

Alignment in eastern Neo-Aramaic languages from a typological perspective

Noorlander, P.M.

Citation

Noorlander, P. M. (2018, October 31). *Alignment in eastern Neo-Aramaic languages from a typological perspective*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/66714

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/66714

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/66714 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Noorlander, P.M.

Title: Alignment in eastern Neo-Aramaic languages from a typological perspective

Issue Date: 2018-10-31

ALIGNMENT IN EASTERN NEO-ARAMAIC LANGUAGES FROM A TYPOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE

Alignment in Eastern Neo-Aramaic Languages from a Typological Perspective

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens het besluit van College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 31 oktober 2018
klokke 16:15 uur

door

Paulus Melle Noorlander

geboren te Leidschendam in 1988 Promotor: Prof. dr. H. Gzella

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. G. Khan (University of Cambridge)

Prof. dr. G.L.J. Haig (Otto Friedrich Universität Bamberg)

Prof. dr. H.L. Murre-van den Berg

Prof. dr. M.G. Kossmann Prof. dr. A.M. Lubotsky Prof. dr. W.F.H. Adelaar

Dr. J. Audring

For my parents

كُمُ لَهُ الْمُحَدُهِ . مَعُنْهِ أَنْيَتِلْأَجُه . مَعُنْهِ أَنْيَتِلْأَجُه .

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS			xix	
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS			xxi	
LI	ST OF T	ABLES		xiii
LI	ST OF F	IGURE:	S	xiv
1.	INTRO	DUCTI	ON	1
	1.1.	The l	Enigma of Ergativity in Aramaic	1
	1.2.	Subg	rouping of Neo-Aramaic	3
	1.2.	.1.	Western and Eastern Neo-Aramaic	4
	1	.2.1.1.	Western Neo-Aramaic	4
	1	.2.1.2.	Eastern Neo-Aramaic	5
	1.2.	.2.	Geographic Distribution of North Eastern Neo-Aramaic	6
	1	.2.2.1.	Christian Varieties: Core and Periphery	7
	1	.2.2.2.	Jewish Varieties: The Greater Zab River	8
	1	.2.2.3.	Written Neo-Aramaic	10
	1.2.	.3.	Central Neo-Aramaic Dialect Traits	11
	1.2.	.4.	Language Contact: Bi- and Multilingualism	13
	1.3.	Prev	ious Approaches to Alignment in Eastern Neo-Aramaic	14
	1.3.	.1.	Early Scholarship: Passive or Possessive	14
	1.3.	.2.	Recent Typological Approaches	19
	1.4.	Goals	s and Scope of This Work	23
	1.5.	Sour	ces and Conventions	26
	1.6.	Outli	ne	28
2.	CLAUS	SE STRI	JCTURE AND ALIGNMENT TYPOLOGY	31
	2.1.	Cons	truction Grammar	32
	2.2.	The (Core Functions of Arguments and Basic Alignment Types	34
	2.2.	.1.	Grammatical Functions: S, A, P, T and R	35
	2.2.		Pragmatic Functions: Topic and Focus	40
	2.2.	.3.	Coding and Behavioral Properties	41
	2	.2.3.1.	Case-Marking	42

3.

2.2.3.2	. Agreement	42
2.2.3.3	8. Word Order and Affix Order	46
2.2.3.4	. Behavioral Properties	47
2.2.4.	Intransitive-Transitive Alignment Types	49
2.2.4.1	. Accusative Alignment	50
2.2.4.2	2. Ergative Alignment	50
2.2.4.3	8. Neutral Alignment	51
2.2.4.4	Tripartite Alignment	52
2.2.4.5	6. Horizontal Alignment	52
2.2.5.	Ditransitive Alignment types	53
2.2.5.1	. Indirective Alignment	54
2.2.5.2	2. Secundative Alignment	55
2.2.5.3	8. Neutral Alignment	56
2.2.5.4	. Tripartite Alignment	57
2.2.6.	Typological Markedness	57
2.3. Ver	b-Related Factors	62
2.3.1.	Split and Fluid Subject-Marking	62
2.3.2.	Tense, Aspect, and Mood	68
2.3.3.	Transitive Semantics	73
2.3.4.	Ditransitive Semantics	76
2.4. Arg	ument-Related Factors	77
2.4.1.	The Prominence Hierarchy	78
2.4.2.	Differential and Optional Object Marking	80
2.4.3.	Differential and Optional Agent Marking	86
2.4.4.	Person-Based Splits and Role Associations	88
2.5. Cros	ss-Linguistic Distribution and Combinability	94
2.5.1.	Intransitive-Transitive Alignment Types	94
2.5.2.	Ditransitive Alignment Types and Combinations	97
2.6. Con	clusion	101
CODING PR	OPERTIES OF EASTERN NEO-ARAMAIC	105

CONTENTS xiii

3.1. Bas	sics of Nominal and Verbal Inflection	106
3.1.1.	Nouns and Independent Pronouns	106
3.1.2.	Verbal Roots	109
3.1.3.	Derivational Stems and Inflectional Bases	110
3.1.4.	Preverbal Tense-Aspect-Mood Marking	112
3.2. Bas	sic Patterns of Verbal Person Marking	119
3.2.1.	A and P in the Perfective and Agreement Inversion	119
3.2.2.	The Semi-Clitic Nature of the L-Set	122
3.2.3.	Major Alignment Types in the 'Perfective'	124
3.2.3.	1. Ergative (A≠S=P)	125
3.2.3.	2. Accusative (A=S≠P)	127
3.2.3.	3. Neutral (A=S=P)	127
3.2.3.	4. Dynamic-Stative (P=S/S=A)	129
3.2.4.	The Inflection of Ditransitive Verbs	129
3.3. Sim	ple Clauses with Full Nominals	132
3.3.1.	Prepositional Marking and Differential Object Marking	133
3.3.2.	Differential Indexing of the P	139
3.3.3.	Remarks on Word Order	142
3.4. Dit	ransitive Clauses with (Pro)nominals	144
3.4.1.	Person Role Associations	145
3.4.2.	Pronoun-NP Role Associations	148
3.4.3.	Differential Theme and Recipient-Marking	150
3.5. Per	son Marking in Possession	154
3.6. Sur	nmary	158
4. ALIGNMEN	T SPLITS IN NENA BASED ON ARGUMENT-RELATED PROPERTIES	159
4.1. Per	rson Role Restrictions	161
4.1.1.	Person Role Constraints in Transitive Constructions	161
4.1.2.	Dependent and Independent Person Forms	167
4.1.2.	1. Independent Prepositional Series	167
4.1.2.	2. Two Independent Person Forms in Ditransitives	172
4.1.3.	Cliticization and Secondary L ₂ -series	174

4.2	. Diffe	erential Object Marking Trans-Zab Jewish Dialects	177
4	4.2.1.	Accusative Agreement and Prepositional Marking	178
	4.2.1.1	. Coherently Accusative Marking	178
	4.2.1.2	Extended Ergative or Marked Nominative?	181
4	4.2.2.	Neutral (overt) Agreement and Accusative Prepositional Marking	182
	4.2.2.1	. Extensive Neutralization	183
	4.2.2.2	. Neutral Marking in Ditransitives	186
4	4.2.3.	Ergative Agreement and Accusative Prepositional Marking	188
	4.2.3.1	. Ergative Agreement	189
	4.2.3.2	. Accusative Case-Marking and Tripartite Person Marking	191
	4.2.3.3	. Combining the Two DOM-Strategies	193
	4.2.3.4	. Horizontal Person Marking	196
4.3	. Age	nt Omission and the Case-Marking of the Agent	196
4	4.3.1.	Passive-Ergative Continuum	197
4	4.3.2.	Passive Constructions and Oblique Agents in NENA	202
	4.3.2.1	. Impersonal Passive	202
	4.3.2.2	. Auxiliary COME and Infinitive	203
	4.3.2.3	. Auxiliary BECOME and Resultative Participle	204
	4.3.2.4	Copula BE and Resultative Participle	205
4	4.3.3.	Lability in South Eastern Trans-Zab Jewish Varieties	206
4	4.3.4.	Lability in Other Dialects	211
	4.3.4.1	. Passive and Anticausative	212
	4.3.4.2	. Referential Continuity	214
	4.3.4.3	. Differential Object Indexing	216
	4.3.4.4	. Impersonal Passive, Ergative, or Something Else?	218
	4.3.4.5	. Lability in Dynamic-Stative Varieties	219
4	4.3.5.	Focal Dative Marking of the Agent in non-Trans-Zab Varieties	220
	4.3.5.1	. Dative Mariking of the Agent	222
	4.3.5.2	. Focalization and Zero Marking of the Agent	224

CONTENTS xv

	4.3.5.	3. Possible Transitive Interpretations	225
	4.4. Alig	gnment Splits and Multiple Transitive Constructions	228
	4.4.1.	System-Internal Neutralization of Object Indexes	229
	4.4.2.	Competing Transitive Constructions: The <i>qam-qaṭəl</i> -Construction	231
	4.4.2.2	1. Two Basic Transitive Constructions	231
	4.4.2.2	2. Possible Motivations	235
	4.4.2.3	3. Ergativity and Split A-Marking	237
	4.4.3.	Ergative Alignment in Peripheral Christian Dialects	240
	4.4.3.2	1. Fluid S-Marking	240
	4.4.3.2	2. Multiple Transitive Constructions	241
	4.4.3.3	3. Possible Motivations	242
	4.4.3.4	4. Ergativity and Split A-marking	244
	4.5. Sun	nmary	246
5.	ALIGNMEN	T SPLITS IN NENA BASED ON VERB-RELATED PROPERTIES	249
	5.1. Ver	b-Related Splits in Simple Verbal Forms	250
	5.1.1.	Split Subject and Agent-Marking in South Eastern Trans-Zab Jewish Varieties	252
	5.1.1.1	1. Agentivity or Animacy	257
	5.1.1.2	2. Degree of Affectedness	259
	5.1.1.3	3. Aspectual Factors	260
	5.1.1.4	4. Morphological Factors	261
	5.1.1.5	5. Complex Predicates	262
	5.1.2.	Dynamic-Stative Subject-Marking	262
	5.1.3.	TAM-Sensitive Alignment Splits	266
	5.1.3.1	1. Accusative-Neutral Split	266
	5.1.3.2	2. Ergative-Accusative Split	268
	5.1.4.	TAM-Marking through Verbal Person Marking	271
	5.2. Con	npound Verbal Forms	274
	5.2.1.	Perfect and Progressive	275

	5.2.2.	Object Person Forms	279
	5.2.2.2	1. 'Possessive' suffixes	280
	5.2.2.2	2. Independent object person form	282
	5.2.2.3	3. Attached ?əll-set or ?əbb-set	282
	5.2.3.	Lability and Dative Marking of the Agent	283
	5.2.3.2	1. Position of the 'Copula'	286
	5.2.3.2	2. Verbalization	286
	5.2.3.3	3. Objects	287
	5.2.3.4	4. Dative Agents	289
	5.2.4.	Contraction and Secondary E ₂ -series	292
	5.2.5.	Compound Verbal forms Modeled on the 'Imperfective'	295
	5.3. Con	structional Splits in Trans-Zab Jewish Dialects	300
	5.3.1.	Person Role Constraint	301
	5.3.2.	Gender-Conditioned Hierarchical Agreement	302
	5.3.3.	Splits and Co-Variation in the Realis Perfect	307
	5.3.3.	1. Competing Resultatives	308
	5.3.3.2	2. Alignment Spltis and Gender-Conditioned Ergativity	310
	5.3.3.3	3. Split Intransitivity	312
	5.3.4.	Passive and Ergative in the Realis Perfect	314
	5.3.4.	1. The Perfect in West Iranian Dialects	314
	5.3.4.2	2. Word order and Case-marking	316
	5.3.4.3	3. Inflectional Base	317
	5.3.4.4	4. Referential Continuity	317
	5.3.4.	5. Differential Object Marking	319
	5.3.5.	Alignment Splits in the Compound Perfect	321
	5.4. His	torical Perspective: From Resultative to Preterit	328
	5.5. Sun	nmary	331
6.	ALIGNMEN	T SPLITS IN CENTRAL NEO-ARAMAIC	335
	6.1. Alig	gnment in Țuroyo	336
	6.1.1.	Ergative and Horizontal Person Marking	336

CONTENTE	
CONTENTS	XVII
CONTENIS	AVII

6.1.2.	Ditransitive Person Marking	340
6.1.3.	Ergative and Horizontal Prepositional Marking	345
6.2. Lab	ility and the <i>qaṭil-</i> Form in Ṭuroyo	353
6.2.1.	Labile Verbs and the Voice System	353
6.2.1.3	1. Phonological Reduction	355
6.2.1.2	2. Labile Alternations	356
6.2.1.3	3. Ergative and Neuter Verbs	358
6.2.1.4	4. Impersonal Labile Alternations	361
6.2.2.	Split and Fluid Subject and Agent-Marking in Ţuroyo	363
6.3. Alig	gnment and Voice in Mlaḥso	368
6.3.1.	Neutral Agreement and Accusative Case-marking	369
6.3.2.	Anticausative and Passive Voice	371
6.3.3.	The Realis Perfect	374
6.4. Mo	rphological Adaptation of Intransitive Coding	376
6.5. Sun	nmary	379
7. OVERVIEW	AND CONCLUSIONS	383
7.1. Ove	erview of Major Alignment types	384
7.1.1.	Intransitive/Transitive Alignment Types	384
7.1.1.	1. Ergative Alignment	385
7.1.1.2	2. Accusative Alignment	390
7.1.1.3	3. Other Basic Alignment Types	392
7.1.2.	Ditransitives and Combinations	395
7.1.3.	Interactions of Prepositional Marking and Agreement	398
7.1.4.	Ergative-like Markedness	403
7.1.5.	Agreement Inversion	408
7.2. Ver	b and Aspect-related Scales and Splits	411
7.2.1.	The Tense-Aspect-Mood scale	411
7.2.2.	Split and Fluid Subject Marking	416
7.3. Lab	oility and Ergativity	419
7.3.1.	Lability, Passive, and Agent omission	419
7.3.2.	Antipassive and Patient Omission	422

7.4. Arg	gument-Related Scales and Splits	425
7.4.1.	Patient-Related Scales	425
7.4.2.	Agent-Related Scales and Splits in Transitivity Coding	433
7.4.3.	Ditransitive Constructions and the Prominence Scale	438
7.5. Co	ncluding Remarks and Outlook	440
REFERENCES		442
SAMENVATTING 46		
CURRICULUM VITAE 47		

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This dissertation would not have been possible without the help and continuous support of others to which I owe a debt of gratitude, although, naturally, I alone am responsible for any shortcomings in the final text. I apologize beforehand for omitting people I accidentally overlooked.

First and foremost, I thank my two paranymphs: my officemate, Benjamin Suchard, and my best friend, Johan Rodenburg, who have probably been closest to me in the entire process over the past years. I am indebted to them for their encouragement, their proofreading of the text, and their helpful comments. I also thank my close friend, Marion van den Berg, and my cousin, Friso Tiesema, for proofreading parts of this thesis.

I am sincerely grateful to my Promotor, Prof. dr. Holger Gzella for his supervision, his moral support, and his stimulating lectures on Semitic languages. Regrettably, the Leiden University promotion regulations prevent me from thanking the members of the dissertation committee.

I thank LUCL's staff and management teams for facilitating an energizing environment to conduct my research. Colleagues and friends I would like to thank specifically are: Ahmad Al-Jallad, Kate Bellamy, Lisa Cheng, Simeon Dekker, Jaap Kamphuis, Alwin Kloekhorst, Fokelien Kootstra, Marijn van Putten, and Xander Vertegaal for showing interest in my work and discussing several topics pertaining to it as well as life as a PhD candidate. A special thank you is due to Martin Baasten for his contagious enthusiasm for Semitics, his passionate teaching, and being a mentor to me both as intellectual and as person. I am grateful also to Margaretha Folmer for her moral support and introducing me to Aramaic.

I owe a great deal to Don Stilo whose encyclopedic knowledge and in-depth discussions especially concerning the Neo-Aramaic speaking area have inspired me over the past few years and have benefited me in many aspects of my research. I am indebted to Hiwa Asadpour, Agnes Korn, Thomas Jügel, and Ergin Öpengin for stimulating discussions on Iranian languages and their alignment typology.

A special thank you belongs to the students who eagerly participated in my classes on Neo-Aramaic languages and Comparative Semitics. I am grateful also to Naures Atto, the Ibrahim family, Edesa Mutlu, and many other *Suryoye* for their patience with me and answering questions regarding Turoyo.

Finally, I express my utmost gratitude to my two sisters, Esther and Judith, and my brother, Daan, and his family. Without their love, encouragement and

support, this thesis would never have reached completion. Since no words in print could express my gratitude to my parents, Niek and Hilga, for everything you have done to make this possible, I can only dedicate this work to you, with all my love.

Paul M. Noorlander Leiden, March 2018

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

General abbreviations

A	agent of transitive construc-	P	patient of transitive con-
	tion		struction
AGR	agreement	pl.	plural
Akk.	Akkadian	PREP	preposition
Ar.	Arabic	PRO	pronoun
CM	case-marking	pron.	pronominal
CNA	Central Neo-Aramaic	PUNC	punctual
def.	definite	R	recipient of a ditransitive
DOM	differential object marking		construction
DYN	dynamic	S	subject of intransitive con-
fnp	full noun phrase		struction
G	goal	S_{A}	S aligned with A
indef.	indefinite	sb.	somebody
INS	instigating	sg.	singular
itr.	intransitive	S_{P}	S aligned with P
LOC	locative	sth.	something
Mn.	Midən (<i>Mədwoyo</i>)	T	theme of ditransitive con-
Mt.	Midyat (<i>Məδyoyo</i>)		struction
NENA	North Eastern Neo-Aramaic	TEL	telic
N	noun phrase	tr.	transitive
NP	noun phrase	Ţur.	Ţuroyo
0	object	U	undergoer
OBL	(major) oblique (case)	V	verb

Glossing abbreviations

ABS	absolutive (case), absolute	AUX	auxiliary
	state	CLF	classifier
ACC	accusative	COP	copula
ACTZ	actualizer	DEF	definite
ADD	additive	DEM	demonstrative
ADJZR	adjectivizer	DEM1	demonstrative particle
ANTIP	antipassive	DIR	direct
ART	article	DOM	differential object marker
ASP	verbal aspectual particle	DTR	detransitivizer

dual DIJ durative DUR emphatic state **EMPH** ERG ergative **EXST** existential F feminine finite FIN focus (marker) **FOC** FPL feminine plural FS feminine singular inclusive INC INST instrumental **IPFV** imperfective LK linker **PERF** perfect plural PL

PERF perfect
PL plural
POSS possessive
POSSM possessum
POSTP postposition
POT potential

PPT perfect/past participle

PRED predicate **PRET** preterit PRN proper noun **PRO** pronoun **PROG** progressive PRP preposition PRS present **PRST** presentative **PSSM** possessum **PSSR** possessor PST past particle PTCL PTCP participle **PUNC** punctual PVB preverb

reciprocal reflexive

RECP

RFL

LOC locative
LOCUT locutor
M masculine

MPL masculine plural
MS masculine singular
NOM nominative

NONFS nonfeminine singular NS neuter singular

OBJ object
OBL oblique
PASS passive

PERS person marker
PFPART perfective particle

PFV perfective

RPP resultative participle

SBJ subjunctive
SG singular
SUBJ subject

SUBR subordinator

Symbols

1	first person
2	second person
3	third person
*	reconstruction
**	incorrect, judged ungrammatical / impossible, non-existent
>	develops into / ranks higher than
<	is derived from / ranks lower than
⊇	entails or is equal to
⊃	entails
/x/	phonemic representation
[x]	phonetic representation
<>	graphic representation
+	suprasegmental pharyngealization
o	preverbal marking omitted
÷	unaspirated/glottalized articulatio

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Some hallmarks of Jewish NENA dialects	10
Table 2. NENA dialects close to Central and Western Neo-Aramaic	12
Table 3. Sample of dialects that has been studied for this research	29
Table 4. Types of person forms	45
Table 5. Zero vs. overt case coding in the accusative type	59
Table 6. Distribution of zero vs. overt case coding in the ergative type	59
Table 7. Marked intransitive/transitive alignment types	61
Table 8. Marked ditransitive alignment types	61
Table 9. Alignment patterns based on the NP prominence of the P	86
Table 10. Split conditioned by NP prominence in Dyirbal	89
Table 11. Person split in Puma	93
Table 12. Combinations of ditransitive case-marking and agreement	101
Table 13. Declension of nouns and adjectives in Țuroyo (Midyat)	106
Table 14. Declension of nouns and adjectives in J. Amidya	106
Table 15. Basic pronominal inventory in Țuroyo	108
Table 16. Basic pronominal inventory in J. Zaxo	108
Table 17. Major type ofweak verbs	108
Table 18. The Aramaic stem formations in Țuroyo	111
Table 19. Simplified overview of the main forms of the verb in NENA	117
Table 20. Simplified overview of the 'imperfective' conjugations	118
Table 21. Conjugation of the 'imperfective' and 'perfective' with object index	res 121

Table 22. Inflection of prepositions in Neo-Aramaic	135
Table 23. Person marking of themes and recipients	148
Table 24. <i>Nominal and pronominal themes and recipients (non-differential)</i>	150
Table 25. Distribution of independent object pronouns	172
Table 26. First/second person forms and case-marking and agreement	192
Table 27. Ergative agreement and accusative case-marking of the P	194
Table 28. Prominence hierarchy and (non-)ergative alignment	195
Table 29. Passive vs. ergative	198
Table 30. Imperfective–perfective parallellism of object marking L-suffixes	230
Table 31. Two types of preterits and DOM in J. Amidya	233
Table 32. Distribution of qam-qaṭəl-preterit and qṭil-preterit	235
Table 33. Alignment in the preterit in Christian Koy Sanjaq	239
Table 34. Three types of transitive 'perfective' constructions in C. Hertevin	245
Table 35. Patient-like or agent-like marking of the S in J. Sulemaniyya	254
Table 36. Comparison of subject-marking in J. Suleminiyya and J. Urmi	313
Table 37. Irrealis perfect in J. Kerend	325
Table 38. Ergativity in Jewish NENA in the preterit and beyond	327
Table 39. Person-conditioned alignment in Turoyo (Miden)	338
Table 40. Indexing and case-marking of the A and the P	350
Table 41. The Țuroyo stem formations	353
Table 42. Patient-like or agent-like marking of the S in Țuroyo	363
Table 43. Țuroyo stem I subclasses in the 'perfective'	368
Table 44. The Mlaḥso stem formations	371
Table 45. Splits with accusative case-marking	399
Table 46. Splits with ergative case-marking	399
Table 47. Overview of person splits in the 'preterit'	427
Table 48. Overview of person and gender-based splits in the 'perfect'	428
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1. The NENA-speaking area	7
Figure 2. Iranian Jewish NENA dialects.	9
Figure 3. Monotransitive alignment schemas	49
Figure 4. Ditransitive alignment schemas	54
Figure 5. Marked nominative and marked absolutive compared	60
Figure 6. Split and fluid subject-marking	63
Figure 7. Alignment split conditioned by TAM in Kurmanji	69
Figure 8. Conceptual space for participant roles	99
Figure 9. Major agreement alignment patterns in Eastern Neo-Aramaic	125
Figure 10. Ditransitive person role associations	145
Figure 11. Ditransitive pronoun-NP role associations	145
Figure 12. Monotransitive person role associations	163