Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Navigating between principle and pragmatism : the roles and functions of atrocity-related United Nations Commissions of Inquiry in the international legal order

Harwood, C.E.M.

Citation
Harwood, C. E. M. (2018, November 7). *Navigating between principle and pragmatism : the roles and functions of atrocity-related United Nations Commissions of Inquiry in the international legal order*. s.n., S.l. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/66791

Version:	Not Applicable (or Unknown)
License:	Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/66791

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page

Universiteit Leiden

The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/66791 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Harwood, C.E.M.
Title: Navigating between principle and pragmatism : the roles and functions of atrocity-related United Nations Commissions of Inquiry in the international legal order
Issue Date: 2018-11-07

NAVIGATING BETWEEN PRINCIPLE AND PRAGMATISM: THE ROLES AND FUNCTIONS OF ATROCITY-RELATED UNITED NATIONS COMMISSIONS OF INQUIRY IN THE INTERNATIONAL LEGAL ORDER

Catherine Elizabeth Mary Harwood

NAVIGATING BETWEEN PRINCIPLE AND PRAGMATISM:

THE ROLES AND FUNCTIONS OF ATROCITY-RELATED UNITED NATIONS COMMISSIONS OF INQUIRY IN THE INTERNATIONAL LEGAL ORDER

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van

de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,

op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,

volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties

te verdedigen op woensdag 7 november 2018

klokke 10.00 uur

door

Catherine Elizabeth Mary Harwood

geboren te Hastings, New Zealand

in 1985

Promotoren: Prof. dr. L.J. van den Herik

Prof. dr. C. Stahn

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. N.M. Blokker

Prof. dr. A. Clapham (The Graduate Institute, Geneva, Switzerland)

Prof. dr. K.J. Heller (University of Amsterdam and SOAS University of London, United Kingdom)

Prof. dr. M.O. Hosli

Dr. A.E.M. Leijten

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to sincerely thank the many people who have supported me in the journey from *promovenda* to *philosophiae doctor*. First, I would like to express immense gratitude to my *promotores*, Prof. dr. Larissa van den Herik and Prof. dr. Carsten Stahn, for their thoughtful guidance and support of my research. I am grateful for the numerous opportunities extended to co-author publications, present at conferences and participate in high-level meetings, all of which further matured my writing and thinking. Thank you for your mentorship and encouragement.

I would like to warmly thank the members of the Doctorate Committee: Prof. dr. Niels Blokker, Prof. dr. Andrew Clapham, Prof. dr. Kevin Jon Heller, Prof. dr. Madeleine Hosli and Dr. Ingrid Leijten, for agreeing to serve on the Committee.

Special thanks are extended to the Grotius Centre for International Legal Studies at Leiden Law School, where I spent five happy years writing and teaching. To my colleagues in Den Haag and Leiden: thank you for making each day intellectually stimulating and fun, for the opportunities to collaborate, the occasional career (and life!) advice and for fostering a culture of academic freedom and responsibility. To my fellow doctoral candidates: thank you for always being on hand to share a joke, test out new arguments and allow inspiration to percolate over cups of coffee.

Heartfelt thanks to my family for their love and support sent from New Zealand and Australia in the form of postcards, care parcels, farm updates and sustained good humour of my obsession with public international law. I would especially like to acknowledge my mother Chris, who instilled in me a love of learning and teaching.

Finally, to my husband Matthieu: I am profoundly grateful for your unwavering love, care and understanding. Your resolute belief that I would get there in the end was indispensable. *Merci d'être comme tu es ; merci de m'aimer telle que je suis.*

In closing, I would like to share a fitting Māori proverb: *ehara taku toa i te toa takitahi, ēngari he toa takimano e*. My strength is not mine alone, but that of many.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION **1**
Introduction to the Research **1**
1. Research Aim and Question **2**
2. Delineation of UN Atrocity Inquiries **4**
2.1 International Commissions of Inquiry 4
2.1.1 International legal plane *4*
2.1.2 Ad hoc fact-finding body *6*
2.1.3 Impartiality and independence *8*
2.1.4 Non-binding report *9*
2.2 Established by the United Nations 10
2.3 Focus on Situations of Atrocities 11
3. Thesis Structure and Methodology **12**
3.1 Structure and Research Approaches 12
3.2 Sources 14
4. Contribution to Knowledge **15**
CHAPTER ONE CHARTING THE RISE OF UN ATROCITY INQUIRY **18**
Introduction **18**
1. Interstate Atrocity Inquiries **18**
1.1 1919 Commission 18
1.2 Inter-Allied Commissions of Inquiry 22
1.3 United Nations War Crimes Commission 23
2. 'Geneva' International Humanitarian Law Inquiry **25**
3. Atrocity Inquiries by International Organisations other than the UN **27**
3.1 League of Nations 28
3.2 Regional Organisations 29
4. UN Atrocity Inquiries **31**
4.1 Sparse atrocity inquiry practice 1945 – 1991 32
4.2 Proliferation of UN atrocity inquiries – 1992 and beyond 34
4.2.1 Changing UN dynamics *34*
4.2.2 Security Council atrocity inquiries *36*
4.2.3 Secretary-General atrocity inquiries *37*
4.2.4 General Assembly atrocity inquiries *39*
4.2.5 Human Rights Council atrocity inquiries *40*
Conclusions **41**
CHAPTER TWO ESTABLISHING THE MANDATE: MANDATING AUTHORITIES AS ARCHITECTS OF ATROCITY INQUIRIES **43**
Introduction **43**
1. Dynamics of Establishment **43**

1.1 Institutional framework relevant to UN atrocity inquiries 43
1.2 State consent and cooperation 45
1.3 Selection of situations 48
1.4 New York/Geneva dynamics 52
2. Legal Dimensions of Written Mandates 53
2.1 Investigative focus and recommendations 54
2.2 Legal lenses of analysis 56
2.2.1 International human rights law 56
2.2.2 International humanitarian law 57
2.2.3 International criminal law 57
2.3 Challenges to legal lenses of HRC-led inquiries 58
2.3.1 Conferral of competence by the General Assembly 59
2.3.2 Competence implied from human rights function 61
2.3.3 Competence developed through organisational practice 63
3. Impartiality of Written Mandates 66
3.1 Geographic parameters 66
3.2 Temporal scope 67
3.3 Actors under scrutiny 69
3.4 Prejudgment of findings 70
4. Appointment and Composition of Commissions 71
4.1 Appointment processes 72
4.2 Commissioner independence and impartiality 73
4.2.1 Emphasis on independence and impartiality 73
4.2.2 The problem of prior statements 75
4.2.3 Ensuring impartiality and independence in practice 77
4.3 Commissioner expertise 78
5. Decisions on Operational Aspects 81
5.1 Scope of discretion accorded to commissions 81
5.2 Provision of resources and time limits 83
6. Principle and Pragmatism in Mandating Authorities' Choices 84
6.1 Turn to international law 84
6.2 Inquiry to condemn atrocities 86
6.3 Inquiry as building and releasing pressure 88
Conclusions 90
CHAPTER THREE MANDATE INTERPRETATION AND IMPLEMENTATION: COMMISSIONS AS ENGINEERS OF THEIR ROLES AND FUNCTIONS 91
Introduction 91
1. Interpretation of the Mandate 91
1.1 Geographic parameters 92
1.2 Temporal scope 93
1.3 Actors under scrutiny 94

1.4 Prejudgment of findings 97
2. Principles Guiding Mandate Implementation 98
2.1 Impartiality 99
2.2 Centrality of victims 99
2.3 Accountability 101
3 Practical Challenges Informing Mandate Implementation 103
3.1 Resource and time limitations 103
3.2 Security concerns 104
3.3 Lack of territorial access 105
3.4 States' refusals to provide information 107
4. Fostering Quality in Methods of Work 109
4.1 Judicial procedures 109
4.2 Rules of procedure and terms of reference 110
4.3 Standards of proof 111
4.4 Best practices 113
Conclusions 114
CHAPTER FOUR IDENTIFICATION OF THE APPLICABLE LEGAL FRAMEWORK .. 116
Introduction 116
1. Identification of Legal Dimensions of the Mandate 116
1.1 Inclusion of legal dimensions in factual mandates 117
1.2 Conservative interpretations of legal lenses 118
1.3 Broad interpretations of legal lenses 119
1.3.1 Reliance on UN resolutions 119
1.3.2 Engagement with concerned states' views 120
1.3.3 Applicability to the situation on the ground 123
1.3.4 Links between fields of international law 125
1.3.5 Purpose of ensuring accountability 125
1.4 Discussion 126
2. Applicability of International Human Rights Law 128
2.1 Applicability of human rights treaties 129
2.2 Territorial scope of applicability 131
2.3 Applicability in situations of armed conflict 132
2.4 Applicability to organised armed groups 134
2.5 Discussion 136
3. Applicability of International Humanitarian Law 137
3.1 Legal classifications of armed conflict 139
3.1.1 Broad approaches to conflict classification 139
3.1.2 Narrow approaches to conflict classification 141
3.1.3 Ambivalence towards conflict classification 143
3.2 Applicability to organised armed groups 144

3.3 Applicability to peace-enforcement missions 145
3.4 Discussion 145
4. Applicability of International Criminal Law 146
Conclusions 147
CHAPTER FIVE LAW-APPLICATION IN THE INQUIRY CONTEXT 149
Introduction 149
1. Violations of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 149
2. Violations Arising from Lethal Attacks in Armed Conflict 152
2.1 Right to life in armed conflict 152
2.1.1 Interrelationship of IHRL and IHL 152
2.1.2 Interpretation of the scope of the right to life 154
2.2 Assessment of lethal attacks under IHL 155
2.2.1 Distinction 155
2.2.2 Proportionality 159
2.2.3 Precautions 160
2.3 Fact-finding challenges and strategies 162
3. Violations involving Sexual and Gender-Based Violence 165
3.1 Recognition of violations and victims 165
3.2 Assessment of violations 167
4. Genocide 171
4.1 Protected groups 171
4.2 Dolus specialis 172
4.3 Genocidal policy or pattern of conduct 173
4.4 Caution in making findings of genocide 175
5. Crimes Against Humanity 176
5.1 Interpretation of contextual elements 176
5.2 Assessment of crimes against humanity 177
6. Cross-Cutting Analysis 178
6.1 Focus on incident-based violations 178
6.2 Level of certainty of findings of violations 179
6.3 Rigour of legal analysis 181
Conclusions 185
CHAPTER SIX TRANSLATING VIOLATIONS TO RESPONSIBILITY REGIMES IN AN 'ERA OF ACCOUNTABILITY' 187
Introduction 187
1. Dimensions of Accountability 187
2. Responsibility Under International Law 189
2.1 State responsibility 189
2.1.1 Territorial and third states 189
2.1.2 Attribution of conduct 191
2.2 Responsibility of international organisations 192

2.3 Responsibility of collective non-state actors 193
2.3.1 Organised armed groups *193*
2.3.2 Corporations *194*
2.4 Individual responsibility 195
2.4.1 Engagement with international criminal law *195*
2.4.2 Identification of suspected perpetrators *198*
2.5 Concluding observations 201
3. Accountability Recommendations 203
3.1 Prosecutions of international crimes 203
3.2 Restitution and compensation 205
3.2.1 Recommendations to states *206*
3.2.2 Recommendations to other actors *207*
3.3 Truth-seeking measures 209
3.4 Other measures of satisfaction 210
3.5 Guarantees of non-repetition 214
3.6 Concluding observations 214
4. Accountability Roles and Functions 216
4.1 Legal accountability 216
4.2 Moral accountability 220
4.3 Political accountability and accountability politics 224
Conclusions 226
CONCLUSIONS 228
APPENDIX UNITED NATIONS ATROCITY INQUIRIES 1945–2018 234
SUMMARY 236
SAMENVATTING (SUMMARY IN DUTCH) 239
BIBLIOGRAPHY 243
CURRICULUM VITAE 292

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights	ACHPR
African Union	AU
Central African Republic	CAR
Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights	CESCR
Common Article 3 to the Geneva Conventions of 1949	CA 3
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	DPRK
Direct participation in hostilities	DPH
Economic and Social Council	ECOSOC
Economic, social, and cultural rights	ESCR
European Court of Human Rights	ECHR
European Union	EU
Human Rights Advisory Panel	HRAP
Inter-American Commission on Human Rights	IACHR
Inter-American Court of Human Rights	IACtHR
International armed conflict	IAC
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966	ICCPR
International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966	ICESCR
International Criminal Court	ICC
International Criminal Law	ICL
International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda	ICTR
International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia	ICTY
International Human Rights Law	IHRL
International Humanitarian Fact-Finding Commission	IHFFC
International Humanitarian Law	IHL
International Labour Organization	ILO

International, Impartial and Independent Mechanism to assist in the Investigation and Prosecution of those Responsible for the Most Serious Crimes under International Law committed in the Syrian Arab Republic since March 2011	IIIM
Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant	ISIS
Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam	LTTE
Multidimensional Integrated Stabilization Mission in the Central African Republic	MINUSCA
National Transitional Council	NTC
Non-governmental organisation	NGO
Non-international armed conflict	NIAC
Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	OHCHR
Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the involvement of children in armed conflict 2000	CRC-OP
Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe	OSCE
Organization of African Unity	OAU
Organization of American States	OAS
Responsibility to Protect	R2P
Rwandan Patriotic Front	RPF
Sexual and gender-based violence	SGBV
United Nations Commission on Human Rights	UNCHR
United Nations	UN
United Nations Human Rights Council	HRC
United Nations Mission in Kosovo	UNMIK
United Nations War Crimes Commission	UNWCC
Universal Declaration of Human Rights	UDHR
World War One	WWI
World War Two	WWII