



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Physiological synchrony in the context of cooperation: Theoretical and methodological considerations**

Behrens, F.

### **Citation**

Behrens, F. (2020, October 28). *Physiological synchrony in the context of cooperation: Theoretical and methodological considerations*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/137983>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/137983>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/137983> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Behrens, F.

**Title:** Physiological synchrony in the context of cooperation: Theoretical and methodological considerations

**Issue Date:** 2020-10-28

Physiological Synchrony in the  
Context of Cooperation:  
Theoretical and Methodological Considerations

Friederike Behrens

*Cover by: Evy van Berlo & Friederike Behrens*

*Layout and typesetting: Michał Sławiński, [thesisprint.eu](http://thesisprint.eu)*

*ISBN: 978-90-9033657-2*

# **Physiological Synchrony in the Context of Cooperation: Theoretical and Methodological Considerations**

## **Proefschrift**

ter verkrijging van de graad van Doctor aan de  
Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van Rector Magnificus Prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,  
en volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties  
te verdedigen op  
woensdag 28 oktober 2020 om 11:15 uur

door

**Friederike Behrens**

geboren te Bad Oldesloe (Duitsland)  
in 1989

**Promotor:** *Prof. dr. Bernhard Hommel*

**Co-promotor:** *Dr. Mariska E. Kret*

**Doctorate Committee:** *Prof. dr. Carsten K.W. de Dreu, Leiden University*

*Prof. dr. Natalie Sebanz, Central European University*

*Prof. dr. Michiel P.M. Westenberg, Leiden University*

---

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to thank Mariska for being such an amazing supervisor. From Day 1, you have guided me through this journey, gave me the freedom to make this thesis my own and supported me wherever you could, professionally and personally. I would also like to thank Bernhard for being my promotor.

A special thanks to my paranymphs Eliska and Evy. Eliska, we complemented each other in every aspect throughout the PhD trajectory and made an unbeatable team. Evy, I am still amazed how you can turn every sentence of my self-doubt and self-criticism into something positive. A big thanks also for helping me with the cover!

Iliana, you were there at one of the deepest moments of my PhD (I only say: Driverslab, Elio, no data) and always helped wherever you could! Joyce, you have been an incredible help, practically and mentally. I also want to thank all students for helping me with the data collection and recruiting participants. I am very grateful to all the participants who build the foundation of this thesis.

I have been surrounded by a fantastic lab. Julia, your fresh enthusiasm and passion are contagious and I will miss your inspirational quotes in the morning! Yena, your fabulous cooking skills and talent for singing karaoke are priceless. I want to thank Chris, Evania, Fabiola, Katharina, Maarten, Tom, Tonko, Wouter and all other members of the CoPAN crew for wonderful and fun times together.

Thank you, Maureen, Elio and Evert, for implementing my (sometimes chaotic) thoughts into concrete lab set-ups. To all other colleagues, thank you for the interesting talks and fun times during lunch, drinks and group outings! Steve, thank you for the warm welcome in your lab and for sharing your statistical expertise. Bobby, thank you for all your support and for showing me the American “cuisine” of the best pizzas, burgers and cupcakes in town.

Outside the work environment, I have been surrounded by fantastic friends, who celebrated my achievements and distracted me during stressful times. Dana, thank you for being the best roomie ever! Thank you, Alex, Annika, Arnaud, Charlotte, Cynthia, Erik, Maaïke (and of course Sjoerdje), Marcella, Mark, Niek, René, Rianka, Roderick, Ruben, Sanne, and Wouter, for the needed distraction during boardgame-nights, barbeques and parties. Fritzzi, Emily & Julia, Friede & Mitra, Anna-Katharina, Julia, Louise, Miriam, Svenja, and Ronja, thank you for staying my friends, even though we cannot see each other that often anymore. Alex, Katja and Nadine, thank you for making my studies such an unforgettable experience.

Tjardo, you followed the same path as I did, recognizing every phase of the emotional rollercoaster of my PhD. Thank you for your endless patience, support and love during the past years. I am grateful for officially calling you, Arja, Bert, Carla, Chris, Erik, Gerda, Jeroen and Roberto, my second family. A special thanks also to Joke and Marijn for being the best parents-in-law!

Moreover, I am very grateful to my close and distant family for supporting me. I would have never obtained my PhD without my parents and sister. Mama, you are my emotional rock and I treasure your honesty, comfort and support you have always given me. Papa, you are not much of a talker when it comes to emotions, but I can feel your endless love in every small and

big act(ion) you have done for me. Juli, I can always count on you, no matter what. Thank you for being the best sister! Marcel, I am grateful that you have been in our family for such a long time. I cannot wait to watch Lina grow up; I am already the proudest aunt on earth!

Of course, it is impossible to mention and recall all the people who have contributed to this thesis directly or indirectly. I would like to thank all of them.

Friederike Behrens  
September 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2020  
Groningen

# *Table of Contents*

<b>1</b>	<b>General introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
	Chapter Overview	10
<b>2</b>	<b>Under the umbrella of prosocial behavior: A critical comparison of paradigms</b>	<b>13</b>
	Introduction	15
	Method	17
	Results	24
	Discussion	28
<b>3</b>	<b>The interplay between face-to-face contact and feedback on cooperation during real-life interactions</b>	<b>35</b>
	Introduction	37
	Method	38
	Results	42
	Discussion	46
<b>4</b>	<b>Physiological synchrony is associated with cooperative success in real-life interactions</b>	<b>51</b>
	Introduction	53
	Methods	54
	Results	59
	Discussion	62
<b>5</b>	<b>Quantifying physiological synchrony through windowed cross-correlation analysis: Statistical and theoretical considerations</b>	<b>67</b>
	Introduction	69
	Method	73
	Results	80
	Discussion	87
<b>6</b>	<b>General discussion</b>	<b>97</b>
	Summary of the main findings	99
	Theoretical implications	101
	Methodological implications	102
	Limitations and (new) open questions	106
	Conclusions	108

<i>A</i>	<b>Supplementary material for Chapter 2</b>	<b>111</b>
	Appendix A1	112
	Appendix A2	113
	Appendix A3	115
	Appendix A4	118
<i>B</i>	<b>Supplementary material for Chapter 3</b>	<b>121</b>
	Appendix B1	122
	Appendix B2	126
	Appendix B3	127
	Appendix B4	128
<i>C</i>	<b>Supplementary material for Chapter 4</b>	<b>129</b>
	Appendix C1	130
	Appendix C2	132
	Appendix C3	133
	Appendix C4	135
	Appendix C5	136
	Appendix C6	138
	Appendix C7	139
<i>D</i>	<b>Supplementary material for Chapter 5</b>	<b>141</b>
	Appendix D1	142
	Appendix D2	143
	Appendix D3	144
	<b>References</b>	<b>148</b>
	<b>Summary</b>	<b>161</b>
	<b>Samenvatting</b>	<b>164</b>
	<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	<b>168</b>
	<b>List of publications</b>	<b>169</b>