



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Reconstruction methods for combined HAADF-STEM and EDS tomography

Zhong, Z.

Citation

Zhong, Z. (2018, December 10). *Reconstruction methods for combined HAADF-STEM and EDS tomography*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/67129>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/67129>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The following handle holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation:

<http://hdl.handle.net/1887/67129>

Author: Zhong, Z.

Title: Reconstruction methods for combined HAADF-STEM and EDS tomography

Issue Date: 2018-12-10

6

Conclusion

In this PhD thesis, we propose several approaches to pave the way for HAADF-STEM + EDS tomography: (1) the HAADF-EDS bimodal tomographic reconstruction technique, which is based on jointly modeling the consistence of the two imaging modalities; (2) TNV-regularized joined reconstruction which allows to incorporate the prior knowledge that common edges exist in the reconstructions from HAADF and EDS data respectively; (3) a set of algorithmic recipes to tailor various reconstruction algorithms for given experimental conditions and sample properties; (4) an algorithm for automatically correcting the nonlinear damping effects in HAADF-STEM tomographic data.

Experimental results of the HEBT algorithm in Chapter 2 show that HEBT enables investigating the structure of chemical elements with lower noise levels compared to element-wise EDS reconstruction. By promoting consistency between the forward projections of the element-specific reconstructions and the low-noise HAADF-STEM data, reconstructions are obtained that are more accurate in comparison to pure EDS tomography, resulting in a lower discrepancy between the reconstructions and the ground-truth.

Chapter 3 shows that using TNV regularization, it is possible to encourage a reconstruction to have edges overlapping with another reconstruction. The EDS element-specific reconstruction can be augmented by joining it with the HAADF-STEM reconstruction that has higher signal-to-noise ratios and is computed from a larger number of tilts. Alternatively, by promoting shared edges between the EDS and HAADF reconstructions, the number of tilts required for EDS can be reduced compared to element-wise EDS reconstruction, while maintaining similar reconstruction quality.

In Chapter 4, the algorithmic recipes enable to tailor a set of reconstruction algorithms based on the actual experiments, sample, and data. In the experimental section, we demonstrate how to choose suitable ingredients and algorithmic pa-

rameters for a variety of data cases. In all these cases, the tailored recipes result in more accurate reconstruction results compared to more naive algorithms.

The above chapters are based on the simple assumption that the projection models are perfectly linear. In practice, this is often not completely valid. The algorithm proposed in Chapter 5 can correct the nonlinear damping effects in HAADF-STEM data. The experimental results show that the algorithm can reduce the cupping artifacts as well as improve further interpretation and segmentation of the reconstruction. For HEBT, correcting the nonlinearity can make the HAADF data consistent with the linear model.

Overall, this thesis provides several approaches to improve the accuracy of element-specific reconstructions made by combining HAADF-STEM and EDS data. An important potential application of this work is the quality inspection in the semiconductor industry. Besides the need of high image quality and the ability to resolve chemical elements, being able to carry out a full ET experiment and the subsequent computational steps in very short time (less than an hour) is crucial for the adoption of these techniques in an industrial R&D setting. In the future, a robust and automated pipeline for the complete tomographic process needs to be developed.

We envision that by extending our proposed methodology with a data acquisition scheme that selects the projection angles in an optimized manner, the time required for acquiring sufficiently many EDS images can be substantially reduced. For further automation of the computational part of the imaging pipeline, automated procedures will need to be developed for setting the values of the various parameters in the reconstruction algorithms in an objective and repeatable manner.

Bibliography

- [Aar+15] W. van Aarle, W. J. Palenstijn, J. De Beenhouwer, T. Altantzis, S. Bals, K. J. Batenburg, and J. Sijbers. “The ASTRA Toolbox: A platform for advanced algorithm development in electron tomography”. *Ultramicroscopy* 157 (2015), pp. 35–47.
- [AKÖ17] J. Adler, H. Kohr, and O. Öktem. *Operator Discretization Library*. 2017. url: <https://github.com/odlgroup/odl>.
- [AR16] R. Aveyard and B. Rieger. “Tilt series STEM simulation of a $25 \times 25 \times 25$ nm semiconductor with characteristic X-ray emission”. *Ultramicroscopy* 171 (2016), pp. 96–103.
- [Ave+17] R. Aveyard, Z. Zhong, K. J. Batenburg, and B. Rieger. “Optimizing experimental parameters for the projection requirement in HAADF-STEM tomography”. *Ultramicroscopy* 177 (2017), pp. 84–90.
- [Bar10] J. M. Bardsley. “A theoretical framework for the regularization of Poisson likelihood estimation problems”. *Inverse Problems & Imaging* 4.1 (2010), pp. 11–17.
- [BL08] J. M. Bardsley and A. Luttman. “Total variation-penalized Poisson likelihood estimation for ill-posed problems”. *Advances in Computational Mathematics* 31.1 (2008), p. 35.
- [Bat+09] K. J. Batenburg, S. Bals, J. Sijbers, C. Kübel, P. A. Midgley, J. C. Hernandez, U. Kaiser, E. R. Encina, E. A. Coronado, and G. van Tendeloo. “3D imaging of nanomaterials by discrete tomography”. *Ultramicroscopy* 109.6 (2009), pp. 730–740.
- [BS09] K. Batenburg and J. Sijbers. “Adaptive thresholding of tomograms by projection distance minimization”. *Pattern Recognition* 42.10 (2009), pp. 2297–2305.
- [Ber+10] M. Bertero, P. Boccacci, G. Talenti, R. Zanella, and L. Zanni. “A discrepancy principle for Poisson data”. *Inverse Problems* 26.10, 105004 (2010), p. 105004.
- [BH13] R. I. Bot and C. Hendrich. “A Douglas–Rachford type primal-dual method for solving inclusions with mixtures of composite and parallel-sum type monotone operators”. *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 23.4 (2013), pp. 2541–2565.
- [BKP10] K. Bredies, K. Kunisch, and T. Pock. “Total generalized variation”. *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences* 3.3 (2010), pp. 492–526.
- [Bur+16] P. Burdet, Z. Saghi, A. N. Filippin, A. Borrás, and P. A. Midgley. “A novel 3D absorption correction method for quantitative EDX-STEM tomography”. *Ultramicroscopy* 160 (2016), pp. 118–129.

- [BO13] M. Burger and S. Osher. “A guide to the TV zoo”. In: *Level Set and PDE Based Reconstruction Methods in Imaging*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2013, pp. 1–70.
- [Che+16] Z. Chen, M. Weyland, X. Sang, W. Xu, J. Dycus, J. LeBeau, A. D’Alfonso, L. Allen, and S. Findlay. “Quantitative atomic resolution elemental mapping via absolute-scale energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy”. *Ultramicroscopy* 168 (2016), pp. 7–16.
- [CL75] G. Cliff and G. W. Lorimer. “The quantitative analysis of thin specimens”. *Journal of Microscopy* 103.2 (1975), pp. 203–207.
- [CM17] S. M. Collins and P. A. Midgley. “Progress and opportunities in EELS and EDS tomography”. *Ultramicroscopy* 180 (2017), pp. 133–141.
- [Csi91] I. Csiszar. “Why least squares and maximum entropy? An axiomatic approach to inference for linear inverse problems”. *The Annals of Statistics* 19.4 (1991), pp. 2032–2066.
- [Dur+16] J. Duran, M. Moeller, C. Sbert, and D. Cremers. “Collaborative total variation: A general framework for vectorial TV models”. *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences* 9.1 (2016), pp. 116–151.
- [ER07] R. Elena and A. S. Robert. “Joint additive Kullback-Leibler residual minimization and regularization for linear inverse problems”. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 30.13 (2007), pp. 1527–1544.
- [ENH10] T. Elfving, T. Nikazad, and P. C. Hansen. “Semi-convergence and relaxation parameters for a class of SIRT algorithms”. *Electronic Transactions on Numerical Analysis* 37 (2010), pp. 321–336.
- [Gen+12] A. Genç, H. Cheng, J. Winterstein, L. Pullan, and B. Freitag. “3D chemical mapping using tomography with an enhanced XEDS system”. *Microscopy and Analysis* 116 (2012), pp. 23–25.
- [Gen+13] A. Genç, L. Kovarik, M. Gu, H. Cheng, P. Plachinda, L. Pullan, B. Freitag, and C. Wang. “XEDS STEM tomography for 3D chemical characterization of nanoscale particles”. *Ultramicroscopy* 131 (2013), pp. 24–32.
- [GBH70] R. Gordon, R. Bender, and G. T. Herman. “Algebraic Reconstruction Techniques (ART) for three-dimensional electron microscopy and X-ray photography”. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 29.3 (1970), pp. 471–481.
- [Gor+12] B. Goris, W. van den Broek, K. J. Batenburg, H. Heidari Mezerji, and S. Bals. “Electron tomography based on a total variation minimization reconstruction technique”. *Ultramicroscopy* 113 (2012), pp. 120–130.
- [Gor+16] B. Goris, M. Meledina, S. Turner, Z. Zhong, K. J. Batenburg, and S. Bals. “Three dimensional mapping of Fe dopants in ceria nanocrystals using direct spectroscopic electron tomography”. *Ultramicroscopy* 171 (2016), pp. 55–62.

- [Gor+14] B. Goris, L. Polavarapu, S. Bals, G. van Tendeloo, and L. M. Liz-Marzán. “Monitoring galvanic replacement through three-dimensional morphological and chemical mapping”. *Nano Letters* 14.6 (2014), pp. 3220–3226.
- [Gre90] P. J. Green. “Bayesian reconstructions from emission tomography data using a modified EM algorithm”. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* 9.1 (1990), pp. 84–93.
- [GB08] J. Gregor and T. Benson. “Computational analysis and improvement of SIRT”. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* 27.7 (2008), pp. 918–924.
- [Hab+14] G. Haberfehlner, A. Orthacker, M. Albu, J. Li, and G. Kothleitner. “Nanoscale voxel spectroscopy by simultaneous EELS and EDS tomography”. *Nanoscale* 6 (2014), pp. 14563–14569.
- [Her09] G. T. Herman. *Fundamentals of Computerized Tomography: Image Reconstruction from Projections*. Second. Springer, 2009.
- [HW16] T. Hohage and F. Werner. “Inverse problems with Poisson data: statistical regularization theory, applications and algorithms”. *Inverse Problems* 32.9 (2016), p. 093001.
- [Hol14] K. M. Holt. “Total nuclear variation and Jacobian extensions of total variation for vector fields”. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* 23.9 (2014), pp. 3975–3989.
- [Hsi+00] J. Hsieh, R. C. Molthen, C. a. Dawson, and R. H. Johnson. “An iterative approach to the beam hardening correction in cone beam CT”. *Medical physics* 27.1 (2000), pp. 23–29.
- [Jar+09] K. Jarausch, P. Thomas, D. N. Leonard, R. Twesten, and C. R. Booth. “Four-dimensional STEM-EELS: Enabling nano-scale chemical tomography”. *Ultramicroscopy* 109.4 (2009), pp. 326–337.
- [Jol02] I. Jolliffe. *Principal Component Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York, 2002.
- [KS88] A. C. Kak and M. Slaney. *Principles of computerized tomographic imaging*. IEEE press, 1988.
- [Kis+08] C. Kisielowski, B. Freitag, M. Bischoff, H. van Lin, S. Lazar, G. Knipfels, P. Tiemeijer, M. van der Stam, S. von Harrach, M. Stekelenburg, and et al. “Detection of single atoms and buried defects in three dimensions by aberration-corrected electron microscope with 0.5-Å information limit”. *Microscopy and Microanalysis* 14.5 (2008), pp. 469–477.
- [Kra+17] J. Kraxner, M. Schfer, O. Rschel, G. Kothleitner, G. Haberfehlner, M. Paller, and W. Grogger. “Quantitative EDXS: Influence of geometry on a four detector system”. *Ultramicroscopy* 172 (2017), pp. 30–39.

- [Küb+05] C. Kübel, A. Voigt, R. Schoenmakers, M. Otten, D. Su, T.-C. Lee, A. Carlsson, and J. Bradley. “Recent advances in electron tomography: TEM and HAADF-STEM tomography for materials science and semiconductor applications”. *Microscopy and Microanalysis* 11.05 (2005), pp. 378–400.
- [Lag+98] J. Lagarias, J. Reeds, H. Wright, and P. Wright. “Convergence properties of the Nelder–Mead simplex method in low dimensions”. *Journal of Optimization* 9.1 (1998), pp. 112–117.
- [LSP08] S. J. LaRoque, E. Y. Sidky, and X. Pan. “Accurate image reconstruction from few-view and limited-angle data in diffraction tomography”. *Journal of the Optical Society of America* 25.7 (2008), pp. 1772–1782.
- [LH74] C. L. Lawson and R. J. Hanson. *Solving least squares problems*. SIAM, 1974.
- [Lep+13] K. Lepinay, F. Lorut, R. Pantel, and T. Epicier. “Chemical 3D tomography of 28nm high K metal gate transistor: STEM XEDS experimental method and results”. *Micron* 47 (2013), pp. 43–49.
- [Luc+13] G. Lucas, P. Burdet, M. Cantoni, and C. Hbert. “Multivariate statistical analysis as a tool for the segmentation of 3D spectral data”. *Micron* 52-53 (2013), pp. 49–56.
- [MHD18] K. E. MacArthur, M. Heggen, and R. E. Dunin-Borkowski. “Differentiating the structure of PtNi octahedral nanoparticles through combined ADF-EDX simulations”. *Advanced Structural and Chemical Imaging* 4.1 (2018), p. 2.
- [Mid+01] P. A. Midgley, M. Weyland, J. M. Thomas, and B. F. G. Johnson. “Z-Contrast tomography: a technique in three-dimensional nanostructural analysis based on Rutherford scattering”. *Chemical Communications* (10 2001), pp. 907–908.
- [MW03] P. A. Midgley and M. Weyland. “3D electron microscopy in the physical sciences: the development of Z-contrast and EFTEM tomography”. *Ultramicroscopy* 96.3 (2003), pp. 413–431.
- [Ots79] N. Otsu. “A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms”. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics* 9.1 (1979), pp. 62–66.
- [PBS13] W. J. Palenstijn, K. J. Batenburg, and J. Sijbers. “The ASTRA Tomography Toolbox”. *13th International Conference on Computational and Mathematical Methods in Science and Engineering* (2013), pp. 1–7.
- [Pen89] S. J. Pennycook. “Z-contrast STEM for materials science”. *Ultramicroscopy* 30.1 (1989), pp. 58–69.

- [Qiu+15] Y. Qiu, P. van Marcke, O. Richard, H. Bender, and W. Vandervorst. “3D imaging of Si FinFET devices by combined HAADF-STEM and EDS tomography”. In: *Microscopy of Semiconductor Materials*. Cambridge UK. 2015.
- [RL15] D. S. Rigie and P. J. La Rivière. “Joint reconstruction of multi-channel, spectral CT data via constrained total nuclear variation minimization”. *Physics in Medicine & Biology* 60.5 (2015), p. 1741.
- [SM12] Z. Saghi and P. A. Midgley. “Electron tomography in the (S)TEM: From nanoscale morphological analysis to 3D atomic imaging”. *Annual Review of Materials Science* 42 (2012), pp. 59–79.
- [Sag+07] Z. Saghi, X. Xu, Y. Peng, B. Inkson, and G. Möbus. “Three-dimensional chemical analysis of tungsten probes by energy dispersive X-ray nanotomography”. *Applied Physics Letters* 91.25 (2007), p. 251906.
- [Sán+12] A. Sánchez-Iglesias, M. Grzelczak, T. Altantzis, B. Goris, J. Pérez-Juste, S. Bals, G. van Tendeloo, S. H. Donaldson, B. F. Chmelka, J. N. Israelachvili, and L. M. Liz-Marzán. “Hydrophobic interactions modulate self-assembly of nanoparticles”. *ACS Nano* 6.12 (2012), pp. 11059–11065.
- [Sch+10] P. Schlossmacher, D. Klenov, B. Freitag, and H. Von Harrach. “Enhanced detection sensitivity with a new windowless XEDS system for AEM based on silicon drift detector technology”. *Microscopy today* 18.04 (2010), pp. 14–20.
- [SV82] L. Shepp and Y. Vardi. “Maximum likelihood reconstruction for emission tomography”. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging* 1.2 (1982), pp. 113–122.
- [SJP12] E. Y. Sidky, J. H. Jorgensen, and X. Pan. “Convex optimization problem prototyping for image reconstruction in computed tomography with the Chambolle-Pock algorithm”. *Physics in Medicine & Biology* 57.10 (2012), pp. 3065–91.
- [SKP06] E. Y. Sidky, C.-M. Kao, and X. Pan. “Accurate image reconstruction from few-views and limited-angle data in divergent-beam CT”. *Journal of X-Ray Science and Technology* 14 (2006), pp. 119–139.
- [Sla+14] T. J. Slater, P. H. C. Camargo, M. G. Burke, N. J. Zaluzec, and S. J. Haigh. “Understanding the limitations of the Super-X energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer as a function of specimen tilt angle for tomographic data acquisition in the S/TEM”. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 522.1 (2014), p. 012025.
- [Sla+16a] T. J. Slater, Y. Chen, G. Auton, N. Zaluzec, and S. J. Haigh. “X-ray Absorption Correction for Quantitative Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopic Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy of Spherical Nanoparticles”. *Microscopy and Microanalysis* 22.2 (2016), pp. 440–447.

- [Sla+16b] T. J. Slater, A. Janssen, P. H. Camargo, M. G. Burke, N. J. Zaluzec, and S. J. Haigh. “STEM-EDX tomography of bimetallic nanoparticles: A methodological investigation”. *Ultramicroscopy* 162 (2016), pp. 61–73.
- [Tre11] M. M. Treacy. “Z dependence of electron scattering by single atoms into annular dark-field detectors”. *Microscopy and Microanalysis* 17.06 (2011), pp. 847–858.
- [van+02] E. van de Casteele, D. van Dyck, J. Sijbers, and E. Raman. “An energy-based beam hardening model in tomography”. *Physics in Medicine & Biology* 47.23 (2002), p. 4181.
- [van+12] W. van den Broek, A. Rosenauer, B. Goris, G. Martinez, S. Bals, S. van Aert, and D. van Dyck. “Correction of non-linear thickness effects in HAADF STEM electron tomography”. *Ultramicroscopy* 116 (2012), pp. 8–12.
- [van+11] G. van Gompel, K. van Slambrouck, M. Defrise, K. J. Batenburg, J. de Mey, J. Sijbers, and J. Nuyts. “Iterative correction of beam hardening artifacts in CT”. *Medical Physics* 38.S1 (2011), S36.
- [Wal06] T. Walther. “A new experimental procedure to quantify annular dark field images in scanning transmission electron microscopy”. *Journal of Microscopy* 221.2 (2006), pp. 137–144.
- [Wan+04] Z. Wang, A. C. Bovik, H. R. Sheikh, and E. P. Simoncelli. “Wavelets for Image Image quality assessment: From error visibility to structural similarity”. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* 13.4 (2004), pp. 600–612.
- [WW06] M. Watanabe and D. B. Williams. “The quantitative analysis of thin specimens: A review of progress from the Cliff-Lorimer to the new ζ -factor methods”. *Journal of Microscopy* 221 (2006), pp. 89–109.
- [WC16] D. B. Williams and C. B. Carter. *Transmission Electron Microscopy: Diffraction, Imaging, and Spectrometry*. Springer, 2016.
- [Yed+12] L. Yedra, A. Eljarrat, R. Arenal, E. Pellicer, M. Cabo, A. Lopez-Ortega, M. Estrader, J. Sort, M. D. Baro, S. Estradé, et al. “EEL spectroscopic tomography: Towards a new dimension in nanomaterials analysis”. *Ultramicroscopy* 122 (2012), pp. 12–18.
- [Yed+14] L. Yedra, A. Eljarrat, J. M. Rebled, L. López-Conesa, N. Dix, F. Sánchez, S. Estradé, and F. Peiró. “EELS tomography in multiferoic nanocomposites: from spectrum images to the spectrum volume”. *Nanoscale* 6.12 (2014), pp. 6646–6650.
- [YF02] D. F. Yu and J. A. Fessler. “Edge-preserving tomographic reconstruction with nonlocal regularization”. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* 21.2 (2002), pp. 159–173.

- [ZMA10] H. Zaidi, M.-L. Montandon, and A. Alavi. “The clinical role of fusion imaging using PET, CT, and MR Imaging”. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America* 18.1 (2010), pp. 133–149.
- [Zan+16a] D. Zanaga, T. Altantzis, L. Polavarapu, L. M. Liz-Marzán, B. Freitag, and S. Bals. “A new method for quantitative XEDS tomography of complex heteronanostructures”. *Particle & Particle Systems Characterization* 33.7 (2016), pp. 396–403.
- [Zan+16b] D. Zanaga, T. Altantzis, J. Sanctorem, B. Freitag, and S. Bals. “An alternative approach for ζ -factor measurement using pure element nanoparticles”. *Ultramicroscopy* 164 (2016), pp. 11–16.
- [Zho+18a] Z. Zhong, R. Aveyard, B. Rieger, S. Bals, W. J. Palenstijn, and K. J. Batenburg. “Automatic correction of nonlinear damping effects in HAADF-STEM tomography for nanomaterials of discrete compositions”. *Ultramicroscopy* 184.Part B (2018), pp. 57–65.
- [Zho+17] Z. Zhong, B. Goris, R. Schoenmakers, S. Bals, and K. J. Batenburg. “A bimodal tomographic reconstruction technique combining EDS-STEM and HAADF-STEM”. *Ultramicroscopy* 174 (2017), pp. 35–45.
- [Zho+18b] Z. Zhong, W. J. Palenstijn, J. Adler, and K. J. Batenburg. “EDS tomographic reconstruction regularized by total nuclear variation joined with HAADF-STEM tomography”. *Ultramicroscopy* 191 (2018), pp. 34–43.
- [Zho+18c] Z. Zhong, W. J. Palenstijn, N. R. Viganò, and K. J. Batenburg. “Numerical methods for low-dose EDS tomography”. *Ultramicroscopy* 194 (2018), pp. 133–142.
- [ZPB16] X. Zhuge, W. J. Palenstijn, and K. J. Batenburg. “TVR-DART: A more robust algorithm for discrete tomography from limited projection data with automated gray value estimation”. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* 25.1 (2016), pp. 455–468.

