

Shared decision-making about treatments for early breast cancer : preferences of older patients and clinicians

Hamelinck, V.C.

Citation

Hamelinck, V. C. (2018, November 13). Shared decision-making about treatments for early breast cancer : preferences of older patients and clinicians. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/66715

Version:	Not Applicable (or Unknown)
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the</u> <u>Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/66715

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/66715</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Hamelinck, V.C. Title: Shared decision-making about treatments for early breast cancer : preferences of older patients and clinicians Issue Date: 2018-11-13



Background

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women in Western countries.¹ In the Netherlands, nearly 17,000 new breast cancer cases were diagnosed in 2016.² Although the disease affects women of all ages, it is more common among older women. Currently, about 40% of all cases are in women aged \geq 65 years³ and this percentage is expected to increase as the population ages.⁴ Older patients also have a greater breast cancer mortality than younger patients.^{2,57} Consequently, there has been much concern about how to optimize cancer care for this patient population.⁸

Despite this significant and growing patient population, little evidence is available to guide the management of breast cancer in older patients.^{9,10} Randomized clinical trials have frequently excluded older women based on their age or comorbid conditions.⁹⁻¹¹ Consequently, the benefits and risks of treatment are often uncertain for older patients and results from trials conducted in younger patients can possibly not be extrapolated to the geriatric population. In addition, the few older patients that have been included in trials may not reflect the older patients in daily clinical practice, as they are generally healthier and might have more favourable tumour characteristics.¹²

An important aspect in cancer treatment decision-making for older patients, is the patient's preference. Currently, decision-making of breast cancer treatment is often done without the input of patients.^{13,14} Lack of shared decision-making between the clinician and the patient could potentially entail a decrease in satisfaction and treatment adherence of the patients.^{15,16} Therefore, it is stipulated that shared decision-making should be made a priority in breast cancer treatment in older patients,^{9,10} especially as it is often not clear which treatment option is most appropriate.¹⁷ Given this background, this thesis is directed towards exploring treatment decision-making between clinicians and older patients, with a focus on the preferences of the latter.

Tailoring treatment to the older patient's context

Treatment decision-making for older patients is generally complex. Older patients frequently suffer from other medical conditions besides breast cancer and may take multiple medications, which may place them at increased risk of adverse outcomes.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Further, the older patient population is highly heterogeneous,²¹ with patients of the same chronological age having large differences in physiological reserves, life expectancies, physical and cognitive functioning, geriatric health conditions, and possibly in preferences. These aspects make it difficult to determine the best individual treatment decision.

Due to a lack of treatment evidence, current (inter)national practice guidelines for breast

cancer are not able to recommend treatment options in older breast cancer patients.²² This means that with the absence of age-specific guidelines, clinicians are left with general treatment recommendations. However, for many older patients with breast cancer, the general treatment recommendations are difficult to apply to. Since 2007, an international group of experts of the European Society of Breast Cancer Specialists and the International Society of Geriatric Oncology have put efforts in releasing a set of recommendations state that treatment plans should not be based solely on the patient's chronological age alone, but be tailored to the individual older patient's context (e.g., general health status, comorbid conditions, and estimated life expectancy). In addition, it is recommended that as evidence about the best treatment option is often inconclusive, a shared decision-making approach between the clinician and the older patient should be an essential part of this process.

Shared decision-making

In recent years, it has become increasingly accepted that it is important to involve patients in medical decision-making and to elicit their preferences to make good individualized health care decisions.²³ Shared decision-making is a process in which clinicians inform patients about all possible treatment options and encourage them to consider the potential benefits and risks, so that patients can form their preferences. The patient's preferences are then combined with the best available medical evidence to decide which option best suits the patient.²⁴ Assessment and prioritization of the patient's preferences is particularly essential in decisions when there is more than one reasonable treatment option from a medical standpoint²⁵ or when trade-offs between potential benefits and risks have to be made for which patient preferences vary.²⁶ This is the case in the treatment of early-stage breast cancer as will be illustrated in following subparagraphs.

Treatment of early stage breast cancer

The majority of patients with breast cancer are diagnosed with stage o-II ('early stage') disease,^{27,28} which typically refers to small tumours (i.e., a clinical lesion size \leq 5.0 cm) that have not spread beyond the breast or lymph nodes close to the breast.²² Depending on the type and extension of the early BC, its treatment often involves a combination of different modalities (i.e., primary surgery potentially followed by adjuvant systemic therapies). Generally, patients with this diagnosis and their clinicians face a difficult decision-making process, which revolves around whether to perform a mastectomy or a breast-conserving surgery, potentially followed by adjuvant systemic therapies). Each of the abovementioned modalities involves risks and benefits, which clinicians clearly need to discuss with the patients, so that they can develop informed treatment preferences.²⁴ Moreover, as this is a high impact decision-making process, it is preferable to elicit their

preferences in the early stages of this process.

Type of surgery

Primary treatment for patients with ductal carcinoma in situ (the most common type of stage o) and stage I-II breast cancer consists of surgery. In most cases, patients of all ages are eligible for two surgical treatment options, namely a mastectomy or a breast-conserving surgery. Mastectomy involves a surgical procedure in which the breast is removed, while breast-conserving surgery is a less invasive procedure, but is followed by radiotherapy. Trials comparing the outcomes of both options have shown similar overall survival rates.²⁹³¹ However, the surgical options are different with respect to cosmetic outcome, local recurrence, and use of additional surgery or radiotherapy.³² As there is no best surgical treatment option from a medical standpoint, the decision between breast-conserving surgery and mastectomy can be made according to the patient's individual preferences and values.-

Adjuvant systemic therapy

After surgery, the next step in the treatment of stages I and II breast cancer may be chemotherapy and/or hormonal therapy. Adjuvant systemic therapy is generally recommended for patients with unfavourable tumour characteristics and a 10-year disease recurrence risk of \geq 25%, and when treatment would result in an absolute benefit of \geq 10%.²² Although these adjuvant systemic therapies have been shown to reduce breast cancer recurrence,³³ each of these therapies may cause side effects.³⁴

In the Dutch treatment guidelines for BC treatment options, decision-making about adjuvant hormonal therapy is driven by the hormone receptor status and not by the patient's age. With regard to adjuvant chemotherapy, the benefits of this systemic therapy are less clear for patients aged \geq 70 years. The guidelines currently recommend that adjuvant chemotherapy may be considered for patients aged \geq 70 years who are in good general health.²²

In general, older patients diagnosed with early breast cancer may benefit from adjuvant systemic therapy,^{35,36} however the benefits in this patient group may only be marginal. This means that both accepting and declining the therapies are clinically possible options. As there is no best treatment option, the patient's informed treatment preference in which the benefits and risks are weighed should determine the treatment decision.

Differences in treatment by age

Population-based studies have reported that older patients less often receive standard treatment than younger patients. Despite the fact that the decision between breast-conserving surgery and mastectomy can be made independent of the patient's age,²² lower rates of breast-conserving surgery in older patients have been observed.^{37,38} Also,

radiotherapy is more likely to be omitted after breast-conserving surgery in older patients and they less frequently receive (guideline recommended) adjuvant chemotherapy than younger patients with similar disease severity.^{35,39,40} After taking into account differences in patient and tumour characteristics (e.g., comorbid conditions, tumour size), there was still substantial variation between different age groups. This has directed the focus towards the preferences of patients and clinicians as potential important determinants of the decision-making process for older patients.⁴¹

Older patients' decision-making about breast cancer treatment

Extensive research to date has been performed on the factors that patients with breast cancer consider important when facing a decision between breast-conserving surgery with radiotherapy and mastectomy.⁴² Various influencing factors have been found, including fear of cancer recurrence⁴³ and the impact of the surgery on body image.⁴⁴ Studies on the association between age and breast cancer treatment have implied that a patient's preference for a specific treatment option or willingness to undergo treatment is influenced by a patient's age.⁴⁵ For example, it is often believed that older patients may attach less importance to body image than younger patients and that they consider several weeks of radiotherapy to be a larger burden,^{46,47} and therefore are more likely to prefer mastectomy over breast-conserving surgery. It is also often assumed that many older patients judge that the small possible survival benefits of adjuvant systemic therapy do not outweigh the possible side effects⁴⁸ and therefore they are more likely to refuse therapy. Also, it is often thought that older patients' preferences may be based on other aspects than prolongation of life only, for example, on maintaining mobility and independence and quality of life.⁴⁷

Older patients' treatment preferences are however an understudied topic in the field of breast cancer,^{47,49} in particular with regard to their preferences for adjuvant systemic therapy. A systematic review of studies on older cancer patients' preferences found that none of the studies focused on adjuvant treatment for breast cancer.⁴⁹ The few studies that have concentrated on older patients with breast cancer involved only patients aged ≥ 65 or ≥ 70 years,⁵⁰⁻⁵⁶ making it hard to decide whether the decision-making process of older patients is actually different from that of younger patients. In addition, other studies including breast cancer patients of all ages have generally not aimed to determine age-differences in treatment preferences or in the reasons for choosing one treatment over the other.⁵⁷

Clinicians' decision-making about breast cancer treatment

Clinician preference has also been proposed to strongly influence the treatments that older patients undergo.⁵⁸ Firstly, although the majority of patients want to be involved in treatment decision-making, it is often suggested that decision-making role preferences differ by patient

age. Older patients are thought to more often prefer to leave the decision to their clinician,⁵⁹⁻⁶¹ thereby indicating that the treatment decision mainly depends on the clinician's preference rather than on the patient's preference. Secondly, there are indications that for their older patient clinicians often make unilateral decisions with regard to which treatment options are relevant based on the patient's chronological age.⁶²⁻⁶⁴ Making decisions without having complete information available about the patient (e.g., comorbid conditions, social situation, concerns and preferences), may not result in a decision that is right for that particular older patient.

Previous research on clinicians' preferences for treatment of older patients has mainly focused on oncologists of one specialty.⁶⁵ In the Netherlands, it is nowadays standard of care that treatment plans are discussed in multidisciplinary team meetings.²² The team constitutes of at least a surgical, a radiation and a medical oncologist who collaborate together to come to a treatment advice that is in their patient's best interest. Each of these different specialties is expected to approach the same patient from their own perspective, which could result in different treatment recommendations.⁶⁶ This may be particularly present with regard to older patients, as clinicians can make different interpretations of the clinical evidence, since the benefits to older patients are not clearly defined and since the content of the treatment guidelines leaves room for alternative interpretations. Thus, opinions of the specialists involved in the treatment of older patients also require examination.

Aims of this thesis

FOCUS study

The research in this thesis is part of the FOCUS project (Female breast cancer in the elderly: Optimizing Clinical guidelines Using clinico-pathological and molecular data). This project was funded by a grant of the Dutch Cancer Society in 2007, and aimed to increase knowledge about tumour biology, treatment and survival outcomes of older patients with breast cancer.⁶⁷ Amongst others, this resulted in a data set with detailed treatment and patient-related information of more than 3,000 female breast cancer patients of ≥ 65 years and who were diagnosed in the South West region of the Netherlands between 1997 and 2004.⁵⁷ 7,^{18,20,27,39,68,71} During data collection it became apparent that data about the preferences of older patients were largely absent. Consequently, older patients' preferences was added to the FOCUS project as a new research topic.

This thesis

It is often suggested that older patients may have different preferences and motivations than younger patients, because of different life circumstances, values, priorities and medical conditions. However, little attention has been paid to assessing possible differences in the

perspectives of younger and older patients. With the addition of data on older patients' treatment preferences and the factors that distinctively affect their preferences, clinicians will be able to better inform, support and guide older patients in decision-making. To better understand the process of treatment decision-making for older patients, it is also relevant to understand the opinions of their clinicians and which factors of the older patient they consider important when making treatment recommendations.

The overall aim of this thesis therefore is to gain a greater understanding of the preferences of both older patients with early breast cancer and clinicians with regard to treatment, with the first group being the central focus of this thesis.

Outline of this thesis

The first part of this thesis describes the perspectives of patients with early breast cancer on decision-making about surgical and adjuvant systemic therapy. **Chapter 2** presents the results of a systematic literature review which identified studies on patients' preferences for surgery and adjuvant systemic therapy in early breast cancer. PubMed and EMBASE databases were searched up to October 2012. The aim of this systematic review was to provide an overview of the factors that affect patients' preferences for type of surgery and the minimal survival benefit that patients require from adjuvant systemic therapy to consider the therapy worthwhile.

Chapter 3 to 5 report on a prospective study which was carried out among patients with early-stage breast cancer who were scheduled to undergo primary surgery and who were eligible for both breast-conserving surgery and mastectomy. This study had a unique design characteristic where preferences were measured before patients had seen a surgical or medical oncologist to decide upon a treatment plan. Based on a psychological mechanism (i.e., cognitive dissonance reduction or post-hoc justification), individuals may have a strong preference for the treatment they are recommended.⁷² To minimize the impact of this phenomenon, we conducted this study in patients who had not yet been informed about their clinician's advice.

Chapter 3 describes the preferences for type of surgery of both younger and older patients, and the factors they consider important when making the decision between breast-conserving surgery with radiotherapy versus mastectomy. Secondarily, older patients' preferences for breast reconstruction following mastectomy are compared to those of younger patients. In **Chapter 4**, we assess whether there are differences in younger and older patients' willingness to undergo adjuvant systemic therapy (i.e., adjuvant chemotherapy and adjuvant hormonal therapy) and their motivations for or against undergoing therapy. **Chapter 5** presents a

comparison of both age groups' preferred and perceived roles in making the decision about surgery and adjuvant systemic therapy.

The final chapter of this thesis focuses on the perspectives of clinicians about treatment of older patients. **Chapter 6** describes the treatment recommendations of breast cancer specialists concerning older patients. Via an anonymous, online questionnaire, we presented hypothetical cases of older patients (aged \geq 70 years) to surgical, radiation and medical oncologists to identify how different patient-related characteristics affect their treatment recommendations, and whether the specialties differ in their recommendations.

In **Chapter 7**, the main findings, the implications for clinical practice and health care policy, and the future perspectives for research in this field are discussed. A summary in Dutch of this thesis is given in **Chapter 8**.

Reference list

- Globocan. Breast Cancer, Estimated Incidence, Mortality and Prevalence Worldwide in 2012. Accessed on 1 February 2017. Available from: http://globocan.iarc.fr/Pages/fact sheets cancer.aspx
- Dutch Cancer Registry. Cijfers over kanker; sterfte. Accessed on 8 April 2018. Available from: http:// www.cijfersoverkanker.nl/selecties/dataset 2/img58279835d98c7
- 3. Dutch Cancer Registry. Incidence breast cancer. Accessed on 8 April 2018. Available from: http://www. cijfersoverkanker.nl/selecties/dataset_1/img582797c47232b
- Statistics Netherlands. Forecast intervals of the population; age groups, 2015-2060. Accessed on 12 July 2016. Available from: http://statline.cbs.nl/Statweb/publication/?DM=SLNL&PA=82682ned&D1=a&D2=0,2 1-23&D3=0&D4=0,5,10,15,20,25,30,35,40,l&VW=T
- 5. Bastiaannet E, Portielje JE, Van De Velde CJ et al. Lack of survival gain for elderly women with breast cancer. Oncologist 2011;16(4):415-423.
- De Glas NA, Bastiaannet E, De Craen AJ et al. Survival of older patients with metastasised breast cancer lags behind despite evolving treatment strategies-a population-based study. Eur J Cancer 2015;51(3):310-316.
- Van de Water W, Markopoulos C, Van De Velde CJ et al. Association between age at diagnosis and disease-specific mortality among postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer. JAMA 2012;307(6):590-597.
- 8. Dutch Cancer Society. Focus 2011-2014: kanker en ouderen. Accessed on 5 January 2017. Available from: https://www.kwf.nl/over-kwf/Pages/Kanker-en-ouderen.aspx
- 9. Biganzoli L, Wildiers H, Oakman C et al. Management of elderly patients with breast cancer: updated recommendations of the International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG) and European Society of Breast Cancer Specialists (EUSOMA). *Lancet Oncol* 2012;13(4):e148-160.
- Wildiers H, Kunkler I, Biganzoli L et al. Management of breast cancer in elderly individuals: recommendations of the International Society of Geriatric Oncology. Lancet Oncol 2007;8(12):1101-1115.
- 11. Townsley CA, Selby R, Siu LL. Systematic review of barriers to the recruitment of older patients with cancer onto clinical trials. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23(13):3112-3124.
- 12. Van de Water W, Kiderlen M, Bastiaannet E et al. External validity of a trial comprised of elderly patients with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2014;106(4):dju051.
- 13. Couët N, Desroches S, Robitaille H et al. Assessments of the extent to which health-care providers involve patients in decision making: a systematic review of studies using the OPTION instrument. *Health Expect* 2015;18(4):542-561.
- 14. Bridges J, Hughes J, Farrington N et al. Cancer treatment decision-making processes for older patients with complex needs: a qualitative study. *BMJ Open* 2015;5(12):e009674.
- 15. Lantz PM, Janz NK, Fagerlin A et al. Satisfaction with surgery outcomes and the decision process in a population-based sample of women with breast cancer. *Health Serv Res* 2005;40(3):745-767.
- 16. Coulter A and Collins A. Making Shared Decision-Making a Reality: no Decision About me Without me. The Kings Fund. Accessed on 2 February 2016. Available from: https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/publications/making-shared-decision-making-reality
- 17. Politi MC, Lewis CL, Frosch DL. Supporting shared decisions when clinical evidence is low. *Med Care Res* Rev 2013;70(1 Suppl):113S-128S.
- 18. De Glas NA, Kiderlen M, Bastiaannet E et al. Postoperative complications and survival of elderly breast cancer patients: a FOCUS study analysis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2013;138(2):561-569.
- 19. Hurria A, Brogan K, Panageas KS et al. Patterns of toxicity in older patients with breast cancer receiving adjuvant chemotherapy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2005;92(2):151-156.
- 20. Van de Water W, Bastiaannet E, Hille ET et al. Age-specific nonpersistence of endocrine therapy in postmenopausal patients diagnosed with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer: a TEAM study analysis. Oncologist 2012;17(1):55-63.
- 21. Barnett K, Mercer SW, Norbury M et al. Epidemiology of multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet* 2012;380(9836):37-43.

- 22. Nationaal Borstkanker Overleg Nederland. Breast cancer, Dutch Guideline, version 2.0. Accessed on 12 July 2016. Available from: http://www.oncoline.nl/mammacarcinoom
- 23. Patiëntenfederatie Nederland. Samen beslissen. Accessed on 14 April 2017. Available from: https://www.npcf.nl/themas/samen-beslissen/
- 24. Stiggelbout AM, Van Der Weijden T, De Wit MP et al. Shared decision making: really putting patients at the centre of healthcare. *BMJ* 2012;344:e256.
- Muller-Engelmann M, Donner-Banzhoff N, Keller H et al. When decisions should be shared: a study of social norms in medical decision making using a factorial survey approach. *Med Decis Making* 2013;33(1):37-47.
- 26. Andrews J, Guyatt G, Oxman AD et al. GRADE guidelines: 14. Going from evidence to recommendations: the significance and presentation of recommendations. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2013;66(7):719-725.
- 27. Kiderlen M, De Glas NA, Bastiaannet E et al. Diabetes in relation to breast cancer relapse and all-cause mortality in elderly breast cancer patients: a FOCUS study analysis. *Ann Oncol* 2013; 24(12):3011-3016.
- 28. Sant M, Allemani C, Berrino F et al. Breast carcinoma survival in Europe and the United States. *Cancer* 2004;100(4):715-722.
- 29. Fisher B, Anderson S, Bryant J et al. Twenty-year follow-up of a randomized trial comparing total mastectomy, lumpectomy, and lumpectomy plus irradiation for the treatment of invasive breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2002;347(16):1233-1241.
- 30. Morrow M, Strom EA, Bassett LW et al. Standard for the management of ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast (DCIS). CA *Cancer J Clin* 2002;52(5):256-276.
- Veronesi U, Cascinelli N, Mariani L et al. Twenty-year follow-up of a randomized study comparing breastconserving surgery with radical mastectomy for early breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2002;347(16):1227-1232.
- 32. Prescott RJ, Kunkler IH, Williams LJ et al. A randomised controlled trial of postoperative radiotherapy following breast-conserving surgery in a minimum-risk older population. The PRIME trial. *Health Technol* Assess 2007;11(31):1-149, iii-iv.
- 33. Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group. Effects of chemotherapy and hormonal therapy for early breast cancer on recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. *Lancet* 2005;365(9472):1687-1717.
- 34. Grimison PS and Stockler MR. Quality of life and adjuvant systemic therapy for early-stage breast cancer. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther 2007;7(8):1123-1134.
- 35. Aapro M and Wildiers H. Triple-negative breast cancer in the older population. Ann Oncol 2012;23 Suppl 6:vi52-5.
- 36. Muss HB, Berry DA, Cirrincione CT et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy in older women with early-stage breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2009;360(20):2055-2065.
- 37. Wyld L, Garg DK, Kumar ID et al. Stage and treatment variation with age in postmenopausal women with breast cancer: compliance with guidelines. *Br J Cancer* 2004;90(8):1486-1491.
- 38. Schonberg MA, Marcantonio ER, Li D et al. Breast cancer among the oldest old: tumor characteristics, treatment choices, and survival. J Clin Oncol 2010;28(12):2038-2045.
- 39. Bastiaannet E, Liefers GJ, De Craen AJ et al. Breast cancer in elderly compared to younger patients in the Netherlands: stage at diagnosis, treatment and survival in 127,805 unselected patients. *Breast Cancer Res* Treat 2010;124(3):801-807.
- 40. Lavelle K, Todd C, Moran A et al. Non-standard management of breast cancer increases with age in the UK: a population based cohort of women > or =65 years. Br J Cancer 2007;96(8):1197-1203.
- 41. Schonberg MA, Silliman RA, Mccarthy EP et al. Factors noted to affect breast cancer treatment decisions of women aged 80 and older. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012;60(3):538-544.
- 42. Sivell S, Edwards A, Elwyn G et al. Understanding surgery choices for breast cancer: how might the Theory of Planned Behaviour and the Common Sense Model contribute to decision support interventions? *Health Expect* 2011;14 Suppl 1:6-19.
- 43. Molenaar S, Oort F, Sprangers M et al. Predictors of patients' choices for breast-conserving therapy or mastectomy: a prospective study. Br J Cancer 2004;90(11):2123-2130.
- 44. Ballinger RS, Mayer KF, Lawrence G et al. Patients' decision-making in a UK specialist centre with high

mastectomy rates. Breast 2008;17(6):574-579.

- 45. Staradub VL, Hsieh YC, Clauson J et al. Factors that influence surgical choices in women with breast carcinoma. *Cancer* 2002;95(6):1185-1190.
- 46. Alderman AK, Bynum J, Sutherland J et al. Surgical treatment of breast cancer among the elderly in the United States. *Cancer* 2011;117(4):698-704.
- 47. Hawley ST and Morrison R. Achieving high-quality surgical treatment decisions: the perspectives of older breast cancer patients. *Aging Health* 2012;8(6):589-599.
- Meropol NJ, Egleston BL, Buzaglo JS et al. Cancer patient preferences for quality and length of life. Cancer 2008;113(12):3459-3466.
- 49. Puts MT, Tapscott B, Fitch M et al. A systematic review of factors influencing older adults' hypothetical treatment decisions. Oncology & Hematology Review 2015;11(1):19-33.
- 50. Cyran EM, Crane LA, Palmer L. Physician sex and other factors associated with type of breast cancer surgery in older women. Arch Surg 2001;136(2):185-191.
- 51. Harder H, Ballinger R, Langridge C et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy in elderly women with breast cancer: patients' perspectives on information giving and decision making. *Psychooncology* 2013;22(12):2729-2735.
- 52. Kreling B, Figueiredo MI, Sheppard VL et al. A qualitative study of factors affecting chemotherapy use in older women with breast cancer: barriers, promoters, and implications for intervention. *Psychooncology* 2006;15(12):1065-1076.
- 53. Mandelblatt JS, Faul LA, Luta G et al. Patient and physician decision styles and breast cancer chemotherapy use in older women: Cancer and Leukemia Group B protocol 369901. J Clin Oncol 2012;30(21):2609-2614.
- 54. Mandelblatt JS, Hadley J, Kerner JF et al. Patterns of breast carcinoma treatment in older women: patient preference and clinical and physical influences. *Cancer* 2000;89(3):561-573.
- 55. Mandelblatt JS, Sheppard VB, Hurria A et al. Breast cancer adjuvant chemotherapy decisions in older women: the role of patient preference and interactions with physicians. J Clin Oncol 2010;28(19):3146-3153.
- 56. Schonberg MA, Birdwell RL, Bychkovsky BL et al. Older women's experience with breast cancer treatment decisions. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2014;145(1):211-223.
- 57. Duric VM, Butow PN, Sharpe L et al. Psychosocial factors and patients' preferences for adjuvant chemotherapy in early breast cancer. *Psychooncology* 2007;16(1):48-59.
- 58. Puts MT, Tapscott B, Fitch M et al. A systematic review of factors influencing older adults' decision to accept or decline cancer treatment. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2015;41(2):197-215.
- 59. Brom L, Hopmans W, Pasman HR et al. Congruence between patients' preferred and perceived participation in medical decision-making: a review of the literature. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak* 2014;14:25.
- 60. Degner LF, Kristjanson LJ, Bowman D et al. Information needs and decisional preferences in women with breast cancer. JAMA 1997;277(18):1485-1492.
- 61. Pinquart M and Duberstein PR. Information needs and decision-making processes in older cancer patients. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2004;51(1):69-80.
- 62. Hamaker ME, Van Rixtel B, Thunnissen P et al. Multidisciplinary decision-making on chemotherapy for colorectal cancer: an age-based comparison. J Geriatr Oncol 2015;6(3):225-232.
- 63. Kearney N, Miller M, Paul J et al. Oncology healthcare professionals' attitudes toward elderly people. Ann Oncol 2000;11(5):599-601.
- 64. Lawler M, Selby P, Aapro MS et al. Ageism in cancer care. BMJ 2014;348:g1614.
- 65. Hurria A, Wong FL, Villaluna D et al. Role of age and health in treatment recommendations for older adults with breast cancer: the perspective of oncologists and primary care providers. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26(33):5386-5392.
- 66. Fowler FJ, Mcnaughton Collins M, Albertsen PC et al. Comparison of recommendations by urologists and radiation oncologists for treatment of clinically localized prostate cancer. JAMA 2000;283(24):3217-3722.
- 67. Kiderlen M, Van De Velde CJH, Liefers GJ et al. Targeted therapy in older women with breast cancer -

What's the target? Eur J Surg Oncol 2017;43(5):944-948.

- 68. Derks MG, De Glas NA, Bastiaannet E et al. Physical Functioning in Older Patients With Breast Cancer: A Prospective Cohort Study in the TEAM Trial. *Oncologist* 2016;21(8):946-953.
- 69. De Kruijf EM, Bastiaannet E, Ruberta F et al. Comparison of frequencies and prognostic effect of molecular subtypes between young and elderly breast cancer patients. *Mol Oncol* 2014;8(5):1014-1025.
- 70. Engels CC, Kiderlen M, Bastiaannet E et al. The clinical prognostic value of molecular intrinsic tumor subtypes in older breast cancer patients: A FOCUS study analysis. *Mol Oncol* 2016;10(4):594-600.
- 71. Engels CC, Kiderlen M, Bastiaannet E et al. The clinical value of HER-2 overexpression and PIK3CA mutations in the older breast cancer population: a FOCUS study analysis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2016;156(2):361-370.
- 72. Jansen SJ, Kievit J, Nooij MA et al. Patients' preferences for adjuvant chemotherapy in early-stage breast cancer: is treatment worthwhile? Br J Cancer 2001;84(12):1577-1585.