion	113
6.5	General Discussion	113
6.6	Acknowledgments	115
6.7	Appendices	115
6.7.1	Appendix A	115

7 Conclusion	117
7.1 Thesis summary	117
7.2 Domain specificity	119
7.3 Repetitions	120
7.4 Familiarity	122
7.5 Ecological validity	122
7.5.1 Variability	123
7.5.2 Task and instruction	123
7.5.3 Grammar complexity	125
7.6 Conclusion	126
References	127
References	127
Summary	139
Samenvatting	143
Curriculum Vitæ	147

Acknowledgements

Thank you....

...to my supervisor and promotor Claartje Levelt for always encouraging me in my work, even when it felt like I wasn't achieving anything, for making me comfortable to share my thoughts and have an open dialogue, work related or otherwise. Thank you for the example you set of being a successfully and healthily balancing academic and non-academic life, and smiling through it all.

...to my project mates and dear friends Raquel Garrido Alhama and Michelle Spierings, two brilliant researchers with whom I've been lucky enough to be able to enjoy collaboration, exchanges of ideas, stimulating conferences, and perhaps most importantly, good meals and lots of laughs and hugs. I could not have dreamed up better peers in the project. I can only hope we can work together again in the future.

...to my co-promotor Carel ten Cate and to Jelle Zuidema for your feedback, direction, knowledge, and guidance throughout this project. And for the nice company as well.

...to my committee members for your work in reading and commenting on my thesis and for your feedback.

...to all my colleagues within the LUCL, the PhD Council, the LUCL Labs, and the LIBC for your support and discussions. Bobby Ruijgrok, Elly Dutton, Gulnaz Sibgatullina, Borana Lushaj, Daan van de Velde, Olga Kepinska, Viktorija Kostadinova, Anne van der Kant, Saskia Lensink, Gareth O'Neill, Yang Yang, Nurenzia Yannuar, Aliza Glasbergen-Plas, Lena Karvovskaya, Sima Zolfaghari, Kate Bellamy, Victoria Nyst, Leticia Pablos Robles. You all made this experience so much nicer.

...to my officemate for three years, Marieke Meelen, who made those first three years of this journey so bright and sunny.

...to Marijn van 't Veer, my colleague, then lab assistant, then officemate...thank you for your invaluable assistance in running some of the experiments in Chapter 2 as well as some work that didn't make it into this thesis, and for generally keeping the lab running smoothly.

...to Thijs Schama for programming the infant experiments, and to Jos Pacilly for building a beautiful new baby lab and for the invaluable support in getting that up and running. Thank you also to Monique Bisschop for your help as lab assistant during my first year.

...to Christina Bergmann and Helen Buckler who kindly welcomed me in Nijmegen to introduce me to the world of infant language acquisition research and methods.

...to my wonderful collaborators, local and international, who are co-authors in each relevant chapter but also deserve a mention here. To everyone who welcomed me so warmly to the MARCS Institute in Sydney, especially Paola Escudero, Samra Alispahic, Rosmin Dadwani, Varghese Peters. I learned a great deal. Andrea Ravignani, your enthusiasm is always contagious. Daan van Renswoude, Ingmar Visser, and Maartje Raijmakers, thank you for co-authoring a paper that may never have had a chance at publication without your work and perspective.

...to all the lovely the students who conducted their minor projects, internships, BA and MA theses with me and with Claartje, without whom this work would have taken at least twice as long: Astrid Gilein, Mirjam Bahlmann, Naomi Nota, Isabella Jordanoska, Renske Jacobs, Rabia Mahboeb, Johanne Rauwenhoff, Gosse Minnema, Floor Bonsel, Gijsbert Westland, Marjolein Maljaars, Lisa Kennedy, Yvette Spoolder, Sofja Volkova, and to Claire van Leeuwen, Mariska Scheel, and Laura Toron each of whom co-authored a chapter (paper).

...to the organizers and attendees of the Lorentz Workshop Comparative Biology of Language Learning (2017) for putting the wind back in my sails.

...to my paranympths Marieke Meelen and Josefine Karlsson. I was lucky enough to have both of you in my life since day one of this journey, and I could not have done it without your emotional support, discussions, and laughter until the end.

...to my friends outside of academia who make sure I have something other than work to think and talk and laugh about.

...to my aunt and uncle, for your love.

...to my parents who have always encouraged and supported me in everything.

Finally, to Erdinç, thank you for all your love and patience and for being my light.

