



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Managing informal interaction: stancetaking and alignment in Dutch and Indonesian**

Naerssen, M.J. van

### **Citation**

Naerssen, M. J. van. (2018, December 13). *Managing informal interaction: stancetaking and alignment in Dutch and Indonesian*. LOT dissertation series. LOT, Utrecht. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/67426>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/67426>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/67426> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Naerssen, M.J. van

**Title:** Managing informal interaction: stancetaking and alignment in Dutch and Indonesian

**Issue Date:** 2018-12-13

Managing informal interaction

Stancetaking and alignment in Dutch and Indonesian

Published by

LOT  
Trans 10  
3512 JK Utrecht  
The Netherlands

phone: +31 30 253 6111  
e-mail: [lot@uu.nl](mailto:lot@uu.nl)  
<http://www.lotschool.nl>

ISBN: 978-94-6093-307-3  
NUR: 616

Copyright © 2018 Maaïke Johanna van Naerssen. All rights reserved.

Managing informal interaction  
Stancetaking and alignment in Dutch and Indonesian

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van Rector Magnificus Prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,  
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties  
te verdedigen op donderdag 13 december 2018,  
klokke 13.45 uur

door

Maaïke Johanna van Naerssen

geboren 17 december 1985  
te Leiden, Nederland

Promotor: Prof. dr. T. van Haaften

Co-promotor: Dr. A.T.P.G. van Engelenhoven

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. A. Verhagen

Prof. dr. B. Arps

Prof. dr. T. Koole (Rijksuniversiteit Groningen)

Dr. D.N. Djenar (University of Sydney)

---

## Contents

---

Acknowledgments . . . . .	ix
List of Figures . . . . .	xi
List of Tables . . . . .	xiii
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Informal interaction . . . . .	4
1.2 Spontaneous responsive action . . . . .	6
1.3 Normal behavior - a shared concern . . . . .	8
1.4 Interactional universals, cultural particulars . . . . .	10
1.5 This thesis . . . . .	14
<b>I Theory and method</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>2 Sharing and collaborating</b>	<b>21</b>
2.1 Phatic communication . . . . .	23
2.2 What makes us human . . . . .	25
2.2.1 Common ground . . . . .	25
2.2.2 Cooperation . . . . .	27
2.2.3 Coordination . . . . .	29
2.3 Collaboration and anticipation . . . . .	32
2.4 Universals of interaction . . . . .	37
2.5 Interpersonal relations - a matter of politeness? . . . . .	40
2.6 Effect of culture . . . . .	44
2.7 Conclusion . . . . .	51
<b>3 Stancetaking and alignment</b>	<b>53</b>
3.1 Taking stance . . . . .	54
3.2 The stance triangle . . . . .	57
3.3 Doing alignment . . . . .	59

3.3.1	Positive and negative alignment . . . . .	61
3.3.2	Explicit alignment . . . . .	64
3.3.3	Repetition . . . . .	66
3.3.4	Paraphrase . . . . .	73
3.3.5	Co-construction . . . . .	75
3.4	Conversational style . . . . .	76
3.5	Conclusion . . . . .	78
<b>4</b>	<b>Data and methods</b>	<b>81</b>
4.1	Building conversations, building relations . . . . .	82
4.2	Qualitative and quantitative analysis . . . . .	83
4.3	The data - Big Brother recorded them . . . . .	86
4.3.1	Big Brother the TV show . . . . .	86
4.3.2	Advantages and limitations . . . . .	89
4.3.3	Participants . . . . .	90
4.4	From broadcast to data - constructing the corpus . . . . .	92
4.4.1	Transcripts . . . . .	93
4.4.2	Coding the data . . . . .	94
4.4.3	Challenges . . . . .	101
4.5	Conclusion . . . . .	104
<b>II</b>	<b>Data analysis</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Lending support - from minimal responses to explicit alignment</b>	<b>111</b>
5.1	Backchannels . . . . .	112
5.2	Spontaneous agreement . . . . .	117
5.2.1	Interjections of agreement . . . . .	119
5.2.2	Full expressions of agreement . . . . .	122
5.2.3	Opinion and truth - subjective and objective perspectives	126
5.2.4	Agentivity and accountability . . . . .	130
5.3	Invited agreement . . . . .	131
5.3.1	Interjection answers - type-conformity . . . . .	133
5.3.2	Repetition answers - standing your ground . . . . .	135
5.3.3	Responding to questions . . . . .	137
5.4	Explicit establishment of shared positions . . . . .	149
5.4.1	Bare constitution of alignment . . . . .	151
5.4.2	Elaborate constitution of alignment . . . . .	154
5.4.3	(Dis)connecting stances - lead or follow . . . . .	157
5.5	Conclusion . . . . .	161



<b>6</b>	<b>Reproduction of meaning</b>	<b>165</b>
6.1	Reproducing form, meaning, and stance . . . . .	166
6.1.1	Bare repetition - never more, sometimes less . . . . .	171
6.1.2	Repetition with modification - negotiating position . . . . .	176
6.1.3	Repeated positions - to each their own . . . . .	181
6.2	Reproducing meaning and stance . . . . .	183
6.2.1	Partial paraphrase - something old, something new . . . . .	185
6.2.2	Full paraphrase - out with the old, in with the new . . . . .	189
6.2.3	Synonyms - something borrowed, something new . . . . .	192
6.2.4	Recognizing the original stancetaker . . . . .	199
6.3	Conclusion . . . . .	203
<b>7</b>	<b>Collaborative construction of meaning</b>	<b>207</b>
7.1	Completion . . . . .	209
7.1.1	Co-constructing utterances . . . . .	212
7.1.2	Co-constructing thoughts . . . . .	216
7.1.3	Invitation or intrusion? . . . . .	222
7.1.4	Ratification . . . . .	231
7.1.5	A group effort . . . . .	241
7.2	Extension . . . . .	244
7.2.1	Specification . . . . .	247
7.2.2	List making . . . . .	248
7.2.3	Shifting focus . . . . .	249
7.2.4	Achieving a goal . . . . .	251
7.3	Conclusion . . . . .	252
<b>8</b>	<b>Discussion and conclusion</b>	<b>255</b>
8.1	Coordinating common ground . . . . .	257
8.2	Norms of interpersonal alignment . . . . .	264
8.3	Cultural influences . . . . .	266
8.4	Methodological considerations . . . . .	269
8.5	Conclusion . . . . .	271
	Appendices . . . . .	273
A.1	List of episodes . . . . .	274
A.2	Transcription conventions . . . . .	275
A.3	Example Dutch transcript - Big Brother day 22 . . . . .	276
A.4	Example Indonesian transcript - Big Brother day 21 . . . . .	282
A.5	Coding protocol . . . . .	289
A.6	Example coded data - Excerpt Dutch transcript day 22 . . . . .	292
	Bibliography . . . . .	293
	Samenvatting . . . . .	315
	Curriculum Vitae . . . . .	319



---

## Acknowledgments

---

A heartfelt thank you to all those involved in this project in some way or other: supervisors, readers, listeners, questioners, critics, doubters, coders, designers, inspirators, planners, distractors, supporters, believers.

You know who you are.

Thank you.



---

## List of Figures

---

3.1	Stance triangle. . . . .	57
4.1	Feedback vs. content contributions. . . . .	97
4.2	Contributions in reaction to self vs. other. . . . .	98
4.3	Invited vs. uninvited contributions. . . . .	99
4.4	Phatic vs. functional contributions. . . . .	100
4.5	Three types of phatic communication. . . . .	108
5.1	Phatic communication, with a focus on contributions of support. . . . .	112
5.2	Communicating support. . . . .	114
5.3	Spontaneous agreements. . . . .	118
5.4	Requests for (new) information. . . . .	141
5.5	Requests for information - affirmative answers. . . . .	141
5.6	Polar questions - affirmative responses. . . . .	143
5.7	Requests for confirmation. . . . .	146
5.8	Explicit alignment. . . . .	150
5.9	Expressions of alignment. . . . .	160
6.1	Phatic communication, with a focus on reproductions. . . . .	166
6.2	Spontaneous repetition. . . . .	170
6.3	Spontaneous repetition - additional elements. . . . .	177
6.4	Phatic communication, with a focus on paraphrases. . . . .	184
6.5	Partial paraphrases. . . . .	186
6.6	Full paraphrases. . . . .	189
6.7	Paraphrases and stance follow markers . . . . .	201
7.1	Phatic communication, with a focus on collaboration. . . . .	208
7.2	Collaborations, subclass completion. . . . .	212
7.3	Utterance and thought completion. . . . .	213
7.4	Reaction to candidate completions. . . . .	232
7.5	Collaboration, subclass extensions. . . . .	246



---

## List of Tables

---

4.1	Overview of the corpus. . . . .	88
4.2	Age profile of the Dutch participants. . . . .	91
4.3	Age profile of the Indonesian participants. . . . .	92
5.1	Objective and subjective agreement. . . . .	127
5.2	Polar questions. . . . .	139
5.3	Explicit expressions of alignment. . . . .	158
7.1	Initiating completions. . . . .	224