



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## Grassroots prescriptivism

Lukac, M.

### Citation

Lukac, M. (2018, November 22). *Grassroots prescriptivism*. *LOT dissertation series*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/67115>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/67115>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/67115> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Lukac, M.

**Title:** Grassroots prescriptivism

**Issue Date:** 2018-11-22

# GRASSROOTS PRESCRIPTIVISM

Published by  
LOT  
Trans 10  
3512 JK Utrecht  
The Netherlands

phone: +31 30 253 6111

e-mail: [lot@uu.nl](mailto:lot@uu.nl)  
<http://www.lotschool.nl>

ISBN: 978-94-6093-301-1  
NUR 616

Copyright © 2018: Morana Lukač. All rights reserved.

# Grassroots Prescriptivism

## Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,  
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties  
te verdedigen op donderdag 22 november 2018  
klokke 15.00 uur

door

Morana Lukač

geboren te Osijek, Kroatië  
in 1986

*Promotores* Prof. dr. I.M. Tieken-Boon van Ostade  
Prof. dr. C. Mair (Albert-Ludwigs-Universität  
Freiburg)

*Promotiecommissie* Prof. dr. M. Hannay (Vrije Universiteit  
Amsterdam)  
Prof. dr. H. de Hoop (Radboud Universiteit)  
Prof. dr. J.C. de Jong (Universiteit Leiden)  
Dr. S. Moody (Universiteit Leiden)

The research for this book was carried out as part of the project  
‘Bridging the Unbridgeable: Linguists, Prescriptivists and the General  
Public’, financed by the Dutch Organisation for Scientific Research  
(NWO)

For my mother





## Table of contents

<b>Acknowledgments</b> .....	<b>V</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b> .....	<b>VII</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1. Grammar vigilantes .....	1
1.2. The end of prescriptivism? .....	6
1.3. For how long has the language been in decay? .....	9
1.4. Thesis outline .....	19
<b>2. Grassroots prescriptivism: An analysis of individual speakers' efforts at maintaining the standard language ideology</b> .....	<b>25</b>
2.1. Introduction .....	25
2.2. Who complains about language use? .....	27
2.2.1 Letters to newspaper editors.....	29
2.2.2 The survey .....	34
2.3. Which linguistic features are stigmatised in public discussions on usage? .....	37
2.3.1. Comparing <i>The Times</i> and <i>The New York Times</i> .....	37
2.3.2. The survey .....	41
2.4. Conclusion.....	42
<b>3. Linguistic prescriptivism in letters to the editor</b> .....	<b>45</b>
3.1. Introduction .....	45
3.2. The 'misused' possessive apostrophe.....	46
3.3. Data .....	48
3.4. Semantic analysis .....	51
3.4.1. Key words and key semantic domains .....	51
3.4.2. Key word analysis .....	53
3.4.3. Key semantic domains .....	55
3.5. Conclusion.....	66
<b>4. From usage guides to language blogs</b> .....	<b>69</b>
4.1. Introduction .....	69
4.2. The popularity of grammar blogs .....	73
4.3. <i>Grammar Girl</i> as a usage guide 2.0.....	75
4.4. Comments on the <i>Grammar Girl</i> website .....	81
4.4.1. The commenters' identity construction.....	82
4.4.2. Types of comments .....	87
4.4.3. Arguments presented in metalinguistic debates .....	91

4.4.4 Repetitive narratives and humour in metalinguistic discourses .....	96
4.5 Conclusion.....	98
<b>5 From usage guides to Wikipedia: Re-contextualising the discourse on language use .....</b>	<b>101</b>
5.1 Introduction: Expert discourses on language use .....	101
5.2 The usage guide as a genre.....	105
5.3 The history of collaboration in knowledge creation: From the <i>OED</i> to Wikis .....	107
5.4 Wikipedia: The online collaborative encyclopaedia community .....	109
5.4.1 Related work .....	111
5.4.2 The structure of Wikipedia entries and Talk pages..	112
5.5 Analysing Wikipedia entries on language use.....	116
5.5.1 The editors.....	118
5.5.2 Wikipedia entries on usage items.....	121
5.5.3 Corpus-based comparison of Wikipedia entries and usage guides .....	123
5.5.4 Comparing Wikipedia Talk pages and entries on usage items .....	129
5.6 Conclusion.....	133
<b>6. What is the difference between <i>thus</i> and <i>thusly</i>? .....</b>	<b>137</b>
6.1. Introduction .....	137
6.2. The prescriptivists .....	139
6.3. The general public .....	143
6.3.1. The survey .....	143
6.3.2. Acceptability of <i>thusly</i> .....	145
6.3.3. Differences among demographic groups.....	147
6.4. Actual usage .....	150
6.4.1. Genre differences in the usage of <i>thus</i> and <i>thusly</i> ...	150
6.4.2. Differences in meaning between <i>thus</i> and <i>thusly</i> ....	154
6.4.3. Verbs modified by <i>thus</i> and <i>thusly</i> .....	156
6.5. Conclusion.....	159
<b>7. Conclusion .....</b>	<b>161</b>
7.1. Revisiting the concept of grassroots prescriptivism .....	161
7.2. Bridging the gap .....	163
7.3. Changing prescriptivism.....	166
7.4. Methodological challenges .....	170

7.5. Moving forward.....	172
<b>Appendix A: <i>English Today</i> features.....</b>	<b>175</b>
Apostrophe(')s, who needs them? .....	175
Grammar Advice in the Age of Web 2.0: Introducing the new (and keeping the old) language authorities.....	178
<b>Appendix B: Bridging the Unbridgeable blog entries .....</b>	<b>183</b>
Jafaican: ‘Ali G would understand it perfectly’ .....	183
Out with <i>whom</i> , in with the split infinitive.....	184
David Crystal and the history of English spelling, or how the Internet is killing off silent letters .....	187
The history of txt spk and Queen Victoria .....	188
Who’s to blame for literacy levels in England and Northern Ireland .....	190
‘Could care less’ or ‘couldn’t care less’ .....	192
Censoring the ‘G-word’ .....	194
Railway station or train station? .....	196
The future of English.....	198
#Fundilymundily the language of the UK general election 2015	200
Murphy’s Law and other mistakes prescriptivists make .....	202
Migrants: the language crisis.....	204
Adding the <i>Mx</i> : Gender-neutral titles and pronouns .....	207
The descriptive backlash .....	209
Can your local accent hold you back? .....	210
<b>Appendix C: Flat adverbs survey .....</b>	<b>213</b>
<b>Appendix D: List of newspaper sources for the Letters corpus .....</b>	<b>217</b>
<b>References.....</b>	<b>219</b>
<b>Samenvatting.....</b>	<b>241</b>
<b>Curriculum vitae.....</b>	<b>241</b>



## Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the many people who have helped me write this thesis. Firstly, I would like to thank my supervisor for providing me with the opportunity to take part in the Bridging the Unbridgeable project, and for her advice and guidance. I would like to thank the members of project for the useful discussions throughout the years. I am indebted to Robin Straaijer, Robert Gutounig, Tony Parr, the members of the committee, and the anonymous reviewers of the studies included in this thesis for reading some or all of its chapters. Each commented in their distinctive way and provided useful feedback. For her editing the Dutch summary of this thesis written by Robin Straaijer, I thank Renée Dekker. Professor Christian Mair gave me valuable advice in crucial stages of the work and helped me make the most of my stay at the University of Freiburg. All of them have my thanks and have helped to make this a better book than would have otherwise been the case.

I would like to thank Bobby Ruijgrok and Chris Engberts for sharing the PhD experience with me. I am also thankful to Mignon Fogarty, survey participants, and journalists for their answers to my questions and for providing me with the data without which this thesis would not have been possible.

I would not be here without the support of my remarkable aunt, Slavica Lukač, who has taught me that kindness and integrity are the highest forms of wisdom.



## Abbreviations

AE06	The American English 2006 Corpus
AHD	<i>American Heritage Dictionary</i>
AP	Associated Press
APA	The American Psychological Association
BBC	British Broadcasting Corporation
BE06	The British English 2006 Corpus
BLOB	Before Lancaster-Oslo/Bergen Corpus
BNC	British National Corpus
COCA	Corpus of Contemporary American English
COHA	Corpus of Historical American English
CMC	Computer-mediated communication
FLOB	The Freiburg-Lancaster-Oslo/Bergen Corpus
GG	<i>Grammar Girl</i>
GloWbE	The corpus of Global Web-based English
HUGE	Hyper Usage Guide of English
LE	Letters to the editor
LL	Log likelihood
LLOCE	<i>Longman Lexicon of Contemporary English</i>
LOB	Lancaster-Oslo/Bergen Corpus
NPOV	Neutral Point of View
NYC	<i>The New York Times</i>
MLA	Modern Language Association
NS	Native speaker of English
NNS	Non-native speaker of English
PMW	(Frequency) per million words
POS	Part of speech
MEU	<i>Modern English Usage</i>
OED	<i>Oxford English Dictionary</i>
ODO	<i>Oxford Dictionaries Online</i>
TED	Technology, Entertainment, Design

