



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Studying the benefits of using UML on software maintenance : an evidence-based approach

Fernandez Saez, A.M.

Citation

Fernandez Saez, A. M. (2018, November 15). *Studying the benefits of using UML on software maintenance : an evidence-based approach*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/66798>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/66798>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/66798> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Fernandez, Saez A.M.

Title: Studying the benefits of using UML on software maintenance : an evidence-based approach

Issue Date: 2018-11-15

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abbes, M., Khomh, F., Gueheneuc, Y.-G., and Antoniol, G. (2011). An empirical study of the impact of two antipatterns, blob and spaghetti code, on program comprehension. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Software Maintenance and Reengineering (CSMR'11)*, pp. 181–190.
- Abrial, J.R. (1996). *The B-Book* (Cambridge University Press).
- Agner, L.T.W., Soares, I.W., Stadzisz, P.C., and Simão, J.M. (2013). A Brazilian survey on UML and model-driven practices for embedded software development. *Journal of Systems and Software* 86, 997–1005.
- Anda, B., Hansen, K., Gullisen, I., and Thorsen, H.K. (2006). Experiences from introducing UML-based development in a large safety-critical project. *Empirical Software Engineering* 11, 555–581.
- Arisholm, E., and Briand, L.C. (2006). Predicting fault-prone components in a java legacy system. In *Proceedings of the 2006 ACM/IEEE International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering (ISESE'06)*, (New York, NY, USA: ACM), pp. 8–17.
- Arisholm, E., Briand, L.C., Hove, S.E., and Labiche, Y. (2006). The impact of UML documentation on software maintenance: an experimental evaluation. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 32, 365–381.
- Arksey, H., and O'Malley, L. (2005). Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology* 8, 19–32.
- Aseniero, B.A., Wun, T., Ledo, D., Ruhe, G., Tang, A., and Carpendale, S. (2015). STRATOS: Using visualization to support decisions in strategic software release planning. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI'15)*, (New York, NY, USA: ACM), pp. 1479–1488.
- Atkinson, C., and Kühne, T. (2003). Model-driven development: a metamodeling foundation. *IEEE Software* 20, 36–41.
- Basili, V., and Weiss, D. (1984). A methodology for collecting valid software engineering data. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 10, 728–738.
- Basili, V.R., and Rombach, H.D. (1988). The TAME project: towards improvement-oriented software environments. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 14, 758–773.
- Basili, V., Shull, F., and Lanubile, F. (1999). Building knowledge through families of experiments. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 25, 456–473.
- Berenbach, B., and Konrad, S. (2007). Putting the “engineering” into software engineering with models. In *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Modeling in Software Engineering (MISE '07)*, ICSE Workshop, (IEEE Computer Society), pp. 4.
- Biostat Inc. (2006). *Comprehensive meta-analysis v2*.
- Blaha, M.R., and Rumbaugh, J.R. (2004). *Object-oriented modeling and design with UML* (Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson).
- Borenstein, M., Hedges, L., and Rothstein, H. (2007). Meta-analysis fixed effect vs. random effect.

- Brereton, P., Kitchenham, B.A., Budgen, D., Turner, M., and Khalil, M. (2007). Lessons from applying the systematic literature review process within the software engineering domain. *Journal of Systems and Software* 80, 571–583.
- Briand, L.C., Bunse, C., and Daly, J.W. (2001a). A controlled experiment for evaluating quality guidelines on the maintainability of object-oriented designs. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 27, 513–530.
- Briand, L.C., Wüst, J., and Lounis, H. (2001b). Replicated case studies for investigating quality factors in object-oriented designs. *Empirical Software Engineering* 6, 11–58.
- Briand, L.C., Labiche, Y., Di Penta, M., and Yan-Bondoc, H. (2005). An experimental investigation of formality in UML-based development. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 31, 833–849.
- Bruegge, B., and Dutoit, A.H. (2010). *Object-oriented software engineering: using UML, patterns, and Java* (Boston: Prentice Hall).
- Budgen, D., Burn, A.J., Brereton, O.P., Kitchenham, B.A., and Pretorius, R. (2011a). Empirical evidence about the UML: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Software: Practice and Experience* 41, 363–392.
- Budgen, D., Burn, A.J., and Kitchenham, B. (2011b). Reporting computing projects through structured abstracts: a quasi-experiment. *Empirical Software Engineering* 16, 244–277.
- Burge, J.E., Carroll, J.M., McCall, R., and Mistrík, I. (2008). *Rationale-based software engineering* (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg).
- Cant, S., Jeffery, D., and Henderson-Sellers, B. (1995). A conceptual model of cognitive complexity of elements of the programming process. *Information and Software Technology* 37, 351–362.
- Carver, J. (2010). Towards reporting guidelines for experimental replications: A proposal. In *Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Replication in Empirical Software Engineering Research (RESER'10)*, Held during ICSE'10, p.
- CASP UK (2008). *CASP critical appraisal skills programme* Oxford UK.
- Chaudron, M., and Jolak, R. (2015). A vision on a new generation of software design environments. In *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Human Factors in Modeling (HuFaMo 2015)*. Chalmers Publication Library (CPL), pp. 11–16.
- Cherubini, M., Venolia, G., DeLine, R., and Ko, A.J. (2007). Let's go to the whiteboard: How and why software developers use drawings. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (SIGCHI'07)*, (New York, NY, USA: ACM), pp. 557–566.
- Cochrane Collaboration (2003). *Cochrane reviewers' handbook*.
- Cohen, D., Lindvall, M., and Costa, P. (2004). An introduction to agile methods. *Advances in Computers* 62, 2–67.
- Conover, W.J. (1998). *Practical nonparametric statistics* (Wiley).
- Cook, T.D., Shadish, W.R., Jr, and Campbell, D.T. (2001). *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference* (Boston: Cengage Learning, Inc).
- Creswell, J.W. (2013). *Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications, Inc).
- Cruzes, D.S., Dyba, T., Runeson, P., and Host, M. (2011). Case studies synthesis: brief experience and challenges for the future. In *Proceedings of the 2011 International*

- Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering and Measurement (ESEM'11), pp. 343–346.
- Cruz-Lemus, J.A., Genero, M., Caivano, D., Abrahão, S., Insfrán, E., and Carsí, J.A. (2010). Assessing the influence of stereotypes on the comprehension of UML sequence diagrams: A family of experiments. *Information and Software Technology* 53, 1391–1403.
- Dekel, U., and Herbsleb, J.D. (2007). Notation and representation in collaborative object-oriented design: An observational study. In *Proceedings of the 22Nd Annual ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Object-Oriented Programming Systems and Applications (OOPSLA'07)*, (New York, NY, USA: ACM), pp. 261–280.
- Deligiannis, I.S., Shepperd, M., Webster, S., and Roumeliotis, M. (2002). A review of experimental investigations into object-oriented technology. *Empirical Software Engineering* 7, 193–231.
- Devore, J.L., and Farnum, N. (1999). *Applied statistics for engineers and scientists* (Duxbury Press).
- Diamond, S.S. (2011). Reference manual on scientific evidence. In *Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence*, (Federal Judicial Center/National Academy of Sciences), pp. 359–423.
- Dobing, B., and Parsons, J. (2006). How UML is used? *Communications of the ACM* 49, 109–113.
- Dybå, T., and Dingsøyr, T. (2008a). Empirical studies of agile software development: A systematic review. *Information and Software Technology* 50, 833–859.
- Dybå, T., and Dingsøyr, T. (2008b). Strength of evidence in systematic reviews in software engineering. In *Proceedings of the Second ACM-IEEE International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering and Measurement (ESEM'08)*, (New York, NY, USA: ACM), pp. 178–187.
- Dzidek, W.J. (2008). Empirical evaluation of the costs and benefits of UML in software maintenance. University of Oslo.
- Dzidek, W.J., Arisholm, E., and Briand, L.C. (2008). A realistic empirical evaluation of the costs and benefits of UML in software maintenance. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 34, 407–432.
- Erickson, J., and Siau, K. (2007). Theoretical and practical complexity of modeling methods. *Communications of the ACM* 50, 46–51.
- Ericksson, H.E., Penker, M., Lyons, B., and Fado, D. (2004). *UML 2 toolkit* (Wiley).
- Fernández-Sáez, A., Genero, M., and Romero, F. (2010). SLR-Tool - A tool for performing systematic literature reviews. In *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Software and Data Technologies (ICSOT'10)*, (Athens, Greece), pp. 157–166.
- Fernández-Sáez, A.M., Genero, M., and Chaudron, M.R.V. (2012). Does the level of detail of UML models affect the maintainability of source code? In *Proceedings of the Experiences and Empirical Studies in Software Modelling Workshop (EESSMod'12)*, at the International Conference on Models in Software Engineering (MODELS'12), (Wellington, New Zealand: LNCS 7167), pp. 133–147.
- Fernández-Sáez, A.M., Genero, M., and Chaudron, M.R.V. (2013a). Empirical studies concerning the maintenance of UML diagrams and their use in the maintenance of code: A systematic mapping study. *Information and Software Technology* 55, 1119–1142.

- Fernández-Sáez, A.M., Chaudron, M.R.V., Genero, M., and Ramos, I. (2013b). Are forward designed or reverse-engineered UML diagrams more helpful for code maintenance?: a controlled experiment. In *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering (EASE'13)*, (New York, NY, USA: ACM), pp. 60–71.
- Fernández-Sáez, A.M., Chaudron, M.R.V., and Genero, M. (2013c). Exploring costs and benefits of using UML on maintenance: preliminary findings of a case study in a large IT department. In *Proceedings of the 2013th International Conference on Models in Software Engineering (MODELS'13)*, *Experiences and Empirical Studies in Software Modelling Workshop (EESSMoD'13)*, pp. 33–42.
- Fernández-Sáez, A.M., Genero, M., Caivano, D., and Chaudron, M.R.V. (2014). Does the level of detail of UML diagrams affect the maintainability of source code?: a family of experiments. *Empirical Software Engineering* 12, 1–48.
- Fernández-Sáez, A.M., Genero, M., Chaudron, M.R.V., Caivano, D., and Ramos, I. (2015a). Are forward designed or reverse-engineered UML diagrams more helpful for code maintenance?: A family of experiments. *Information and Software Technology* 57, 644–663.
- Fernández-Sáez, A.M., Caivano, D., Genero, M., and Chaudron, M.R.V. (2015b). On the use of UML documentation in software maintenance: Results from a survey in industry. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems (MODELS'15)*, (Ottawa, ON, Canada), pp. 292–301.
- Fink, A.G. (2002). *The survey handbook* (Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE Publications, Inc).
- Fjeldstad, R.K., and Hamlen, W.T. (1979). Application program maintenance study: report to our respondents. In *Proceedings of GUIDE 48*, (Philadelphia, PA), p.
- Forward, A., and Lethbridge, T.C. (2002). The relevance of software documentation, tools and technologies: A survey. In *Proceedings of the 2002 ACM Symposium on Document Engineering (DocEng'02)*, (New York, NY, USA: ACM), pp. 26–33.
- Forward, A., Lethbridge, T.C., and Badreddin, O. (2010). Perceptions of software modeling: a survey of software practitioners. In *Proceedings of the 5th Workshop from Code Centric to Model Centric: Evaluating the Effectiveness of MDD (C2M: EEMDD'10)*, (Paris, France).
- France, R., Bieman, J., and Cheng, B.H.C. (2006). Repository for model driven development (ReMoDD). In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems (MODELS'06)*, (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg), pp. 311–317.
- Garousi, G., Garousi, V., Moussavi, M., Ruhe, G., and Smith, B. (2013). Evaluating usage and quality of technical software documentation: An empirical study. In *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering (EASE'13)*, (ACM), pp. 24–35.
- Garousi, G., Garousi-Yusifoglu, V., Ruhe, G., Zhi, J., Moussavi, M., and Smith, B. (2015). Usage and usefulness of technical software documentation: An industrial case study. *Information and Software Technology* 57, 664–682.
- Genero, M., Olivas, J., Piattini, M., and Romero, F. (2001). Using metrics to predict OO information systems maintainability. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Advanced Information Systems Engineering (CAiSE'02)*, (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg), pp. 388–401.

- Genero, M., Manso, E., Visaggio, A., Canfora, G., and Piattini, M. (2007). Building measure-based prediction models for UML class diagram maintainability. *Empirical Software Engineering* 12, 517–549.
- Genero, M., Fernández-Sáez, A.M., Nelson, H.J., Poels, G., and Piattini, M. (2011). A systematic literature review on the quality of UML models. *Journal of Database Management* 22, 46–70.
- Glaser, B.G., and Strauss, A.L. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research* (Chicago: Transaction Publishers).
- Glass, R. (2002). *Facts and fallacies of software engineering* (Addison-Wesley).
- Glass, G.V., McGaw, B., and Smith, M. (1981). *Meta-analysis in social research* (Sage Publications).
- Glässer, U., Gotzhein, R., and Prinz, A. (2003). The formal semantics of SDL-2000: Status and perspectives. *Computer Networks* 42, 343–358.
- Gravino, C., Tortora, G., and Scanniello, G. (2010). An empirical investigation on the relation between analysis models and source code comprehension. In *Proceedings of the 2010 ACM Symposium on Applied Computing (SAC'10)*, (ACM), pp. 2365–2366.
- Grossman, M., Aronson, J.E., and McCarthy, R.V. (2005). Does UML make the grade? Insights from the software development community. *Information and Software Technology* 47, 383–397.
- Hannay, J.E., Dybå, T., Arisholm, E., and Sjøberg, D.I.K. (2009). The effectiveness of pair programming: A meta-analysis. *Information and Software Technology* 51, 1110–1122.
- Harrison, R., Counsell, S., and Nithi, R. (2000). Experimental assessment of the effect of inheritance on the maintainability of object-oriented systems. *Journal of Systems and Software* 52, 173–179.
- Hedges, L.V., and Olkin, I. (1985). *Statistical methods for meta-analysis* (Academia Press).
- Heijstek, W., and Chaudron, M.R.V. (2009). Empirical investigations of model size, complexity and effort in a large scale, Distributed Model Driven Development Process. In *Proceedings of the 35th Euromicro Conference on Software Engineering and Advanced Applications (SEAA '09)*, pp. 113–120.
- Höst, M., and Runeson, P. (2007). Checklists for software engineering case study research. In *Proceedings of the First International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering and Measurement (ESEM '07)*, pp. 479–481.
- Höst, M., Regnell, B., and Wohlin, C. (2000). Using students as subjects - a comparative study of students and professionals in lead-time impact assessment. *Empirical Software Engineering* 5, 201–214.
- Hutchinson, J., Whittle, J., and Rouncefield, M. (2014). Model-driven engineering practices in industry: Social, organizational and managerial factors that lead to success or failure. *Science of Computer Programming* 89, 144–161.
- IEEE (1993). IEEE standard for software maintenance. *IEEE Std 1219-1993* 1–45.
- ISO/IEC (1999). *ISO/IEC 14764-1999: Software engineering maintenance*.
- ISO/IEC (2005). *ISO/IEC 19501:2005 Information technology - Open distributed processing - Unified Modeling Language (UML)* (International Organization for Standardization).
- ISO/IEC (2008). *ISO/IEC 25000: Software engineering* (International Organization for Standardization).

- ISO/IEC (2014). ISO/IEC 25001: Systems and software engineering - Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) - Planning and management (International Organization for Standardization).
- Jacobson, I., Booch, G., and Rumbaugh, J. (1999). The unified software development process (Boston, MA, USA: Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc.).
- Jedlitschka, A., Ciolkowoski, M., and Pfahl, D. (2008). Reporting experiments in software engineering. In *Guide to Advanced Empirical Software Engineering*, F. Shull, J. Singer, and D.I.K. Sjøberg, eds. (Springer Verlag), p.
- Johnson, P., Ekstedt, M., and Jacobson, I. (2012). Where's the theory for software engineering? *IEEE Software* 29, 96–96.
- Juristo, N., and Moreno, A. (2001). Basics of software engineering experimentation (Kluwer Academic Publishers).
- Juristo, N., Vegas, S., Solari, M., Abrahão, S., and Ramos, I. (2013). A process for managing interaction between experimenters to get useful similar replications. *Information & Software Technology* 55, 215–225.
- Kampenes, V., Dybå, T., Hannay, J.E., and Sjøberg, D.I.K. (2007). A systematic review of effect size in software engineering experiments. *Information and Software Technology* 49, 1073–1086.
- Karahasanovic, A., and Thomas, R. (2007). Difficulties experienced by students in maintaining object-oriented Systems: an empirical study. In *Proceedings of the Australasian Computing Education Conference (ACE'07)*, pp. 81–87.
- Kirk, R.E. (1995). *Experimental design. procedures for the behavioural sciences* (Brooks/Cole Publishing Company).
- Kitchenham, B., and Charters, S. (2007). Guidelines for performing systematic literature reviews in software engineering (Keele University).
- Kitchenham, B.A., and Pfleeger, S.L. (2002). Principles of survey research part 2: designing a survey. *ACM SIGSOFT Software Engineering Notes* 27, 18–20.
- Kitchenham, B.A., and Pfleeger, S.L. (2008). Personal opinion surveys. In *Guide to Advanced Empirical Software Engineering*, F. Shull, J. Singer, and D.I.K. Sjøberg, eds. (Springer London), pp. 63–92.
- Kitchenham, B.A., Pfleeger, S., Hoaglin, D.C., Emam, K.E., and Rosenberg, J. (2002). Preliminary guidelines for empirical research in software engineering. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 28, 721–734.
- Kitchenham, B.A., Brereton, P., Turner, M., Niazi, M.K., Linkman, S., Pretorius, R., and Budgen, D. (2010). Refining the systematic literature review process—two participant-observer case studies. *Empirical Software Engineering* 15, 618–653.
- Kitchenham, B.A., Budgen, D., and Brereton, P. (2015). *Evidence-Based Software Engineering and Systematic Reviews* (Boca Raton: Chapman and Hall/CRC).
- Kitchenham, B., Budgen, D., and Brereton, P. (2011). Using mapping studies as the basis for further research – A participant-observer case study. *Information and Software Technology* 53, 638–651.
- Kruchten, P., Capilla, R., and Dueñas, J.C. (2009). The decision view's role in software architecture practice. *IEEE Software* 26, 36–42.

- Kuzniarz, L., Staron, M., and Wohlin, C. (2013). Students as study subjects in software engineering experimentation. In *Proceedings of the 3rd Conference on Software Engineering Research and Practice*, (Lund, Sweden), pp. 19–24.
- Kvale, S. (1996). *InterViews: An introduction to qualitative research interviewing* (SAGE Publications, Inc).
- Lange, C.F.J., Chaudron, M.R.V., and Muskens, J. (2006). In practice: UML software architecture and design description. *IEEE Software* 23, 40–46.
- Lauesen, S. (2002). *Software requirements: Styles and techniques* (Addison-Wesley).
- Leotta, M., Ricca, F., Antoniol, G., Garousi, V., Zhi, J., and Ruhe, G. (2013). A Pilot experiment to quantify the effect of documentation accuracy on maintenance tasks. In *Proceedings of the 29th IEEE International Conference on Software Maintenance (ICSM'13)*, pp. 428–431.
- Liebel, G., Marko, N., Tichy, M., Leitner, A., and Hansson, J. (2016). Model-based engineering in the embedded systems domain: an industrial survey on the state-of-practice. *Software and System Modelling* 27(1), 1–23.
- Lientz, B.P., and Swanson, E.B. (1980). *Software maintenance management* (Addison - Wesley).
- Lindsay, R.M., and Ehrenberg, A.S.C. (1993). The design of replicated studies. *The American Statistician* 47, 217–228.
- Liu, J., Dehlinger, J., and Lutz, R. (2005). Safety analysis of software product lines using state-based modeling. In *Proceedings of the 16th IEEE International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering (ISSRE'05)*, pp. 10-30.
- Locke, K. (2001). *Grounded theory in management research* (London ; Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE Publications Ltd).
- Lutters, W.G., and Seaman, C.B. (2007). Revealing actual documentation usage in software maintenance through war stories. *Information and Software Technology* 49, 576–587.
- McNamara, C. (1999). *General guidelines for conducting interviews* (Minneapolis, MN: Authenticity Consulting, LLC).
- Mellegård, N., and Staron, M. (2010). Characterizing model usage in embedded software engineering: A Case Study. In *Proceedings of the Fourth European Conference on Software Architecture: Companion Volume (ECSA'10)*, (New York, NY, USA: ACM), pp. 245–252.
- Mohagheghi, P., Dehlen, V., and Neple, T. (2009). Definitions and approaches to model quality in model-based software development - A Review of Literature. *Information and Software Technology* 51, 1646–1669.
- Nugroho, A. (2009). Level of detail in UML models and its impact on model comprehension: A controlled experiment. *Information and Software Technology* 51, 1670–1685.
- Nugroho, A., and Chaudron, M.R.V. (2007). A survey of the practice of design - Code correspondence amongst professional software engineers. In *Proceedings of the First International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering and Measurement (ESEM'07)*, (Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society), pp. 467–469.
- Nugroho, A., and Chaudron, M.R.V. (2008). A survey into the rigor of UML use and its perceived impact on quality and productivity. In *Proceedings of the Second ACM-IEEE International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering and Measurement (ESEM'08)*, (ACM), pp. 90–99.

- Nugroho, A., and Chaudron, M.R.V. (2009). Evaluating the impact of UML modeling on software quality: An industrial case study. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems (MODELS'09)*, (Springer), pp. 181-195.
- Nugroho, A., Flaton, B., and Chaudron, M.R.V. (2008). Empirical analysis of the relation between level of detail in UML models and defect density. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems (MoDELS'08)*, pp. 600–614.
- OMG (1997). The Unified modeling language. Documents associated with UML version 1.1.
- OMG (2003). MDA guide version 1.0.
- OMG (2010). The unified modeling language. Documents associated with UML version 2.3.
- OMG (2011). The unified modeling language. Documents associated with UML version 2.4.1: <http://www.omg.org/spec/UML/2.4.1>.
- Oppenheim, A.N. (2000). Questionnaire design, interviewing and attitude measurement (Bloomsbury Academic).
- Osman, H., Stikkorum, D., Zadelhoff, A. van, and Chaudron, M.R.V. (2012). UML class diagram simplification: What is in the developer's mind? In *Proceedings of the 2012 International Conference on Models in Software Engineering (MODELS'12)*, Experiences and Empirical Studies in Software Modelling Workshop (EESSMoD'12), Article No. 5.
- Perez-Castillo, R., de Guzman, I.G.-R., Piattini, M., and Ebert, C. (2011). Reengineering technologies. *IEEE Software* 28, 13–17.
- Petersen, K., Feldt, R., Mujtaba, S., and Mattsson, M. (2008). Systematic mapping studies in software engineering. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering (EASE'08)*, (Swinton, UK, UK: British Computer Society), pp. 68–77.
- Petre, M. (2013). UML in practice. In *Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE '13)*, (San Francisco, CA, USA (forthcoming)), pp. 722–731.
- Petre, M., and Blackwell, A.F. (1999). Mental imagery in program design and visual programming. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies* 51, 7–30.
- Petticrew, M., and Roberts (2006). *Systematic Reviews in the social sciences: A practical guide* (Blackwell Publishing).
- Pfleeger, S., and Kitchenham, B. (2001). Principles of survey research: Part 1: Turning lemons into lemonade. *ACM SIGSOFT Software Engineering Notes* 26, 16–18.
- Pigoski, T.M. (2001). Chapter 6: Software maintenance. In *SWEBOK: A project of the software engineering coordination committee (Trial Version 1.00)*, (Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Computer Society Press).
- Pinsonneault, A., and Kraemer, K.L. (1993). Survey research methodology in management information systems: an assessment. *Journal of Management Information Systems - Special Section: Strategic and Competitive Information Systems* 10, 75–105.
- Pippenger, N. (1978). Complexity Theory. *Scientific American* 238.
- Poels, G., and Dedene, G. (2000). Measures for assessing dynamic complexity aspects of object-oriented conceptual schemes. In *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Conceptual Modeling (ER '00)*, (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag), pp. 499–512.
- Popper, K.R. (1959). *The logic of scientific discovery* (Cambridge: Hutchinson & Co).

- Pressman, R.S. (2005). *Software engineering: a practitioners approach* (McGraw Hill).
- Priestley, M., and Utt, M.H. (2000). A unified process for software and documentation development. In *Proceedings of 2000 Joint IEEE International and 18th Annual Conference on Computer Documentation (IPCC/SIGDOC '00)*, pp. 221–238.
- Punch, K.F. (2005). *Introduction to social research, second edition: Quantitative and qualitative approaches* (London ; Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE Publications Ltd).
- Ricca, F., Leotta, M., Reggio, G., Tiso, A., Guerrini, G., and Torchiano, M. (2012). Using UniMod for maintenance tasks: An experimental assessment in the context of model driven development. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Modeling in Software Engineering (MiSE'12)*, pp. 77–83.
- Richards, L. (1999). *Using NVIVO in qualitative research* (SAGE Publications Ltd).
- Robson, C. (2002). *Real world research: A resource for social scientists and practitioner-researchers* (Wiley).
- Roehm, T., Tiarks, R., Koschke, R., and Maalej, W. (2012). How do professional developers comprehend software? In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE'12)*, (Piscataway, NJ, USA: IEEE Press), pp. 255–265.
- Runeson, P., and Höst, M. (2009). Guidelines for conducting and reporting case study research in software engineering. *Empirical Software Engineering* 14, 131–164.
- Runeson, P., Höst, M., Rainer, A., and Regnell, B. (2012). *Case study research in software engineering: Guidelines and examples*.
- Scanniello, G., Gravino, C., and Tortora, G. (2010). Investigating the role of UML in the software modeling and maintenance - a preliminary industrial survey. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems (ICEIS'10)*, (SciTePress), pp. 141–148.
- Scanniello, G., Gravino, C., and Tortora, G. (2012). Does the combined use of class and sequence diagrams improve the source code comprehension? Results from a controlled experiment. In *Proceedings of the Experiences and Empirical Studies in Software Modelling Workshop (EESSMoD'12)*, Article No. 4.
- Scanniello, G., Gravino, C., Genero, M., Cruz-Lemus, J.A., and Tortora, G. (2014). On the impact of UML analysis models on source-code comprehensibility and modifiability. *ACM Transactions of Software Engineering Methodologies* 23, 1–26.
- Seaman, C.B. (1999). Qualitative methods in empirical studies of software engineering. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 25, 557–572.
- Service, R.W. (2009). Book Review: Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. (2008). *Basics of qualitative research: techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. *Organizational Research Methods* 12, 614–617.
- Sheskin, D. (2007). *Handbook of parametric and nonparametric statistical procedures* (Chapman and Hall).
- Shull, F., Mendonça, M.G., Basili, V.R., Carver, J., Maldonado, J.C., Fabbri, S., Travassos, G.H., and Ferreira, M.C. (2004). Knowledge-sharing issues in experimental software engineering. *Empirical Software Engineering* 9, 111–137.
- Siau, K. (1999). Information modeling and method engineering: A psychological perspective. *Journal of Database Management* 10, 44–50.

- Singer, J., and Vinson, N.G. (2002). Ethical issues in empirical studies of software engineering. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 28, 1171–1180.
- Sjøberg, D.I.K., Hannay, J.E., Hansen, O., Kampenes, V.B., Karahasanovic, A., Liborg, N., and Rekdal, A.C. (2005). A survey of controlled experiments in software engineering. *IEEE Transaction on Software Engineering* 31, 733–753.
- Sjøberg, D.I.K., Dybå, T., Anda, B.C.D., and Hannay, J.E. (2008). Building theories in software engineering. In *Guide to Advanced Empirical Software Engineering*, (Springer, London), pp. 312–336.
- Šmite, D., Wohlin, C., Gorschek, T., and Feldt, R. (2010). Empirical evidence in global software engineering: a systematic review. *Empirical Software Engineering* 15, 91–118.
- Sony (2010). Sony® digital voice editor version 3.3.01.
- de Souza, S.C.B., Anquetil, N., and de Oliveira, K.M. (2005). A study of the documentation essential to software maintenance. In *Proceedings of the 23rd Annual International Conference on Design of Communication: Documenting & Designing for Pervasive Information (SIGDOC'05)*, (Coventry, United Kingdom: ACM), pp. 68–75.
- Spivey, J.M. (1989). *The Z notation: A reference manual* (New York, NY, USA: Prentice-Hall).
- SPSS (2003). *SPSS 12.0, syntax reference guide* (Chicago, USA: SPSS Inc.).
- Steinar, K. (2007). *Doing interviews* (SAGE Publications).
- Strauss, A.C., and Corbin, J. (1990). *Basics of qualitative research: Grounded theory procedures and techniques* (SAGE Publications, Inc).
- SurveyMonkey (1999). <http://www.surveymonkey.com>.
- Swanson, E.B. (1976). The dimensions of maintenance. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE'76)*, (San Francisco, California, United States: IEEE Computer Society Press), pp. 492–497.
- Thomas, D. (2004). MDA: revenge of the modelers or UML utopia? *IEEE Software* 21, 15–17.
- Tilley, S., and Huang, S. (2003). A qualitative assessment of the efficacy of UML diagrams as a form of graphical documentation in aiding program understanding. In *Proceedings of the 21st Annual International Conference on Systems Documentation (SIGDOC'03)*, pp. 184–191.
- Tomassetti, F., Torchiano, M., Tiso, A., Ricca, F., and Reggio, G. (2012). Maturity of software modelling and model driven engineering: A survey in the Italian industry. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Evaluation Assessment in Software Engineering (EASE'12)*, pp. 91–100.
- Torchiano, M., Tomassetti, F., Ricca, F., Tiso, A., and Reggio, G. (2011). Preliminary findings from a survey on the MD state of the practice. In *Proceedings of the 2011 International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering and Measurement (ESEM'11)*, pp. 372–375.
- Torchiano, M., Tomassetti, F., Ricca, F., Tiso, A., and Reggio, G. (2013). Relevance, benefits, and problems of software modelling and model driven techniques—A survey in the Italian industry. *Journal of Systems and Software* 86, 2110–2126.
- Tryggeseth, E. (1997). Report from an experiment: Impact of documentation on maintenance. *Empirical Software Engineering* 2, 201–207.

- Vegas, S., Juristo, N., Moreno, A., Solari, M., and Letelier, P. (2006). Analysis of the influence of communication between researchers on experiment replication. In *Proceedings of the ACM/IEEE International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering (ISESE'06)*, pp. 28–37.
- Verelst, J. (2004). The influence of the level of abstraction on the evolvability of conceptual models of information systems. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering (ISESE'04)*, pp. 17–26.
- van Vliet, H. (2008). *Software engineering: Principles and practice* (John Wiley & Sons).
- Whittle, J., Hutchinson, J., Rouncefield, M., Burden, H., and Haldal, R. (2013). Industrial adoption of model-driven engineering: Are the tools really the problem? In *Model-Driven Engineering Languages and Systems*, A. Moreira, B. Schätz, J. Gray, A. Vallecillo, and P. Clarke, eds. (Springer Berlin Heidelberg), pp. 1–17.
- Wieringa, R.J. (2003). Chapter 8 - Entity-relationship diagrams. In *Design Methods for Reactive Systems*, R.J. Wieringa, ed. (San Francisco: Morgan Kaufmann), pp. 77–88.
- Wieringa, R., and Daneva, M. (2015). Six strategies for generalizing software engineering theories. *Science of Computer Programming* 101, 136–152.
- Wohlin, C., Runeson, P., Höst, M., Ohlsson, M.C., Regnell, B., and Wesslén, A. (2000). *Experimentation in software engineering: an Introduction* (Norwell, MA, USA: Kluwer Academic Publishers).
- Wohlin, C., Runeson, P., Höst, M., Ohlsson, M.C., Regnell, B., and Wesslén, A. (2012). *Experimentation in software engineering: an Introduction* (Norwell, MA, USA: Springer).
- Yamashita, A., and Moonen, L. (2012). Do code smells reflect important maintainability aspects? In *Proceedings of the 28th IEEE International Conference on Software Maintenance (ICSM'12)*, pp. 306–315.
- Yin, R.K. (2002). *Case study research: Design and methods*, 3rd Edition (SAGE Publications, Inc).