



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Combatting metabolic disease : ethnic aspects, mechanisms and novel treatment strategies

Nahon, K.J.

Citation

Nahon, K. J. (2018, November 15). *Combatting metabolic disease : ethnic aspects, mechanisms and novel treatment strategies*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/66800>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/66800>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/66800> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Nahon, K.J.

Title: Combatting metabolic disease : ethnic aspects, mechanisms and novel treatment strategies

Issue Date: 2018-11-15

CHAPTER 7

Effect of sitagliptin on energy metabolism and brown adipose tissue in overweight individuals with prediabetes: a randomised placebo-controlled trial

Kimberly J. Nahon

Fleur Doornink

Maaïke E. Straat

Kani Botani

Borja Martinez-Tellez

Gustavo Abreu-Vieira

Jan B. van Klinken

Gardi J. Voortman

Edith C.H. Friesema

Jonatan R. Ruiz

Floris H.P van Velden

Lioe-Fee de Geus-Oei

Frits Smit

Lenka M. Pereira Arias-Bouda

Jimmy F.P. Berbée

Ingrid M. Jazet

Mariëtte R. Boon

Patrick C.N. Rensen

Diabetologia (2018) in press

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of sitagliptin on glucose tolerance, plasma lipids, energy expenditure and metabolism of brown adipose tissue (BAT), white adipose tissue (WAT) and skeletal muscle in overweight individuals with prediabetes (impaired glucose tolerance and/or impaired fasting glucose). We performed a randomised, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial in 30 overweight, European men (age 45.9 ± 6.2 years; BMI 28.8 ± 2.3 kg/m²) with prediabetes in the Leiden University Medical Center and the Alrijne Hospital between March 2015 and September 2016. 30 Participants were initially randomly allocated to receive sitagliptin (100 mg/day) ($n = 15$) or placebo ($n = 15$) for 12 weeks, using a randomisation list that was set up by an unblinded pharmacist. All people involved in the study as well as participants were blinded to group assignment. Two participants withdrew from the study prior to completion (both in the sitagliptin group) and were subsequently replaced with two new participants that were allocated to the same treatment. Before and after treatment, fasting venous blood samples and skeletal muscle biopsies were obtained, OGTT was performed and body composition, resting energy expenditure and [¹⁸F]fluorodeoxyglucose ([¹⁸F]FDG) uptake by metabolic tissues were assessed. The primary study endpoint was the effect of sitagliptin on BAT volume and activity. One participant from the sitagliptin group was excluded from analysis, due to a distribution error, leaving 29 participants for further analysis. Sitagliptin, but not placebo, lowered glucose excursion (-40% ; $p < 0.003$) during OGTT, accompanied by an improved insulinogenic index ($+38\%$; $p < 0.003$) and oral disposition index ($+44\%$; $p < 0.003$). In addition, sitagliptin lowered serum concentrations of triacylglycerol (-29%) and very large (-46%), large (-35%) and medium-sized (-24%) VLDL particles (all $p < 0.05$). Body weight, body composition and energy expenditure did not change. In skeletal muscle, sitagliptin increased mRNA expression of *PGC1 β* (also known as *PPARGC1B*) ($+117\%$; $p < 0.05$), a main controller of mitochondrial oxidative energy metabolism. Although the primary endpoint of change in BAT volume and activity was not met, sitagliptin increased [¹⁸F]FDG uptake in subcutaneous WAT (sWAT; $+53\%$; $p < 0.05$). Reported side effects were mild and transient and not necessarily related to the treatment. Twelve weeks of sitagliptin in overweight, European men with prediabetes improves glucose tolerance and lipid metabolism, as related to increased [¹⁸F]FDG uptake by sWAT, rather than BAT, and upregulation of the mitochondrial gene *PGC1 β* in skeletal muscle. Studies on the effect of sitagliptin on preventing or delaying the progression of prediabetes into type 2 diabetes are warranted.

INTRODUCTION

The worldwide prevalence of obesity is rapidly increasing (1). In obese individuals glucose homeostasis is frequently dysregulated, leading to a condition known as prediabetes (impaired glucose tolerance and/or impaired fasting glucose). It is estimated that up to 70% of individuals with prediabetes eventually develop type 2 diabetes mellitus (2). Therefore, delaying or preventing the development of type 2 diabetes is a potentially fruitful therapeutic strategy. In individuals with type 2 diabetes, glucose dysregulation is often accompanied by atherogenic dyslipidaemia, characterised by high triacylglycerol and LDL-cholesterol levels and low HDL-cholesterol levels (3,4). Current treatment strategies are aimed at targeting both hyperglycaemia and dyslipidaemia by combining glucose-lowering drugs with statins. However, a drug that improves both glucose and lipid profile might be of specific interest.

The dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP4) inhibitor sitagliptin, which enhances the bioavailability of incretin hormones, improves both glucose tolerance and lipid metabolism in individuals with type 2 diabetes (5-7), thereby targeting both microvascular and macrovascular complications. The precise mechanism by which sitagliptin exerts these positive metabolic effects remains largely unknown. Interestingly, preclinical data with sitagliptin point towards a mechanism that involves the enhancement of energy expenditure through an increase in the activity of energy-combusting brown adipose tissue (BAT) and/or enhanced skeletal muscle respiratory capacity (8).

BAT combusts glucose and fatty acids towards heat, thereby increasing energy expenditure (9). High amounts of BAT, at least judged from the ability of BAT to take up [^{18}F]fluorodeoxyglucose ([^{18}F]FDG), are associated with lower plasma glucose levels and higher insulin sensitivity in humans (10-12) and BAT prevalence is inversely correlated with diabetic status (13). In addition, recruitment of BAT by short-term exposure to cold alleviates peripheral insulin resistance in individuals with type 2 diabetes (14). Of note, mouse studies have shown that BAT activation can also improve lipid profile and attenuate atherosclerosis development (15). Notably, in white adipose tissue (WAT) depots (16) inducible 'beige' adipocytes are present that have thermogenic capacity and can contribute to energy expenditure (reviewed in (17)).

Human skeletal muscle is an important contributor to energy expenditure and whole-body glucose metabolism. Insulin resistance of skeletal muscle is one of the earliest abnormalities that precede type 2 diabetes, and mitochondrial dysfunction has been implicated in the underlying pathogenesis (18). Improving human skeletal muscle metabolism, including mitochondrial function, is therefore another important therapeutic goal to ameliorate insulin resistance.

Although many studies have focussed on improving the metabolic profile of individuals with type 2 diabetes, less attention has been paid to identifying therapies that

improve glucose and lipid levels in prediabetic individuals. This may be of particular benefit since most of these individuals eventually proceed to overt diabetes (2,19). Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of sitagliptin on glucose tolerance, plasma lipids, energy expenditure, skeletal muscle metabolism and uptake of [^{18}F] FDG by BAT and WAT in overweight individuals with prediabetes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

An extensive description of the Materials and Methods is available in the Supplementary Material and Methods.

Participants

Thirty-two overweight (BMI 25–35 kg/m²) Dutch Europid men with prediabetes, aged 35–55 years, were included. Prediabetes was defined as having a fasted serum glucose between 5.6 mmol/L and 6.9 mmol/L, according to the ADA criteria (20), and/or a plasma glucose level between 7.8 mmol/L and 11.1 mmol/L following an OGTT, according to WHO criteria for impaired glucose tolerance. Exclusion criteria were type 2 diabetes (e.g. fasted glucose >6.9 mmol/L and/or plasma glucose following OGTT >11.1 mmol/L), smoking, recent weight change, rigorous exercise, uncontrolled chronic disease or a positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) scan within the last year. The study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC) and performed in accordance with the principles of the revised Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all volunteers prior to participation. Thirty participants were initially randomised. Two participants withdrew from the study prior to completion (both in the sitagliptin group—one participant because of heartburn and one participant because of joint pain) and were subsequently replaced with two new participants that were allocated to the same treatment (see **Supplementary Figure 1**). Thus, thirty participants completed the study. After completion of the study, one participant from the sitagliptin group was excluded from analyses due to a distribution error where the participant received both sitagliptin and placebo as treatment. Trial register number: NCT02294084.

Study design

Participants were enrolled in a randomised, double-blinded, placebo-controlled study and received oral administration of either sitagliptin (100 mg/day sitagliptin phosphate; Januvia; Merck Sharp and Dome, Haarlem, the Netherlands) or placebo for 12 weeks. The primary study endpoint was the effect of sitagliptin on BAT volume and activity. Secondary endpoints were the effects of sitagliptin on body weight and composition, resting energy expenditure (REE), glucose tolerance, fasting markers in blood for glucose and lipid

metabolism, [^{18}F]FDG uptake by WAT and skeletal muscle and gene expression in skeletal muscle biopsies. As a post hoc analysis, we quantified lipid and lipoprotein composition using high-throughput proton NMR metabolomics. Participants were studied at baseline and after 12 weeks of treatment. Before and after the treatment period, two measurement days took place. During the first day, body composition was measured (DEXA; iDXA; GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK), followed by an individualised water-cooling protocol as described previously (21) (see Supplementary Methods). Thermoneutral and cold-exposed fasting blood samples were obtained and REE was measured by indirect calorimetry (Oxycon Pro; CareFusion, Heidelberg, Germany) (see Supplementary Methods) and skin temperature was measured (iButton; Maxim Integrated Products, San Jose, CA, USA). In addition, cold-induced [^{18}F]FDG uptake by BAT, WAT and skeletal muscle was determined by PET/CT scan (Gemini TF-64; Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands) 1 h after administration of 110 MBq of [^{18}F]FDG (see Supplementary Methods). In the sitagliptin group, one participant became claustrophobic inside the PET/CT scan and could not finish this measurement. On the second day, a fasted skeletal muscle biopsy was taken from the vastus lateralis muscle (22) and then an OGTT was performed (see Supplementary Methods). All measurements took place after participants had fasted for 10 h overnight and had consumed a standardised dinner the night before. Each week during the treatment period, participants measured their blood glucose and were contacted to monitor compliance and adverse events.

Serum measurements

Commercially available enzymatic kits were used to measure serum concentrations of triacylglycerol and total cholesterol (Roche Diagnostics, Woerden, the Netherlands), NEFA (Wako Chemicals, Neuss, Germany) and glucose (Instruchemie, Delfzijl, the Netherlands). Insulin concentrations were measured using ELISA (Crystal Chem, Elk Grove Village, IL, USA). Plasma catecholamines were measured in the laboratory of Vascular Medicine (Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, the Netherlands) using standard procedure. Aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, γ -glutamyltransferase, HbA_{1c} and HDL-cholesterol were determined by the general hospital Laboratory of the LUMC and LDL-cholesterol was calculated using the Friedewald equation (23). Lipid and lipoprotein composition was quantified using high-throughput proton NMR metabolomics (Nightingale Health, Helsinki, Finland), as described previously (24). The following components were quantified: phospholipids, triacylglycerol, total cholesterol, non-esterified cholesterol and cholesteryl esters. The mean size for VLDL, LDL and HDL particles was calculated by weighting the corresponding subclass diameters with their particle concentrations (25). For the analysis of the OGTT, the AUC was calculated using the trapezoidal rule (26). Incremental AUC was calculated by deducting the area below the baseline value from total AUCs. Insulin sensitivity was estimated using the Matsuda index (27). The insulinogenic index (IGI; $\Delta I_{0-30}/\Delta G_{0-30}$, where I is insulin and G is glucose)

was used as a measure of early insulin secretion (28). The oral disposition index (DI_o ; $[\Delta I_{0-30}/\Delta G_{0-30}]/\text{fasting insulin}$) was used to estimate beta cell function relative to the prevailing level of insulin resistance (29).

PET/CT scan analysis

^{18}F FDG uptake by BAT, WAT and skeletal muscle was determined from the ^{18}F FDG PET/CT scan using Fiji ImageJ 1.51d (Beth, Israel) (30) and analysed by two researchers blinded to allocation. For BAT, a personalised standardised uptake value (SUV) threshold with a tissue radiodensity between -190 and -10 Hounsfield units was used (31). For WAT, skeletal muscle and reference tissues, an SUV threshold of 0 was used and no Hounsfield units threshold was applied.

qRT-PCR analysis in skeletal muscle biopsies

Skeletal muscle biopsies were analysed for expression of genes involved in insulin signalling (*INSR*, *IRS1*), glucose metabolism (*GLUT4*), lipid metabolism (*ACACA*, *ACACB*, *ACSL1*, *CD36*, *FASN*) and mitochondrial function (*CTP1 α* , *CTP1 β* , *CTP2*, *CYCS*, *DNM1L*, *MFN2*, *OPA1*, *PPARGC1 α* [also known as *PPARGC1A*], *PPARGC1 β* [also known as *PPARGC1B*], *UCP3*), as well as *DPP4* (*DPP4*) and fibroblast growth factor (*FGF21*), using quantitative (q)PCR (Bio-Rad CFX96; Veenendaal, the Netherlands) (see **Supplementary Table 1** for primer sequences). Bio-Rad software version 3.1 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) was used for analysis and quantification. Biopsy material from five participants (two from placebo and three from sitagliptin group) was insufficient for reliable mRNA analysis. Expression levels were normalised using the housekeeping gene β -actin (*ACTB*) and expressed as fold change using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method.

Statistical analysis

Power calculations were made for the primary outcome measurement of BAT activity (SUVmean), see Supplementary Materials and Methods. On the basis of previous studies (32), we anticipated a 20% increase in BAT activity after sitagliptin treatment. Assuming a bilateral alternative, we were able to detect differences of at least 20% in SUVmean, with a power of more than 80% and an α of 0.05 in a group of 30 participants. Data were analysed using SPSS Statistics (version 23.0; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Data are shown as mean \pm SEM, unless stated otherwise. Two-tailed unpaired Student's *t* test was used to compare baseline characteristic between sitagliptin and placebo group. Mixed model analyses with treatment and occasion as fixed effects and subject-specific deviances from the mean as random effects were used to assess the effect of the treatment. If the mixed model failed to converge, a non-parametric paired test (Wilcoxon signed-rank test) was used. Statistical results are shown with adjustment for multiple testing. Bonferroni-corrected levels of significance are shown in the table and figure legends.

RESULTS

Participant characteristics and compliance

Thirty overweight, Euroid men with prediabetes completed the study, although one participant from the sitagliptin group was excluded from analyses due to a distribution error (the participant received both sitagliptin and placebo as treatment). Characteristics of the remaining participants are summarised in Table 1. All measured baseline characteristics were comparable between the two groups (**Table 1**), except for alanine aminotransferase, which was higher in the placebo group (0.73 ± 0.08 vs 0.47 ± 0.05 $\mu\text{kat/L}$; $p=0.009$ [not significant with a Bonferroni-corrected level of significance of 0.003]). A total of 83% of the participants had isolated impaired fasting glucose and 17% of the participants had combined impaired fasting glucose and impaired glucose tolerance. The daily oral administration of 100 mg sitagliptin was well tolerated and reported side effects (e.g. nasopharyngitis, heartburn and joint pains) were mild and transient and not necessarily related to the treatment. No episodes of hypoglycaemia were observed. Compliance was confirmed by counting returned tablets. In the placebo group only transient nasopharyngitis was reported.

Table 1. Participant characteristics at screening

	Placebo (n=15)	Sitagliptin (n=14)
Age (years)	47.1 (1.5)	45.3 (1.7)
Height (m)	1.83 (0.02)	1.79 (0.02)
Weight (kg)	93.7 (1.6)	95.4 (3.1)
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.8 (0.4)	29.6 (0.9)
Waist circumference (cm)	101 (2)	100 (2)
Hip circumference (cm)	101 (2)	103 (2)
Waist-to-hip ratio	1.00 (0.01)	0.98 (0.01)
Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	5.9 (0.1)	5.8 (0.1)
Fasting insulin (pmol/L)	81.3 (24.3)	54.2 (9.7)
HbA1c (mmol/L)	36.5 (0.8)	36.2 (0.9)
HbA1c (%)	5.5%	5.5%
Fasting triacylglycerol (mmol/L)	1.70 (0.31)	1.47 (0.19)
Fasting total cholesterol (mmol/L)	5.32 (0.32)	5.84 (0.24)
Aspartate aminotransferase ($\mu\text{kat/L}$)	0.52 (0.03)	0.42 (0.03)
Alanine aminotransferase ($\mu\text{kat/L}$)	0.73 (0.08)	0.47 (0.05) *
γ -Glutamyltransferase ($\mu\text{kat/L}$)	0.62 (0.08)	0.53 (0.07)

Values are presented as mean (standard error of the mean). BMI: body mass index, HbA1c: haemoglobin A1c. Two-tailed unpaired Student's *t* test was used for statistical comparison * $p=0.009$ for sitagliptin vs placebo (not significant with Bonferroni-corrected level of significance of 0.003 ($\alpha=0.05/16$)). Data are from all participants that completed the study, apart from $n=1$ in the sitagliptin group, who was excluded due to pharmacy error.

Table 2. Effect of sitagliptin on measures of glucose tolerance in overweight men with prediabetes

	Placebo (n=15)		Sitagliptin (n=14)	
	Week 0	Week 12	Week 0	Week 12
Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	6.3 (0.2)	6.1 (0.2)	5.9 (0.2)	5.9 (0.2)
Peak glucose (mmol/L)	12.2 (0.4)	12.1 (0.5)	11.0 (0.4)	9.7 (0.3) ** †
Peak glucose time (min)	52.7 (4.8)	40.7 (2.7)	44.3 (5.1)	31.4 (3.3)
AUC ₀₋₁₂₀ glucose (mmol/L x min)	1137 (52)	1088 (51)	969 (41)	819 (21) ** †
AUC ₀₋₁₂₀ incremental glucose (mmol/L x min)	387 (40)	371 (38)	276 (35)	166 (33) ** ††
Fasting insulin (pmol/L)	46.5 (6.3)	51.4 (7.0)	37.5 (6.3)	44.5 (12.5)
Peak insulin (pmol/L)	639 (111)	590 (83)	549 (76)	507 (83)
Peak insulin time (min)	61.3 (6.4)	65.3 (8.5)	46.4 (6.2)	40.7 (4.0)
AUC ₀₋₁₂₀ insulin (pmol/Lx min)	45565 (7881)	42960 (6048)	37437 (5652)	31858 (4879)
AUC ₀₋₁₂₀ incremental insulin (pmol/Lx min)	39941 (7296)	36805 (5366)	32957 (5036)	26533 (3571)
AUC ₀₋₁₂₀ glucose/ AUC ₀₋₁₂₀ insulin	0.25 (0.04)	0.25 (0.04)	0.22 (0.02)	0.21 (0.02)
Matsuda index	4.93 (0.72)	5.56 (1.16)	5.96 (0.65)	6.63 (0.73)
HOMA-IR	1.93 (0.26)	2.02 (0.28)	1.40 (0.24)	1.71 (0.51)
IGI (pmol/mmol)	77 (12)	75 (14)	100 (19)	138 (23) ** †
DI ₀	1.69 (0.21)	1.68 (0.34)	2.67 (0.30)	3.84 (0.54) ** ††

Values are presented as mean (standard error of the mean). AUC: area under the curve, DI₀: oral disposition index, HOMA-IR: homeostatic model assessment insulin resistance, IGI: insulinogenic index. Mixed model analysis was used for statistical comparison *0.003<*p*<0.05 and ***p*<0.003 for week 0 vs week 12; †0.003<*p*<0.05 and ††*p*<0.003 for placebo vs sitagliptin. Bonferroni-corrected level of significance is 0.003 ($\alpha=0.05/15$). Data are from all participants that completed the study, apart from n=1 in the sitagliptin group, who was excluded due to pharmacy error.

Sitagliptin improves glucose tolerance without changing body weight or composition

We first assessed the effects of sitagliptin on glucose metabolism. Sitagliptin did not change fasting serum glucose or insulin levels (**Table 2**). However, compared with baseline, twelve weeks of sitagliptin treatment improved glucose tolerance as demonstrated by reduced glucose excursions (AUC_{gluc0-120} –15%, *p* < 0.003; **Table 2**), lower incremental glucose excursions (AUC_{incrgluc0-120} –40%, *p* < 0.003; **Table 2**; **Figure 1B,C**) and lower peak glucose levels (–12%, *p* < 0.003; **Table 2**) during OGTT. Incremental insulin excursions (AUC_{incrs0-120}) were not significantly reduced upon sitagliptin treatment (**Table 2**; **Figure 1E,F**). Furthermore, sitagliptin improved IGI (+38%, *p* < 0.003; **Table 2**) and increased DI₀ (+44%, *p* < 0.003; **Table 2**). Placebo did not affect either glucose or insulin excursions (**Table 2** and **Figure 1A,C,D,F**). Using a mixed model, we confirmed that the sitagliptin-induced changes in peak glucose levels (*p* < 0.05), AUC_{gluc0-120} (*p* < 0.05), AUC_{incrgluc0-120} (*p* < 0.003), IGI (*p* < 0.05) and DI₀ (*p* < 0.003) were significantly different from placebo. Sitagliptin did not affect body composition (**Supplementary Table 2**), nor did it affect proximal, distal or supraclavicular skin temperature upon cooling

(**Supplementary Table 3**). In addition, the liver enzymes aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase and γ -glutamyltransferase were not affected by sitagliptin treatment (**Supplementary Table 4**). Of note, placebo increased alanine aminotransferase (+82%, $p < 0.01$; **Supplementary Table 4**).




Figure 1. The effect of sitagliptin on glucose tolerance in overweight men with prediabetes. An OGTT was performed to assess glucose tolerance, before (white circles and bars) and after (black circles and bars) 12 weeks of placebo ($n=15$) or sitagliptin ($n=14$) treatment. Serum was collected before and at several time points up to 120 min after ingestion of 75 g of glucose. Glucose and insulin excursions were determined at the indicated time points after treatment with placebo (**A, D**) or sitagliptin (**B, E**). Incremental AUC (AUCincr) was calculated for glucose (gluc; **C**) and insulin (ins; **F**). Data are presented as means \pm SEM. Mixed model analysis was used for statistical comparison. In (**A, B, D, E**) $*0.006 < p < 0.05$ for week 0 vs week 12, not significant with Bonferroni-corrected level of significance 0.006 ($\alpha=0.05/9$). In (**C, F**) $**p < 0.01$ for week 0 vs week 12, significant with Bonferroni-corrected level of significance 0.01 ($\alpha=0.05/4$); $\dagger\dagger p < 0.01$ for placebo vs sitagliptin, significant with Bonferroni-corrected level of significance 0.01 ($\alpha=0.05/4$).

Sitagliptin lowers serum triacylglycerol reflected by decreased concentrations of large and medium-sized VLDL particles

Previous studies in individuals with type 2 diabetes showed that sitagliptin improves plasma lipid levels (5,6). Therefore, we assessed whether sitagliptin also affects serum lipids and lipoprotein levels in overweight individuals with prediabetes. Sitagliptin induced a substantial reduction in serum triacylglycerol levels (-29% ; $p < 0.05$ [not significant with a Bonferroni-corrected level of significance of 0.003]; **Figure 2A**) without affecting serum total cholesterol (**Figure 2B**), total HDL-cholesterol (**Figure 2C**), total LDL-cholesterol (**Figure 2D**), NEFA levels (data not shown) or plasma catecholamines (data not shown). Placebo did not significantly affect serum triacylglycerol, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol or LDL-cholesterol (**Figure 2A–D**). The sitagliptin-induced reduction in triacylglycerol was not significantly different from placebo.




Figure 2. The effect of sitagliptin on serum lipid and VLDL and HDL particle concentration in overweight men with prediabetes. Serum was collected before (week 0, white bars) and after (week 12, black bars) treatment with placebo ($n=15$) or sitagliptin ($n=14$). Enzymatic assays were used to measure serum triacylglycerol (TG) (A) and total cholesterol (TC) (B). HDL-cholesterol was determined by the general hospital laboratory of the LUMC (C) and LDL-cholesterol was calculated using the Friedewald equation (D). NMR was used to measure serum concentrations of extremely large (E), very large (F), large (G), medium-sized (H), small (I) and very small (J) VLDL particle and very large (K), large (L), medium-sized (M) and small (N) HDL particles. In addition, mean VLDL (O) and mean HDL (P) particle size was determined. Data are presented as means \pm SEM and as individual measurements. Mixed model analysis was used for statistical comparison. $*0.003 < p < 0.05$ for week 0 vs week 12, not significant with Bonferroni-corrected level of significance 0.003 ($\alpha=0.05/16$).

Since serum triacylglycerol is carried by lipoproteins, we next assessed whether the reduction in triacylglycerol induced by sitagliptin was specific for certain lipoprotein subfractions. Sitagliptin lowered serum concentrations of very large (–46%; $p < 0.05$; **Figure 2F**), large (–35%; $p < 0.05$; **Figure 2G**) and medium-sized VLDL particles (–24%; $p < 0.05$; **Figure 2H**), although these were all non-significant with a Bonferroni-corrected level of significance of 0.003. Concentrations of small (**Figure 2I**) and very small VLDL particles (**Figure 2J**) were unchanged. Overall, this resulted in a decrease in mean VLDL particle size (–1.7%; $p < 0.05$ [not significant with a Bonferroni-corrected level of significance of 0.003]; **Figure 2O**). In addition, sitagliptin did not significantly change the concentrations of very large, large, medium-sized or small HDL particle subfractions (**Figure 2K–N**) or mean HDL particle size (**Figure 2P**). Intermediate-dense lipoprotein (IDL), large, medium-sized and small LDL particle concentrations and mean LDL particle size were not affected by sitagliptin treatment (**Figure 2**). Placebo did not alter the measured lipoprotein particle concentrations or particle sizes (**Figure 2E–P**; **Supplementary Figure 2**). The sitagliptin-induced reduction in concentration of very large, large or medium-sized VLDL particles was not significantly different from placebo, nor was the sitagliptin-induced decrease in VLDL and increase in HDL mean particle size.

In line with these findings, most components of the lipoprotein subclasses in extremely large, very large, large and medium-sized VLDL particles were decreased upon sitagliptin treatment (**Supplementary Table 5**). In IDL, only non-esterified cholesterol significantly increased and in HDL particles only phospholipids in very large HDL significantly increased (**Supplementary Table 5**), although these were not significant with a Bonferroni-corrected level of significance of 0.0006. Components of the lipoprotein subclasses in LDL fractions (**Supplementary Table 5**) and fatty acid composition did not change significantly.

Sitagliptin does not affect overall resting energy expenditure or substrate preference

To assess whether sitagliptin improves glucose and lipid metabolism by altering substrate utilisation or REE, indirect calorimetry was performed. REE was similar before and after treatment with either sitagliptin or placebo, also when correcting for lean body mass (**Supplementary Table 6**). In addition, non-shivering thermogenesis, the respiratory quotient, glucose and lipid oxidation did not change upon treatment (**Supplementary Table 6**).

Sitagliptin increases [^{18}F]FDG uptake in subcutaneous WAT, but not in BAT

Next, we assessed whether sitagliptin improves glucose tolerance and lipid profile through beneficial effects on BAT, WAT or skeletal muscle. To this end, we assessed cold-induced [^{18}F]FDG uptake in these organs following PET/CT scan. Concerning the primary endpoint, we found that 12 weeks of sitagliptin treatment did not affect [^{18}F]FDG uptake in all measured BAT depots, though mean [^{18}F]FDG uptake in BAT was very

low in most participants (**Supplementary Table 7**). Furthermore, sitagliptin did not alter [^{18}F]FDG uptake in skeletal muscle or paracolic WAT. Interestingly, [^{18}F]FDG uptake in subcutaneous WAT (sWAT) was increased by sitagliptin (+53%; $p < 0.05$ [not significant with Bonferroni-corrected level of significance of 0.002]) but not placebo treatment (**Supplementary Table 7**). The sitagliptin-induced effect on [^{18}F]FDG uptake in sWAT did not significantly differ from placebo. In the placebo group, [^{18}F]FDG uptake by the trapezius muscles was increased (+15%; $p < 0.05$), as was uptake by the descending aorta (+13%; $p < 0.05$), as reference tissues (neither significant with Bonferroni-corrected level of significance of 0.002; **Supplementary Table 7**).

Sitagliptin increases PGC1 β gene expression in skeletal muscle

Next, we analysed skeletal muscle biopsies for pathways involved in mitochondrial function, glucose and lipid metabolism. Sitagliptin increased the expression of *PGC1 β* (also known as *PPARGC1B*) (+117%; $p < 0.05$ [not significant with Bonferroni-corrected level of significance of 0.002]; **Figure 3B**) in skeletal muscle. Sitagliptin also increased *DPP4* gene expression (+51%; $p < 0.05$ [not significant with Bonferroni-corrected level of significance of 0.002]; **Figure 3D**). Expression of genes involved in glucose or lipid metabolism were not significantly changed by sitagliptin (**Figure 3D**). In the placebo group, expression levels of none of the measured genes were significantly changed upon treatment (**Figure 3A,C**).




Figure 3. The effect of sitagliptin on skeletal muscle gene expression in overweight men with pre-diabetes. A fasted skeletal muscle biopsy was taken before (white bars) and after (black bars) 12 weeks of treatment with placebo ($n=13$) or sitagliptin ($n=11$). qPCR was used to determine expression of genes involved in mitochondrial function, glucose metabolism and lipid metabolism, as well as *DPP4* and *FGF21*, upon placebo (**a, c**) and sitagliptin (**b, d**) treatment. Data are presented as means \pm SEM. Expression levels were normalised using the mRNA content of the housekeeping gene β -actin (*ACTB*) and expressed as fold change using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method. Mixed model analysis was used for statistical comparison. * $0.002 < p < 0.05$ for week 0 vs week 12, not significant with Bonferroni-corrected level of significance 0.002 ($\alpha=0.05/21$).

DISCUSSION

The aim of the current study was to evaluate the effect of sitagliptin on glucose tolerance, plasma lipids, energy expenditure, skeletal muscle metabolism and [^{18}F]FDG uptake by BAT and WAT in overweight men with prediabetes. Here, we report that 12 weeks of sitagliptin treatment improved glucose tolerance and lipid profile in these men. These beneficial effects were accompanied by an increase in mRNA expression of *PGC1 β* , a gene encoding an inducer of mitochondrial biogenesis, in skeletal muscle. Sitagliptin did not affect [^{18}F]FDG uptake by BAT but increased [^{18}F]FDG uptake by sWAT. To our knowledge, this study is the first to provide extensive insight in the beneficial effects of sitagliptin on both glucose and lipid metabolism in overweight individuals with prediabetes, as previous studies mostly focussed on individuals with type 2 diabetes (6).

We showed that the improvement in glucose tolerance following treatment of these men with sitagliptin was not explained by changes in body composition. The improved glucose tolerance is in line with previous reports (33,34). Since we observed an improvement in IGI (i.e. measure of early insulin secretion) and DI_0 (i.e. estimation of beta cell function relative to measure of insulin resistance) during OGTT, we speculate that the improved glucose tolerance can be explained by increased early insulin secretion, in turn possibly due to improved beta cell function. In accordance with this, the DPP4 inhibitor vildagliptin (100 mg/day) improved insulin sensitivity and beta cell function in prediabetic individuals upon 6 weeks of treatment (35). Interestingly, animal studies have shown that sitagliptin can delay the progression of prediabetes into diabetes (36,37). Whether this is also the case in humans remains to be elucidated (38).

We also showed that sitagliptin lowered serum triacylglycerol levels in overweight men with prediabetes. This is in line with previous studies showing that sitagliptin reduces triacylglycerol levels in individuals with type 2 diabetes (5,39), although in those individuals total cholesterol levels were also reduced. We used NMR metabolomics to evaluate which triacylglycerol-containing lipoprotein classes were lowered. Thereby, we specifically observed a decrease in the concentration of very large, large and medium-sized VLDL particles, which may point to reduced hepatic VLDL-triacylglycerol synthesis and/or enhanced VLDL-triacylglycerol clearance. Individuals with type 2 diabetes display increased hepatic synthesis of VLDL particles, resulting in elevated VLDL-triacylglycerol (4,40), presumably due to the impaired ability of insulin to suppress VLDL synthesis. Tremblay et al (6) showed that 6 weeks of sitagliptin treatment reduces triacylglycerol levels due to reduced hepatic VLDL synthesis (6). Therefore, it is likely that sitagliptin also attenuates hepatic VLDL-triacylglycerol production in our study in prediabetic men who also have impaired insulin sensitivity. A contribution made by the increased lipolysis of VLDL-triacylglycerol by LPL-containing peripheral tissues, including skeletal muscle and BAT, cannot be ruled out, especially since enhanced glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1)

receptor signalling has been shown to largely increase the uptake of triacylglycerol-derived fatty acids by BAT in mice (41). Evaluation of the potential contribution made by accelerated triacylglycerol clearance to the triacylglycerol-lowering effect of sitagliptin should be subject of future studies.

So far, the contribution of the various metabolic tissues responsible for the beneficial metabolic effects of sitagliptin has not been clarified. We investigated BAT, WAT and skeletal muscle, as those tissues are known to play an important role in energy metabolism. Despite us defining the primary endpoint of this study as the effect of sitagliptin on BAT volume and activity, we did not observe an effect of sitagliptin on [^{18}F]FDG uptake by BAT. However, sitagliptin is suggested to activate BAT in rodents, as judged from increased expression of uncoupling protein 1 gene (8). It should be realised that the read-outs of BAT activity were different in rodents compared with humans. In addition, compared with lean individuals, it is known that [^{18}F]FDG uptake in BAT is lower in obese individuals (42), in individuals with type 2 diabetes (43) and in increasing age (44), likely due to increased insulin resistance. Importantly, insulin resistance does not reduce the uptake by BAT of 14(*R,S*)-[^{18}F]fluoro-6-thia-heptadecanoic acid ([^{18}F]FTHA; a fatty acid tracer) or [^{11}C]acetate (a measure of oxidative metabolism) (43). It is feasible that our overweight men with prediabetes are insulin resistant at the level of BAT and, as a consequence, display low [^{18}F]FDG uptake in BAT. Therefore, it cannot be ruled out that in our study population [^{18}F]FDG uptake by BAT reflects insulin resistance rather than BAT metabolism. Since fatty acids rather than glucose form the main substrate for BAT thermogenesis (45,46), future studies should preferably use lipid-based PET/CT tracers such as [^{18}F]FTHA or PET/CT tracers imaging oxidative capacity, such as [^{11}C]acetate, to better reflect BAT activity, especially in insulin-resistant individuals. Interestingly, sitagliptin increased [^{18}F]FDG uptake in sWAT, possibly pointing to browning of this tissue. Indeed, enhanced GLP-1 receptor signalling induces massive browning of WAT in mice (41). Unfortunately, sWAT biopsies were not collected, so it remains unknown whether expression levels of thermogenic genes in WAT are increased upon sitagliptin treatment. Assessment of browning would be important in future human studies using DPP4 inhibitors or GLP1 agonists and would be of particular clinical relevance to overweight participants, who display large subcutaneous WAT depots.

Besides BAT, skeletal muscle is an important contributor to energy metabolism in humans. Sitagliptin increased *DPP4* expression in skeletal muscle, which seems counterintuitive since high local DPP4 levels are thought to impair insulin signalling and thereby induce/deteriorate the development of type 2 diabetes (47). The increased *DPP4* expression may be a consequence of a compensatory mechanism of increased plasma GLP-1 as a result of DPP4 inhibition, although unfortunately we were not able to measure circulating GLP-1 levels. We did show that sitagliptin increased mRNA expression of *PGC1 β* , which is in line with a previous rodent study showing that sitagliptin treatment

increases mitochondrial gene expression in skeletal muscle (8). Since *PGC1 β* modulates mitochondrial function through induction of mitochondrial biogenesis (48,49), sitagliptin may enhance skeletal muscle metabolism via this mechanism. Although we did not detect a significant effect of sitagliptin on energy expenditure, a potential contribution to whole-energy metabolism may not have been picked up by indirect calorimetry. Since skeletal muscles contribute largely to the composition of the human body, a small change in muscle respiration could have a large effect on total body metabolism.

A strength of our study is that we analysed the effect of sitagliptin on the main metabolically active organs. Moreover, we analysed multiple BAT and WAT depots by [^{18}F]FDG PET/CT. We also performed an extensive analysis of the serum lipoprotein profile using NMR metabolomics. A limitation of the current study is that we made use of the radio-tracer [^{18}F]FDG, so may have underestimated the metabolic activity of insulin-resistant tissues in individuals with prediabetes. We performed all measurements after an overnight fast. Since DPP4 inhibitors are most effective after a meal, it might be interesting to investigate the effects of sitagliptin on postprandial glucose and lipid metabolism, which might be even more pronounced. Furthermore, the relatively small sample size might have limited the statistical power. A strength and limitation is that we assessed many variables, which necessitated Bonferroni correction. The Bonferroni threshold for significance was reached only for reduction in glucose excursions by sitagliptin, the lower peak glucose levels during the OGTT and the improvement in the IGI and DI_0 . In addition, we only investigated European men. We chose this specific group since South Asians, another large ethnic group within the Netherlands, generally display more insulin resistance and dyslipidaemia (reviewed in (50)) as well as higher GLP-1 levels (51) compared with Europeans. Combination of several ethnic groups may have increased variation within our study groups. However, future studies should investigate whether these results also apply to the general population, including women.

In conclusion, we show that 12 weeks of sitagliptin treatment improves glucose tolerance and lipid profile in overweight men with prediabetes. Those effects might be mediated by browning of sWAT and/or increased energy metabolism in skeletal muscle possibly by upregulation of *PGC1 β* . However, the precise mechanism linking DPP4 inhibition to metabolic health still remains to be elucidated. Since up to 70% of prediabetic individuals eventually develop type 2 diabetes and current lifestyle and exercise programmes are often difficult to maintain in the long term, further studies on the effect of sitagliptin in preventing or delaying the progression of prediabetes and dyslipidaemia in individuals at risk for developing type 2 diabetes are warranted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Accu-Chek monitors and test strips were kindly provided by Roche Diabetes Care Nederland BV (Almere, the Netherlands). The Blanketrol III cooling device was kindly provided by FMH Medical (Veenendaal, the Netherlands). We thank T. Lugthart and N. van Kollenburg (Alrijne hospital, Leiderdorp, the Netherlands) for their excellent technical assistance. The technical assistance of B. Ladan-Eygenraam, H. Sips and T. Streefland (LUMC, the Netherlands) is also highly appreciated.

Some of the data were presented as an abstract at the ADA 77th Scientific Sessions in 2017.

FUNDING

This work was supported in part by a research grant to PCNR from the Investigator Initiated Studies Program of Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp (IIS no. 51292). PCNR is an Established Investigator of the Dutch Heart Foundation (grant 2009T038). MRB is supported by a Dutch Diabetes Research Foundation Fellowship (grant 2015.81.1808). This collaboration project is also supported in part by the Ministry of Economic Affairs by means of the PPP Allowance made available by the Top Sector Life Sciences & Health to stimulate public-private partnerships. BMT and JRR are supported by University of Granada, Plan Propio de Investigacion 2016, Excellence actions: Units of Excellence; Unit of Excellence on Exercise and Health (UCEES). This work was also supported by the Netherlands CardioVascular Research Initiative: 'the Dutch Heart Foundation, Dutch Federation of University Medical Centers, the Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development and the Royal Netherlands Academy of Sciences' for the GENIUS-II project 'Generating the best evidence-based pharmaceutical targets for atherosclerosis' (CVON2017-20).

REFERENCES

1. Roberto CA, Swinburn B, Hawkes C, Huang TT, Costa SA, Ashe M, Zwicker L, Cawley JH, Brownell KD. Patchy progress on obesity prevention: emerging examples, entrenched barriers, and new thinking. *Lancet*. 2015;385(9985):2400-2409.
2. Nathan DM, Davidson MB, DeFronzo RA, Heine RJ, Henry RR, Pratley R, Zinman B, American Diabetes A. Impaired fasting glucose and impaired glucose tolerance: implications for care. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30(3):753-759.
3. Curtin A, Deegan P, Owens D, Collins P, Johnson A, Tomkin GH. Elevated triglyceride-rich lipoproteins in diabetes. A study of apolipoprotein B-48. *Acta Diabetol*. 1996;33(3):205-210.
4. Taskinen MR. Diabetic dyslipidaemia: from basic research to clinical practice. *Diabetologia*. 2003;46(6):733-749.
5. Derosa G, Ragonesi PD, Fogari E, Cicero AF, Bianchi L, Bonaventura A, Romano D, Maffioli P. Sitagliptin added to previously taken antidiabetic agents on insulin resistance and lipid profile: a 2-year study evaluation. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;28(2):221-229.
6. Tremblay AJ, Lamarche B, Kelly I, Charest A, Lepine MC, Droit A, Couture P. Effect of sitagliptin therapy on triglyceride-rich lipoprotein kinetics in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2014;16(12):1223-1229.
7. Meier JJ, Nauck MA. Incretins and the development of type 2 diabetes. *Curr Diab Rep*. 2006;6(3):194-201.
8. Shimasaki T, Masaki T, Mitsutomi K, Ueno D, Gotoh K, Chiba S, Kakuma T, Yoshimatsu H. The dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor des-fluoro-sitagliptin regulates brown adipose tissue uncoupling protein levels in mice with diet-induced obesity. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(5):e63626.
9. Peng XR, Gennemark P, O'Mahony G, Bartesaghi S. Unlock the Thermogenic Potential of Adipose Tissue: Pharmacological Modulation and Implications for Treatment of Diabetes and Obesity. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2015;6:174.
10. Matsushita M, Yoneshiro T, Aita S, Kameya T, Sugie H, Saito M. Impact of brown adipose tissue on body fatness and glucose metabolism in healthy humans. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2014;38(6):812-817.
11. Lee P, Greenfield JR, Ho KK, Fulham MJ. A critical appraisal of the prevalence and metabolic significance of brown adipose tissue in adult humans. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*. 2010;299(4):E601-606.
12. Jacene HA, Cohade CC, Zhang Z, Wahl RL. The relationship between patients' serum glucose levels and metabolically active brown adipose tissue detected by PET/CT. *Mol Imaging Biol*. 2011;13(6):1278-1283.
13. Ouellet V, Routhier-Labadie A, Bellemare W, Lakhal-Chaieb L, Turcotte E, Carpentier AC, Richard D. Outdoor temperature, age, sex, body mass index, and diabetic status determine the prevalence, mass, and glucose-uptake activity of 18F-FDG-detected BAT in humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2011;96(1):192-199.
14. Hanssen MJ, Hoeks J, Brans B, van der Lans AA, Schaart G, van den Driessche JJ, Jorgensen JA, Boekschoten MV, Hesselink MK, Havekes B, Kersten S, Mottaghy FM, van Marken Lichtenbelt WD, Schrauwen P. Short-term cold acclimation improves insulin sensitivity in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Nat Med*. 2015;21(8):863-865.
15. Berbee JF, Boon MR, Khedoe PP, Bartelt A, Schlein C, Worthmann A, Kooijman S, Hoeke G, Mol IM, John C, Jung C, Vazirpanah N, Brouwers LP, Gordts PL, Esko JD, Hiemstra PS, Havekes LM, Scheja L, Heeren J, Rensen PC. Brown fat activation reduces hypercholesterolaemia and protects from atherosclerosis development. *Nat Commun*. 2015;6:6356.

16. Sidossis LS, Porter C, Saraf MK, Borsheim E, Radhakrishnan RS, Chao T, Ali A, Chondronikola M, Mlcak R, Finnerty CC, Hawkins HK, Toliver-Kinsky T, Herndon DN. Browning of Subcutaneous White Adipose Tissue in Humans after Severe Adrenergic Stress. *Cell Metab.* 2015;22(2):219-227.
17. Warner A, Mittag J. Breaking BAT: can browning create a better white? *J Endocrinol.* 2016;228(1):R19-29.
18. Goodpaster BH. Mitochondrial deficiency is associated with insulin resistance. *Diabetes.* 2013;62(4):1032-1035.
19. Cefalu WT, Petersen MP, Ratner RE. The alarming and rising costs of diabetes and prediabetes: a call for action! *Diabetes Care.* 2014;37(12):3137-3138.
20. Chamberlain JJ, Rhinehart AS, Shaefer CF, Jr., Neuman A. Diagnosis and Management of Diabetes: Synopsis of the 2016 American Diabetes Association Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes. *Ann Intern Med.* 2016;164(8):542-552.
21. Bakker LE, Boon MR, van der Linden RA, Arias-Bouda LP, van Klinken JB, Smit F, Verberne HJ, Jukema JW, Tamsma JT, Havekes LM, van Marken Lichtenbelt WD, Jazet IM, Rensen PC. Brown adipose tissue volume in healthy lean south Asian adults compared with white Caucasians: a prospective, case-controlled observational study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2014;2(3):210-217.
22. Shanely RA, Zwetsloot KA, Triplett NT, Meaney MP, Farris GE, Nieman DC. Human skeletal muscle biopsy procedures using the modified Bergstrom technique. *J Vis Exp.* 2014(91):51812.
23. Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem.* 1972;18(6):499-502.
24. Soininen P, Kangas AJ, Wurtz P, Suna T, Ala-Korpela M. Quantitative serum nuclear magnetic resonance metabolomics in cardiovascular epidemiology and genetics. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet.* 2015;8(1):192-206.
25. Kettunen J, Demirkan A, Wurtz P, Draisma HH, Haller T, Rawal R, Vaarhorst A, Kangas AJ, Lyytikäinen LP, Pirinen M, Pool R, Sarin AP, Soininen P, Tukiainen T, Wang Q, Tiainen M, Tynkkinen T, Amin N, Zeller T, Beekman M, Deelen J, van Dijk KW, Esko T, Hottenga JJ, van Leeuwen EM, Lehtimäki T, Mihailov E, Rose RJ, de Craen AJ, Gieger C, Kahonen M, Perola M, Blankenberg S, Savolainen MJ, Verhoeven A, Viikari J, Willemsen G, Boomsma DI, van Duijn CM, Eriksson J, Jula A, Jarvelin MR, Kaprio J, Metspalu A, Raitakari O, Salomaa V, Slagboom PE, Waldenberger M, Ripatti S, Ala-Korpela M. Genome-wide study for circulating metabolites identifies 62 loci and reveals novel systemic effects of LPA. *Nat Commun.* 2016;7:11122.
26. Matthews JN, Altman DG, Campbell MJ, Royston P. Analysis of serial measurements in medical research. *BMJ.* 1990;300(6719):230-235.
27. Matsuda M, DeFronzo RA. Insulin sensitivity indices obtained from oral glucose tolerance testing: comparison with the euglycemic insulin clamp. *Diabetes Care.* 1999;22(9):1462-1470.
28. Tura A, Kautzky-Willer A, Pacini G. Insulinogenic indices from insulin and C-peptide: comparison of beta-cell function from OGTT and IVGTT. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2006;72(3):298-301.
29. Retnakaran R, Qi Y, Goran MI, Hamilton JK. Evaluation of proposed oral disposition index measures in relation to the actual disposition index. *Diabet Med.* 2009;26(12):1198-1203.
30. Kanoun S, Tal I, Berriolo-Riedinger A, Rossi C, Riedinger JM, Vrigneaud JM, Legrand L, Humbert O, Casasnovas O, Brunotte F, Cochet A. Influence of Software Tool and Methodological Aspects of Total Metabolic Tumor Volume Calculation on Baseline [18F]FDG PET to Predict Survival in Hodgkin Lymphoma. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(10):e0140830.
31. Martinez-Tellez B, Nahon KJ, Sanchez-Delgado G, Abreu-Vieira G, Llamas-Elvira JM, van Velden FHP, Pereira Arias-Bouda LM, Rensen PCN, Boon MR, Ruiz JR. The impact of using BARCIST 1.0

- criteria on quantification of BAT volume and activity in three independent cohorts of adults. *Sci Rep*. 2018;8(1):8567.
32. Hanssen MJ, van der Lans AA, Brans B, Hoeks J, Jardon KM, Schaart G, Mottaghy FM, Schrauwen P, van Marken Lichtenbelt WD. Short-term Cold Acclimation Recruits Brown Adipose Tissue in Obese Humans. *Diabetes*. 2016;65(5):1179-1189.
 33. Kaku K, Kadowaki T, Terauchi Y, Okamoto T, Sato A, Okuyama K, Arjona Ferreira JC, Goldstein BJ. Sitagliptin improves glycaemic excursion after a meal or after an oral glucose load in Japanese subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2015;17(11):1033-1041.
 34. Johnson JL, O'Neal KS, Pack CC, Kim MK. Management of a prediabetes case with the DPP-4 inhibitor sitagliptin. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2014;48(6):811-815.
 35. Utzschneider KM, Tong J, Montgomery B, Udayasankar J, Gerchman F, Marcovina SM, Watson CE, Ligueros-Saylan MA, Foley JE, Holst JJ, Deacon CF, Kahn SE. The dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor vildagliptin improves beta-cell function and insulin sensitivity in subjects with impaired fasting glucose. *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31(1):108-113.
 36. Moritoh Y, Takeuchi K, Hazama M. Combination treatment with alogliptin and voglibose increases active GLP-1 circulation, prevents the development of diabetes and preserves pancreatic beta-cells in prediabetic db/db mice. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2010;12(3):224-233.
 37. Kim MK, Chae YN, Kim HD, Yang EK, Cho EJ, Choi SH, Cheong YH, Kim HS, Kim HJ, Jo YW, Son MH, Kim SH, Shin CY. DA-1229, a novel and potent DPP4 inhibitor, improves insulin resistance and delays the onset of diabetes. *Life Sci*. 2012;90(1-2):21-29.
 38. Hemmingsen B, Sonne DP, Metzendorf MI, Richter B. Dipeptidyl-peptidase (DPP)-4 inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide (GLP)-1 analogues for prevention or delay of type 2 diabetes mellitus and its associated complications in people at increased risk for the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;5:CD012204.
 39. Monami M, Lamanna C, Desideri CM, Mannucci E. DPP-4 inhibitors and lipids: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Adv Ther*. 2012;29(1):14-25.
 40. Borggreve SE, De Vries R, Dullaart RP. Alterations in high-density lipoprotein metabolism and reverse cholesterol transport in insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes mellitus: role of lipolytic enzymes, lecithin:cholesterol acyltransferase and lipid transfer proteins. *Eur J Clin Invest*. 2003;33(12):1051-1069.
 41. Kooijman S, Wang Y, Parlevliet ET, Boon MR, Edelschaap D, Snaterse G, Pijl H, Romijn JA, Rensen PC. Central GLP-1 receptor signalling accelerates plasma clearance of triacylglycerol and glucose by activating brown adipose tissue in mice. *Diabetologia*. 2015;58(11):2637-2646.
 42. Orava J, Nuutila P, Noponen T, Parkkola R, Viljanen T, Enerback S, Rissanen A, Pietilainen KH, Virtanen KA. Blunted metabolic responses to cold and insulin stimulation in brown adipose tissue of obese humans. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2013;21(11):2279-2287.
 43. Blondin DP, Labbe SM, Noll C, Kunach M, Phoenix S, Guerin B, Turcotte EE, Haman F, Richard D, Carpentier AC. Selective Impairment of Glucose but Not Fatty Acid or Oxidative Metabolism in Brown Adipose Tissue of Subjects With Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2015;64(7):2388-2397.
 44. Yoneshiro T, Aita S, Matsushita M, Okamatsu-Ogura Y, Kameya T, Kawai Y, Miyagawa M, Tsujisaki M, Saito M. Age-related decrease in cold-activated brown adipose tissue and accumulation of body fat in healthy humans. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2011;19(9):1755-1760.
 45. Ouellet V, Labbe SM, Blondin DP, Phoenix S, Guerin B, Haman F, Turcotte EE, Richard D, Carpentier AC. Brown adipose tissue oxidative metabolism contributes to energy expenditure during acute cold exposure in humans. *J. Clin. Invest*. 2012;122(2):545-552.

46. Labbe SM, Caron A, Bakan I, Laplante M, Carpentier AC, Lecomte R, Richard D. In vivo measurement of energy substrate contribution to cold-induced brown adipose tissue thermogenesis. *FASEB J*. 2015;29(5):2046-2058.
47. Lamers D, Famulla S, Wronkowitz N, Hartwig S, Lehr S, Ouwens DM, Eckardt K, Kaufman JM, Ryden M, Muller S, Hanisch FG, Ruige J, Arner P, Sell H, Eckel J. Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 is a novel adipokine potentially linking obesity to the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes*. 2011;60(7):1917-1925.
48. Kamei Y, Ohizumi H, Fujitani Y, Nemoto T, Tanaka T, Takahashi N, Kawada T, Miyoshi M, Ezaki O, Kakizuka A. PPARgamma coactivator 1beta/ERR ligand 1 is an ERR protein ligand, whose expression induces a high-energy expenditure and antagonizes obesity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003;100(21):12378-12383.
49. Lelliott CJ, Medina-Gomez G, Petrovic N, Kis A, Feldmann HM, Bjursell M, Parker N, Curtis K, Campbell M, Hu P, Zhang D, Litwin SE, Zaha VG, Fountain KT, Boudina S, Jimenez-Linan M, Blount M, Lopez M, Meirhaeghe A, Bohlooly YM, Storlien L, Stromstedt M, Snaith M, Oresic M, Abel ED, Cannon B, Vidal-Puig A. Ablation of PGC-1beta results in defective mitochondrial activity, thermogenesis, hepatic function, and cardiac performance. *PLoS Biol*. 2006;4(11):e369.
50. Bakker LE, Sleddering MA, Schoones JW, Meinders AE, Jazet IM. Pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes in South Asians. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2013;169(5):R99-R114.
51. Sleddering MA, Bakker LE, Janssen LG, Meinders AE, Jazet IM. Higher insulin and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) levels in healthy, young South Asians as compared to Caucasians during an oral glucose tolerance test. *Metabolism*. 2014;63(2):226-232.

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX

Supplementary material and methods

Participants

Thirty-two overweight (BMI 25-35 kg/m²) Dutch Europid men with prediabetes, aged 35-55 years, were included in the study. Participants were recruited via local advertisements and underwent medical screening prior to participation, including an interview regarding medical history, physical examination, a blood chemistry test and an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) to exclude individuals with undiagnosed type 2 diabetes. Prediabetes was defined as having a fasted serum glucose between 5.6 mmol/L and 6.9 mmol/L, according to the American Diabetes Association (ADA) criteria (1), and/or a plasma glucose level between 7.8 mmol/L and 11.1 mmol/L following an OGTT, according to World Health Organization (WHO) criteria for impaired glucose tolerance. Exclusion criteria were type 2 diabetes (e.g. fasted glucose > 6.9 mmol/L and/or plasma glucose following OGTT > 11.1 mmol/L), smoking, recent weight change (> 3 kg within the last 3 months), rigorous exercise, use of beta-blockers, uncontrolled hypertension, hyper- or hypothyroidism, renal failure or liver dysfunction, or a positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) scan within the last year. The study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the Leiden University Medical Center and performed in accordance with the principles of the revised Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all volunteers prior to participation. Thirty participants were initially randomised. Two participants withdrew from the study prior to completion (both in the sitagliptin group; one participant because of heartburn and one participant because of joint pain) and were subsequently replaced, with two new participants, that were allocated to the same treatment. All symptoms resolved without sequelae. Thus, thirty participants completed the study. Also, one participant from the sitagliptin group was excluded from analysis, due to a distribution error where the participant received both sitagliptin and placebo as treatment. Trial register number: NCT02294084.

Study design

Participants were enrolled in a randomised, double-blinded, placebo-controlled study that was conducted in the Leiden University Medical Center (Leiden, The Netherlands) and Alrijne Hospital (Leiderdorp, The Netherlands). The primary study endpoint was the effect of sitagliptin treatment on brown adipose tissue volume and activity in overweight men with prediabetes. Secondary endpoints were the effect of sitagliptin on body weight and body composition, resting energy expenditure, fasting markers in blood for glucose and lipid metabolism, [¹⁸F]FDG uptake by white adipose tissue and

skeletal muscle and expression of genes involved in insulin signalling, glucose and lipid metabolism in skeletal muscle biopsies. As a post-hoc analysis we quantified lipid and lipoprotein composition using high-throughput proton NMR metabolomics. Participants were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio by the unblinded hospital pharmacist to receive oral administration of either sitagliptin (Sitagliptin phosphate, Januvia, Merck Sharp and Dome, Haarlem, The Netherlands) at a dose of 100 mg oral daily, or placebo for 12 weeks. Following initial screening, participants were studied at baseline and after 12 weeks of treatment. Before and after the treatment period there were two measurement days. During the first day, which took place at the Alrijne hospital in Leiderdorp, body composition (DEXA, iDXA, GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK), skin temperature (iButton, Maxim Integrated Products, San Jose, CA, USA), thermoneutral and cold-exposed resting energy expenditure (REE; indirect calorimetry, Oxycon Pro, CareFusion, Heidelberg, Germany), and cold-induced [^{18}F]fluorodeoxyglucose ([^{18}F]FDG) uptake by BAT and other metabolic organs such as white adipose tissue (WAT) and skeletal muscle were determined by PET/CT scan (Gemini TF-64, Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands). In addition, thermoneutral and cold-exposed venous blood samples were collected. On the second day at the Leiden University Medical Center, a fasting skeletal muscle biopsy was taken from the vastus lateralis muscle followed by an OGTT. All measurements took place after participants had fasted for 10h overnight and had consumed a standardised dinner the night before. In addition, participants were asked to refrain from caffeine and alcohol intake or strenuous physical activity 24 h prior to the study days. Each week during the treatment period, participants measured their blood glucose and were contacted by the investigator to monitor compliance, adverse events or signs of hypoglycemia. In addition, participants were instructed not to alter their lifestyle during the study period. The study was conducted between March 2015 and September 2016.

Indirect calorimetry, individualized cooling protocol and [^{18}F]FDG PET-CT scan

On the first measurement day an intravenous cannula was placed in antecubital vein for blood sampling during thermoneutral and mild cold conditions and injection of the [^{18}F]FDG tracer. First detailed body composition was obtained by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, followed by placement of 14 wireless temperature sensors at 14 prescribed ISO-defined places (2). Mean skin temperature was calculated as the average of all iButtons. Distal skin temperature was calculated as the average temperature of the hand and feet. Proximal skin temperature was defined as the average of the iButtons on the chest, abdomen, scapula and lower back. Next participants were placed in a bed in semi-supine position between two water-perfused mattresses (BlanketRol[®] III Sub-Zero (CSZ) Products, Cincinnati, OH, USA). As described previously (3), the protocol started with 1 h of thermoneutrality (water temperature 32°C) at which thermoneutral REE was measured for 30 minutes using indirect calorimetry and a venous blood sample was drawn. After 1

h at thermoneutrality, participants were progressively cooled until their shivering point or until they reached the minimum water temperature of 9°C. At this mild cold condition REE was measured for another 30 minutes and a second blood sample was collected. Oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production were determined every minute. REE and respiratory quotient (RQ) were calculated and substrate utilization was assessed by calculation of lipid and glucose oxidation after correction for protein oxidation as described earlier (4). Non-shivering thermogenesis (NST) was assessed by the difference in REE during mild cooling compared to thermoneutral conditions. Subsequently, 110 MBq of [¹⁸F]FDG was injected intravenously and after 1 h of incubation, the PET/CT imaging protocol started with a low-dose CT scan (120 kV, 30 mAs), immediately followed by a PET scan (10 bed positions, 4 minutes per bed position) acquired according to EARL standards (5) to assess [¹⁸F]FDG uptake by BAT, WAT and skeletal muscle from skull to pelvis. In the sitagliptin group one participant became claustrophobic inside the PET/CT scan and could therefore not finish this measurement.

Skeletal muscle biopsy and oral glucose tolerance test

On the second measurement day, a fasted skeletal muscle biopsy was taken from the vastus lateralis muscle according to the technique of Bergström (6). Subsequently, the samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C until further analysis. After 1 h of rest, a glucose tolerance was assessed using a 75-g OGTT. A cannula was inserted in the antecubital vein for blood sampling and samples were drawn at respectively t = -10, 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 90, 120 minutes after ingestion of the glucose drink. Serum was obtained, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until further analysis.

Serum measurements

Commercially available enzymatic kits were used to measure serum concentrations of triacylglycerol, total cholesterol (Roche Diagnostics, Woerden, The Netherlands), NEFA (Wako Chemicals, Neuss, Germany) and glucose (Instruchemie, Delfzijl, The Netherlands). Insulin concentrations were measured using ELISA (Crystal Chem Inc., Elk Grove Village, IL, USA). The intra-assay coefficients of variability (CV) were 3.8%, 4.2%, 3.6%, 2.5% and 5.9% for triacylglycerol, total cholesterol, free fatty acids, glucose and insulin respectively. The inter-assay CVs were 5.3%, 4.0%, 7.9%, 4.7% and 7.1% for triacylglycerol, total cholesterol, free fatty acids, glucose and insulin respectively. Plasma catecholamines were measured in the laboratory of Vascular Medicine (Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands) using standard procedure. Aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, γ -glutamyltransferase, HbA1c and HDL-cholesterol were determined by the general hospital Laboratory of the Leiden University Medical Center and LDL-cholesterol was calculated using the Friedewald formula ($LDL; Total\ Cholesterol - HDL - (Triacylglycerol / 2.17)$) (7). In addition, lipid and lipoprotein composition

was quantified using high-throughput proton NMR metabolomics (Nightingale Health, Helsinki, Finland). This method provides simultaneous quantification of routine lipids, lipoprotein subclass profiling with lipid concentrations within 14 subclasses, fatty acid composition, and various low-molecular metabolites including amino acids, ketone bodies and gluconeogenesis-related metabolites in molar concentration units. The following components of the lipoprotein subclasses were quantified: phospholipids, triacylglycerol, total cholesterol, non-estrified cholesterol, and cholesteryl esters. The mean size for VLDL, LDL and HDL particles was calculated by weighting the corresponding subclass diameters with their particle concentrations (8). Details of the experimentation and applications of the NMR metabolomics platform have been described previously (9). Data were analysed using SoftMaxPro 5.4.1 software. For the analysis of the OGTT, the AUC was calculated using the trapezoidal rule (10). Incremental AUC was calculated by deducting the area below the baseline value from total AUCs. Insulin sensitivity was estimated using the Matsuda index (11). The insulinogenic index (IGI; $\Delta I_{0-30}/\Delta G_{0-30}$, where I is insulin and G is glucose) was used as a measure of early insulin secretion (12). The oral disposition index (DI_0 ; $[\Delta I_{0-30}/\Delta G_{0-30}]/\text{fasting insulin}$) was used to estimate beta cell function relative to the prevailing level of insulin resistance (13).

PET/CT scan analysis

$[^{18}\text{F}]$ FDG uptake by BAT, WAT and skeletal muscle was determined from the $[^{18}\text{F}]$ FDG PET/CT scan using Fiji ImageJ 1.51d (Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Beth, Israel) (14) and analysed by two researchers (KJN, BMT) blinded to allocation. In the region of interest (ROI), the bilateral cervical and clavicular regions, mediastinal and paravertebral BAT areas were autocontoured using an set personalised standardised uptake value (SUV_{indiv}) threshold with a tissue radiodensity between -190 and -10 Hounsfield units (15). SUV_{indiv} threshold was calculated with the following formula: $1.2/\text{lean body mass (in kg)}/\text{body mass (in kg)}$, according to the latest expert panel recommendations (16). BAT metabolic volume (BMV) was measured in millilitres, BAT activity was reported in terms of SUV (the ratio of activity in kBq/mL within the ROI and the injected activity [kBq] per bodyweight [g]). Both SUV for the hottest single voxel (SUV_{max}) and mean SUV for all voxels within a BAT region (SUV_{mean}) are reported. For WAT areas (subcutaneous and paracolic), skeletal muscles (sternocleidomastoid, longus colli, trapezius, deltoid, pectoralis major, psoas major, and gluteus maximus muscle) and reference tissues (liver, cerebellum and descending aorta), an SUV threshold was set at 0 and no Hounsfield units threshold was applied.

qRT-PCR analysis in skeletal muscle biopsies

Skeletal muscle biopsies were analysed for expression of genes involved in insulin signalling (*INSR*, *IRS1*), glucose metabolism (*GLUT4*), lipid metabolism (*ACACA*, *ACACB*, *ACSL1*,

CD36, FASN), and mitochondrial function (*CTP1 α* , *CTP1 β* , *CTP2*, *CYCS*, *DNM1L*, *MFN2*, *OPA1*, *PPARGC1 α* [also known as *PPARGC1A*], *PPARGC1 β* [also known as *PPARGC1B*], *UCP3*), as well as *DPP4* (*DPP4*) and Fibroblast Growth Factor (*FGF21*) using qPCR (Bio-Rad CFX96; Veenendaal, The Netherlands) (see **Supplementary Table 1** for primer sequences). Bio-Rad CFX Manager software version 3.1 (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc, Hercules, CA, USA) was used for analysis and quantification. Biopsy material of five participants (two from placebo and three from sitagliptin group) was insufficient for reliable mRNA analysis. Expression levels were normalised using the mRNA content of the housekeeping gene β -Actin (*ACTB*) and expressed as fold change using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method.

Statistical analysis

Power calculations were made for the primary outcome measurement of BAT activity (SUVmean). On the basis of previous studies (17), we anticipated a 20% increase in BAT activity after Sitagliptin treatment. An SUVmean of 2.20 was expected in the control group and a SUVmean of 2.64 in the sitagliptin group after intervention, with a SD of 0.42. Assuming a bilateral alternative, we were able to detect differences of at least 20% in SUVmean with a power of more than 80% and an alpha of 0.05 in a group of 30 participants. Data was analysed using SPSS Statistics (version 23.0; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M, unless stated otherwise. Two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test was used to compare baseline characteristic between sitagliptin and placebo group. Mixed model analyses with treatment and occasion as fixed effects and subject specific deviances from the mean as random effects were used to assess the effect of the treatment. If the mixed model failed to converge a non-parametric paired test (Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test) was used. Statistical results are shown with adjustment for multiple testing. Bonferroni corrected levels of significance are shown in the table and figure legends.

REFERENCES

1. Chamberlain JJ, Rhinehart AS, Shaefer CF, Jr., Neuman A. Diagnosis and Management of Diabetes: Synopsis of the 2016 American Diabetes Association Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes. *Ann Intern Med.* 2016;164(8):542-552.
2. van Marken Lichtenbelt WD, Daanen HA, Wouters L, Fronczek R, Raymann RJ, Severens NM, Van Someren EJ. Evaluation of wireless determination of skin temperature using iButtons. *Physiol Behav.* 2006;88(4-5):489-497.
3. Bakker LE, Boon MR, van der Linden RA, Arias-Bouda LP, van Klinken JB, Smit F, Verberne HJ, Jukema JW, Tamsma JT, Havekes LM, van Marken Lichtenbelt WD, Jazet IM, Rensen PC. Brown adipose tissue volume in healthy lean south Asian adults compared with white Caucasians: a prospective, case-controlled observational study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2014;2(3):210-217.
4. Simonson DC, DeFronzo RA. Indirect calorimetry: methodological and interpretative problems. *Am J Physiol.* 1990;258(3 Pt 1):E399-412.
5. Boellaard R, Delgado-Bolton R, Oyen WJ, Giammarile F, Tatsch K, Eschner W, Verzijlbergen FJ, Barrington SF, Pike LC, Weber WA, Stroobants S, Delbeke D, Donohoe KJ, Holbrook S, Graham MM, Testanera G, Hoekstra OS, Zijlstra J, Visser E, Hoekstra CJ, Pruim J, Willemsen A, Arends B, Kotzerke J, Bockisch A, Beyer T, Chiti A, Krause BJ, European Association of Nuclear M. FDG PET/CT: EANM procedure guidelines for tumour imaging: version 2.0. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2015;42(2):328-354.
6. Shanely RA, Zwetsloot KA, Triplett NT, Meaney MP, Farris GE, Nieman DC. Human skeletal muscle biopsy procedures using the modified Bergstrom technique. *J Vis Exp.* 2014(91):51812.
7. Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem.* 1972;18(6):499-502.
8. Kettunen J, Demirkan A, Wurtz P, Draisma HH, Haller T, Rawal R, Vaarhorst A, Kangas AJ, Lyytikäinen LP, Pirinen M, Pool R, Sarin AP, Soininen P, Tukiainen T, Wang Q, Tiainen M, Tynkkynen T, Amin N, Zeller T, Beekman M, Deelen J, van Dijk KW, Esko T, Hottenga JJ, van Leeuwen EM, Lehtimäki T, Mihailov E, Rose RJ, de Craen AJ, Gieger C, Kahonen M, Perola M, Blankenberg S, Savolainen MJ, Verhoeven A, Viikari J, Willemsen G, Boomsma DI, van Duijn CM, Eriksson J, Jula A, Jarvelin MR, Kaprio J, Metspalu A, Raitakari O, Salomaa V, Slagboom PE, Waldenberger M, Ripatti S, Ala-Korpela M. Genome-wide study for circulating metabolites identifies 62 loci and reveals novel systemic effects of LPA. *Nat Commun.* 2016;7:11122.
9. Soininen P, Kangas AJ, Wurtz P, Suna T, Ala-Korpela M. Quantitative serum nuclear magnetic resonance metabolomics in cardiovascular epidemiology and genetics. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet.* 2015;8(1):192-206.
10. Matthews JN, Altman DG, Campbell MJ, Royston P. Analysis of serial measurements in medical research. *BMJ.* 1990;300(6719):230-235.
11. Matsuda M, DeFronzo RA. Insulin sensitivity indices obtained from oral glucose tolerance testing: comparison with the euglycemic insulin clamp. *Diabetes Care.* 1999;22(9):1462-1470.
12. Tura A, Kautzky-Willer A, Pacini G. Insulinogenic indices from insulin and C-peptide: comparison of beta-cell function from OGTT and IVGTT. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2006;72(3):298-301.
13. Retnakaran R, Qi Y, Goran MI, Hamilton JK. Evaluation of proposed oral disposition index measures in relation to the actual disposition index. *Diabet Med.* 2009;26(12):1198-1203.
14. Kanoun S, Tal I, Berriolo-Riedinger A, Rossi C, Riedinger JM, Vrigneaud JM, Legrand L, Humbert O, Casasnovas O, Brunotte F, Cochet A. Influence of Software Tool and Methodological Aspects

- of Total Metabolic Tumor Volume Calculation on Baseline [18F]FDG PET to Predict Survival in Hodgkin Lymphoma. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(10):e0140830.
15. Martinez-Tellez B, Nahon KJ, Sanchez-Delgado G, Abreu-Vieira G, Llamas-Elvira JM, van Velden FHP, Pereira Arias-Bouda LM, Rensen PCN, Boon MR, Ruiz JR. The impact of using BARCIST 1.0 criteria on quantification of BAT volume and activity in three independent cohorts of adults. *Sci Rep*. 2018;8(1):8567.
 16. Chen KY, Cypess AM, Laughlin MR, Haft CR, Hu HH, Bredella MA, Enerback S, Kinahan PE, Lichtenbelt W, Lin FI, Sunderland JJ, Virtanen KA, Wahl RL. Brown Adipose Reporting Criteria in Imaging Studies (BARCIST 1.0): Recommendations for Standardized FDG-PET/CT Experiments in Humans. *Cell Metab*. 2016;24(2):210-222.
 17. Hanssen MJ, van der Lans AA, Brans B, Hoeks J, Jardon KM, Schaart G, Mottaghy FM, Schrauwen P, van Marken Lichtenbelt WD. Short-term Cold Acclimation Recruits Brown Adipose Tissue in Obese Humans. *Diabetes*. 2016;65(5):1179-1189.

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. Primer sequences of forward and reverse primers for qRT-PCR

Gene	Forward primer	Reverse primer
<i>ACACA</i>	Ordered by Qiagen	Ordered by Qiagen
<i>ACACB</i>	Ordered by Qiagen	Ordered by Qiagen
<i>ACSL1</i>	Ordered by Qiagen	Ordered by Qiagen
<i>ACTB</i>	Ordered by Qiagen	Ordered by Qiagen
<i>CD36</i>	Ordered by Qiagen	Ordered by Qiagen
<i>CTP1α</i>	CCAGACGAAGAACGTGGTCA	ATCTTGCCGTGCTCAGTGAA
<i>CTP1β</i>	GGATGTCAACACCACTCGG	TCTCCAGATCCTGAGGCTTGA
<i>CTP2</i>	CAGGCTGCCTATTCCTAACT	CCAGGGTCCCAGAAATGTAGC
<i>CYCS</i>	CACTGCGGGAAGGTCTCTAC	TCAACATCTTGAGCCCCATGC
<i>DNM1L</i>	GTTGATCCACTTGGTGCCT	CATGAACCAGTTCACACAGC
<i>DPP4</i>	GCAGAATGTCCAGATGCCCT	GTGCTTGCAAGGTAAGTGCC
<i>FASN</i>	CTCCTTCTCGGAGTCCACC	AGTGTGTGTTCTCGGAGTG
<i>FGF21</i>	GCAGCGGTACCTTACACAG	GCACAGAACTGGATGTCT
<i>FIS1</i>	GTAAGGCATCGTGTGCTC	ACGCCAGGTAGAAGACGTA
<i>GLUT4</i>	GGCTGGAGTCTGCTTCTGCAC	GCTGGTACATTTGAATCTGCAGCGA
<i>INSR</i>	GGGCAACGGCTCTTGACGG	CGGCCATCTGGCTGCCTTT
<i>IRS1</i>	TGTTTTTCGGAGCCTCCCTC	CCGCCACTTCTTCTCGTTCT
<i>MFN2</i>	GTGGCCCAACTCTAAGTGCC	CCAGCGTTGTTTCAGGATGA
<i>OPA1</i>	TGGACTACAGAGGATGGTGC	TGCCAGGTCTACTTTGGTCA
<i>PPARGC1α</i>	AGTGGTGCACTGACCAATCA	CTGTAGCAAGTTTGCTCA
<i>PPARGC1β</i>	AGCTTTGAGCAGACCTTGACA	TCGCTCTGGGTGCTTCTTTG
<i>UCP3</i>	GAAGGTCCGATTCAGGCCA	GTAGGTCACCACCTCAGCAC

Supplementary Table 2. Effect of sitagliptin treatment on body composition in overweight men with pre-diabetes

	Placebo (n=15)		Sitagliptin (n=14)	
	Week 0	Week 12	Week 0	Week 12
Total body mass (kg)	93.7 (1.6)	92.7 (1.4)	95.4 (3.1)	94.6 (2.9)
Fat mass (%)	30.1 (1.4)	29.6 (1.6)	29.7 (1.4)	29.4 (1.4)
Lean mass (%)	67.4 (1.3)	67.9 (1.5)	67.7 (1.4)	68.0 (1.4)
Bone mineral density (g/cm ³)	1.32 (0.03)	1.33 (0.03)	1.42 (0.04)	1.42 (0.04)

DEXA scanning was used to measure body composition at baseline (Week 0) and after twelve weeks (Week 12) of placebo (left, $n=15$) or sitagliptin (right, $n=14$) treatment. Data are presented as mean (standard error of the mean). Mixed model analysis was used for statistical comparison. Bonferroni corrected level of significance is 0.01 ($\alpha = 0.05 / 4$).

Supplementary Table 3. Effect of sitagliptin treatment on skin temperature in overweight men with prediabetes

	Placebo (n=15)		Sitagliptin (n=14)	
	Week 0	Week 12	Week 0	Week 12
Delta mean skin temperature TN vs COLD (°C)	-5.5 (0.3)	-5.2 (0.3)	-5.6 (0.4)	-5.4 (0.3)
Delta proximal skin temperature TN vs COLD (°C)	-7.8 (0.6)	-7.6 (0.7)	-8.0 (0.8)	-7.5 (0.5)
Delta distal skin temperature TN vs COLD (°C)	-7.2 (0.7)	-6.3 (0.6)	-6.8 (0.7)	-6.0 (0.5)
Delta supraclavicular skin temperature TN vs COLD (°C)	-0.1 (0.2)	0.1 (0.1)	-0.1 (0.2)	-0.3 (0.4)

Data are presented as mean (standard error of the mean). TN; thermoneutral, before cooling. COLD; during mild cooling. Mixed model analysis was used for statistical comparison. Bonferroni corrected level of significance is 0.01 (alpha = 0.05 / 4).

Supplementary Table 4. Effect of sitagliptin treatment on liver enzymes

	Placebo (n=15)		Sitagliptin (n=14)	
	Week 0	Week 12	Week 0	Week 12
Aspartate aminotransferase (μkat/l)	0.52 (0.03)	0.53 (0.03)	0.59 (0.11)	0.47 (0.04)
Alanine aminotransferase (μkat/l)	0.33 (0.03)	0.60 (0.08) **	0.38 (0.08)	0.48 (0.10)
γ-glutamyltransferase (μkat/l)	0.52 (0.06)	0.57 (0.08)	0.51 (0.08)	0.55 (0.09)

Data are presented as mean (standard error of the mean). Mixed model analysis was used for statistical comparison. **p < 0.01 week 0 vs week 12, significant with Bonferroni corrected level of significance 0.01 (alpha = 0.05 / 3).

Supplementary Table 5. Effect of sitagliptin on lipoprotein composition

	Placebo (n=15)		Sitagliptin (n=14)	
	Percentage	p-value	Percentage	p-value
Extremely large VLDL particles				
<i>Composition</i>				
Total Lipids	+12%	ns	-42%	<0.05
Phospholipids	+14%	ns	-47%	<0.05
Total cholesterol	+15%	ns	-48%	<0.05
Cholesterol esters	+17%	ns	-43%	0.05
Free cholesterol	+13%	ns	-54%	<0.05
Triacylglycerol	+11%	ns	-34%	<0.05
Very large VLDL particles				
<i>Composition</i>				
Total Lipids	+4%	ns	-46%	<0.05
Phospholipids	+6%	ns	-49%	<0.05
Total cholesterol	+6%	ns	-49%	<0.05
Cholesterol esters	+5%	ns	-46%	<0.05
Free cholesterol	+7%	ns	-53%	<0.05

Supplementary Table 5. Effect of sitagliptin on lipoprotein composition (continued)

	Placebo (n=15)		Sitagliptin (n=14)	
	Percentage	p-value	Percentage	p-value
Triacylglycerol	+3%	ns	-45%	<0.05
Large VLDL particles				
<i>Composition</i>				
Total Lipids	+14%	ns	-35%	<0.05
Phospholipids	+15%	ns	-35%	<0.05
Total cholesterol	+16%	ns	-35%	0.05
Cholesterol esters	+15%	ns	-30%	0.07
Free cholesterol	+17%	ns	-41%	<0.05
Triacylglycerol	+12%	ns	-34%	<0.05
Medium VLDL particles				
<i>Composition</i>				
Total Lipids	+4%	ns	-23%	<0.05
Phospholipids	+5%	ns	-22%	<0.05
Total cholesterol	+7%	ns	-20%	0.08
Cholesterol esters	+8%	ns	-16%	ns
Free cholesterol	+6%	ns	-26%	<0.05
Triacylglycerol	+4%	ns	-25%	<0.05
Small VLDL particles				
<i>Composition</i>				
Total Lipids	+6%	ns	-9%	ns
Phospholipids	+6%	ns	-7%	ns
Total cholesterol	+7%	ns	-2%	ns
Cholesterol esters	+8%	ns	+1%	ns
Free cholesterol	+6%	ns	-7%	ns
Triacylglycerol	+4%	ns	-15%	ns
Extra small VLDL particles				
<i>Composition</i>				
Total Lipids	+6%	ns	+4%	ns
Phospholipids	+6%	ns	+5%	ns
Total cholesterol	+7%	ns	+7%	ns
Cholesterol esters	+7%	ns	+6%	ns
Free cholesterol	+6%	ns	+7%	ns
Triacylglycerol	+5%	ns	-5%	ns
IDL particles				
<i>Composition</i>				
Total Lipids	+5%	ns	+4%	ns
Phospholipids	+4%	ns	+5%	0.08
Total cholesterol	+5%	ns	+6%	ns

Supplementary Table 5. Effect of sitagliptin on lipoprotein composition (continued)

	Placebo (n=15)		Sitagliptin (n=14)	
	Percentage	p-value	Percentage	p-value
Cholesterol esters	+5%	ns	+3%	ns
Free cholesterol	+4%	ns	+9%	<0.05
Triacylglycerol	+6%	ns	+2%	ns
Large LDL particles				
<i>Composition</i>				
Total Lipids	+4%	ns	+5%	ns
Phospholipids	+4%	ns	+4%	0.08
Total cholesterol	+4%	ns	+5%	ns
Cholesterol esters	+5%	ns	+4%	ns
Free cholesterol	+4%	ns	+7%	0.06
Triacylglycerol	+7%	ns	+4%	ns
Medium LDL particles				
<i>Composition</i>				
Total Lipids	+4%	ns	+4%	ns
Phospholipids	+4%	ns	+2%	ns
Total cholesterol	+4%	ns	+5%	ns
Cholesterol esters	+4%	ns	+6%	ns
Free cholesterol	+3%	ns	+4%	ns
Triacylglycerol	+6%	ns	+4%	ns
Small LDL particles				
<i>Composition</i>				
Total Lipids	+4%	ns	+4%	ns
Phospholipids	+3%	ns	+1%	ns
Total cholesterol	+4%	ns	+6%	ns
Cholesterol esters	+4%	ns	+7%	ns
Free cholesterol	+3%	ns	+5%	0.09
Triacylglycerol	+6%	ns	-5%	ns
Very large HDL particles				
<i>Composition</i>				
Total Lipids	+3%	ns	+9%	ns
Phospholipids	+1%	ns	+14%	<0.05
Total cholesterol	+4%	ns	+7%	ns
Cholesterol esters	+5%	ns	+6%	ns
Free cholesterol	+4%	ns	+9%	ns
Triacylglycerol	+12%	ns	-22%	0.09
Large HDL particles				
<i>Composition</i>				
Total Lipids	-10%	ns	+9%	ns

Supplementary Table 5. Effect of sitagliptin on lipoprotein composition (continued)

	Placebo (n=15)		Sitagliptin (n=14)	
	Percentage	p-value	Percentage	p-value
Phospholipids	-9%	ns	+8%	ns
Total cholesterol	-11%	ns	+10%	ns
Cholesterol esters	-10%	ns	+9%	ns
Free cholesterol	-10%	ns	+10%	ns
Triacylglycerol	-7%	ns	+15%	ns
Medium HDL particles				
<i>Composition</i>				
Total Lipids	-1%	ns	+2%	ns
Phospholipids	+1%	ns	+2%	ns
Total cholesterol	-1%	ns	+2%	ns
Cholesterol esters	-2%	ns	+1%	ns
Free cholesterol	-2%	ns	+7%	ns
Triacylglycerol	+5%	ns	+7%	ns
Small HDL particles				
<i>Composition</i>				
Total Lipids	-1%	ns	+1%	ns
Phospholipids	-1%	ns	-1%	ns
Total cholesterol	-1%	ns	+5%	0.09
Cholesterol esters	-1%	ns	+6%	0.06
Free cholesterol	-1%	ns	-1%	ns
Triacylglycerol	+3%	ns	-8%	ns

NMR was used to determine lipoprotein composition. Values are presented as percent increase (+) or decrease (-) from baseline (week 0). ns: not significant. Mixed model analysis was used for statistical comparison. Bonferroni corrected level of significance is 0.0006 (alpha = 0.05 / 84).

Supplementary Table 6. Effect of sitagliptin on energy expenditure and substrate utilisation

	Placebo (n=15)		Sitagliptin (n=14)	
	Week 0	Week 12	Week 0	Week 12
REE (kJ/day)	4736 (138)	4858 (146)	4807 (163)	4845 (188)
REE corrected for LBM (kJ/day/kg LBM)	75.3 (1.7)	77.4 (1.3)	77.8 (1.3)	75.7 (2.1)
RQ	0.84 (0.01)	0.84 (0.01)	0.84 (0.01)	0.81 (0.02)
Lipid oxidation (g/min)	0.065 (0.005)	0.067 (0.006)	0.069 (0.018)	0.075 (0.005)
Glucose oxidation (g/min)	0.116 (0.004)	0.119 (0.004)	0.122 (0.004)	0.118 (0.006)
Non-shivering thermogenesis (%)	13 (5)	15 (5)	8 (2)	10 (4)


Data are presented as mean (standard error of the mean). Respiratory quotient (RQ) was calculated as $v\text{CO}_2/v\text{O}_2$. LBM: lean body mass, NST: non-shivering thermogenesis, REE: resting energy expenditure. Mixed model analysis was used for statistical comparison. Bonferroni corrected level of significance is 0.008 (alpha = 0.05 / 6).

Supplementary Table 7. Effect of sitagliptin on [¹⁸F]FDG uptake in brown and white adipose tissue and skeletal muscle


	Placebo (n=15)		Sitagliptin (n=13)	
	Week 0	Week 12	Week 0	Week 12
Brown adipose tissue depots				
<i>Classical BAT depots (cervical + supraclavicular)</i>				
Classical BMV (mL)	22.16 (8.75)	13.88 (4.67)	36.82 (13.17)	31.95 (13.09)
Classical BAT activity (SUV _{mean})	2.25 (0.11)	2.15 (0.08)	2.33 (0.14)	2.27 (0.12)
Classical BAT activity (SUV _{max})	3.94 (0.68)	2.60 (0.40)	4.78 (0.72)	4.43 (0.70)
<i>Total body BAT (cervical + supraclavicular + mediastinal + paravertebral)</i>				
Total BMV (mL)	32.85 (11.35)	25.11 (5.84)	54.25 (16.13)	47.47 (15.85)
Total BAT activity (SUV _{mean})	2.25 (0.09)	2.23 (0.08)	2.31 (0.13)	2.31 (0.12)
Total BAT activity (SUV _{max})	4.41 (0.66)	3.93 (0.38)	5.05 (0.67)	4.82 (0.62)
White adipose tissue depots (WAT)				
Subcutaneous (SUV _{mean})	0.22 (0.03)	0.26 (0.03)	0.19 (0.03)	0.29 (0.03) *
Paracolic (SUV _{mean})	0.53 (0.09)	0.59 (0.08)	0.59 (0.08)	0.58 (0.08)
Skeletal muscles				
m. Sternocleidomastoideus (SUV _{mean})	1.60 (0.20)	1.64 (0.15)	1.69 (0.33)	1.46 (0.15)
m. Longius colli (SUV _{mean})	2.20 (0.23)	2.63 (0.30)	2.33 (0.12)	2.42 (0.26)
m. Trapezius (SUV _{mean})	0.61 (0.05)	0.70 (0.03) *	0.67 (0.03)	0.67 (0.04)
m. Deltoideus (SUV _{mean})	0.55 (0.02)	0.61 (0.02)	0.63 (0.03)	0.61 (0.02)
m. Pectoralis major (SUV _{mean})	0.84 (0.05)	1.00 (0.08)	0.97 (0.18)	0.93 (0.08)
m. Psoas major (SUV _{mean})	0.96 (0.14)	1.04 (0.17)	0.76 (0.09)	0.91 (0.05)
m. Gluteus maximus (SUV _{mean})	0.56 (0.04)	0.56 (0.02)	0.56 (0.03)	0.60 (0.03)
Reference tissues				
Liver (SUV _{mean})	2.56 (0.12)	2.74 (0.08)	2.65 (0.10)	2.73 (0.09)
Cerebellum (SUV _{mean})	7.68 (0.50)	7.97 (0.36)	7.83 (0.39)	7.92 (0.31)
Descending aorta (SUV _{mean})	1.63 (0.09)	1.84 (0.07) *	1.75 (0.05)	1.78 (0.07)

Values are presented as mean (standard error of the mean). BAT: brown adipose tissue, BMV: BAT metabolic volume, SUV: standardised uptake value, WAT: white adipose tissue. Mixed model analysis was used for statistical comparison. * 0.002 < p < 0.05 week 0 vs week 12, not significant with Bonferroni corrected level of significance 0.002 (alpha = 0.05 / 18). In the Sitagliptin group one subject was claustrophobic and did not completed the PET/CT scan.

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. CONSORT flow diagram of the study.



Supplementary Figure 2. The effect of sitagliptin on serum IDL and LDL particle concentration in overweight men with prediabetes. Serum was collected before (open circles / white bars/ Week 0) and after (closed circles / black bars/ Week 12) 12 weeks of treatment with placebo ($n=15$) or sitagliptin ($n=14$). NMR was used to measure serum IDL (A), large- (B), medium- (C) and small-sized (D) LDL particle concentration. In addition, mean LDL particle size (E) was determined. Data are presented as mean \pm S.E.M., and as individual measurements. Mixed model analysis was used for statistical comparison. Bonferroni corrected level of significance is 0.01 (alpha = 0.05 / 5).

