



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Navigating between principle and pragmatism : the roles and functions of atrocity-related United Nations Commissions of Inquiry in the international legal order

Harwood, C.E.M.

Citation

Harwood, C. E. M. (2018, November 7). *Navigating between principle and pragmatism : the roles and functions of atrocity-related United Nations Commissions of Inquiry in the international legal order*. s.n., S.l. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/66791>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/66791>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/66791> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Harwood, C.E.M.

Title: Navigating between principle and pragmatism : the roles and functions of atrocity-related United Nations Commissions of Inquiry in the international legal order

Issue Date: 2018-11-07



Universiteit
Leiden

**NAVIGATING BETWEEN PRINCIPLE AND PRAGMATISM:
THE ROLES AND FUNCTIONS OF ATROCITY-RELATED
UNITED NATIONS COMMISSIONS OF INQUIRY
IN THE INTERNATIONAL LEGAL ORDER**

Catherine Elizabeth Mary Harwood

NAVIGATING BETWEEN PRINCIPLE AND PRAGMATISM:
THE ROLES AND FUNCTIONS OF ATROCITY-RELATED UNITED NATIONS
COMMISSIONS OF INQUIRY IN THE INTERNATIONAL LEGAL ORDER

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 7 november 2018
klokke 10.00 uur

door

Catherine Elizabeth Mary Harwood

geboren te Hastings, New Zealand

in 1985

Promotoren: Prof. dr. L.J. van den Herik

Prof. dr. C. Stahn

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. N.M. Blokker

Prof. dr. A. Clapham (The Graduate Institute, Geneva, Switzerland)

Prof. dr. K.J. Heller (University of Amsterdam and SOAS
University of London, United Kingdom)

Prof. dr. M.O. Hosli

Dr. A.E.M. Leijten

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to sincerely thank the many people who have supported me in the journey from *promovenda* to *philosophiae doctor*. First, I would like to express immense gratitude to my *promotores*, Prof. dr. Larissa van den Herik and Prof. dr. Carsten Stahn, for their thoughtful guidance and support of my research. I am grateful for the numerous opportunities extended to co-author publications, present at conferences and participate in high-level meetings, all of which further matured my writing and thinking. Thank you for your mentorship and encouragement.

I would like to warmly thank the members of the Doctorate Committee: Prof. dr. Niels Blokker, Prof. dr. Andrew Clapham, Prof. dr. Kevin Jon Heller, Prof. dr. Madeleine Hosli and Dr. Ingrid Leijten, for agreeing to serve on the Committee.

Special thanks are extended to the Grotius Centre for International Legal Studies at Leiden Law School, where I spent five happy years writing and teaching. To my colleagues in Den Haag and Leiden: thank you for making each day intellectually stimulating and fun, for the opportunities to collaborate, the occasional career (and life!) advice and for fostering a culture of academic freedom and responsibility. To my fellow doctoral candidates: thank you for always being on hand to share a joke, test out new arguments and allow inspiration to percolate over cups of coffee.

Heartfelt thanks to my family for their love and support sent from New Zealand and Australia in the form of postcards, care parcels, farm updates and sustained good humour of my obsession with public international law. I would especially like to acknowledge my mother Chris, who instilled in me a love of learning and teaching.

Finally, to my husband Matthieu: I am profoundly grateful for your unwavering love, care and understanding. Your resolute belief that I would get there in the end was indispensable. *Merci d'être comme tu es ; merci de m'aimer telle que je suis.*

In closing, I would like to share a fitting Māori proverb: *ehara taku toa i te toa takitahi, ēngari he toa takimano e*. My strength is not mine alone, but that of many.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
Introduction to the Research.....	1
1. Research Aim and Question	2
2. Delineation of UN Atrocity Inquiries.....	4
2.1 International Commissions of Inquiry.....	4
2.1.1 <i>International legal plane</i>	4
2.1.2 <i>Ad hoc fact-finding body</i>	6
2.1.3 <i>Impartiality and independence</i>	8
2.1.4 <i>Non-binding report</i>	9
2.2 Established by the United Nations	10
2.3 Focus on Situations of Atrocities	11
3. Thesis Structure and Methodology	12
3.1 Structure and Research Approaches.....	12
3.2 Sources	14
4. Contribution to Knowledge	15
CHAPTER ONE CHARTING THE RISE OF UN ATROCITY INQUIRY.....	18
Introduction	18
1. Interstate Atrocity Inquiries.....	18
1.1 1919 Commission.....	18
1.2 Inter-Allied Commissions of Inquiry	22
1.3 United Nations War Crimes Commission	23
2. ‘Geneva’ International Humanitarian Law Inquiry	25
3. Atrocity Inquiries by International Organisations other than the UN.....	27
3.1 League of Nations	28
3.2 Regional Organisations	29
4. UN Atrocity Inquiries.....	31
4.1 Sparse atrocity inquiry practice 1945 – 1991	32
4.2 Proliferation of UN atrocity inquiries – 1992 and beyond	34
4.2.1 <i>Changing UN dynamics</i>	34
4.2.2 <i>Security Council atrocity inquiries</i>	36
4.2.3 <i>Secretary-General atrocity inquiries</i>	37
4.2.4 <i>General Assembly atrocity inquiries</i>	39
4.2.5 <i>Human Rights Council atrocity inquiries</i>	40
Conclusions	41
CHAPTER TWO ESTABLISHING THE MANDATE: MANDATING AUTHORITIES AS ARCHITECTS OF ATROCITY INQUIRIES	43
Introduction	43
1. Dynamics of Establishment.....	43

1.1	Institutional framework relevant to UN atrocity inquiries	43
1.2	State consent and cooperation	45
1.3	Selection of situations	48
1.4	New York/Geneva dynamics.....	52
2.	Legal Dimensions of Written Mandates	53
2.1	Investigative focus and recommendations.....	54
2.2	Legal lenses of analysis.....	56
2.2.1	<i>International human rights law</i>	56
2.2.2	<i>International humanitarian law</i>	57
2.2.3	<i>International criminal law</i>	57
2.3	Challenges to legal lenses of HRC-led inquiries.....	58
2.3.1	<i>Conferral of competence by the General Assembly</i>	59
2.3.2	<i>Competence implied from human rights function</i>	61
2.3.3	<i>Competence developed through organisational practice</i>	63
3.	Impartiality of Written Mandates.....	66
3.1	Geographic parameters.....	66
3.2	Temporal scope	67
3.3	Actors under scrutiny	69
3.4	Prejudgment of findings	70
4.	Appointment and Composition of Commissions.....	71
4.1	Appointment processes.....	72
4.2	Commissioner independence and impartiality	73
4.2.1	<i>Emphasis on independence and impartiality</i>	73
4.2.2	<i>The problem of prior statements</i>	75
4.2.3	<i>Ensuring impartiality and independence in practice</i>	77
4.3	Commissioner expertise	78
5.	Decisions on Operational Aspects	81
5.1	Scope of discretion accorded to commissions.....	81
5.2	Provision of resources and time limits	83
6.	Principle and Pragmatism in Mandating Authorities' Choices	84
6.1	Turn to international law	84
6.2	Inquiry to condemn atrocities.....	86
6.3	Inquiry as building and releasing pressure	88
	Conclusions	90
	CHAPTER THREE MANDATE INTERPRETATION AND IMPLEMENTATION: COMMISSIONS AS ENGINEERS OF THEIR ROLES AND FUNCTIONS	91
	Introduction	91
1.	Interpretation of the Mandate.....	91
1.1	Geographic parameters.....	92
1.2	Temporal scope	93
1.3	Actors under scrutiny	94

1.4	Prejudgment of findings	97
2.	Principles Guiding Mandate Implementation.....	98
2.1	Impartiality	99
2.2	Centrality of victims.....	99
2.3	Accountability	101
3	Practical Challenges Informing Mandate Implementation	103
3.1	Resource and time limitations	103
3.2	Security concerns	104
3.3	Lack of territorial access	105
3.4	States' refusals to provide information.....	107
4.	Fostering Quality in Methods of Work.....	109
4.1	Judicial procedures	109
4.2	Rules of procedure and terms of reference.....	110
4.3	Standards of proof	111
4.4	Best practices.....	113
	Conclusions	114
	CHAPTER FOUR IDENTIFICATION OF THE APPLICABLE LEGAL FRAMEWORK..	116
	Introduction	116
1.	Identification of Legal Dimensions of the Mandate.....	116
1.1	Inclusion of legal dimensions in factual mandates.....	117
1.2	Conservative interpretations of legal lenses	118
1.3	Broad interpretations of legal lenses	119
1.3.1	<i>Reliance on UN resolutions.....</i>	<i>119</i>
1.3.2	<i>Engagement with concerned states' views</i>	<i>120</i>
1.3.3	<i>Applicability to the situation on the ground</i>	<i>123</i>
1.3.4	<i>Links between fields of international law</i>	<i>125</i>
1.3.5	<i>Purpose of ensuring accountability.....</i>	<i>125</i>
1.4	Discussion	126
2.	Applicability of International Human Rights Law.....	128
2.1	Applicability of human rights treaties	129
2.2	Territorial scope of applicability	131
2.3	Applicability in situations of armed conflict	132
2.4	Applicability to organised armed groups	134
2.5	Discussion	136
3.	Applicability of International Humanitarian Law	137
3.1	Legal classifications of armed conflict.....	139
3.1.1	<i>Broad approaches to conflict classification</i>	<i>139</i>
3.1.2	<i>Narrow approaches to conflict classification.....</i>	<i>141</i>
3.1.3	<i>Ambivalence towards conflict classification</i>	<i>143</i>
3.2	Applicability to organised armed groups	144

3.3	Applicability to peace-enforcement missions	145
3.4	Discussion	145
4.	Applicability of International Criminal Law	146
	Conclusions	147
	CHAPTER FIVE LAW-APPLICATION IN THE INQUIRY CONTEXT	149
	Introduction	149
1.	Violations of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights	149
2.	Violations Arising from Lethal Attacks in Armed Conflict.....	152
2.1	Right to life in armed conflict	152
2.1.1	<i>Interrelationship of IHRL and IHL.....</i>	<i>152</i>
2.1.2	<i>Interpretation of the scope of the right to life.....</i>	<i>154</i>
2.2	Assessment of lethal attacks under IHL	155
2.2.1	<i>Distinction</i>	<i>155</i>
2.2.2	<i>Proportionality</i>	<i>159</i>
2.2.3	<i>Precautions.....</i>	<i>160</i>
2.3	Fact-finding challenges and strategies.....	162
3.	Violations involving Sexual and Gender-Based Violence	165
3.1	Recognition of violations and victims	165
3.2	Assessment of violations	167
4.	Genocide	171
4.1	Protected groups	171
4.2	<i>Dolus specialis.....</i>	<i>172</i>
4.3	Genocidal policy or pattern of conduct	173
4.4	Caution in making findings of genocide	175
5.	Crimes Against Humanity	176
5.1	Interpretation of contextual elements	176
5.2	Assessment of crimes against humanity.....	177
6.	Cross-Cutting Analysis.....	178
6.1	Focus on incident-based violations	178
6.2	Level of certainty of findings of violations	179
6.3	Rigour of legal analysis	181
	Conclusions	185
	CHAPTER SIX TRANSLATING VIOLATIONS TO RESPONSIBILITY REGIMES IN AN ‘ERA OF ACCOUNTABILITY’	187
	Introduction	187
1.	Dimensions of Accountability	187
2.	Responsibility Under International Law	189
2.1	State responsibility	189
2.1.1	<i>Territorial and third states</i>	<i>189</i>
2.1.2	<i>Attribution of conduct.....</i>	<i>191</i>
2.2	Responsibility of international organisations	192

2.3	Responsibility of collective non-state actors	193
2.3.1	<i>Organised armed groups</i>	193
2.3.2	<i>Corporations</i>	194
2.4	Individual responsibility	195
2.4.1	<i>Engagement with international criminal law</i>	195
2.4.2	<i>Identification of suspected perpetrators</i>	198
2.5	Concluding observations	201
3.	Accountability Recommendations	203
3.1	Prosecutions of international crimes	203
3.2	Restitution and compensation	205
3.2.1	<i>Recommendations to states</i>	206
3.2.2	<i>Recommendations to other actors</i>	207
3.3	Truth-seeking measures	209
3.4	Other measures of satisfaction	210
3.5	Guarantees of non-repetition	214
3.6	Concluding observations	214
4.	Accountability Roles and Functions	216
4.1	Legal accountability	216
4.2	Moral accountability	220
4.3	Political accountability and accountability politics	224
	Conclusions	226
	CONCLUSIONS	228
	APPENDIX UNITED NATIONS ATROCITY INQUIRIES 1945–2018	234
	SUMMARY	236
	SAMENVATTING (SUMMARY IN DUTCH)	239
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	243
	CURRICULUM VITAE	292

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights	ACHPR
African Union	AU
Central African Republic	CAR
Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights	CESCR
Common Article 3 to the Geneva Conventions of 1949	CA 3
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	DPRK
Direct participation in hostilities	DPH
Economic and Social Council	ECOSOC
Economic, social, and cultural rights	ESCR
European Court of Human Rights	ECHR
European Union	EU
Human Rights Advisory Panel	HRAP
Inter-American Commission on Human Rights	IACHR
Inter-American Court of Human Rights	IACtHR
International armed conflict	IAC
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966	ICCPR
International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966	ICESCR
International Criminal Court	ICC
International Criminal Law	ICL
International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda	ICTR
International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia	ICTY
International Human Rights Law	IHRL
International Humanitarian Fact-Finding Commission	IHFFC
International Humanitarian Law	IHL
International Labour Organization	ILO

International, Impartial and Independent Mechanism to assist in the Investigation and Prosecution of those Responsible for the Most Serious Crimes under International Law committed in the Syrian Arab Republic since March 2011	IIIM
Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant	ISIS
Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam	LTTE
Multidimensional Integrated Stabilization Mission in the Central African Republic	MINUSCA
National Transitional Council	NTC
Non-governmental organisation	NGO
Non-international armed conflict	NIAC
Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	OHCHR
Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the involvement of children in armed conflict 2000	CRC-OP
Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe	OSCE
Organization of African Unity	OAU
Organization of American States	OAS
Responsibility to Protect	R2P
Rwandan Patriotic Front	RPF
Sexual and gender-based violence	SGBV
United Nations Commission on Human Rights	UNCHR
United Nations	UN
United Nations Human Rights Council	HRC
United Nations Mission in Kosovo	UNMIK
United Nations War Crimes Commission	UNWCC
Universal Declaration of Human Rights	UDHR
World War One	WWI
World War Two	WWII

