



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Adding fuel to the conflict: How gas reserves complicate the Cyprus question

Karakasis, V.P.

Citation

Karakasis, V. P. (2020, October 15). *Adding fuel to the conflict: How gas reserves complicate the Cyprus question*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/137884>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/137884>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/137884> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Karakasis, V.P.

Title: Adding fuel to the conflict : how gas reserves complicate the Cyprus question

Issue Date: 2020-10-15

ADDING FUEL TO THE CONFLICT:
HOW GAS RESERVES COMPLICATE
THE CYPRUS QUESTION

Vasileios P. Karakasis

ISBN: 978-94-93197-14-5

Cover, layout & printing: Off Page, Amsterdam

ADDING FUEL TO THE CONFLICT: HOW GAS RESERVES COMPLICATE THE CYPRUS QUESTION

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 15 oktober klokke 10.00 uur

door

Vasileios P. Karakasis
Geboren te Athene, Griekenland
1984

PROMOTOR

Prof.dr. B. Steunenberg (University of Leiden)

CO-PROMOTOR

Prof.dr.ir. J.J.C. Voorhoeve (University of Leiden)

DOCTORATE COMMITTEE

Prof. dr. A.Kutsal Yesilkagit (University of Leiden)

Prof. dr. Rob de Wijk (University of Leiden)

Prof. dr. James Ker-Lindsay (London School of Economics)

Prof. dr. Rebecca Bryant (University of Utrecht)

Dr. A.K.O. Ahmadov (University of Leiden)

Dr. Honorata Mazepus (University of Leiden)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acronyms	12
Abstract	15
Chapter 1	Setting the stage 17
1.1	Natural gas as the new chapter of the Cypriot saga 19
1.2	Gas in global politics and its complications 21
1.3	The energy outlook in the Eastern Mediterranean 23
1.4	Research question and focus 25
1.5	Conceptual framework and methodological design 26
1.5.2	Structure-based explanations: neorealism and geopolitics 26
1.5.3	Agency-based explanations: the greed-grievance dichotomy 28
1.5.4	Epistemological challenges in adopting one theory over the other 29
1.5.5	Need for a discursive framework of analysis 30
1.5.6	Research design: the synergy between discourses & Q-method 32
1.6	Historical and energy context 32
1.7	The societal relevance of the subject for EU officials 34
1.8	Overview of the chapters 37
Chapter 2	A discursive framework of conflict analysis 39
2.1	Introduction 41
2.2	Structure-based perspectives: neorealism & geopolitics 42
2.2.2	Applicability of geopolitical perspectives 46
2.3	An agency-based approach: between greed and grievance 48
2.3.1	Greed 49
2.3.2	Grievance 53
2.4	The role of discourses as conceptual framework 56
2.5	Theoretical expectations based on single perspectives 58
2.6	Theoretical expectations based on mixed perspectives 59
2.6.1	The interplay between geopolitics and grievance 59
2.6.2	The interplay between greed and geopolitics 60
2.6.3	The interplay between greed and grievance 62
2.7	Conclusions 63
Chapter 3	The historical context 65
3.1	Introduction 67
3.2	A brief overview of the current state of affairs 69
3.3	The history of the Cyprus conflict 70
3.3.1	The birth of multi-ethnic cleavages 70
3.3.2	The colonial legacy of the British Empire 72
3.3.3	External and domestic developments after WWII (1945-1955) 75

3.3.4 EOKA and the Turkish-Cypriot reaction	77
3.3.5 Cyprus as an independent state and the constitutional deadlock	78
3.3.6 UN intervention and the continuation of the clashes: 1964-1974	81
3.3.7 Turkey's military operation in 1974	82
3.3.8 The post-1974 developments	85
3.3.9 The EU-factor and the Annan Plan	86
3.3.10 The post-Annan period	89
3.4 Reasons behind the intractability of the conflict	90
3.5 Conclusions	92
Chapter 4 The energy context	95
4.1 Introduction: the energy context	97
4.2 The geological context of the Eastern Mediterranean	97
4.2.1 Egypt: the key player in the region	98
4.2.2 Israel: from a traditional importer to a potential exporter	99
4.2.3 The Republic of Cyprus	102
4.3 International Law of the Sea and delimitation agreements	107
4.3.1 Basic Provisions of UNCLOS	108
4.3.2 Delimitation agreements in the Eastern Mediterranean	109
4.3.3 Economic and geopolitical context	119
4.4 Critical reflections, concerns and priorities	130
4.4.1 Critical reflection on the energy debate	130
4.4.2 Greek-Cypriot concerns and priorities	131
4.4.3 Turkish-Cypriot concerns and priorities	133
4.5 Conclusions	134
Chapter 5 Q-methodology	137
5.1 Introduction	139
5.2 The utility of Q-methodology	139
5.3 The steps of Q-methodology	141
5.3.1 The concourses: answers to the open-interview questions	141
5.3.2 The Q-samples: the linguistic use of 'political discourse analysis'	143
5.3.3 Explaining the sampling of the P-Sets and the Q-sort procedure	144
5.4 Q-centroid analysis	149
5.4.1 Factor loadings	150
5.4.2 Factor arrays	151
5.5 Conclusions	152
Chapter 6 Analysis of the discourses	155
6.1 Introduction	157
6.2 Greek-Cypriot discourses	157
6.2.1 'Gas boosting our geopolitical standing'	157
6.2.2 'Pipe-dreams and imported nationalisms'	163
6.2.3 'Resentment matters'	168

6.3 The Turkish-Cypriot discourses	170
6.3.1 'Gas stimulating political equality'	170
6.3.2 'Micro-politics'	175
6.4 Conclusions	177
Chapter 7 Theoretical Reflections on the findings	183
7.1 Introduction	185
7.2 Discourses with a single perspective	185
7.2.1 Geopolitics	185
7.2.2 Greed	186
7.2.3 Grievance	186
7.3 Discourses with 'mixed perspectives'	187
7.3.1 Geopolitics-Grievance	187
7.3.2 Greed- Geopolitics	188
7.3.3 Greed-Grievance	188
7.4 Presentation of the results	189
7.5 Conclusions: Theoretical reflections	191
Chapter 8 Conclusions	195
8.1 Introduction	197
8.2 Implications of the discourses	197
8.3 Academic contribution and limitations	202
Epilogue	209
1. Policy recommendations for the EU	211
1.1 A relation-centred approach to the conflict	211
1.2 Changing the dominant logic of the 'informal debate'	214
2. Personal remarks and future scenarios	218
Appendices	223
Appendix 1: Recruitment of interviewees	225
Appendix 2: Type of questions in open-ended interviews	229
Appendix 3: Bidders for the 2 nd round	230
Appendix 4: Bidders for the 3rd round	231
Appendix 5: The Dispute over the Aegean	232
Appendix 6: The Leviathan-Ceyhan pipeline	234
Appendix 7a: Q-Sample for Greek-Cypriot P-Set	236
Appendix 7b: Q-Sample for Turkish-Cypriot P-Set	239
Appendix 8a: Q-sample in the original Greek language	242
Appendix 8b: Q-sample in the original Turkish language	245
Appendix 9a: Greek-Cypriot P-Set (July 2017)	248
Appendix 9b: Turkish-Cypriot P-Set (January 2018)	249
Appendix 10a: Factor loadings for the Greek-Cypriot P-Set	250
Appendix 10b: Factor loadings for the Turkish-Cypriot P-Set	251

Appendix 11a: Factor Arrays for the Greek-Cypriot P-Set	252
Appendix 11b: Factor Arrays for the Turkish-Cypriot P-Set	255
Bibliography	261
Primary Sources	261
Academic sources	276
Acknowledgements	307
Dutch Summary	309
CV Vasileios P. Karakasis	311

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Eastern Mediterranean Basins.	23
Figure 1.2. Gas corridors across the EU.	35
Figure 4.1. The Levant Basin.	101
Figure 4.2. 13 Exploration blocks of the Republic of Cyprus.	102
Figure 4.3. Successful bidders for the second licensing round.	105
Figure 4.4. Jurisdiction zones according to UNCLOS.	108
Figure 4.5. Delimitation agreement of the Republic of Cyprus and Egypt.	110
Figure 4.6. Turkey's continental shelf claims.	111
Figure 4.7. Greece's viewpoint on the delimitation of its EEZ.	113
Figure 4.8. Agreement between Lebanon & Cyprus.	115
Figure 4.9. Coordinates for the EEZ between Cyprus & Israel.	115
Figure 4.10. The maritime disputed area between Israel and Lebanon.	117
Figure 4.11. Delimitation of continental shelf between Turkey and the 'TRNC'.	118
Figure 4.12. Trade relations between Turkey and Israel.	122
Figure 4.13. Proposed pipeline between Israel and Turkey.	123
Figure 5.1. Board distribution template.	148
Figure A5.1. Map of the Aegean.	233
Figure A6.1. Energy suppliers Turkey.	235

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Two sets of theoretical expectations	58
Table 4.1. Main recent gas discoveries in offshore EM	107
Table 4.2. Monetization projects for Eastern Mediterranean Gas	119
Table 5.1. The stages for this study's Q-research design	139
Table 5.2. A sample of the heuristic device for reducing concourse	145
Table 6.1. Greek-Cypriot Discourse 1	158
Table 6.2. Greek-Cypriot Discourse 2	164
Table 6.3. Greek-Cypriot Discourse 3	168
Table 6.4. Turkish-Cypriot Discourse 1	171
Table 6.5. Turkish-Cypriot Discourse 2	175
Table 6.6. Key points of the discourses	179
Table 7.4.1a. Single perspectives motivating discourses	189
Table 7.4.1b. Mixed perspectives motivating discourses	190
Table 7.4.2. The 3Gs in a single and in a mixed form	190
Table 1.1. Interviewees in the Republic of Cyprus (in chronological order)	227
Table 1.2. Turkish-Cypriot Interviewees (in chronological order)	228

ACRONYMS

AKEL	Progressive Party of Working People
AKP	Justice and Development Party
BCM	Billion Cubic Meters (of natural gas)
BG	British Gas Group
BKP	United Cyprus Party
BP	British Petroleum
CNG	Compressed Natural Gas
CTP	Republican Turkish Party
DEH	Public Power Corporation (of Hellenic Republic)
DEPA	Public Gas Company (of Hellenic Republic)
DIKO	Democratic Party
DISY	Democratic Rally
EastMed	Eastern Mediterranean Pipeline
EEZ	Exclusive Economic Zone
EIA	Energy Information on Administration
ENI	Ente Nazionale Idrocarbur
ENP	European Neighborhood Policy
EOKA	National Organization of Cypriot Fighters
EU	European Union
FCNM	Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities
FLNG	Floating Liquefied Natural Gas
FM	Foreign Minister
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
HP	People's Party

HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current
IEC	Israel's Electricity Corporation
ICG	International Crisis Group
ICJ	International Court of Justice
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IOC	International Oil and Gas Company
KATAK	Turkish Minority of the Island of Cyprus
KOGAS	Korea Gas Corporation
LNG	Liquefied Natural Gas
MCF	Million Cubic Feet
MFA	Ministry of Foreign Affairs
MIT	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
MoU	Memorandum of Understanding
mW	milliwatt
NAVTEX	Navigational Telex
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
nm	Nautical miles
OPEC	Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries
PCI	Projects of Common Interest
PLO	Palestine Liberation Organization
PRIO	Peace Research Institute of Oslo
RoC	Republic of Cyprus
SUMED	Suez-Mediterranean Pipeline
SC	Security Council
TCF	Trillion Cubic Feet

TDP	Communal Democracy Party
TMT	Turkish Resistance Organization
TPAO	Turkish Petroleum Corporation
TRNC	Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus
UN	United Nations
UNDP	United Nations Development Program
UNEP	United Nations Environmental Program
UNFICYP	United Nations Peacekeeping Force in Cyprus
UNSC	United Nations Security Council
UNSG	United Nations Secretary General
UNCLOS	United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea
USGS	United States Geological Survey

ABSTRACT

In this research I assess the impact of the recently discovered gas reserves south off Cyprus on the escalation of the Cyprus conflict. I examine the ideational dynamics underpinning the conflict-inducing role of natural resources. Theoretically motivated by the discursive shift in conflict studies, I prioritise the collectively shared meanings of the Greek-Cypriot and Turkish-Cypriot opinion-leaders on the gas reserves and how these justify their conflictual strategies. To uncover these discourses, I apply Q-methodology, a research design tailor-made to 'measure' human subjectivity. I distinguish five distinct discourses. With respect to the Greek-Cypriot side, I identify (a) 'gas boosting our geopolitical standing', which highlights the sovereignty attributes of the natural resources, (b) 'pipe-dreams and imported nationalisms', which acknowledges the opportunistic motives behind the 'geopolitical overtones' of the Greek-Cypriot side and (c) 'resentment matters', which emphasizes the Greek-Cypriot grievances. As regards the Turkish-Cypriot side, I came across two different discourses: (e) 'gas stimulating political equality', where Turkish-Cypriots stress their grievances over their lack of international status and the opportunities that arise from the gas reserves discovery to reverse their international isolation and (f) 'micro-politics', which highlights the political opportunism of particular policymakers who capitalize on the tensions in order to serve their political careers in the face of domestic turbulence. These discourses provide a holistic framework regarding the discursive factors underpinning the conflict-inducing role of natural resources within the protracted Cyprus conflict.