



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

The local impact of a global court : assessing the impact of the International Criminal Court in situation countries

Wierda, M.I.

Citation

Wierda, M. I. (2019, January 9). *The local impact of a global court : assessing the impact of the International Criminal Court in situation countries*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/68230>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/68230>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/68230> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Wierda, M.I.

Title: The local impact of a global court : assessing the impact of the International Criminal Court in situation countries

Issue Date: 2019-01-09

THE LOCAL IMPACT OF A GLOBAL COURT

Assessing the Impact of the International Criminal Court in Situation Countries

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van

de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,

op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,

volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties

te verdedigen op woensdag, 9 januari 2019

klokke 10.00 uur

door

Marieke Irma Wierda

geboren te Saada, Jemen

in 1973

Promotoren:

Prof. dr. C. Stahn

Prof. dr. L.J. van den Herik

Promotiecommissie:

Prof. dr. W.A. Schabas

Prof. dr. J.M. Ubink

Dr. G. Pinzauti

Prof. dr. H.G. van der Wilt (University of Amsterdam)

Dr. M. Kersten (University of Toronto, Canada)

We wept with joy because we had succeeded in ensuring that for us to be a human civilization worthy of some self respect, the strong would henceforth forfeit voluntarily their protections in respect of the weak, and most particularly, the victims, whenever allegations of criminal conduct crossed the boundary separating the ordinary from the outrageous. It was, and still is, the most enlightened step in human history ever taken.¹

¹ Statement by H.R.H. Prince Zeid Raad Zeid Al-Hussein, the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, speaking also on behalf of H.E. Christian Wenaweser, Ambassador of the Principality of Liechtenstein, to the twelfth session of the Assembly of States Parties, 20 Nov. 2013.

For my beloved parents, Huib and Truus Wierda, who in their 40 years of medical services provided in Saada, Yemen, taught me the significance of the “local”.

Legend for photos on cover (taken by Marieke Wierda):

1. Pictures depicting martyrs of the Revolution in the Misrata museum, Misrata, Libya 2012
2. Dural Aman Palace, Kabul, Afghanistan 2014
3. Mural of Qhadafi in dumpster, Gheryan, Libya 2014
4. City view Bogota, Colombia 2014
5. Victims gather at site of suspected mass grave at Pul e Charkhi prison, Kabul, Afghanistan 2010
6. Girl in Gulu, Northern Uganda 2014

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I was working for the United Nations Assistance Mission in Libya, when Dr. Carsten Stahn contacted me with the idea of joining a research project at Grotius Center for International Legal Studies entitled “Post-conflict justice and local ownership”. I am grateful to him and to Dr. Larissa van den Herik for the opportunity this gave me to conduct further research on a topic close to my heart. Dr. Stahn was quite masterful in his supervision. Likewise, I am grateful to the other researchers of the project, Dr. Sara Kendall and Dr. Christian Lance- De Vos, for joint travel, conferences and multiple inspiring conversations.

I am grateful to Dr. Tariq Mitri, at that time SRSG for UNSMIL in Libya, who allowed me to take unpaid leave to pursue this thesis, and to the PhD committee of the Foreign Ministry of The Netherlands, who allowed me two months of paid leave to finish it. I would also like to thank my colleagues from the Department of Stabilization and Humanitarian Aid for their support, and Dr. Margret Verwijk who suggested I should apply for leave.

I would like to acknowledge Joan Kagezi, a brave Ugandan prosecutor, who was interviewed for this thesis in 2014, but who was brutally murdered a year later for doing her job.

In Kenya, a friend and civil society activist, Njonjo Mue, assisted in identifying interviewees. In Afghanistan, interviewees in the international community were identified through my network and with the help of Hashim Alavi, a researcher provided through the Afghan Analyst Network who worked for the High Peace Council. He accompanied me for many of the interviews and provided translation. In Colombia, I benefitted from my connections with the Ministry for Justice and the High Commission for Peace. At one point, I attended an extensive meeting with a small group of international experts to discuss the Havana Peace Process with President Juan Manuel Santos, in April 2015. Also, a local researcher identified by ICTJ, Carlos Lozano Acosta, assisted in identifying interviewees and arranging interviews. Juan Daniel Jaramillo Ortiz, a Colombian lawyer who had worked at ICTY also arranged some interviews. I am grateful to all of these people.

A number of likeminded scholars who inspired this work are cited directly in thesis. But a number of prominent experts and practitioners have inspired me towards this work, either directly or indirectly. These include: Barney Afako, Ravindran Daniel, Julian Hopwood, Priscilla Hayner, Suliman Ibrahim, Sergio Jaramillo, Ian Martin, Nader Nadery, Jimmy Otim, Michael Otim, Jan Michiel Otto, Yasmin Sooka, and Paul Seils.

Finally, I am grateful to my partner, Khaeri Aboushagor, for his support, and to baby Ilias, whose arrival provided impetus to finish the thesis.

Table of Contents

List of Abbreviations	15
Propositions relating to the dissertation.....	19
“Local Impact of a Global Court: Assessing the Impact of the International Criminal Court in Situation Countries”	19
Introduction: The Ideal of a Global Court	21
I. Introduction	21
A. “The most serious crimes ... must not go unpunished”	21
B. Research Question	21
1. Knowledge Gap on the Court’s Impact on the Local Level	21
C. Terminology	23
II. A Proposed Framework for Assessing the Local Impact of the ICC	24
A. Methodology: Towards a “Factual Understanding”	24
1. Comparative analysis of country experiences: country selection	28
2. Data collection	29
B. Identifying the ICC Impact Assessment Framework (Chapter 1)	31
C. Applying the ICC Impact Assessment Framework (Chapters 2-6)	32
III. Setting the Scene: The Global Context	34
A. The “Purposive” Legitimacy of the ICC	35
B. The “Universal Values” Legitimacy of the ICC.....	36
C. The “Performance” Legitimacy of the ICC: Facts and Figures	37
D. “Consent” Legitimacy: Global Acceptance as a Measure of Impact	42
1. Impact of Self- and Security Council referrals on Global Acceptance.....	42
2. The “Less Than Universal” Status of the Project.....	44
IV. Conclusion	52
Chapter 1: Testing Assumptions: A Framework for Assessing the Impact of the International Criminal Court	53
I. Introduction	53
II. The ICC as a Criminal Justice Institution	54
A. Neither Deterrence nor Effective Retribution?	54
1. Applying Criminal Law to Political Violence	61
B. Transitional Justice Assumptions about the ICC	63
1. Transitional Justice Lessons: Local Ownership, and Tailor-Made and Comprehensive Approaches.....	64
2. Expressivism as a goal	65
C. Assumptions about Peacebuilding or Reconciliation	69
1. Do trials individualize or collectivize guilt?.....	70
2. Impact of international tribunals on historical truth-seeking.....	72
III. A Proposed Framework for Assessing the Impact of the ICC	73
A. Systemic effect.....	75
B. Transformative effect.....	78
C. Reparative effect.....	81
D. Demonstration effect.....	82

1. Perceptions of Nuremberg and Tokyo	83
2. Perceptions of the ICTY and the ICTR	86
3. Hybrid tribunals may be perceived more positively	92
4. The impact of time on perceptions.....	93
IV. Conclusion	94
Chapter 2: Systemic Effect I: The Flaws of Complementarity	96
I. Introduction	96
II. The Flaws of Complementarity.....	97
A. “Positive” Complementarity?	97
1. A Court-Centric Conception of Complementarity	97
2. The “Case Snatcher”: A Court Competing for Cases	101
3. Amicable or adversarial?	103
B. Complementarity and Broader Rule of Law Challenges.....	107
1. Complementarity cannot address broader rule of law challenges.....	107
2. Complementarity’s Distorting Effect	109
3. Is Complementarity an illusion? Is it in fact parallelism?.....	110
C. Complementarity’s Blindness vis-à-vis Due Process	112
1. The Libyan Admissibility Decisions	115
2. Libya’s National Proceedings (Case 630)	117
3. Core assumptions about national systems by international lawyers.....	122
III. Conclusion: Parallelism?	123
Chapter 3: Systemic Effect II: Internalization of the Rome Statute	125
I. Introduction	125
II. Implementing Legislation	127
A. Incorporation of Rome Statute Crimes	127
B. Victims’ Rights Under Domestic Law.....	130
C. Modes of liability	131
D. Incorporation of ICC procedures.....	131
II. Creation of Specialized Domestic Investigative Units or Chambers	133
A. Uganda International Crimes Division.....	133
1. Clarifying jurisdiction over international crimes.....	133
2. Designating and developing specialized capacities.....	134
3. Access to Funding and Technical Assistance	134
B. The Context and Analysis Unit in Colombia.....	135
1. Ability to investigate and prosecute “system crimes”	135
2. Allowing for multi-disciplinary investigations and use of analysts	135
C. Kenyan Special Tribunal and International and Organized Crimes Division	137
III. National Proceedings and “Genuineness”	138
A. Domestic Trials in Colombia: Paramilitaries, Politicians, and “False Positives” ..	139
B. Indicators of Lack of Genuine Domestic Investigations or Prosecutions	143
1. Absence of Appropriate Punishment.....	144
2. Casting the net too wide	144
3. Selecting low-level targets for investigation and prosecution	145
4. Failure to conduct independent investigations (for instance through overreliance on confessions)	146
5. Use of military jurisdiction to shield perpetrators (or lack of recognition of superior responsibility)	147
IV. The Tale of Kwoyelo: Internalization Without a Conviction?	148
V. Conclusion: Systemic Effect in Domestic Legal Systems.....	150
Chapter 4: Transformative Effect: Peace With Punishment	153

I. Introduction	153
II. Legal Framework	153
A. Article 16: Reluctance of the Security Council?.....	153
B. Article 53: An Uncompromising Prosecutor	155
III. Peace Negotiations in the Shadow of the ICC.....	159
A. Uganda: Did the ICC Intervention Increase Awareness of Accountability?	159
B. Did the ICC focus increased (humanitarian) attention on the conflict?	160
C. Influence of the ICC on conduct of state actors	161
D. The ICC's Role in Empowering Victims in Peace Negotiations.....	162
E. The ICC as an Incentive to Negotiate?	163
F. The ICC as an Obstacle to Negotiations?.....	166
III. Impact of the Rome Statute on the Content of Peace Agreements.....	169
A. Uganda: The Rome Statute's Impact on the Juba Peace Agreement	169
B. The Rome Statute's Impact of the Rome Statute on the Justice and Peace Law in Colombia	172
C. The Rome Statute's Impact on the Peace Process with the FARC	175
D. The Rome Statute's Impact on the Legal Framework for Peace.....	176
E. The Rome Statute's Impact on the Havana Agreement	178
F. Spectator or Player? Impact of the OTP's Actions on Peace Negotiations.....	184
G. Conclusion: Peace with Punishment.....	186
IV. Alternative Penalties or Amnesties?	188
A. Punishment Through Alternative Penalties	188
B. Amnesia about Amnesties?	192
1. Uganda's Amnesty Act 2000	193
2. Afghanistan's National Amnesty, General Reconciliation and National Unity Act 2007...194	
3. Libya: Amnesty for Revolutionary Acts?.....	197
V. Conclusion: More Attention to Justice But No Universal Formula	198
Chapter 5: Reparative Effect: From Rights to Remedies?	202
I. Introduction	202
II. Legal Framework	204
A. "Meaningful" Participation?.....	204
B. Who is Eligible for Reparations?	210
C. The Trust Fund for Victims: Centerpiece or Sideshow?	213
III. Reparative Effect on the Ground: Country Experiences	215
A. Uganda: A troubled decade of intervention.....	215
1. Who Represents Victims?	216
2. Uganda and the (Pre-Ongwen) "Maintenance Strategy"	221
3. The Ongwen Trial: Resurgence of Ambivalence	222
4. The Innovative Approach of the TFV in Northern Uganda	224
B. Kenya: Do No Harm? The Victims of Post-Election Violence.	227
V. Conclusion: Does the ICC Serve Victims?	232
Chapter 6: Demonstration Effect: Trends in Perceptions.....	238
I. Introduction	238
II. Country- Experiences.....	241
A. Uganda and the ICC: Love at First Sight?	241
1. Lack of Impartiality: No Investigation of the UPDF.....	245
2. Lack of Relevance: Failure to Promote State Acknowledgement for Victims.....	249
3. Lack of Legitimacy: The Hague or the Bitter Root?.....	250
4. The Debacle of Kony 2012.....	253
B. Libya: From Infatuation to Estrangement.....	256

1. Lack of Impartiality: The Court's Revolutionaries.....	258
2. Lack of Legitimacy: Divergences between the Libyan and International Agendas.....	263
3. No Negotiations or Exile for Al-Qadhafi Due to ICC.....	264
C. Colombia and the ICC: Separate but Symbiotic.....	265
1. Local Priorities: Negotiated Justice.....	267
2. The Court in Colombia: El Salvador or "El Coco"?.....	270
3. Colombia: ICC Letters Complicate Peace Negotiations.....	272
D. Afghanistan: The Court's Situation of Last Resort.....	273
1. Local Priorities: Justice for Crimes of the Past.....	276
2. Independence: A Court under the Influence of Western Powers.....	280
3. The Court as an Obstacle to Negotiations with Taliban.....	282
IV. Conclusions on Factors Influencing Perceptions.....	283
A. Expressivism and the Politics of Case Selection.....	285
B. Limited Resources at the Preliminary Examinations Phase.....	286
C. Limited Field Presences.....	286
D. Limitations on Outreach.....	287
E. Country Situations in the Shadow or Spotlight?.....	290
Chapter 7: Conclusions: The Global Court Project after 15 Years.....	291
I. A Global Court.....	291
II. A World Free of Violence? Questioning Assumptions Behind the ICC.....	292
III. More Normative than Societal Impact?.....	294
IV. Complementarity or Internalization? Or Parallelism?.....	296
A. Does The Court Impact the Most Where it is Needed the Least?.....	296
B. Looking Forward: The Potential of Internalization.....	297
VI. Transformative Effect: Is Peace With Punishment the Future?.....	298
A. Is there a Genuine Paradigm Shift in Peace Negotiations?.....	298
B. Looking Forward: Using Art. 53 as a Balancing Test in Dealing with Peace Processes.....	300
VII. Reparative effect: Victims Rights but no Remedies?.....	300
A. Is the ICC Serving Victims?.....	300
B. Looking Forward: Achieving Acknowledgement and Maximizing the Role of the TFV.....	301
VII. Demonstration Effect and the Challenge of Negative Perceptions.....	303
A. The Multifaceted Challenge of Perceptions.....	303
B. Looking forward: A Case for Context-Sensitive Approaches in International Criminal Law.....	305
VIII. Reconsidering the Global Nature of the International Criminal Court.....	307
A. The ICC's Preventive Potential.....	307
B. Should the Court Choose Deep Over Wide.....	307
C. Global Legal Order vs. Global Court?.....	309
D. From Law to Justice: The Need for Diverse, Pluralistic and Deliberative Approaches to Pursue Accountability for Mass Atrocity.....	310
ANNEX: BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	313
I. Books and Articles.....	313
II. ICC Documents.....	330
III. UN Documents.....	333
IV. AU Documents.....	335
V. Treaties and Legislation.....	335
VI. Jurisprudence.....	337

VII. Statements and Speeches	342
VIII. NGOs and Policy Institutes.....	344
IX. Newspaper Articles, Media Statements, YouTube Clips and Blogs	354
X. List of Interviews	367
Samenvatting (Summary in Dutch).....	370
Curriculum Vitae	374

List of Abbreviations

ASF	Advocats Sans Frontier
ASP	Assembly of States Parties
AU	African Union
CAR	Central African Republic
DPP	Department of Public Prosecutions Uganda
DRC	Democratic Republic of Congo
FIDH	International Federation for Human Rights
FARC	Fuerzas Armadas Revolucionarias de Colombia
EU	European Union
HRW	Human Rights Watch
ICC	International Criminal Court
ICD	International Crimes Division (Uganda and Kenya)
ICTJ	International Center for Transitional Justice
ICTR	International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
ICTY	International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia
IHL	International Humanitarian Law
IMT	International Military Tribunal (in Nuremberg or the Far East)
ISAF	International Security Assistance Force (Afghanistan)
ISIL	Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant
JCCD	Jurisdiction, Complementarity and Cooperation Division
JLOS	Justice Law and Order Sector Uganda
JPL	Justice and Peace Law (Law 975) Colombia
LFP	Legal Framework for Peace Colombia
LRA	Lord's Resistance Army
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
OHCHR	Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights
OSCE	Organization of Security and Cooperation in Europe
OTP	Office of the Prosecutor
PEV	Post Election Violence, Kenya
SCSL	Special Court for Sierra Leone
SGBV	Sexual and Gender Based Violence
TFV	Trust Fund for Victims
UNAC	Context and Analysis Unit Colombia
UNAMA	United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan
UNAMID	United Nations Assistance Mission in Darfur
UNSC	United Nations Security Council
UNSMIL	United Nations Assistance Mission in Libya
UPDF	Uganda People's Defense Forces

PREFACE

I was in the room on 11 April 2002 at the UN Headquarters in New York, when the final ten States Parties of the sixty ratifications required for the coming into force of the Rome Statute were deposited with the treaty section of the United Nations. I remember well the sense of optimism, joy, and hope that filled the room when state delegates, civil society and the media rose to their feet and applauded at the conclusion of the ceremony, in the knowledge that the dream of a global court was now a reality. I had worked at the ICTY as an associate legal officer from 1997-2000. The ICTY had demonstrated that fair and functional criminal justice at the international level is indeed possible.² International criminal justice was on the rise, and its jewel in the crown was expected to be the International Criminal Court.³

My subsequent views on the ICC have been largely shaped by my field experiences as a transitional justice professional. The first such experience was my opportunity to observe the workings of the Special Court for Sierra Leone and the Truth and Reconciliation Commission, from 2002-2005. My experiences in Sierra Leone taught me the importance of a comprehensive approach to transitional justice, where prosecutions are complemented by other mechanisms, many of which may play a very important role for victims. I also observed that even this “hybrid” tribunal often suffered from being perceived as a “space ship phenomenon”, i.e. viewed as remote from, and to some extent irrelevant in the society where it operated.

My experiences in Uganda, over fifteen field visits between 2005-2011, also helped to shape my views. I first went to Uganda in 2005 to participate in a survey of victims’ views in the North on the ICC, with UC Berkeley. As will be described in this thesis, Court’s intervention in Northern Uganda met with strong local opposition. This raised fundamental questions about why a neutral and international institution such as the ICC could evoke such a negative response on the part of victimized communities. Eventually I was involved in the Juba Peace Talks in 2007, where I recommended that Uganda should seek to exercise complementarity in its domestic courts for LRA crimes. This option was pursued in the Juba Agreement on Accountability and Reconciliation, signed in 2008. In 2007-2010, I was involved in the establishment and training of the International Crimes Division in Kampala.

I was also fortunate to have a limited role advising the High Commissioner for Peace and the Ministry of Justice in the Colombian peace process, as well as advising local judges and lawyers during the years of the Justice and Peace Law (Law 975), in 10

² The trajectory of the ICTY’s growth is eloquently described in Klarin, Mirko, *The Tribunal’s Four Battles*, *Journal of International Criminal Justice* 2 (2004), 546-557.

³ I was a participant in the last few PrepComs, the first few ASPs, several expert groups that sought to define policy on complementarity, the Trust Fund for Victims, Peace versus Justice, and Article 53, and the Review Conference in Kampala in 2010.

field visits from 2007-2013. During my research for this thesis, I was able to meet with President Santos, who won the Nobel peace prize for his peacemaking efforts in 2016.⁴

From 2009-2010, I spent a year in Afghanistan, embedded in the Afghan Independent Human Rights Commission, to document war crimes and crimes against humanity from 1978-2001. Prior to that, I had conducted around 15 field visits to Afghanistan between 2003-2009. The ICC does not have much visibility in the Afghan context. In March 2014 I spoke to Abdel-Hakim Mujahid, former Taliban Ambassador to the UN prior to 9/11, and Vice President of the High Peace Council, an institution that has suffered multiple attacks by the Taliban over the years. I spoke to him about whether an intervention of the ICC may have any impact on the Taliban today. His answer to me was twofold, implying that on the one hand, the Taliban are more interested in suicide attacks and going to paradise than they fear arrest, but he also said that “the insurgency is made up of religious students, who are studying in seminaries with a curriculum that is 800 years old: ninety-nine percent do not know about international conventions and they do not care.”⁵

In my role as criminal justice director at ICTJ, I observed and gave advice on domestic criminal justice processes in a number of contexts, including DRC, Iraq, Bangladesh, Kenya, and Lebanon (in relation to the Special Tribunal for Lebanon).⁶

My closest encounter with flawed domestic proceedings was in my role as a transitional justice advisor to UN Support Mission in Libya (UNSMIL) between 2011-2015. During these years I held numerous meetings with the Minister of Justice, the General Prosecutor and local prosecutors and was exposed to their opinions and views on the ICC. As the Minister of Justice in Libya, Mr. Salah Marghani exclaimed during a conversation we had in 2013: “Why does the international community only care about 2 individuals when we have 7000 former Qadhafi loyalists in detention?” UNSMIL was the only organization to monitor the trial of Saif Al-Islam and Abdullah Al-Senussi in Libya. The trials of the former regime figures in Libya, concluded on 28 July 2015 before the Tripoli Court of Assize, fell far short of international standards, as will be discussed in this thesis.

Throughout these experiences, I came to understand that international criminal trials have introduced important fair trial standards that ought to be followed at the

⁴In 2013, President Santos had made an impassioned plea to the General Assembly of the United Nations: “What we are asking from the U.N. and the international community is to respect Colombia's right, and the right of every nation, to pursuing peace. We ask you to keep accompanying us in this effort, respecting our choices, the way in which we act, and trusting that our decisions have never been against the international community's needs.”

⁵ Interview with Abdel-Hakim Mujahid, Kabul, 18 March 2014.

⁶ Sissons, Miranda and Marieke Wierda. *Political Pedagogy Baghdad Style: The Dujail Trial of Saddam Hussein*, in *Prosecuting Heads of State* (Edited by Ellen Lutz and Caitlin Reiger) Cambridge University Press (2009).

national level. However, international criminal trials are not necessarily viewed as impartial in situation-countries. International actors must have humility, a good contextual understanding, and must seek to comprehend how their efforts impact on local justice struggles.