



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

**The urbanization of the North-Western provinces of the Roman Empire :
a juridical and functional approach to town life in Roman Gaul,
Germania inferior and Britain**

Pellegrino, F.

Citation

Pellegrino, F. (2018, October 17). *The urbanization of the North-Western provinces of the Roman Empire : a juridical and functional approach to town life in Roman Gaul, Germania inferior and Britain*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/66262>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/66262>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/66262> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Pellegrino, F.

Title: The urbanization of the North-Western provinces of the Roman Empire : a juridical and functional approach to town life in Roman Gaul, Germania inferior and Britain

Issue Date: 2018-10-17

THE URBANIZATION OF THE NORTH- WESTERN PROVINCES OF THE ROMAN EMPIRE

**A JURIDICAL AND FUNCTIONAL APPROACH TO TOWN LIFE
IN ROMAN GAUL, GERMANIA INFERIOR AND BRITAIN**

Proefschrift
ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op 17.10.2018,
klokke 13.45 uur

door

Frida Pellegrino

geboren te Tione di Trento, Italy, in 1985

Promotores: Prof. dr. J. Bintliff
Prof. dr. L. de Ligt

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. P.C.M. Hoppenbrouwers
Prof. dr. M.L.J.C. Schrover
Prof. dr. N.G.A.M. Roymans
dr. F.G. Naerebout
dr. J. de Bruin

CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	VII
LIST OF TABLES	XIII
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	XV
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1. The study of the urbanization of the North-Western provinces.....	1
CHAPTER 1: OBJECT AND AIMS.....	5
Introduction	5
1.1 Ancient cities: ancient definitions	6
1.2 The object of research	10
1.2.1 A juridical definition	11
1.2.2 Morphology and size	13
1.2.3 A functional definition.....	16
1.2.4 A three-fold definition	19
CHAPTER 2: THE DAWN OF URBANISM.....	23
Introduction	23
2.1 The process of urbanization	25
2.1.1 Iron Age ' <i>oppida</i> ': terminology and problematics	25
2.1.2 The process of urbanization.....	30
2.2 The development of urbanism in southern Gaul	35
2.2.1 The foundation of Marseille	35
2.2.2 Urban concentration (4th to 2nd centuries BC).....	37
2.2.3 The Romans and the construction of a province	38
2.3 The development of urbanism in the rest of Gaul and Germania Inferior	43
2.3.1 The Late Iron Age.....	43
2.3.2 The <i>oppidum</i>	45
2.3.3 Regional differences in character and distribution of Late Iron Age <i>oppida</i>	48
2.3.4 The process of 'centralization'	52
2.4. The development of urbanism in Britain.....	56
2.4.1 The British Iron Age.....	56
2.4.2 The 'developed hillforts'	61
2.4.3 The polyfocal complexes.....	66

2.4.4 Regional differences in character and distribution of polyfocal complexes and <i>oppida</i>	72
CHAPTER 3: THE INTEGRATION OF THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES INTO THE ROMAN EMPIRE.....	79
Introduction	79
3.1 The Romans and the political integration of cities.....	80
3.1.1 The ‘ <i>civitas</i> ’	80
3.1.2 Colonies	81
3.1.3 Municipia.....	82
3.1.4 Political integration in the Roman Empire: the <i>ius Latii</i>	82
3.2 A new administrative system	83
3.2.1 A political explanation.....	87
3.3. The juridical status in the north-western provinces	90
3.3.1 Gallia Narbonensis	90
3.3.2 The ‘ <i>redactio in formam provinciae</i> ’	92
3.3.3 The introduction of the <i>ius Latii</i> in Gaul	94
3.3.4 Status in the Alpine provinces	96
3.3.5 Germania Inferior	98
3.3.6 Britannia	100
3.4 Juridical status and city rank	102
3.4.1 The limitations of the juridical approach.....	106
CHAPTER 4: THE SELF-GOVERNING CITIES: ELEMENTS AND RHYTHMS OF URBANIZATION	113
Introduction	113
4.2 Urban infrastructures and civic buildings	118
4.2.1 Stone circuit walls	118
4.2.2 Arches	126
4.2.3 <i>Forum</i>	131
4.2.4 Basilica	140
4.3 Spectacle buildings.....	143
4.3.1 Theatres	144
4.3.2 Amphitheatres.....	148
4.3.3 Circus.....	153
4.3.4 Urban location	154

4.4 How large were self-governing cities?	155
4.5 Understanding temporal rhythms: dating the erection of public buildings in the self-governing cities	165
4.6 The distribution of self-governing cities	168
CHAPTER 5: THE SECONDARY AGGLOMERATIONS OF GAUL	177
Introduction	177
5.1 The distribution of secondary agglomerations in Narbonensis	180
5.1.1 The <i>civitas</i> of the Allobroges (Vienne)	182
5.1.2 The agglomerations in Vaucluse (Apta, Arausio, Avennio, Cabellio, Carpentorate and Vasio).....	190
5.1.3 The agglomerations in south-eastern Gaul (Fréjus, Antibes, Vence, Briançonnet)	192
5.1.4 The <i>civitas</i> of Nîmes.....	195
5.1.5 The <i>civitas</i> of Luteva	203
5.2 The distribution of secondary agglomerations in Aquitania	205
5.2.1 The Gironde.....	206
5.2.2 The western Pyrenees	209
5.2.3 The <i>civitas</i> of the Pictones.....	214
5.2.4 The <i>civitas</i> of the Bituriges Cubi.....	217
5.2.5 The distribution of secondary agglomerations in Belgica	224
5.3 An overview of the settlement systems within the Gaulish provinces.....	230
CHAPTER 6: THE SECONDARY AGGLOMERATIONS OF GERMANIA INFERIOR AND BRITANNIA	241
Introduction	241
6.1 Germania Inferior.....	243
6.2 Eastern Yorkshire.....	251
6.3 An overview of the settlement systems of Germania Inferior and Britannia.....	259
CONCLUSIONS	267
ENGLISH SUMMARY	273
DUTCH SUMMARY - NEDERLANDSE SAMENVATTING	275
APPENDIX A: LIST OF CIVITATES IN THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES AND THEIR JURIDICAL STATUS AND DATING (EITHER DATE OR REIGN).....	279
A.1 NARBONENSIS	279
A.2 AQUITANIA.....	280

A.3 BELGICA.....	281
A.4 LUGDUNENSIS	282
A.5 ALPINE PROVINCES.....	284
A.6 GERMANIA INFERIOR	285
APPENDIX B: ASSURED MAGISTRATES OF THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES	287
B.1 NARBONENSIS	287
B.2 AQUITANIA.....	289
B.3 BELGICA.....	290
B.4 LUGDUNENSIS	291
B.5 ALPINE PROVINCES.....	292
B.6 GERMANIA INFERIOR	293
BIBLIOGRAPHY	295
ABOUT THE AUTHOR	339

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure 1: The north-western provinces of the Roman Empire: Gaul Narbonensis, the Western Alps - i.e. the provinces of Alpes Graiae, Alpes Cottiae, and Alpes Maritimae - the Three Gauls, Germania Inferior, and Britannia.</i>	5
<i>Figure 2: Map showing the large variety of pre-Roman sites in temperate Europe (Buchsenschutz 2004: 339).</i>	27
<i>Figure 3: The polyfocal complex of Aulnat/Corent (Poux 2014: 164).</i>	29
<i>Figure 4: The polyfocal site of Camulodunum (Fulford 2015: 61).</i>	30
<i>Figure 5: Reconstruction of the oppidum of Bibracte (Fernández-Götz et al. 2014b: 5).</i>	32
<i>Figure 6: Main agglomerations in Southern Gaul (Garcia 2002: 97).</i>	36
<i>Figure 7: Possible reconstruction of the territory of main ethnic groups in pre-Roman southern Gaul (Nuninger 2002: 12).</i>	40
<i>Figure 8: The civitates of Gaul Narbonensis (Garcia 2002: 99).</i>	42
<i>Figure 9: A reconstruction of the fortified farm and its settlement at Paule.</i>	45
<i>Figure 10: Left: Plan of the Titelberg plateau: 1: Rampart enclosing the public space; 2: Excavation of the monumental centre; 3: Inhabited centre; 4: Military (?) Roman area (Metzler et al. 2006 : 200); 5: Oriental gate; 6: Occidental gate. Right: Monumental centre of Titelberg (Metzler et al. 2006 : 205).</i>	46
<i>Figure 11: A reconstruction of the monumental centre of the oppidum of Corent (Poux 2014: 163).</i>	48
<i>Figure 12: The distribution and size of Late Iron Age oppida in temperate Europe (Collis 2014: 20).</i>	49
<i>Figure 13: The nucleated, multi-phase farmsteads (a) from the 1st century BC to the 1st century AD at Weert, situated within (b) a 2nd-century-BC enclosure (Gerritsen et al. 2006: 263).</i>	50
<i>Figure 14: The hegemonic communities during the Late Iron Age in Gaul (Fichtl 2004: 10).</i>	51
<i>Figure 15: The territorial organization of the civitas of the Bellovaci (Fichtl 2013a: 296).</i>	53
<i>Figure 16: The pyramidal settlement system of the civitates of the Leuci and Mediomatrici. The picture shows the main routes and the oppida's and agglomerations' theoretical territories (Féliu 2014: 237).</i>	55
<i>Figure 17: Regional differences in settlement patterns in Iron Age Britain (Cunliffe 2012: 304).</i>	57
<i>Figure 18: Aerial photography of Yarnbury Castle, Wiltshire (Payne 2006: 9).</i>	59
<i>Figure 19: Examples of banjo enclosures (Moore 2012: 404).</i>	60
<i>Figure 20: Ham Hill, Somerset (Sharples 2014: 225).</i>	63
<i>Figure 21: Danebury, after Cunliffe 1995 (Sharples 2014: 227).</i>	64
<i>Figure 22: Hillfort territories in North Wiltshire compared to Fürstensitze territories in west-central Europe (Harding 2012: 122).</i>	65
<i>Figure 23: The distribution of oppida and polyfocal complexes in Britain (after Millett 1990 and Moore 2012).</i>	67
<i>Figure 24: The polyfocal sites of Grim's Ditch (Lambrick and Robinson eds. 2009: 367).</i>	68
<i>Figure 25: The polyfocal site of Bagendon (Moore 2012: 393).</i>	69
<i>Figure 26: Verlamion (St. Albans) (Lambrick and Robinson 2009 eds: 366).</i>	71
<i>Figure 27: Left: Geology of Britain (British Geology Survey). Right: the Highland and Lowland Zones (Jones and Mattingly 2002: 3).</i>	73
<i>Figure 28: Distribution of polyfocal sites and banjo enclosures in south-central England (Moore 2012: 396).</i>	75
<i>Figure 29: The civitates of Roman Gaul and Germania Inferior.</i>	85
<i>Figure 30: The territory of the civitates of Gaul and Germania Inferior. In red: the territory of the civitates as reconstructed by scholars on the basis of historical and epigraphic evidence; in black: the territory of the civitas as predicted by the Thiessen polygons.</i>	89
<i>Figure 31: Cities' juridical status in Narbonensis.</i>	91
<i>Figure 32: Cities' juridical status in the Three Gauls in AD 212.</i>	96
<i>Figure 33: Cities' juridical status in Germania Inferior.</i>	99
<i>Figure 34: Cities' juridical status in Roman Britain.</i>	101
<i>Figure 35: The relationship between a city's status and its rank within the settlement hierarchy in Narbonensis.</i>	103

Figure 36: The relationship between a city's status and its rank within the settlement hierarchy in Aquitania.	103
Figure 37: The relationship between a city's status and its rank within the settlement hierarchy in Belgica.	104
Figure 38: The relationship between a city's status and its rank within the settlement hierarchy in Lugdunensis.	104
Figure 39: The relationship between a city's status and its rank within the settlement hierarchy in Britannia.	105
Figure 40: The distribution of inscriptions mentioning local magistrates in the north-western provinces.....	107
Figure 41: Pie charts showing the proportion of the different offices attested in the north-western provinces and in the capital Lugdunum.	109
Figure 42: The distribution of epigraphically attested aediles.....	110
Figure 43: The distribution of duumvires epigraphically attested.	110
Figure 44: The distribution of individuals who had completed their <i>cursus honorum</i> ; e.g. ' <i>omnibus honoribus</i> ' or ' <i>omnibus honoribus apud suos (or inter eos) functus</i> '.	111
Figure 45: Scatterplot showing the correlation between city size and status.	111
Figure 46: Detail of the arch of Glanum (http://www.alamy.com/stock-photo-detail-of-the-triumphal-arch-glanum-111311630.html).	117
Figure 47: The walled cities of Gaul, Germania Inferior, and the Western Alps in the High Empire.	118
Figure 48: Stone walls in Britain (c. AD 200).	119
Figure 49: The city walls of Autun (left) and Vienne (right) (Goodman 2007: 97 and 90).	120
Figure 50: The city plan of Fréjus (left) and Cologne (right) (Goodman 2007: 110 and Coquelet 2011: 72).	121
Figure 51: Colchester city plan.	121
Figure 52: Roman York - the city had grown far beyond the area enclosed by the circuit walls (Ottaway 2015: 46).	122
Figure 53: Roman Gloucester - the extra-mural occupation (Hurst 2005: 295).	123
Figure 54: The arches of the north-western provinces.	127
Figure 55: The distribution of fora in the north-western provinces.	131
Figure 56: The distribution of curiae in the north-western provinces.	132
Figure 57: Glanum in I BC (Gros and Torelli 2010: 302).	134
Figure 58: Glanum in Roman times (Gros and Torelli 2010: 303).	135
Figure 59: Glanum - a reconstruction of the forum.	135
Figure 60: The forum of Bavay (Severan phase) (Coquelet 2011: 131).	136
Figure 61: The forum of Martigny (Wibl�� 2012: 283).	137
Figure 62: Left - the forum of Vannes (Bouet 2012a: 26). Right - the second phase (mid-2nd century AD) of the forum of P��rigueux (Bouet 2012b: 106).	138
Figure 63: The forum of Velleia (left) and Ruscino (right) (Gros 1990: 49 and 60).	138
Figure 64: The forum of P��rigueux in its first phase (Tiberian-Claudian time) (Bouet 2012a: 27).	139
Figure 65: From left: the forum of Xanten (Coquelet 2011: 139), Verulamium, and Silchester, where the basilicae have all been dated to c. AD 80 (Gros and Torelli 2010: 385).	139
Figure 66: The forum of Trajan (Rome).	140
Figure 67: The basilicae of the north-western provinces.	142
Figure 68: The Silchester bronze eagle (Durham and Fulford 2013: Illus. I).	142
Figure 69: Typology of spectacle buildings (Goodman 2007: 88).	143
Figure 70: The spectacle buildings of the self-governing cities of Narbonensis.	145
Figure 71: The theatres and amphitheatres of the self-governing cities of Gaul and Germania Inferior.	146
Figure 72: The spectacle buildings of Britain.	148
Figure 73: The relationship between the estimated empirical arena's surfaces and a theoretical normal distribution. The correlation coefficient is $R=0.97$	151
Figure 74: Scatterplot showing the arena surface's (as indicated by Golvin) against city size.	152
Figure 75: Scatterplot showing the amphitheatre's seating surface capacity against city size.	152
Figure 76: The distribution of circuses.	154

Figure 77: Left: Excavations at the former County Hospital site, south-western corner of Dorchester (Holbrook 2015: 102). Right: Reconstruction of the north-east corner of the city of Vieux (Vipard 2002: 198).	156
Figure 78: Box plot for comparing the sizes (in hectares, on the horizontal axis) of self-governing cities in different provinces. The scores are sorted into four equal-sized groups, that is 25% of all scores are placed in each group. The middle 'box' represents the middle 50% of scores for the group and the two whiskers each represent 25% of the scores. The points lying outside the box plot are called 'outliers' and because they are at least 1.5 times the interquartile range.	158
Figure 79: Size of the self-governing cities of the Western Alps.	159
Figure 80: Size of the self-governing cities of Narbonensis.....	160
Figure 81: Size of the self-governing cities of Aquitania.	161
Figure 82: Size of the self-governing cities of Lugdunensis and Belgica.	162
Figure 83: The city sizes of Aquitania, Lugdunensis, and Belgica compared.	163
Figure 84: Size of the self-governing cities of Britannia.....	164
Figure 85: Size of the self-governing cities of the north-western provinces.	164
Figure 86: Rhythms of monumentalization in Narbonensis and Aquitania.	166
Figure 87: Rhythms of monumentalization in Lugdunensis, Belgica, and south-east Britain.	166
Figure 88: Rhythms of monumentalization in Germania Inferior, and northern and eastern Britannia.	167
Figure 89: Rhythms of monumentalization in the Roman Western Alps.	167
Figure 90: City-sizes: five main classes.	169
Figure 91: Rank-size analysis of the administrative cities of north-western provinces.	170
Figure 92: Scatterplot showing a very weak relationship between city size and the area of the civitas it administers.	171
Figure 93: The horrea of Vienne (in orange) (Adjajd 2014: 143).	172
Figure 94: The horrea of Cologne (Coquelet 2011: 166).	174
Figure 95: The self-governing cities of the Roman Empire.	177
Figure 96: This map shows how far a secondary agglomeration lies from the closest self-governing cities (black dot). Red dots represent agglomerations that lie distant from them; blue dots those which lie closer to them.	178
Figure 97: The estimated size of the secondary agglomerations in Gaul and Germania Inferior.....	179
Figure 98: The areas selected for the analysis of the settlement system in the North-Western provinces.	180
Figure 99: The case studies selected for the analysis of settlement hierarchies in Narbonensis.....	181
Figure 100: The agglomerations of the civitas of the Allobroges.	183
Figure 101: The size of the agglomerations in the civitas of the Allobroges.	184
Figure 102: The monumentality of the agglomerations in the civitas of the Allobroges.....	185
Figure 103: The agglomerations south of Vienne (Béal 2005: 16).....	186
Figure 104: The site of Andance-Andacette and the location of archaeological remains (Béal 2005: 20).	186
Figure 105: The vici of the civitas of the Allobroges.	187
Figure 106: The 'arc of Campanus' (Leveau et al. 2007: 281).....	187
Figure 107: The agglomerations in Vaucluse (Broise 1984: 268).....	191
Figure 108: The geography of the civitates of Fréjus, Antibes, Vence, and Briançonnet (Bertoncello and Lautier 2013: 196).....	193
Figure 109: The proportion of nucleated agglomerations (green) and dispersed ones (blue) in south-eastern Gaul (Bertoncello and Lautier 2013: 205).....	194
Figure 110: The distribution of different types of settlements in south-eastern Gaul. Pink dots (villas) are concentrated in the western part of the case-study area, which corresponds to the territory of Fréjus; to the east, the nucleated settlements (green dots) are predominant (Bertoncello and Lautier 2013: 207).....	195
Figure 111: The settlement system in Eastern Languedoc in the 1st century BC (Favory et al. 2009: 162).....	196
Figure 112: The settlement system in Eastern Languedoc in 1st century AD (Favory et al. 2009: 165).	197
Figure 113: The agglomerations in the territory of Nîmes (Garmy 2012b: 256).	198

Figure 114: Hierarchical classification of the agglomerations of Nîmes (Garmy 2012: 294).	199
Figure 115: The agglomerations of Languedoc-Roussillon (black dots) and the surviving oppida (white dots) (Bermond et al. 2012: 94).	201
Figure 116: The rural settlement of Languedoc-Roussillon. Villas (large squares) and other establishments (small squares). (Bermond et al. 2012: 98).....	202
Figure 117: The agglomeration of Mèze, Hérault (Pellecuer 2005: 103).....	203
Figure 118: Left - analysis of the potential paths, the centrality of the city of Lodève is debatable, while the one of Les Aulas appears to be higher than previously thought. Right - ranks and areas of influence of the agglomerations within this civitas (Garmy 2012b: 241 and 246).	204
Figure 119: Case studies selected for the analysis of settlement systems in Aquitania.	205
Figure 120: The agglomerations in the Gironde known from ancient sources (Garmy 2012b: 216).	207
Figure 121: The public buildings in the Gironde.....	209
Figure 122: The main rural establishments in part of the civitas of the Tarbelli. Red circles: non-villa landscape. Green circles: landscape filled with villas (red dots) and temporary structures (black triangles) (Réchin et al. 2013: 225).....	210
Figure 123: Left: the distribution of the salt from Salies-de-Béarn (Réchin 2014: 380). Right: the distribution of wine from Bigorre (Réchin 2014: 380 and 385).	211
Figure 124: The distribution of handmade pottery (Réchin 2014: 387).....	212
Figure 125: The public buildings within the civitas of the Tarbelli.	213
Figure 126: The distribution and size of the agglomerations within the civitas of the Pictones.....	214
Figure 127: Street grids and public squares in the agglomerations of the Pictones.	215
Figure 128: The public buildings in the agglomerations of the Pictones.	216
Figure 129: The oppida of the Bituriges Cubi (Batardy 2004: 256).....	218
Figure 130: The settlement system of the civitas of the Bituriges (2nd century AD).	219
Figure 131: The monuments within the agglomerations of the Bituriges Cubi.	220
Figure 132: The Champagne Berrichonne (Maussion 2004: 399).....	221
Figure 133: Rural sites situated within a radius of 5 km from an agglomeration or road station. Left: the totality of rural establishments (Maussion 2003: 162). Right: isolated farms (Maussion 2003: 163).	223
Figure 134: Villas situated in a radius of 5 km from an agglomeration or road station (Maussion 2003: 164).	224
Figure 135: Viticulture in temperate Gaul. Black dots: wine-making establishments; grey dots: traces of plantation (Brun 2011: 2).	225
Figure 136: The agglomerations of western Belgica.	226
Figure 137: Street grids and fora in the agglomerations of western Belgica.	227
Figure 138: The monuments in the agglomerations of north-western Belgica.	228
Figure 139: Map showing the self-governing cities and secondary agglomerations of Gaul and Western Alps.	231
Figure 140: Map showing how the majority of villas fall within the 15 km radius of either self-governing cities and secondary agglomerations.	232
Figure 141: The layout of secondary agglomerations and the distribution of the ascertained public squares and street grids.	234
Figure 142: The monumentality of secondary settlements in Gaul. Distribution of the ascertained i. religious buildings (temples and sanctuaries), ii. spectacle buildings, iii. baths.....	235
Figure 143: The basilicae in the secondary agglomerations.	236
Figure 144: Two different models of settlement hierarchy. In order to make the comparison between the two series of value meaningful, they have been normalized (that is standardized) and constrained between [0, 1]).	237
Figure 145: Two ideal-types of settlement hierarchy.	237
Figure 146: Rank-size analysis of the whole "urban" system of Gaul.	238

<i>Figure 147: The settlement system of Gaul (and Germania Inferior) analysed through a weighted Thiessen polygon analysis. Polygons are defined by settlement sizes and their Euclidean inter-distances. The map clearly shows that several cities extended their influence over an exceptionally large territory; for example, this was the case for Nîmes and Trier (yellow), Narbonne (red), Bordeaux, Lyon, and Amiens (green), Autun (blue), Reims (orange).</i>	239
<i>Figure 148: The self-governing cities, secondary agglomerations and garrison settlements of Britain and Germania Inferior.</i>	243
<i>Figure 149: The landscape of Germania Inferior (Roymans and Heeren 2004: 23).</i>	244
<i>Figure 150: The variation between the stable-houses of Roman times excavated at Oss (Roymans and Heeren 2004: 24).</i>	245
<i>Figure 151: The settlement system in Germania Inferior.</i>	246
<i>Figure 152: Street grids in the agglomerations of Germania Inferior.</i>	247
<i>Figure 153: The monuments in the agglomerations of Germania Inferior.</i>	248
<i>Figure 154: The size of the agglomerations in Germania Inferior.</i>	250
<i>Figure 155: The major topographical features of eastern Yorkshire (Halkon 2013: 44).</i>	253
<i>Figure 156: The agglomerations of Shiptonthorpe (left) and Hayton (right) based on crop marks (Halkon 2013: 139 and 141).</i>	254
<i>Figure 157: Temporal changes in the frequency of settlement in north-eastern England.</i>	256
<i>Figure 158: Types of changes in farming settlements in north-eastern England.</i>	256
<i>Figure 159: Settlement development and dynamics of change in the North East.</i>	257
<i>Figure 160: The increased use of corn-drying ovens through time in north-eastern England.</i>	258
<i>Figure 161: The increased farming of cattle and pig over sheep in north-eastern England.</i>	258
<i>Figure 162: Map showing the distribution of villas and buffers of 15 km radius around the self-governing cities and secondary agglomerations of Britannia and Germania Inferior.</i>	260
<i>Figure 163: The secondary agglomerations of Britannia and Germania Inferior in which evidence of considerably large artisanal/industrial productions has been discovered.</i>	261
<i>Figure 164: Box plot comparing the size of the secondary settlements (i.e. garrison settlements are excluded) of the north-western provinces.</i>	262
<i>Figure 165: The size of secondary agglomerations of Britannia and Germania Inferior.</i>	263
<i>Figure 166: The layout of secondary agglomerations and the distribution of the ascertained public squares and street grids.</i>	263
<i>Figure 167: The monumentality of secondary settlements. Distribution of the ascertained i. religious buildings (temples and sanctuaries), ii. spectacle buildings, iii. baths.</i>	264
<i>Figure 168: Rank-size analysis of the whole "urban" system of Britannia.</i>	265
<i>Figure 169: The settlement system of Britannia analysed through a weighted Thiessen polygon analysis. Each polygon is defined by the distance between agglomerations and their size.</i>	266

LIST OF TABLES

<i>Table 1: Number of attestations of the words 'civitas' per province.</i>	<i>102</i>
<i>Table 2: The average size (expressed in hectares) of self-governing cities and subordinate ones.</i>	<i>105</i>
<i>Table 3: City status and defensive stone walls.</i>	<i>125</i>
<i>Table 4: Arches in the north-western provinces.</i>	<i>130</i>
<i>Table 5: Sizes of cities (c. AD 200) and amphitheatres of the Roman West (Golvin 1988: 284-288).</i>	<i>149</i>
<i>Table 6: Dating of monuments (per province) from 25 BC to AD 325.</i>	<i>165</i>
<i>Table 7: The rural agglomerations in Vaucluse (Broise 1984: 271).</i>	<i>192</i>
<i>Table 8: The number and type of settlements in the Gaulish provinces and western Alps.</i>	<i>230</i>
<i>Table 9: The number and type of settlements in Britannia and Germania Inferior.</i>	<i>242</i>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study is the result of an independent research carried out within the framework of the ERC Advanced Project “An Empire of 2,000 Cities: urban networks and economic integration in the Roman Empire” (ERC grant agreement no. 324148). I am grateful to my doctoral supervisors John Bintliff and Luuk de Ligt for the support, patient guidance, encouragement, and advice they provided during the past five years. Their constructive criticisms and suggestions were of decisive importance for the successful completion of the work presented in this thesis. It was a real privilege for me to share their exceptional knowledge and extraordinary human qualities. I also wish to thank the members of my graduation committee for generously offering their time and goodwill and for making valuable suggestions which enabled me to improve an earlier version of the manuscript.

Completing this work would have been all the more difficult were it not for the support and friendship provided by the other members of the ERC group, including Bart Noordervliet who was an infallible guide in the field of database management and map making. Further debts are owed to my colleagues and friends Stefan Penders, Zhongxiao Wang, and Shanshan Wen for listening and offering advice and support.

This thesis was enriched significantly through helpful discussions with the researchers of the CHEC at the Blaise Pascal University Clermont-Ferrand. My stay there was immensely important for the completion of this work. A particular debt is owed to Professor Frédéric Trément, dr. Blaise Pichon, dr. Florian Baret, dr. Laurent Lamoine, Maxime Calbris, and Gentiane Davigo. I will not forget our many useful conversations concerning data collection, analysis and the best way of presenting my results.

Many thanks are also due to the staff of the university libraries of Leiden, Clermont-Ferrand and Southampton, where I have conducted most of my research.

Finally, I express my gratitude to my family and my closest friends for their unconditioned love and support.