

Inflammation as a target for treatment in hand osteoarthritis Kroon, F.P.B.

Citation

Kroon, F. P. B. (2020, November 3). *Inflammation as a target for treatment in hand osteoarthritis*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/138081

Version: Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/138081

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/138081 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Kroon, F.P.B.

Title: Inflammation as a target for treatment in hand osteoarthritis

Issue Date: 2020-11-03



CHAPTER 14

Development and reliability of the OMERACT thumb base osteoarthritis magnetic resonance imaging scoring system.



Féline P.B. Kroon
Philip G. Conaghan
Violaine Foltz
Frédérique Gandjbakhch
Charles Peterfy
Iris Eshed
Harry K. Genant
Mikkel Østergaard
Margreet Kloppenburg
Ida K. Haugen

Journal of Rheumatology 2017;44:1694-98

ABSTRACT

Objective. To develop the Outcome Measures in Rheumatology (OMERACT) thumb base osteoarthritis (OA) magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scoring system (TOMS) for assessment of inflammatory and structural abnormalities in this hand OA subset, and test its cross-sectional reliability.

Methods. Included features and their scaling were agreed upon by members of the OMERACT MRI Task Force, using the Hand OA MRI scoring system as a template. A reliability exercise was performed in which 3 readers participated, using a preliminary atlas with examples to facilitate reading. Each reader independently scored a set of 20 MRI (coronal and axial T1- and T2-weighted fat-suppressed images, of which 5 included T1-weighted fat-suppressed post-Gadolinium images). Intra- and interreader reliability were assessed using ICC, percentage exact agreement (PEA), and percentage close agreement (PCA).

Results. The TOMS assessed the first carpometacarpal (CMC-1) and scaphotrapeziotrapezoid (STT) joints for synovitis, subchondral bone defects (including erosions, cysts, and bone attrition), osteophytes, cartilage, and bone marrow lesions on a 0-3 scale (normal to severe). Subluxation was evaluated only in the CMC-1 joint (absent/present). Reliability of scoring for both joints was comparable. Inter-reader ICC were good for all features (0.77-0.99 and 0.74-0.96 for CMC-1 and STT joints, respectively). Intrareader reliability analyses gave similar results. PCA was \geq 65% for all features. PEA was low to moderate, with better performance for subchondral bone defects, subluxation and bone marrow lesions.

Conclusions. A thumb base OA MRI scoring system has been developed. The OMERACT TOMS demonstrated good intrareader and interreader reliability. Longitudinal studies are warranted to investigate reliability of change scores and responsiveness.

INTRODUCTION

Hand osteoarthritis (OA) affects the interphalangeal (IP) joints and the thumb base, including the first carpometacarpal (CMC-1) and scaphotrapeziotrapezoid (STT) joints.¹ Thumb base OA may consist of a separate hand OA subset, with distinct risk factors.¹ However, much is unknown about the pathophysiology and disease course of hand OA subsets. New imaging modalities including magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with visualization of all affected joint compartments may lead to increased insights into this disease.

Previously, the Hand OA MRI scoring system (HOAMRIS) for IP OA was developed with good cross-sectional and moderate longitudinal reliability. ^{2,3} However, although the thumb base is commonly affected in patients with hand OA,4 no MRI scoring systems assessing these joints exist to date. MRI studies of the thumb base of patients with hand OA can contribute to the understanding of this disease subset, including its differences from and similarities with IP OA.

The aim was to develop the Outcome Measures in Rheumatology (OMERACT) thumb base OA MRI scoring system (TOMS) for the assessment of inflammatory and structural abnormalities in thumb base OA, and to test its cross-sectional reliability using OMERACT methodology.5,6

METHODS

Development of the OMERACT TOMS

Using HOAMRIS as a template, members of the OMERACT MRI Task Force iteratively discussed in several Web-based meetings the joints and features (including definitions and scaling) to be included, as well as a list of preferred sequences and planes, and agreed by consensus.

Table 1 provides an overview of the proposed MRI features. Each feature was evaluated on 0-3 scales in the CMC-1 and STT-joints, except subluxation, which was scored absent/ present in the CMC-1 joint only. The proximal and distal joint parts were scored separately for subchondral bone defects, osteophytes, and bone marrow lesions (BML). For CMC-1, the proximal part of the first metacarpal bone (from the articular surface to a 1-cm depth) and distal half of the trapezium were evaluated (range 0-6). For STT, the proximal half of the trapezium and trapezoid and the distal half of the scaphoid were scored (range 0-9). Increments of 0.5 were introduced for synovitis, subchondral bone defects, and BML to increase potential responsiveness of the score.

Reliability exercise

A reliability exercise was conducted by 2 rheumatologists (VF, FG) and 1 radiologist (CP) with extensive experience in assessing hand/wrist MRI. Two readers (VF, FG) repeated the exercise after 1 month, after recoding and rearranging the MRI in a different order. A preliminary atlas with examples of most grades of each feature was developed prior to the exercise, approved by the members of the task force and distributed among readers to facilitate scoring. Each reader scored 20 MRI: 15 MRI were acquired on a 1.5T extremity MRI unit (ONI, GE) in patients

with hand OA from the Hand Osteoarthritis in Secondary Care (HOSTAS) study at Leiden University Medical Center (Leiden, the Netherlands), and 5 MRI were acquired on a 3.0T MRI unit (Philips Ingenia) in patients with hand OA from Sheba Medical Center (Tel Aviv, Israel). MRI were selected by a nonreader to include a wide range of severity of pathology in the thumb base (based on Kellgren-Lawrence arthritis grading scale scores). MRI from HOSTAS included coronal and axial T1-weighted (T1w) fast spin echo (FSE), and T2w FSE images with fat-saturation (fs; Supplementary file 1). MRI from the Sheba Medical Center additionally included coronal and axial T1w-fs post-Gadolinium (Gd) images. A general wrist acquisition was used. Data collection in both centers was approved by the local ethics committee. All HOSTAS participants signed informed consent; written consent was waived for use of MRI from the Sheba Medical Center.

Statistical analysis

Each MRI feature was analyzed separately for the CMC-1 and STT joints. Separate scores for the distal and proximal joint parts were combined into a single sum score per joint where appropriate. Median and interquartile range were calculated for each feature based on the mean value of the 3 readers. Reliability was assessed by calculating ICC, percentage exact agreement (PEA), and percentage close agreement (PCA). Single and average measure ICC (mixed-effect models, absolute agreement) were calculated to assess intrareader and interreader reliability, respectively. ICC values \leq 0.20 were considered poor, > 0.20 to < 0.40 fair, \leq 0.40 to < 0.60 moderate, \leq 0.60 to < 0.80 good, and \geq 0.80 very good reliability. PEA was defined as a difference of 0 between minimum and maximum scores across readers, and PCA as a difference of \leq 1 between minimum and maximum scores.

Table 1. Definitions and scaling of features in proposed OMERACT thumb base osteoarthritis MRI scoring system.

MRI feature	Definition	Scaling	Advised plane and MRI sequence
Synovitis*	Thickened synovium with enhancement after Gd injection.	0= normal, 1= mild (1%-33%), 2= moderate (34%-66%), 3= severe (67%-100%). Based on thirds of the presumed maximum thickness of enhancing tissue in the synovial compartment.	Coronal and axial. T1w pre- and post- Gd with fs. In absence of post-Gd images, T2w-fs/STIR/PD-fs can be used.
Subchondral bone defects*†	Subchondral bone loss, including erosions (sharply marginated bone lesions with cortical break), cysts (sharply marginated bone lesions without cortical break), and bone attrition (diffuse loss of bone contour).	0= no bone defects; 1= mild (\$ 25% of bone volume or joint surface affected), 2= moderate (26%-50% of bone volume or joint surface affected), 3= severe (> 50% of bone volume or joint surface affected).	Coronal and axial. T1w and T2w-fs/ STIR/PD-fs.
Osteophytes†	Abnormal bone protuberance at joint margins or surfaces.	O= no osteophytes, 1= mild (1-2 small osteophytes), 2= moderate [≥ 3 small osteophytes and/or ≥ 1 moderate osteophyte(s)], 3= severe [≥ 1 large osteophyte(s)].	Coronal (and sagittal if available). T1w.

Table 1. Continued

MRI feature	Definition	Scaling	Advised plane and MRI sequence	
Cartilage assessment	Loss of cartilage, or loss of cartilage space based on the interbone distance. (If assessment of cartilage and cartilage space are in conflict, direct visualization of the cartilage should be prioritized)	O= no loss of cartilage or cartilage space, 1= mild (cartilage loss without complete denuding, or cartilage space loss without bone-to-bone contact), 2= moderate (cartilage loss with denuding ≤ 50% of joint surface or focal complete cartilage space loss with bone-to-bone contact ≤ 50% of the articulating area), 3= severe (cartilage loss with denuding > 50% of joint surface or complete cartilage space loss over > 50% of the articulating area).	Coronal. T1w-fs-3D-GE, otherwise use T1w-fs, T2w-fs or PD-fs.	
Subluxation‡	Subluxation of the CMC-1 joint in the frontal plane.	O= MC-1 subluxed 0%-25% of the MC width, 1= MC-1 subluxed ≥ 26% of the MC width.	Coronal. T1w.	
Bone marrow lesions*†	Lesions within the trabecular bone with signal characteristic consistent with increased water content§ and with ill-defined margins.	O= no bone marrow lesions, 1= mild (1%-33%), 2= moderate (34%-66%), 3= severe (67%-100%). Based on thirds of assessed bone volume.	Coronal and axial. T2w-fs/STIR/PD-fs.	

*In longitudinal studies, 0.5 increments may be used for synovitis, subchondral bone defects, and bone marrow lesions. †Proximal and distal parts of a joint are scored separately for subchondral bone defects, osteophytes, and bone marrow lesions. ‡Only the CMC-1 joint is evaluated for this feature. §High signal intensity on STIR/T2w-fs images. CMC-1, first carpometacarpal joint; fs, fat saturated; Gd, gadolinium; GE, gradient echo; MC-1, first metacarpal; PD, proton density; STIR, short-tau inversion recovery; w, weighted.

RESULTS

Supplementary table 1 shows characteristics of the 15 HOSTAS patients. Most MRI features were present in the majority of patients (table 2). STT joint scores were overall lower compared with CMC-1, despite higher possible score range for certain features. Time required to perform TOMS was comparable to that required to score 2 joints with HOAMRIS.

All features demonstrated good to very good interreader ICC values (table 3). PCA was ≥ 65% for all features. PEA was low to moderate, with better performance for subchondral bone defects, subluxation, and BML. Similar results were found for intrareader reliability (supplementary table 2). Reliability of the CMC-1 and STT joints were generally comparable.

When analyzing the reliability of subchondral bone defects, osteophytes, and BML for the distal and proximal joint parts separately, we generally saw ICC comparable to the aggregated scores. However, for subchondral bone defects in the trapezoid and osteophytes in the trapezoid and the proximal side of the trapezium, ICC were moderate (data not shown).

Readers gave slightly higher scores when assessing synovitis on post-Gd images as compared with the T2w-fs images (data not shown), whereas reliability was comparable (CMC-1: ICC 0.75, 95% CI 0.05-0.97 vs ICC 0.83, 95% CI 0.59-0.94; and STT: ICC 0.68, 95% CI -0.37 to 0.96 vs ICC 0.78, 95% CI 0.47-0.92 for images with vs without Gd).

Table 2. Median (IQR) scores of each MRI feature and n (%) with each feature present for the CMC-1 and STT joints (n=20).

MRI feature [range for CMC-1/STT]	CMC-1 joint		ST	STT joint	
	median (IQR)	n (%)	median (IQR)	n (%)	
Synovitis [0-3/0-3]	1.4 (1.0-2.3)	20 (100)	1.0 (0.4-1.7)	18 (90)	
Subchondral bone defects [0-6/0-9]	1.4 (1.0-2.8)	18 (90)	1.0 (0.3-2.0)	17 (85)	
Osteophytes [0-6/0-9]	2.2 (1.2-4.0)	19 (95)	0.3 (0.0-0.9)	13 (65)	
Cartilage assessment [0-3/0-3]	1.5 (0.4-2.3)	16 (80)	1.0 (0.4-1.3)	16 (80)	
Subluxation [absent or present]		12 (60)			
Bone marrow lesions [0-6/0-9]	1.7 (0.0-3.8)	13 (65)	1.4 (0.1-2.9)	15 (75)	

Separate scores for the distal and proximal part of the joint were combined into a single sum score per joint. The n (%) is of patients with each feature present in at least 1 of 3 readers. CMC-1, first carpometacarpal; IQR, interquartile range; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; STT, scaphotrapeziotrapezoid.

Table 3. Interreader reliability of MRI features for the CMC-1 and STT joints (3 readers).

	CMC-1 joint			STT joint		
	AvmICC	PEA	PCA	AvmICC	PEA	PCA
	(95% CI)	n/N (%)	n/N (%)	(95% CI)	n/N (%)	n/N (%)
Synovitis	0.81	3/20	15/20	0.75	7/20	18/20
	(0.60-0.92)	(15)	(75)	(0.48-0.90)	(35)	(90)
Subchondral bone defects	0.88	23/40	36/40	0.81	42/60	58/60
	(0.73-0.95)	(58)	(90)	(0.60-0.92)	(70)	(97)
Osteophytes	0.83	10/40	31/40	0.74	41/60	56/60
	(0.56-0.93)	(25)	(78)	(0.44-0.89)	(68)	(93)
Cartilage assessment	0.79	6/20	13/20	0.83	8/20	16/20
	(0.48-0.92)	(30)	(65)	(0.64-0.93)	(40)	(80)
Subluxation	0.77 (0.52-0.91)	13/20 (65)				
Bone marrow lesions	0.99	32/40	40/40 (0.96	43/60	57/60
	(0.98-1.00)	(80)	100)	(0.91-0.98)	(72)	(95)

Separate scores for the distal and proximal part of the joint were combined into a single sum score per joint to calculate ICCs. AvmICC, average measure ICC; CMC-1, first carpometacarpal; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PCA, percent close agreement; PEA, percent exact agreement; STT, scaphotrapeziotrapezoid.

DISCUSSION

In this study the OMERACT MRI Task Force proposed the first thumb base MRI scoring system, TOMS, and evaluated its cross-sectional reliability. The score was feasible and had good to very good reliability for the assessment of structural and inflammatory features in the CMC-1 and STT joints.

The previously published OMERACT HOAMRIS for the IP joints was used as a prototype in the development of the TOMS.³ Two major differences between the scoring systems can be noted. First, erosive damage and cysts were combined into 1 score (subchondral bone defects) because it was judged that the distinction could not be made reliably in the thumb base joints. Second, because of larger joint size, it was reasoned that direct cartilage assessment is feasible in the thumb base when using appropriate MRI sequences, and should be prioritized over indirect cartilage assessment. Further, it was decided to score distal and proximal joint parts separately for some features, similar to the first Oslo MRI scoring system for IP OA.8 Because only 2 joints are evaluated, this addition provides more detailed information without decreasing feasibility. In future studies of pharmacological and nonpharmacological interventions, HOAMRIS and TOMS can be used as complimentary scoring systems, since both assess similar features. Combined assessment with MRI of the fingers and thumb base of patients with hand OA in future trials can provide information about hypothesized differences in the pathophysiology of these OA subtypes.¹

Assessment of the scaphotrapezoid articulation was also included in the scoring system. Previous cadaver studies have shown frequent degenerative changes of the scaphotrapezoid joint, although its relative contribution to STT joint OA complaints is unclear, partly because of poor visualization with traditional radiography. 9,10

All included MRI were performed using a standard wrist acquisition technique. Although dedicated thumb base acquisitions do exist, these are not widely used in clinical practice. It is unclear whether the use of a dedicated thumb base acquisition would yield different results, and this should be evaluated in future studies.

Only 5 MRI included post-Gd imaging. No previous studies have compared the reliability and validity of MRI-defined synovitis with and without contrast in patients with hand OA. In knee OA, synovitis is commonly assessed without contrast, although contrast-enhanced MRI appears to be a more reliable and valid measure of synovial inflammation, with the ability to differentiate inflamed synovium from effusion. 11,12 Østergaard et al found that omitting contrast from MRI examination of synovitis in the metacarpophalangeal and wrist joints in patients with rheumatoid arthritis decreased reliability.¹³ In our sample, reliability was good using both contrast and noncontrast images. This warrants more detailed analysis, preferably comparing synovitis scores between different sequences within the same patient in a larger sample.

Before TOMS can be recommended as a core instrument according to the OMERACT filter,6 assessment of the reliability of change scores and its responsiveness in longitudinal studies is needed. Future studies will reveal whether reliability of TOMS is similar when used by other trained readers compared with expert readers who developed the scoring system,

which for the HOAMRIS was shown to be either better or worse. 14.15 Further, readers used a preliminary atlas during the exercises, which has likely increased agreement across readers, as was previously shown for HOAMRIS. A comprehensive atlas including all grades of all features in both joints would facilitate scoring and increase reliability of the TOMS. Validity of the scoring system should be investigated in future studies by assessing correlations with signs and symptoms, and other imaging modalities including traditional radiography and ultrasound.

REFERENCES

- Kloppenburg M, Kwok WY. Hand osteoarthritis--a heterogeneous disorder. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2011;8:22-31.
- Haugen IK, Eshed I, Gandjbakhch F, et al. The longitudinal reliability and responsiveness of the OMERACT hand osteoarthritis magnetic resonance imaging scoring system (HOAMRIS). J Rheumatol 2015;42:2486-91.
- Haugen IK, Østergaard M, Eshed I, et al. Iterative development and reliability of the OMERACT hand osteoarthritis MRI scoring system. J Rheumatol 2014:41:386-91
- Dahaghin S, Bierma-Zeinstra S, Ginai A, et al. Prevalence and pattern of radiographic hand osteoarthritis and association with pain and disability (the Rotterdam study). Ann Rheum Dis 2005;64:682-
- Boers M, Kirwan J, Gossec L, et al. How to choose core outcome measurement sets for clinical trials: OMERACT 11 approves filter 2.0. J Rheumatol 2014;41:1025-30.
- Boers M, Tugwell P, Beaton D, et al. The OMERACT handbook: OMERACT, 2016. https://omeract.org/ resources (18 January 2017, date last accessed).
- Müller R. Büttner P. A critical discussion of intraclass correlation coefficients. Stat Med 1994;13:2465-76.
- Haugen IK, Lillegraven S, Slatkowsky-Christensen B, et al. Hand osteoarthritis and MRI: development and first validation step of the proposed Oslo Hand Osteoarthritis MRI score. Ann Rheum Dis 2011:70:1033-38.

- Bhatia A, Pisoh T, Touam C, et al. Incidence and distribution of scaphotrapezotrapezoidal arthritis in 73 fresh cadaveric wrists. Ann Chir Main Memb Super 1996;15:220-5.
- 10. Moritomo H, Viegas S, Nakamura K, et al. The scaphotrapezio-trapezoidal joint. Part 1: An anatomic and radiographic study. J Hand Surg 2000;25A:899-910
- 11. Guermazi A, Roemer FW, Crema MD, et al. Imaging of non-osteochondral tissues in osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2014;22:1590-605.
- 12. Hayashi D, Roemer FW, Katur A, et al. Imaging of synovitis in osteoarthritis: current status and outlook, Semin Arthritis Rheum 2011;41:116-30.
- 13. Østergaard M, Conaghan PG, O'Connor P, et al. Reducing invasiveness, duration, and cost of magnetic resonance imaging in rheumatoid arthritis by omitting intravenous contrast injection -- Does it change the assessment of inflammatory and destructive joint changes by the OMERACT RAMRIS? J Rheumatol 2009;36:1806-10.
- 14. Ramonda R, Favero M, Vio S, et al. A recently developed MRI scoring system for hand osteoarthritis: its application in a clinical setting. Clin Rheumatol 2016;35:2079-86.
- 15. Kortekaas MC, Kwok WY, Reijnierse M, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging in hand osteoarthritis: intraobserver reliability and criterion validity for clinical and structural characteristics. J Rheumatol 2015;42:1224-30.