



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Elite and popular religiosity among Dutch-Turkish muslims in the Netherlands

Gürlesin, O.F.

Citation

Gürlesin, O. F. (2018, November 28). *Elite and popular religiosity among Dutch-Turkish muslims in the Netherlands*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/67237>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/67237>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/67237> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Gürlesin, O.F.

Title: Elite and popular religiosity among Dutch-Turkish muslims in the Netherlands

Issue Date: 2018-11-28

Elite and Popular Religiosity among Dutch-Turkish Muslims in the Netherlands

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van de graad van

Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,

op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,

volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties

te verdedigen op woensdag 28 november 2018

klokke 13:45 uur

door

Ömer Faruk Gürlesin

geboren te Grevenbroich (Duitsland)

in 1982

Promotor: Prof. dr. A.F. de Jong

Co-promotor: dr. K.H. ter Avest (Inholland / VU)

Promotiecommissie:

Prof.dr.mr. M.S. Berger

dr. M. Altena Davidsen

Prof.dr. M. Ghaly (Hamad bin Khalifa University, Qatar)

Prof.dr. J.T. Sunier (VU)

Prof.dr. M.C.H. van Dijk-Groeneboer (Tilburg University)



Dedicated to the memory of Meerten ter Borg (1946-2017)

Transliteration Table

ء	’	ض	d
ا	a, ā /A	ط	ṭ
ب	b	ظ	ẓ
ت	t	ع	‘
ث	th	غ	gh
ج	j	ف	f
ح	ḥ	ق	q
خ	kh	ك	k
د	d	ل	l
ذ	dh	م	m
ر	r	ن	n
ز	z	ه	h
س	s	و	w/v, ū/Ū, -uww
ش	sh	ي	ī/Ī, -iyy
ص	ṣ	Short Vowels	a, e, i, ı, o, ö, u, ü

List of Tables

Table 1 - Characteristics of two-dimensional conceptualizations.....	81
Table 2 - Components of intrinsic and extrinsic religiosity.....	84
Table 3 - Conceptual model of E&PR in relation with Glock's scheme	90
Table 4 - Components of elite and popular religiosity.....	120
Table 5 - Characteristics of elite and popular religiosity.....	142
Table 6 - Reliability analysis (attitude scales).....	144
Table 7 - Reliability analysis - (religiosity scales)	146
Table 8 - Demographic variables 1.....	153
Table 9 - Demographic variables 2.....	156
Table 10 - Demographic variables 3	157
Table 11 - Belief in basic tenets of Islamic faith.....	160
Table 12 - Level of practice of the pillars of Islam	161
Table 13 - Religious experience.....	162
Table 14 - Religious knowledge.....	163
Table 15 - Consequential dimensions.....	164
Table 16 - Mean distributions for five aspects of general religiosity	165
Table 17 - Correlation matrix of five aspects of general religiosity.....	165
Table 18 - Correlation matrix	169
Table 19 - Correlation matrix (elite, popular and high Religiosity).....	172
Table 20 - Average means of elite and popular religiosity	173
Table 21 - Cross tabulations.....	174
Table 22 - Agreement and disagreement with elite and popular religiosity scale.....	175
Table 23 - Education and elite/popular religiosity.....	176
Table 24 - Education and high religiosity.....	177

Table 25 - Income and elite/popular religiosity.....	178
Table 26 - Religiosity and generational differences	179
Table 27 - Gender and elite/popular religion.....	180
Table 28 - Age groups and elite/popular religiosity.....	181
Table 29 - Correlation matrix of age, residence duration and religiosity.....	182
Table 30 - Item 12 - I believe myself to be more religious than most people.....	183
Table 31 - Correlation matrix of factors influencing elite/popular religiosity	184
Table 32 - Average mean distributions for elite religiosity	187
Table 33- Average mean distributions for popular religiosity.....	188
Table 34 - t-test comparison of some socio-psychological attitudes	189
Table 35 - Correlation matrix of socio-psychological factors.....	192
Table 36 - Factor analysis / General religiosity scale	250
Table 37 - Factor analysis / Elite religiosity scale	252
Table 38 - Factor analysis / Popular religiosity scale	254
Table 39 - Factor analysis of scales of consequential dimensions.....	256
Table 40 - Adapted western-Christian measurements.....	276

List of Figures

Figure 1 - Exploratory mixed method design	39
Figure 2 - A conceptual model of elite and popular religiosity	41
Figure 3 - Al-Ghazālī's methodology	109
Figure 4 - Categorization of religiosity	145
Figure 5 - Highly-educated 25 to 64-year old by ethnic background.....	260
Figure 6 - Muslims in the Netherlands by ethnic origin (CBS)	260
Figure 7 - Population of the Netherlands from 2010 to 2015, by religion.....	261

Table of Contents

Transliteration Table	5
List of Tables.....	6
List of Figures.....	9
Table of Contents.....	11
Acknowledgements	15
Abstract.....	17

Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1. Positioning Myself Within the Research	21
1.2. Islam in Europe and the Netherlands.....	23
1.3. Academic Research into Islam in Europe and the Netherlands	27
1.4. Elite and Popular Religiosity - Contested Concepts.....	31
1.5. Definition of Elite and Popular Religiosity	32
1.6. Objectives, Research Problems and Research Questions	37
1.7. Methodology of the Thesis.....	38
1.7.1. Conceptual Model	40
1.8. Organization of the Thesis.....	43

Chapter 2. Theoretical Background

2.1. Culture and the Concept of Elite and Popular	49
2.1.1. Elite and Popular Culture: Differentiations	52
2.1.2. Elite and Popular Culture in Turkish sociology.....	53
2.1.3. Complementarity of Elite and Popular Religiosity.....	56

2.2. Religion and the Concepts of Elite and Popular	58
2.2.1. Religion and Social Stratification: Weber	58
2.2.2. Religion and Religious Market.....	61
2.2.3. Some Characteristics of Elite and Popular Religiosity.....	64
2.2.4. Religious Elites and Masses	68
2.2.5. General Evaluation	69

Chapter 3. Theoretical and Socio-psychological Foundations of Elite and Popular Religiosity in Islam

3.1. Elite and Popular Religiosity in Social Scientific Study of Religion	75
3.1.1. Two - Dimensional Approaches of Religiosity	78
3.1.2. Comparative Analysis of Allport's Religious Orientation Approach and the Multi-Dimensional Approach of Glock and Stark.....	85
3.2. The Concepts of Elite and Popular Religiosity in Islam	91
3.2.1. Intra-Religious Pluralism: Islam or Islams?.....	92
3.2.2. Turkish Islam(s)	95
3.2.3. Some Critics and Their Suggestions	96
3.2.4. Intra-Dimensional Aspects of Islam in the Works of Al-Ghazālī	103
3.3. Dimensions of Religiosity in Islam	109
3.3.1. The Ideological Dimension (faith - īmān)	112
3.3.2. The Ritualistic Dimension (‘amal)	113
3.3.3. The Intellectual Dimension (‘ilm / ma‘rifah)	115
3.3.4. The Experiential Dimension (ilhām - ma‘ūnat).....	117
3.3.5. The Consequential Dimension (natījah).....	117
3.3.6. Conclusion.....	118

Chapter 4. Research Methodology

4.1. Introduction	124
4.2. Objectives, Research questions and Hypothesis	126
4.2.1. Objective	126
4.2.2. Research Questions and Hypotheses.....	126
4.3. Design and Procedure of the Research: Mixed Methods Approach	129
4.3.1. Qualitative Data Collection: Participant Observation	130
4.3.2. Quantitative Data Collection: Questionnaires.....	135
4.3.2.1. Paper-based Survey	135
4.3.2.2. Internet-Mediated Research (IMR)	135
4.3.2.3. Problems Encountered	137

4.4. Quantitative Research Instruments.....	138
4.4.1. Demographic Inquiry	138
4.4.2. General Religiosity Scale (GRS).....	139
4.4.3. The Elite and Popular Religiosity Scales (E&PRS)	141
4.4.4. Scales to Measure the Consequential Dimension	143
4.4.5. General Overview	144
4.5. Reliability Analysis	146
4.6. Method of Data Analysis.....	147

Chapter 5. Data Analysis and Findings

5.1. A Profile of the Demographic Characteristics of the Sample Surveyed in the Netherlands	153
5.2. Findings Concerning General Religiosity.....	158
5.2.1. Ideological Dimension	159
5.2.2. Ritualistic Dimension	160
5.2.3. Experiential Dimension.....	162
5.2.4. Intellectual Dimension.....	162
5.2.5. Consequential Dimension.....	163
5.2.6. Factor Analysis.....	164
5.2.7. Conclusion.....	166
5.3. Findings Concerning Aspects of Elite and Popular Religiosity.....	169
5.3.1. Factor Analysis of Elite and Popular Religiosity Scale.....	170
5.3.2. Average Means of Elite and Popular Religiosity	173
5.3.3. Independent Variables and Elite and Popular Religiosity	176
5.3.3.1. Educational Status	176
5.3.3.2. Income.....	177
5.3.3.3. Generational differences	178
5.3.3.4. Gender	180
5.3.3.5. Age	180
5.3.3.6. Elite / Popular religiosity and Feeling Oneself More Religious.....	182
5.3.4. Factors Influencing Elite and Popular Religiosity	184
5.3.5. Socio-psychological Factors Affected by Elite and Popular Religiosity	186

Chapter 6. Summary, Discussion and Conclusion

6.1. Summary of Research Findings	197
6.1.1. Characteristics of the Respondents and the Questionnaire	197
6.1.2. Research Questions, Hypothesis and Results.....	197

6.2. Discussion.....	202
6.2.1. Reflections on Glock’s Five-dimensional Scheme.....	203
6.2.2. Multi-voiced-ness of Religious Identity.....	205
6.2.3. Socio-Cultural Factors Affecting Religiosity	208
6.2.3.1. Immigration and Religiosity.....	209
6.2.3.2. Education and Religiosity.....	214
6.2.3.3. Age, Cohort and Generational Effects on Religiosity	222
6.2.3.4. Economic Status and Religiosity.....	226
6.2.3.5. Digital Media and Religiosity	229
6.2.3.6. Gender and Religiosity.....	231
6.2.4. Socio-Psychological Factors Affected by Elite and Popular Religiosity	232
6.2.4.1. Ethnocentrism and Religiosity	233
6.2.4.2. Sexism and Religiosity	236
6.2.5. Spirituality and Religiosity.....	237
6.3. Conclusion and Future Research	242
Appendix One (Factor Analysis)	249
Appendix Two (Additional Tables)	259
Appendix Three (Paper-Based Questionnaire)	263
Appendix Four (Web-Based Questionnaire)	273
Appendix Five (Measurements in Turkish Sociology of Religion).....	275
Bibliography	283
Summary in English	337
Samenvatting.....	345
Curriculum Vitae.....	361

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank many people who helped me with the completion of this thesis. I would like to start by thanking my initial supervisor, Prof. Meerten ter Borg, to whom this study is dedicated. Meerten ter Borg passed away nearly one year ago, on the 26th of December, 2017. He believed in my ability to be successful in the academic arena. I worked with Meerten ter Borg for almost 7 years, both in my MA and PhD studies. He is gone, but his faith in me made this journey possible.

Due to Meerten ter Borg's state of health, Prof. Albert de Jong took over his position as promoter. I would like to express my deepest gratitude for his continuous support of my PhD study and research, for his patience, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. I would also like to acknowledge Dr. Ina ter Avest as the co-promoter of this thesis, and I am gratefully indebted to her not only for her very valuable comments on this thesis, but also for the motivation and guidance she extended towards me.

Besides my supervisors, I would like to thank the members of the thesis committee: Prof. Maurits Berger, Prof. Mohammed Ghaly, Prof. Thijl Sunier, Prof. Monique van Dijk-Groeneboer and Dr. Markus Altena Davidsen, for their insightful comments and encouragement, but also for the hard questions that stimulated me to broaden my research from various perspectives.

My sincere thanks also goes to Dr. Welmoet Boender and Dr. Noah Millman, for their valuable comments related to this dissertation.

I also had the privilege of having people around me who helped me overcome many obstacles. Therefore I should extend heartfelt thanks to Adem Eliaçık, Hasan Cizrelioğlu, Ahmet Kaya, Sedat Metinulu, Serhan Afacan, Hamit Eren, and Alim Solmaz for their prayers, support, help and motivation during my research work.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my family: my parents and my brother and sister, for their spiritual support while writing this thesis, and with my life in general. I am very much thankful to my wife Hacer Gürlesin, who not only supported and encouraged me as a spouse, but also took on the role of a female research assistant, willing to act as an observer and taking notes in the field. Discussions between us were very useful for setting up the theoretical framework of this study, and for developing hypotheses and items during the development of the research questionnaire. I should also

mention our little son, İsmail Senih Gürlesin, and our little daughter, Seher Hüma Gürlesin, who made our life a lot more challenging than before but also a lot more peaceful and meaningful.

Finally, my thanks go to all the people who supported me in completing the research work, directly or indirectly.