



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Transnational networks and domestic agencies : making sense of globalizing administrative patterns

Heijden, M.J.A. van der

Citation

Heijden, M. J. A. van der. (2020, September 30). *Transnational networks and domestic agencies : making sense of globalizing administrative patterns*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/137095>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/137095>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/137095> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Heijden, M.A.J. van der

Title: Transnational networks and domestic agencies : making sense of globalizing administrative patterns

Issue Date: 2020-09-30

References

REFERENCES

#

6, P., Goodwin, N., Peck, E., & Freeman, T. (2006). *Managing Networks of Twenty-First Century Organisations*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

A

- Abbott, K. W., Levi-Faur, D., & Snidal, D. (2017). Theorizing Regulatory Intermediaries: The RIT model. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 670(1), 14-35.
- Aberbach, J. D., & Rockman, B. A. (2002). Conducting and coding elite interviews. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 35(4), 673-676.
- Agranoff, R. (2006). Inside Collaborative Networks: Ten Lessons for Public Managers. *Public Administration Review*, 66(s1), 56-65.
- Agranoff, R., & McGuire, M. (2003). *Collaborative Public Management: New Strategies for Local Governments*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Agranoff, R., & Radin, B. A. (2014). Deil Wright's Overlapping Model of Intergovernmental Relations: The Basis for Contemporary Intergovernmental Relationships. *Publius: The Journal of Federalism*, 45(1), 139-159.
- Ahdieh, R.B. (2016). Coordination and Conflict: The Persistent Relevance of Networks in International Financial Regulation. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 78, 75-101.
- Ahuja, G. (2000). The Duality of Collaboration: Inducements and Opportunities in the Formation of Interfirm Linkages. *Strategic Management Journal*, 45, 317-345.
- Ahuja, G., Polidoro Jr., F., & Mitchell, W. (2009). Structural Homophily or Social Asymmetry? The Formation of Alliances by Poorly Embedded Firms. *Strategic Management Journal*, 30, 941-958.
- Albers, S., Wohlgezogen, F., & Zajac, E. J. (2016). Strategic Alliance Structures: An Organizational Design Perspective. *Journal of Management*, 42(3), 582-614.
- Aldrich, H., & Herker, D. (1977). Boundary Spanning Roles and Organization Structure. *Academy of Management Review*, 2(2), 217-230.
- Alexander, D., Lewis, J. M., & Considine, M. (2011). How Politicians and Bureaucrats Networks: A Comparison Across Governments. *Public Administration*, 89(4), 1274-1292.
- Alter, K. J., & Meunier, S. (2009). The Politics of International Regime Complexity. *Perspectives on Politics*, 7(1), 13-24.
- Alter, K. J., & Raustiala, K. (2018). The Rise of International Regime Complexity. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 14, 329-349.
- Ansell, C. & Vogel, D. (2006). *What's the Beef: The Contested Governance of European Food Safety*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2008). Collaborative Governance in Theory and Practice. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18(4), 543-571.
- Ansell, C., & Torfing, J. (2015). How Does Collaborative Governance Scale? *Policy & Politics*, 43(3), 315-329.
- Antonakis, J., Donovan, J. A., Gopalakrishna-Pilla, K., Pellegrini, E. K., and Ros-somme, J. L. (2004). Methods for Studying Leadership. In J. Antonakis, A. T. Canciole, & R. J. Sternberg (Eds.). *The Nature of Leadership* (pp. 48-70). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Ashworth, R. E., McDermott, A. M., & Currie, G. (2018). Theorizing from qualitative research in public administration: Plurality through a combination of rigor and richness. *Journal of Public*

Administration Research and Theory, 29(2), 318-333.

- Austin, J. (2012). IOSCO'S Multilateral Memorandum of Understanding Concerning Consultation, Cooperation, and The Exchange of Information: A Model for International Regulatory Convergence. *Criminal Law Forum*, 23, 393-423.

B

- Baccini, L. (2014). Cheap Talk: Transaction Costs, Quality of Institutions, and Trade Agreements. *European Journal of International Relations*, 20(1), 80-117.

- Bach, T., & Ruffing, E. (2013). Networking for Autonomy? National Agencies in European Networks. *Public Administration*, 91(3), 712-726.

- Bach, D., & Newman, A. (2010). Transgovernmental Networks and Domestic Policy Convergence: Evidence from Insider Trading Regulation. *International Organization*, 64, 505-528.

- Bach, D., & Newman, A. (2014). Domestic Drivers of Transgovernmental Regulatory Cooperation. *Regulation & Governance*, 8, 395-417.

- Bach, T., De Francesco, F., Maggetti, M., & Ruffing, E. (2016). Transnational Bureaucratic Politics: An Institutional Rivalry Perspective on EU Network Governance. *Public Administration*, 94(1), 9-24.

- Baker, A. (2010). Restraining Regulatory Capture? Anglo-America, Crisis Politics and Trajectories of Change in Global Financial Governance. *International Affairs*, 86(3), 647-663.

- Barney, J. A. Y., & Felin, T. (2013). What Are Microfoundations? *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 27(2), 138-155.

- Barabasi, A. L. & Albert, R. (1999). Emergence of Scaling in Random Networks. *Science*, 286(5439), 509-512.

- Baum, J.A.C. & Oliver, C. (1991). Institutional Linkages and Organizational Mortality.

Administrative Science Quarterly, 36(2), 187-218.

- Baum, J.A.C., Cowan, R., & Jonard, N. (2010). Network-Independent Partner Selection and the Evolution of Innovation Networks. *Management Science*, 56(11), 2094-2110.

- Beinhocker, E. D. (2006). *The Origin of Wealth: Evolution, Complexity, and the Radical Remaking of Economics*. New York, NJ: Harvard Business Press.

- Bendor, J. (1988). Formal Models of Bureaucracy. *British Journal of Political Science*, 18(3), 353-395.

- Bendor, J. (2003). Herbert A. Simon: Political Scientist. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 6(1), 433-471.

- Bendor, J. B. (2010). *Bounded Rationality and Politics*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

- Bengtsson, B., & Hertting, N. (2014). Generalization by Mechanism: Thin Rationality and Ideal-Type Analysis in Case Study Research. *Philosophy of the Social Sciences*, 44(6), 707-732.

- Berardo, R., & Scholz, J. T. (2010). Self-Organizing Policy Networks: Risk, Partner selection, and Cooperation in Estuaries. *American Journal of Political Science*, 54(3), 632-649.

- Berardo, R., & Lubell, M. (2019). The Ecology of Games as a Theory of Polycentricity: Recent Advances and Future Challenges. *Policy Studies Journal*, 47(1), 6-26.

- Beyers, J., & Dierickx, G. (1998). The Working Groups of the Council of the European Union: Supranational or Intergovernmental Negotiations. *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 36(3), 289-317.

- Bianculli, A.C., Fernandez-i-Marín, X., Jordana, J. (2013). The World of Regulatory Agencies: Institutional Varieties and Administrative Traditions. *Jerusalem Papers in Regulatory Governance*, Working Paper No. 58. The Hebrew University, Jerusalem.

- Blatter, J., & Haverland, M. (2012). *Designing Case Studies: Explanatory Approaches in Small-N Research*. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Block, P., Stadtfeldt, C., & Snijders, T.A. (2016). Forms of Dependence: Comparing SAOMs and ERGMs from Basic Principles. *Sociological Methods and Research*, doi: 10.1177/0049124116672680.
- Bluedorn, A. C., & Denhardt, R. B. (1988). Time and Organizations. *Journal of Management*, 14(2), 299-320.
- Borgatti, S., & Foster, P.C. (2003). The Network Paradigm in Organizational Research: A Review and Typology. *Journal of Management*, 29(6), 991-1013.
- Borgatti, S. P., Mehra, A., Brass, D. J., & Labianca, G. (2009). Network Analysis in the Social Sciences. *Science*, 323(5916), 892-895.
- Borgatti, S. P., & Halgin, D. S. (2011). On Network Theory. *Organization science*, 22(5), 1168-1181.
- Borgatti, S. P., Brass, D. J., & Halgin, D. S. (2014). Social Network Research: Confusions, Criticisms, and Controversies. In D. J. Brass et al. (Eds.). *Contemporary Perspectives on Organizational Social Networks* (pp. 1-29). Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Börzel, T. A. & Risse, T. (2003). Conceptualizing the Domestic Impact of Europe. In K. Featherstone & C. M. Radaelli (Eds.). *The Politics of Europeanization* (pp. 57-79). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Brass, D. J. et al. (2004). Taking Stock of Networks and Organizations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(6), 795-817.
- Brummer, C (2009). Post-American Securities Regulation. *California Law Review*, 98, 327– 384.
- Brummer, C. (2011). How International Financial Law Works (And How It Doesn't). *The Georgetown Law Journal*, 99, 257-327.
- Burmeister, E., & Aitken, L. M. (2012). Sample Size: How Many Is Enough?. *Australian Critical Care*, 25(4), 271-274.
- Burt, R.S. (1987). Social Contagion and Innovation: Cohesion versus Structural Equivalence. *American Journal of Sociology*, 92(6), 1287-1335.
- Burt, R. S. & Knez, M. (1995). Kinds of Third-Party Effects on Trust. *Rationality & Society*, 7(3), 255-292.
- Busuioc, E. M. (2016). Friend or Foe? Inter-Agency Cooperation, Organizational Reputation, and Turf. *Public Administration*, 94(1), 40-56.

C

- Cadmus, E. H. (2010). Revisiting the SEC's Memoranda of Understanding: A Fresh Look. *Fordham International Law Journal*, 33(6), 1800-1860.
- Calanni, J. C., Siddiki, S. N., Weible, C. M., & Leach, W. D. (2015). Explaining Coordination in Collaborative Partnerships and Clarifying the Scope of the Belief Homophily Hypothesis. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 25(3), 901-927.
- Cao, X. (2012). Global Networks and Domestic Policy Convergence: A Networks Explanation of Policy Changes. *World Politics*, 64(3), 375-425.
- Carpenter, D., Esterling, K., & Lazer, D. (2003). The strength of strong ties: A model of contact-making in policy networks with evidence from US health politics. *Rationality and Society*, 15(4), 411-440.
- Carpenter, D. P., Esterling, K. M., & Lazer, D. M. (2004). Friends, Brokers, and Transitivity: Who Informs Whom in Washington Politics? *Journal of Politics*, 66(1), 224-246.
- Chey, H. K. (2014). *International Harmonization of Financial Regulation?: The Politics of Global Diffusion of the Basel Capital Accord*. New York, NJ: Routledge.

- Child, J. (1972). Organizational Structure, Environment, and Performance: The Role of Strategic Choice. *Sociology*, 6(1), 2-22.
- Choi, T., & Robertson, P. J. (2014). Deliberation and Decision in Collaborative Governance: A Simulation of Approaches to Mitigate Power Imbalance. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 24, 495-518.
- Christensen, T., & Lægreid, P. (2008). The Challenge of Coordination in Central Government Organizations: the Norwegian Case. *Public Organization Review*, 8(2), 97-.
- Christopoulos, D. C. (2008). The Governance of Networks: Heuristic or Formal Analysis? A Reply to Rachel Parker. *Political Studies*, 56(2), 475-481.
- Chung, S., Singh, H., & Lee, K. (2000). Complementarity, Status Similarity, and Social Capital as Drivers of Alliance Formation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21, 1-22.
- Coen, D., & Thatcher, M. (2008). Network Governance and Multi-Level Delegation: European Networks of Regulatory Agencies. *Journal of Public Policy*, 28(1), 49-71.
- Cohen, W. M., & Levinthal, D. A. (1990). Absorptive Capacity: A New Perspective on Learning and Innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35(1), 128-152.
- Coombs, N. (2016). What is an Algorithm? Financial Regulation in the Era of High-Frequency Trading. *Economy and Society*, 45(2), 278-302.
- Cramer, S. J., & Desmarais, B. A. (2016). A Critique of Dyadic Design. *International Studies Quarterly*, 60(2), 355-362.
- Danielsen, O. A., & Yesilkagit, K. (2014). The Effects of European Regulatory Networks on the Bureaucratic Autonomy of National Regulatory Authorities. *Public Organization Review*, 14(3), 353-371.
- Davis, R. S., & Stazyk, E. C. (2015). Examining the Links between Senior Managers' Engagement in Networked Environments and Goal and Role Ambiguity. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 26(3), 433-447.
- Dawes S. S., Cresswell A. M., & Pardo T. A. (2009). From "Need to Know" to "Need to Share": Tangled Problems, Information Boundaries, and the Building of Public Sector Knowledge Networks. *Public Administration Review*, 69(3), 392-402.
- Day, D. V., & Lord, R. G. (1992). Expertise and Problem Categorization: The Role of Expert Processing in Organizational Sense-Making. *Journal of Management Studies*, 29(1), 35-47.
- Dehousse, R. (1997). Regulation by Networks in the European Community: The Role of European Agencies. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 4(2), 246-261.
- Delmar, C. (2010). "Generalizability" as Recognition: Reflections on a Foundational Problem in Qualitative Research. *Qualitative Studies*, 1(2), 115-128.
- Djelic, M. (2011). International Competition Network. In T.N. Hale & D. Held (Eds.), *The Handbook of Transnational Governance* (pp. 80-87). Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
- Dohler, M. (2017). The Bias of Structures: How Multidivisional Organizations Work Within the Public Sector. *Public Organization Review*, 17, 83-100.
- Dowding, K. (1995). Model or Metaphor? A Critical Review of the Policy Network *Mechanisms of Decision-Making* (pp. 243-272). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

D

- Dall, S. R. X. et al. (2012). Variation in Decision-Making. In P. Hammerstein, & J. R. Stevens (Eds.), *Evolution and the*

- Approach. *Political studies*, 43(1), 136-158.
- Drezner, D. (2008). *All Politics is Global: Explaining International Regulatory Regimes*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Drezner, D. (2013). The Tragedy of the Global Institutional Commons. In M. Finnemore & J. Goldstein (Eds.). *Back to Basics: State Power in a Contemporary World*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Durant, R. F. (Ed.). (2010). *The Oxford Handbook of American Bureaucracy*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Dür, A., Baccini, L., & Elsig, M. (2014). The Design of International Trade Agreements: Introducing a New Dataset. *Review of International Organizations*, 9, 353-375.
- E**
- Eberlein, B., & Newman, A. L. (2008). Escaping the international governance dilemma? Incorporated Transgovernmental Networks in the European Union. *Governance*, 21(1), 25-52.
- Efrat, A., & Newman, A. (2018). Divulging Data: Domestic Determinants of International Information Sharing. *Review of International Organizations*, 13(3), 395-419.
- Egeberg, M., & Trondal, J. (2009). National agencies in the European administrative space: government driven, commission driven or networked?. *Public Administration*, 87(4), 779-790.
- Egeberg, M., & Trondal, J. (2011). EU-Level Agencies: New Executive Centre Formation or Vehicles for National Control? *Journal of European Public Policy*, 18(6), 868-887.
- Egeberg, M. (2012). Experiments in Supranational Institution-Building: The European Commission as a Laboratory. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 19(6), 939-950.
- Eilstrup-Sangiovanni, M. (2009). Varieties of Cooperation. Government Networks in International Security. In Kahler, M. (Ed.), *Networked Politics: Agency, Power, and Governance*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Eilstrup-Sangiovanni, M. (2017). Global Governance Networks. In Victor, J. M., Montgomery, A. H., & Lubell, M. (Eds.). *The Oxford Handbook of Political Network*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building Theories from Case Study Research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532-550.
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Graebner, M. E. (2007). Theory Building from Cases: Opportunities and Challenges. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(1), 25-32.
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Zbaracki, M. J. (2011). Strategic Decision Making. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13, 17-37.
- Eisner, M. A. (1991). *Antitrust and the Triumph of Economics: Institutions, Expertise, and Policy Change*. Chapel Hill, NC: Chapel Hill University Press.
- Eisner, M. A., Worsham, J., & Ringquist, E. (1996). Crossing the Organizational Void: The Limits of Agency Theory in the Analysis of Regulatory Control. *Governance*, 9(4), 407-428.
- Elster, J. (1989). *Nuts and Bolts for the Social Sciences*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Elwert, F., & Winship, C. (2014). Endogenous Selection Bias: The Problem of Conditioning on a Collider Variable. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 40, 31-53.
- Emerson, K., Nabatchi, T., & Baloch, S. (2012). An Integrative Framework for Collaborative Governance. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 22(1), 1-29.
- Emirbayer, M., & Goodwin, J. (1994). Network Analysis, Culture, and the Problem of

Agency. *American Journal of Sociology*, 99(6), 1411-1454.

F

Farrell, H., & Newman, A. (2016). The new Interdependence Approach: Theoretical Development and Empirical Demonstration. *Review of International Political Economy*, 23(5), 713-736.

Feiock, R. C., Steinacker, A., & Park, H. J. (2009). Institutional Collective Action and Economic Development Joint Ventures. *Public Administration Review*, 69(2), 256-270.

Feiock, R.C., & Scholz, J.T. 2010. *Self-Organizing Federalism: Collaborative Mechanisms to Mitigate Institutional Collective Action Dilemmas*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Feiock, R. C., Lee, I. W., & Park, H. J. (2012). Administrators' and Elected Officials' Collaboration Networks: Selecting Partners to Reduce Risk in Economic Development. *Public Administration Review*, 72(s1), s58-s68.

Feiock, R. C. (2013). The Institutional Collective Action Framework. *Policy Studies Journal*, 41(3), 397-425.

Ferri, G., & Pesic, V. (2017). Bank Regulatory Arbitrage Via Risk Weighted Assets Dispersion. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 33, 331-345.

Ferriani, S., Fonti, F., & Corrado, R. (2013). The Social and Economic Bases of Network Multiplexity: Exploring the Emergence of Multiplex Ties. *Strategic organization*, 11(1), 7-34.

Finnemore, M., & Sikkink, K. (1998). International Norm Dynamics and Political Change. *International Organization*, 52(4), 887-917.

Fischer, M. (2015). Institutions and Coalitions in Policy Processes: A Cross-Sectoral Comparison. *Journal of Public Policy*, 35(2), 245-268.

Fischer, M., & Leifeld, P. (2015). Policy Forums: Why Do They Exist and What are They Used For? *Policy Sciences*, 48(3), 363-382.

Fischer, M., & Sciarini, P. (2016). Drivers of Collaboration in Political Decision Making: A Cross Sector Perspective. *The Journal of Politics*, 78(1), 63-73.

Fisher, G., & Aguinis, H. (2017). Using Theory Elaboration to Make Theoretical Advancements. *Organizational Research Methods*, 20(3), 438-464.

Floyd, S. W., & Woolridge, B. (1992). Middle Management Involvement in Strategy and Its Association with Strategy Type: A Research Note. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13, 153-167.

Flyvbjerg, B. (2006). Five Misunderstandings about Case-Study Research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(2), 219-245.

Foss, N. J., Lyngsie, J., & Zahra, S. A. (2013). The Role of External Knowledge Sources and Organizational Design in the Process of Opportunity Exploitation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 34, 1453-1471.

Freyburg, T. (2015). Transgovernmental Networks as an Apprenticeship in Democracy? Socialization into Democratic Governance through Cross-national Activities. *International Studies Quarterly*, 59(1), 59-72.

Frieden, J. (2016). The Governance of International Finance. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 19, 33-48.

Friedman, R. A., & Podolny, J. (1992). Differentiation of Boundary Spanning Roles: Labor Negotiations and Implications for Role Conflict. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 37, 28-47.

G

Galaskiewicz, J. (1985). Interorganizational Relations. *Annual review of sociology*, 11(1), 281-304.

- Galbraith, J. R. (1974). Organization Design: An Information Processing View. *Interfaces*, 4(3), 28-36.
- Gerber, E. R., Henry, A. D., & Lubell, M. (2013). Political Homophily and Collaboration in Regional Planning Networks. *American Journal of Political Science*, 57(3), 598-610.
- Gilardi, F. (2012). Transnational Diffusion: Norms, Ideas, and Policies. In W. Carl-snaes, T. Risse, & B. Simmons (Eds.), *Handbook of International Relations* (pp. 453-477). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). *The Discovery of Grounded Theory: Strategies for Qualitative Research*. New York, NJ: Routledge.
- Goodhart, C. (2011). *The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision: A History of the Early Years, 1974-1997*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Gould, R. V. 2002. The Origins of Status Hierarchies: A Formal Theory and Empirical Test. *American Journal of Sociology*, 107(5), 1143-1178.
- Grandori A. (2009). Polyarchic Governance and the Growth of Knowledge. In S. Michailova, & N. J. Foss (Eds.), *Knowledge Governance: Processes and Perspectives* (pp. 81-107). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Granovetter, M. (1985). Economic Action and Social Structure: The Problem of Embeddedness. *American Journal of Sociology*, 91(3), 481-510.
- Greenan, C. (2015). Diffusion of Innovations in Dynamic Networks. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, 178, 147-166.
- Grimmelikhuijsen, S., Jilke, S., Olsen, A. L., & Tummers, L. (2016). Behavioral Public Administration: Combining Insights from Public Administration and Psychology. *Public Administration Review*, 77(1), 45-56.
- Groeneveld, S. M. (2016). *Het Belang van Bureaucratie: Omgaan met Ambivalentie in Publiek Management*. Leiden: Leiden University Press.
- Gulati, R. (1995). Does Familiarity Breed Trust? The Implications of Repeated Ties for Contractual Choice in Alliances. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(1), 85-112.
- Gulati, R. & Gargiulo, M. (1999). Where Do Interorganizational Networks Come From? *American Journal of Sociology*, 104(5), 1439-1493.

H

- Hafner-Burton, E. M., Kahler, M., & Montgomery, A. H. (2009). Network Analysis for International Relations. *International Organization*, 63(3), 559-592.
- Halkier, B. (2011). Methodological Practicalities in Analytical Generalization. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 17(9), 787-797.
- Hambrick, D.C. (1981). Strategic Awareness in Top Management Teams. *Strategic Management Journal*, 2(3), 263-279.
- Hamilton, M. & Lubell, M. (2018). Collaborative Governance of Climate Change Adaptation Across Spatial and Institutional Scales. *Policy Studies Journal*, 46(2), 222-247.
- Hammond, T. J., & Miller, G. J. (1985). A Social Choice Perspective on Expertise and Authority in Bureaucracy. *American Journal of Political Science*, 29(1), 1-28.
- Hammond, T. J. (1986). Agenda Control, Organizational Structure, and Bureaucratic Politics. *American Journal of Political Science*, 30(2), 379-420.
- Haunschild, P. R., & Beckman, C. M. (1998). When do Interlocks matter?: Alternate Sources of Information and Interlock Influence. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 43(4), 815-844.
- Hedström, P., & Ylikoski, P. (2010). Causal Mechanisms in the Social Sciences. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 36, 49-67.

- Henry, A. D., Lubell, M., & McCoy, M. (2010). Belief Systems and Social Capital as Drivers of Policy Network Structure: The Case of California Regional Planning. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 21(3), 419-444.
- Henry, A. D., Lubell, M., & McCoy, M. (2012). Survey-Based Measurement of Public Management and Policy Networks. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 31(2), 432-452.
- Henry, A. D. (2011). Ideology, Power, and the Structure of Policy Networks. *Policy Studies Journal*, 39(3), 361-383.
- Hertz, R., & Leuffen, D. (2011). Too Big to Run? Analyzing the Impact of Enlargement on the Speed of EU Decision-Making. *European Union Politics*, 12(2), 193-215.
- Hileman, J., & Bodin, O. (2019). Balancing Costs and Benefits of Collaboration in an Ecology of Games. *Policy Studies Journal*, 47(1), 138-158.
- Hirsch, P., Michaels, S., & Friedman, R. (1987). "Dirty Hands" versus "Clean Models." *Theory and Society*, 16(3), 317-336.
- Hitt, M. A., Beamish, P. W., Jackson, S. E., & Mathieu, J. E. (2007). Building Theoretical and Empirical Bridges Across Levels: Multilevel Research in Management. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(6), 1385-1399.
- Holzinger, K., & Knill, C. (2005). Causes and Conditions of Cross-National Policy Convergence. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 12(5), 775-796.
- Howarth, D., & Quaglia, L. (2013). Banking on Stability: The Political Economy of New Capital Requirements in the European Union. *Journal of European Integration*, 35, 333-346.
- Howell, E. (2017). The Evolution of ESMA and Direct Supervision: Are There Implications for EU Supervisory Governance? *Common Market Law Review*, 54, 1027-1058.
- I**
- IOSCO. (2002). *Multilateral Memorandum of Understanding Concerning Consultation and Cooperation and the Exchange of Information*. Madrid: IOSCO.
- IOSCO. (2007a). *Multi-jurisdictional Information Sharing for Market Oversight*. Madrid: IOSCO.
- IOSCO. (2007b). *Obstacles to Joining the IOSCO MOU*. Madrid: IOSCO.
- IOSCO. (2008). *Analysis of The Application of IOSCO's Objectives And Principles of Securities Regulation For Islamic Securities Products*. Madrid: IOSCO.
- IOSCO. (2013a). *Thematic Review of the Implementation of Principles 6 and 7 of the IOSCO Objectives and Principles of Securities Regulation*. Madrid: IOSCO.
- IOSCO. (2013b). *IOSCO Reinforces Standard on Cross-Border Cooperation*. Press Release, 18 September.
- IOSCO. (2014). *IOSCO Task Force on Cross-Border Regulation: Consultation Report*. Madrid: IOSCO.
- IOSCO. (2018). *About IOSCO*. Retrieved from https://www.iosco.org/about/?subsection=about_iosco.
- IMF. (2007). *Working Together: Improving Regulatory Cooperation and Information Exchange*. Washington, DC: IMF.
- Isett K.R., Provan, K. G. (2005). The Evolution of Dyadic Interorganizational Relationships in a Network of Publicly Funded Nonprofit Agencies. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* 15(1), 149-165.
- Isett, K., Mergel, I.A., LeRoux, K., Mischen, P. A., & Rethemeyer, R. K. (2011). Networks in Public Administration Scholarship: Understanding Where We Are and Where We Need to Go. *Journal of Public Administration Research & Theory*, 21(s1), 157-173.
- J**

- Jackson J.H., & Morgan C.P. (1982). *Organization Theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Jilke, S., Olsen, A. L., Resh, W. G., & Siddiki, S. (2019). Microbrook, Mesobrook, Macrobrook. *Perspectives on Public Management and Governance*, 2(4), 245-253.
- Jones, B. D. (2001). *Politics and the architecture of choice: Bounded rationality and governance*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Jones, B. D., & Baumgartner, F. R. (2005). *The Politics of Attention: How Government Prioritizes Problems*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Jones, B. D., Boushey, G., & Workman, S. (2006). Behavioral Rationality and the Policy Process: Toward a New Model of Organizational Information Processing. In B. G. Peters, & J. Pierre (Eds.), *Handbook of Public Policy* (pp. 49-74). London, UK: Sage Publications.
- Jordana, J., Levi-Faur, D. & Fernandez-i-Marín, X. (2011). The Global Diffusion of Regulatory Agencies: Channels of Transfer and Stages of Diffusion. *Comparative Political Studies*, 44(10), 1343-1369.
- Jordana, J. (2017). Transgovernmental Networks as Regulatory Intermediaries: Horizontal Collaboration and the Realities of Soft Power. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 670(1), 245-262.
- Juenke, E. G. (2005). Management Tenure and Network Time: How Experience Affects Bureaucratic Dynamics. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 15, 113-131.
- K**
- Kemphorne, D. (2013). *Governing International Securities Markets: IOSCO and the Politics of International Securities Market Standards*. Ph.D. diss., University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON.
- Kenis, P. N., & Schneider, V. (1991). Policy Networks and Policy Analysis: Scrutinizing a New Analytical Toolbox. In B. Marin, & R. Mayntz (Eds.), *Policy Networks: Empirical Evidence and Theoretical Considerations* (pp. 25-59). Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press.
- Keohane, R.O., & Nye, J. S. (1974). Transgovernmental Relations and International Organizations. *World Politics*, 27(1), 39-62.
- Keohane, R. O. (1982). The Demand for International Regimes. *International Organization*, 36(2), 325-355.
- Keohane, R. O. (2005). *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Kerwer, D. (2005). Rules that Many Use: Standards and Global Regulation. *Governance*, 18(4), 611-632.
- Kettl, D. F. (2006). Managing Boundaries in American Administration: The Collaboration Imperative. *Public Administration Review*, 66(s1), 10-19.
- Kilduff, M., & Brass, D. J. (2010). Organizational Social Network Research: Core Ideas and Key Debates. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 4(1), 317-357.
- Kinne, B. J. (2013). Network Dynamics and the Evolution of International Cooperation. *American Political Science Review*, 107(4), 766-785.
- Kahler, M. (Ed.). (2009). *Networked Politics: Agency, Power, and Governance*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Kelemen, R. D., & Tarrant, A. D. (2011). The Political Foundations of the Eurocracy. *West European Politics*, 34(5), 922-947.
- Knill, C., & Bauer, M. W. (2018). *Governance by International Public Administrations: Bureaucratic Influence and Global Public Policies*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Koppenjan, J. F. M., & Klijn, E. H. (2004). *Managing Uncertainties in Networks: A Network*

Approach to Problem Solving and Decision Making. London, UK: Routledge.

- König, T., Bräuninger, T. (1998). The Formation of Policy Networks: Preferences, Institutions, and Actors' Choice of Information and Exchange Relations. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, 10(4), 445-471.
- Koppell, J. G. S. (2010). Administration Without Borders. *Public Administration Review*, 70(s1), 46-55.
- Kraatz, M. S. (1998). Learning by Association? Interorganizational Networks and Adaptation to Environmental Change. *Academy of Management Journal*, 41(6), 621-643.
- L**
- Landau, M. (1969). Redundancy, Rationality, and the Problem of Duplication and Overlap. *Public Administration Review*, 29(4), 346-358.
- Lall, R. (2015). Timing as a Source of Regulatory Influence: A Technical Elite Network Analysis of Global Finance. *Regulation & Governance*, 9, 125-143.
- Lawrence, P. R., & Lorsch, J. W. (1967). Differentiation and integration in complex organizations. *Administrative science quarterly*, 12(1), 1-47.
- Lazega, E., & Snijders, T. A. (2016). *Multilevel Network Analysis for the Social Sciences*. Cham, CH: Springer.
- Lazer, D. 2001. Regulatory Interdependence and International Governance. *Journal of European Politics and Policy*, 8(3), 474-492.
- Lee, T. W., Mitchell, T. R., & Sablinski, C. J. (1999). Qualitative Research in Organizational and Vocational Psychology. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 55, 161-187.
- Lee, Y., Lee, W., & Feiock, R. C. (2012). Interorganizational Collaboration Networks in Economic Development Policy: An Exponential Random Graph Model Analysis. *Policy Studies Journal*, 40(3), 547-573.
- Leech, B. L. (2002). Asking questions: Techniques for semistructured interviews. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 35(4), 665-668.
- Legrand, T. (2015). Transgovernmental Policy Networks in the Anglosphere. *Public Administration*, 93(4), 973-991.
- Leifeld, P., & Schneider, V. (2012). Information Exchange in Policy Networks. *American Journal of Political Science*, 56(3), 731-744.
- Leifer, R., & Delbecq, A. (1978). Organizational/Environmental Interchange: A Model of Boundary Spanning Activity. *Academy of Management Review*, 3(1), 40-50.
- Lægreid, P., Steinhörsson, R. S., & Thorhalls-son, B. (2004). Europeanization of Central Government Administration in the Nordic states. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, 42(2), 347-369.
- Lenschow, A., Liefferink, D., & Veenman, S. (2005). When the Birds Sing: A Framework for Analyzing Domestic Factors Behind Policy Convergence. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 12, 797-816.
- Levy, J. S. (2008). Case Studies: Types, Designs, and Logics of Inference. *Conflict Management and Peace Science*, 25(1), 1-18.
- Levi-Faur, D. (2011). Regulatory Networks and Regulatory Agencification: Towards a Single European Regulatory Space. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 18(6), 810-829.
- Levitt, B., & March, J. G. (1988). Organizational Learning. *Annual review of sociology*, 14(1), 319-338.
- Lindenberg, S. (1992). The Method of Decreasing Abstraction. *Rational choice theory: Advocacy and critique*, 1, 6.
- Lin, N. (2001). *Social Capital: A Theory of Social Structure and Action*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Lomi, A., & Pattison, P. (2006). Manufacturing Relations: An Empirical Study of the

- Organization of Production Across Multiple Networks. *Organization Science*, 17(3), 313-332.
- Lospinoso, J. A., Schweinberger, M., Snijders, T., & Ripley, R.M. (2011). Assessing and Accounting for Time Heterogeneity in Stochastic Actor Oriented Models. *Advances in Data Analysis and Classification*, 5(2), 146-176.
- Lubell, M., Mewhirter, J. M., Berardo, R., & Scholz, J. T. (2017). Transaction Costs and the Perceived Effectiveness of Complex Institutional Systems. *Public Administration Review*, 77(5), 668-680.
- Lubell, M., Scholz, J., Berardo, R. & Robins, G. (2012). Testing Policy Theory with Statistical Models of Networks. *The Policy Studies Journal*, 40(3), 351-374.
- Lund, C. (2014). Of What Is This a Case? Analytical Movements in Qualitative Social Science Research. *Human Organization*, 73(3), 224-234.
- Lusher, D., Koskinen, J., & Robins, G. (2013). *Exponential Random Graph Models for Social Networks: Theory, Methods, and Applications*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- M**
- Maggetti, M. (2007). De Facto Independence after Delegation: A Fuzzy-Set Analysis. *Regulation & Governance*, 1(4), 271-294.
- Maggetti, M. (2012). *Regulation in Practice: The De Facto Independence of Regulatory Agencies*. Colchester, UK: ECPR press.
- Maggetti, M. (2014). The Politics of Network Governance in Europe: the Case of Energy Regulation. *West European Politics*, 37(3), 497-514.
- Maggetti, M., & Gilardi, F. (2011). The Policy-Making Structure of European Regulatory Networks and the Domestic Adoption of Standards. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 18(6), 830-847.
- Maggetti, M., & Gilardi, F. (2014). Network Governance and the Domestic Adoption of Soft Rules. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 21(9), 1293-1310.
- Maggetti, M., & Gilardi, F. (2016). Problems (and Solutions) in the Measurement of Policy Diffusion Mechanisms. *Journal of Public Policy*, 36, 87-104.
- Majone, G. (1997). The new European agencies: regulation by information. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 4(2), 262-275.
- Maoz, Z., Terris, L. G., Kuperman, R. D., & Talmud, I. (2007). What Is the Enemy of My Enemy? Causes and Consequences of Imbalanced International Relations, 1816-2001. *The Journal of Politics*, 69(1), 100-115.
- Maoz, Z. (2012). How Network Analysis Can Inform the Study of International Relations. *Conflict Management and Peace Science*, 29(3), 247-256.
- March, J. G. & Simon, H. (1958). *Organizations*. London, UK: John Wiley & Sons.
- Martens, M. (2008). Administrative Integration Through the Back Door? The Role and Influence of the European Commission in Transgovernmental Networks Within the Environmental Policy Field. *European Integration*, 30(5), 635-651.
- Mastenbroek, E., & Martinsen, D. S. (2018). Filling the Gap in the European Administrative Space: The Role of Administrative Networks in EU Implementation and Enforcement. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 25(3), 422-435.
- Mathieu, E. (2016). When Europeanization Feeds Back into Europe: EU Legislations National Regulatory Agencies, and EU Regulatory Networks. *Public Administration*, 94(1), 25-39.
- Matti, S., & Sandström, A. (2011). The Rationale Determining Advocacy Coalitions: Examining Coordination Networks and Corresponding Beliefs. *Policy Studies Journal*, 39(3), 385-410.
- McDermott, A. L., Hamel, L. M., Steel, D., Flood, P.C., & Mkee, L. (2015). Hybrid

- Health Care Governance for Improvement? Combining Top-Down and Bottom-Up Approaches to Public Sector Regulation. *Public Administration*, 93(2), 324-344.
- McEvily, B., Perrone, V., & Zaheer, A. (2003). Trust as an Organizing Principle. *Organization Science*, 14(1), 91-103.
- McEvily, B., Soda, G., & Tortoriello, M. (2014). More Formally: Rediscovering the Missing Link between Formal Organization and Informal Social Structure. *Academy of Management Annals*, 8(1), 299-345.
- McGuire, M. S. & Agranoff, R. (2010). Networking in the Shadow of Bureaucracy. In R. F. Durant (Ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of American Bureaucracy* (pp. 372-395). Oxford, NY: Oxford University Press.
- McGuire, M. & Silvia, C. (2010). The Effect of Problem Severity, Managerial and Organizational Capacity, and Agency Structure on Intergovernmental Collaboration: Evidence from Local Emergency Management. *Public Administration Review*, 70(2), 279-288.
- McGuire, M., & Agranoff, R. (2011). The Limitations of Public Management Networks. *Public Administration*, 89(2), 265-284.
- Meier, K. J. & Krause, G. A. (2003). *The Scientific Study of Bureaucracy: An Overview*. Michigan: Michigan University Press.
- Meier, K. J., & O'Toole Jr, L. J. (2010). Beware of Managers not Bearing Gifts: How Management Capacity Augments the Impact of Managerial Networking. *Public Administration*, 88(4), 1025-1044.
- Meier, K. J. (2019). *Politics, Bureaucracy and Successful Governance*. Leiden: Leiden University Press.
- Merton, R.K. (1968). The Matthew Effect in Science. *Science* 159, (3810), 56- 63.
- Miles, M. B. & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative Data Analysis: An Expanded Sourcebook*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Miller, C. E. (1985). Group Decision Making under Majority and Unanimity Decision Rules. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 48(1), 51-61.
- Miller, G. J. (2005). The Political Evolution of Principal-Agent Models. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 8, 203-225.
- Mintzberg, H. (1979). *The Structure of Organizations: A Synthesis of the Research*. New York, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Mizruchi, M. S. (1994). Social Network Analysis: Recent Achievements and Current Controversies. *Acta sociologica*, 37(4), 329-343.
- Moe, T. M. (1984). The New Economics of Organization. *American Journal of Political Science*, 28(4), 739-777.
- Moynihan, D. P. (2008). Learning under Uncertainty: Networks in Crisis Management. *Public Administration Review*, 68(2), 350-365.
- Moynihan, D. (2018). A Great Schism Approaching? Towards a Micro and Macro Public Administration. *Journal of Behavioral Public Administration*, 1(1), 1-8.
- Moynihan, D. P., Fernandez, S., Kim, S., LeRoux, K. M., Piotrowski, S. J., Wright, B. E., & Yang, K. (2011). Performance Regimes Amidst Governance Complexity. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 21(s1), i141-i155.
- Mukherjee, B. & Singer, D. A. (2010). International Institutions and Domestic Compensation: The IMF and the Politics of Capital Account Liberalization. *American Journal of Political Science*, 54(1), 45-60.

N

- Nadelmann, E. A. (1993). *Cops Across Borders: The Internationalization of U.S. Criminal Law Enforcement*. University Park, PA: Penn State Press.
- Newell, A., & Simon, H. A. (1972). *Human Problem Solving*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

- Newman, M. E. (2002). Assortative Mixing in Networks. *Physical Review Letters*, 89(20), 208701.
- Newman, M. E. J. (2005). Power Laws, Pareto Distributions, and Zipf's Law. *Contemporary Physics*, 46, 323-351.
- Newman, A. L. (2008). Building Transnational Civil Liberties: Transgovernmental Entrepreneurs and the European Data Privacy Directive. *International Organization*, 62(1), 103-130.
- Newman, A. L. & Zaring, D. (2013). Regulatory Networks: Power, Legitimacy, and Compliance. In Dunoff, J. & Pollack, M. A. (Eds.), *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on International Law and International Relations* (pp. 244-265). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- O**
- Oatley, T., Winecoff, W. K., Pennock, A., & Danzman, S. B. (2013). The Political Economy of Global Finance: A Network Model. *Perspectives on Politics*, 11(1), 133-153.
- Obstfeld, D. (2005). Social Networks, the Tertius Iungens Orientation, and Involvement in Innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 50(1), 100-130.
- Ocasio, W. (2011). Attention to Attention. *Organization science*, 22(5), 1286-1296.
- Oliver, C. (1990). Determinants of Interorganizational Relationships: Integration and Future Directions. *Academy of Management Review*, 15(2), 241-265.
- Oliver, C. (1991). Strategic Responses to Institutional Processes. *Academy of Management Review*, 16(1), 145-179.
- O'Leary, R., & Vij, N. (2012). Collaborative Public Management: Where Have We Been and Where Are We Going?. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 42(5), 507-522.
- Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*. New York, NJ: Cambridge University Press.
- Ostrom, E. (1998). A Behavioral Approach to the Rational Choice Theory of Collective Action: Presidential Address, American Political Science Association, 1997. *American political science review*, 92(1), 1-22.
- O'Toole Jr, L. J. (1997). Treating Networks Seriously: Practical and Research-Based Agendas in Public Administration. *Public Administration Review*, 57(1), 45-52.
- O'Toole, Jr. L. J. (2015). Networks and Networking: The Public Administrative Agendas. *Public Administration Review*, 75(3), 361-371.
- O'Toole, Jr. L. J. (2014). Globalization, Global Governance, and Public Administration. In S. Kim, S. Ashley, & W. H. Lambright (Eds.). *Public Administration in the Context of Global Governance* (pp. 3-8). Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
- O'Toole, L. J., Jr., & Meier, K. J. (2015). Public Management, Context, and Performance: In Quest of a More General Theory. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 25(1): 237-256.
- P**
- Page, S. E. (2018). *The Model Thinker: What You Need to Know to Make Data Work for You*. New York, NJ: Basic Books.
- Painter, M., & Peters, B.G. (2010). *Tradition and Public Administration*. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Pandey, S. K., & Wright, B. E. (2006). Connecting the Dots in Public Management: Political Environment, Organizational Goal Ambiguity, and the Public Manager's Role Ambiguity. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 16(4), 511-532.
- Papadopoulos, Y. (2007). Problems of Democratic Accountability in Network and Multilevel Governance. *European Law Journal*, 13(4), 469-486.

- Park, H. H., & Rethemeyer, R. K. (2012). The Politics of Connections: Assessing the Determinants of Social Structure in Policy Networks. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 24(2), 349-379.
- Pawlak, P. (2009). Network Politics in Transatlantic Homeland Security Cooperation. *Perspectives on European Politics and Society*, 10(4), 560-581.
- Perrone, V., Zaheer, A., & McEvily, B. (2003). Free to be Trusted? Organizational Constraints on Trust in Boundary Spanners. *Organization Science*, 14(4), 422-439.
- Perrow, C. (1977). The Bureaucratic Paradox: The Efficient Organization Centralizes in Order to Decentralize. *Organizational Dynamics*, 5(4), 3-14.
- Pfeffer, J., & Salancik, G. R. (2003). *The External Control of Organizations: A Resource Dependence Perspective*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Picciotto, S. (1997). Fragmented States and International Rules of Law. *Social & Legal Studies*, 6(2), 259-279.
- Piore, M. J. (2006). Qualitative Research: Does it Fit in Economics? *European Management Review*, 3(1), 17-23.
- Podolny, J. M. (1994). Market Uncertainty and the Social Character of Economic Exchange. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 39, 458-483.
- Pollitt, C., & Bouckaert, G. (2004). *Public Management Reform: A Comparative Analysis*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Pollitt, C., & Hupe, P. (2011). Talking about Government: The Role of Magic Concepts. *Public Management Review*, 13(5), 641-658.
- Porter, T. (2014). *Transnational Financial Regulation After the Crisis*. New York, NJ: Routledge.
- Powell, W. W., White, D. R., Koput, K. W., & Owen-Smith, J. (2005). Network Dynamics and Field Evolution: The Growth of Interorganizational Collaboration in the Life Sciences. *American Journal of Sociology*, 110(4), 1132-1205.
- Provan, K. G., Fish, A., & Sydow, J. (2007). Interorganizational Networks at the Network Level: A Review of Empirical Literature on Whole Networks. *Journal of Management*, 33(3), 479-516.
- ## Q
- Quaglia, L. (2014). The Sources of European Union Influence in International Financial Regulatory Fora. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 21(3), 327-345.
- ## R
- Raub, W., Buskens, V., & Van Assen, M.A. (2011). Micro-Macro Links and Micro-foundations in Sociology. *The Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, 35(1-3), 1-25.
- Raustiala, K. (2002). The Architecture of International Cooperation: Transgovernmental Networks and the Future of International Law. *Virginia Journal of International Law Association*, 43, 1-92.
- Richards, L. (2015). *Handling Qualitative Data: A Practical Guide*. London, UK: Sage Publications.
- Ring, P. S., & Van de Ven, A. H. (1994). Developmental Processes of Cooperative Interorganizational Relationships. *Academy of Management Review*, 19(1), 90-118.
- Ripley, R.M., Snijders, T., Boda, Z., Vörös, A., & Preciado, P. (2018). *Manual for SIENA Version 4.0*. Oxford, UK: University of Oxford.
- Risse-Kappen, T. (1996). Exploring the Nature of the Beast: International Relations Theory and Comparative Policy Analysis Meet the European Union. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, 34(1), 53-80.
- Roberts, A. (2020). Bridging Levels of Public Administration: How Macro Shapes

- Meso and Micro. *Administration & Society*, 52(4), 631-656.
- Robertson, P. J. (1995). Involvement in Boundary-Spanning Activity: Mitigating the Relationship Between Work Setting and Behavior. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 5(1), 73-98.
- Robins, G., Lewis, J. M., & Wang, P. (2012). Statistical Network Analysis for Analyzing Policy Networks. *Policy Studies Journal*, 40(3), 375-401.
- Ruffing, E. (2015). Agencies Between Two Worlds: Information Asymmetry in Multilevel Policy-Making. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 22(8), 1109-1126.
- Ruffing, E. (2017). Inside Regulatory Bureaucracy: When Europe Hits Home in Pharmaceuticals and Chemicals. *Public Policy and Administration*, 32(1), 3-23.
- ## S
- Sabatier, P. (1978). The Acquisition and Utilization of Technical Information by Administrative Agencies. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 23(3), 396-417.
- Sabel, C. F., & Zeitlin, J. (2010). *Experimentalist Governance in the European Union: Towards a New Architecture*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Sartori, G. (1970). Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics. *American Political Science Review*, 64(4), 1033-1053.
- Saz-Carranza, A., Salvador Iborra, S., & Albarada, A. (2016). The power dynamics of mandated network administrative organizations. *Public Administration Review*, 76(3), 449-462.
- Scharpf, F. W. (1990). Games real actors could play: the problem of mutual predictability. *Rationality and Society*, 2(4), 471-494.
- Scharpf, F. W. (1991). Games real actors could play: The challenge of complexity. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, 3(3), 277-304.
- Scharpf, F. W. (1994). Games real actors could play: positive and negative coordination in embedded negotiations. *Journal of theoretical politics*, 6(1), 27-53.
- Scharpf, F. W. (1997). Introduction: The Problem-Solving Capacity of Multi-Level Governance. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 4(4), 520-538.
- Schilke, O., & Cook, K. S. (2013). A Cross-Level Process Theory of Trust Development in Interorganizational Relationships. *Strategic Organization*, 11(3), 281-303.
- Schneider, A., Wickert, C., & Marti, E. (2017). Reducing Complexity by Creating Complexity: A Systems Theory Perspective on How Organizations Respond to Their Environments. *Journal of Management Studies*, 54(2), 182-208.
- Schweinberger, M. (2012). Statistical Modeling of Network Panel Data: Goodness of Fit. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 65, 263-281.
- Scott, W. R. (1992). *Organizations: Rational, Natural and Open Systems*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Scott, T., & Thomas, C. (2015). Do Collaborative Groups Enhance Interorganizational Networks? *Public Performance & Management Review*, 38(4), 654-683.
- Scott, T. A., & Ulibarri, N. (2019). Taking Network Analysis Seriously: Methodological Improvements for Governance Network Scholarship. *Perspectives on Public Management and Governance*, 2(2), 89-101.
- Seawright, J., & Gerring, J. (2008). Case Selection Techniques in Case Study Research: A Menu of Qualitative and Quantitative Options. *Political Research Quarterly*, 61(2), 294-308.
- Shalizi, C. R., & Thomas, A. C. (2011). Homophily and Contagion are Generically Confounded in Observational Social Network Studies. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 40(2), 211-239.

- Shimizu, K. (2012). Risks of Corporate Entrepreneurship: Autonomy and Agency Issues. *Organization Science*, 23(1), 194-206.
- Shiller, R. J. (2011). *The Subprime Solution: How Today's Global Financial Crisis Happened and What to Do about It*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Shipan, C. R., & Volden, C. (2012). Policy Diffusion: Seven Lessons for Scholars and Practitioners. *Public Administration Review*, 72, 788-796.
- Shipilov, A. (2012). Strategic Multiplexity. *Strategic Organization*, 10(3), 215-222.
- Shipilov, A. V., & Li, S. X. (2012). The Missing Link: The Effect of Customers on the Formation of Relationships among Producers in the Multiplex Triads. *Organization Science*, 23(2), 472-491.
- Simmons, B. A. (2001). The International Politics of Harmonization: The Case of Capital Markets Regulation. *International Organization*, 55(3), 589-620.
- Simmons, B. A., & Elkins, Z. (2004). The Globalization of Liberalization: Policy Diffusion in the International Political Economy. *American Political Science Review*, 98, 171-189.
- Simmons, B. A., Dobbin, F., & Garrett, G. (2006). Introduction: The International Diffusion of Liberalism. *International Organization* 60(4), 781- 810.
- Simon, H. A. (1945). *Administrative Behavior. A Study of Decision-Making Processes in Administrative Organization*. New York: Free Press.
- Simon, H. A. (1956). Rational choice and the structure of the environment. *Psychological review*, 63(2), 129-xx.
- Simon, H. A. (1985). Human Nature in Politics: The Dialogue of Psychology with Political Science. *American Political Science Review*, 79(2), 293-304.
- Singer, D. A. 2007. *Regulating Capital: Setting Standards for the International Financial System*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Skvoretz, J., & Agneessens, F. (2007). Reciprocity, Multiplexity, and Exchange: Measures. *Quality & Quantity*, 41(3), 341-357.
- Slaughter, A. M. (2004). *A New World Order*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Slaughter, A. M., & Zaring, D. (2006). Networking Goes International: An Update. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 2, 211-229.
- Snijders, T. A., Pattison, P. E., Robins, G. & Handcock, M. S. (2006). New Specifications for Exponential Random Graph Models. *Sociological Methodology*, 36, 99-153.
- Snijders, T., Van de Bunt, G., & Steglich, C. (2010). Introduction to Stochastic Actor-based Models for Network Dynamics. *Social Networks*, 32(1), 44-60.
- Snijders, T. A., & Steglich, C. E. (2015). Representing Micro-Macro Linkages by Actor-Based Dynamic Network Models. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 44(2), 222-271.
- Snijders, T., & Pickup, M.A. (2018). Stochastic Actor-Oriented Models for Network Dynamics. In J.N. Victor, A.H. Montgomery, & M. Lubell (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Political Networks* (pp. 221-247). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Stadtfeld C., Hollway J., & Block, P. (2017). Dynamic Network Actor Models: Investigating Coordination Ties through Time. *Sociological Methodology*, 47(1), 1-40.
- Stazyk, E. C., & Goerdel, H.T. (2010). The Benefits of Bureaucracy: Public Managers' Perceptions of Political Support, Goal Ambiguity, and Organizational Effectiveness. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 21(4), 645-675.
- Stazyk, E. C., Sanjay P. K., & Wright, B. E. (2011). Understanding Affective

- Organizational Commitment: The Importance of Institutional Context. *American Review of Public Administration*, 41, 603–624.
- Steglich, C., Snijders, T., Pearson, M. (2010). Dynamic Networks and Behavior: Separation from Influence. *Sociological Methodology*, 40, 329-391.
- Stinchcombe, A. L. (1990). *Information and Organizations*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Stone, D. (2008). Global public policy, transnational policy communities, and their networks. *Policy studies journal*, 36(1), 19-38.
- Stone, D., & Ladi, S. (2015). Global Public Policy and Transnational Administration. *Public Administration*, 93(4), 839-855.
- T**
- Tarullo, D. K. (2008). *Banking on Basel: The Future of International Financial Regulation*. Washington, DC: Peterson Institute.
- Taylor, R. N. (1975). Age and Experience as Determinants of Managerial Information Processing and Decision Making Performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 18(1), 74-81.
- Teorell J., Dahlberg, S., Holmberg, S., Rothstein, B., Pachon, N.A., & Svensson, R. (2018). *The Quality of Government Standard Dataset*, version Jan18. University of Gothenberg, Quality of Government Institute, doi:10.18157/QoGStdJan18.
- Thompson, J. D. (1967). *Organizations in Action: Social Science Bases of Administrative Theory*. New York, NY: McGraw Hill
- Thurner, P. W., & Binder, M. (2009). European Union Transgovernmental Networks: The Emergence of a New Political Space Beyond the Nation-State? *European Journal of Political Research*, 48(1), 80-106.
- Trachtman, J. P. (2007). Embedding Mutual Recognition at the WTO. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 14(5), 780-799.
- Trondal, J., & Peters, B. G. (2013). The Rise of the European Administrative Space: Lessons Learned. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 20(2), 295-307.
- Tsingou, E. (2006). The Governance of OTC Derivatives Markets. In P. Mooslechner, H. Schubert, & B. Weber (Eds.). *The Political Economy of Financial Market Regulation*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
- Turrini, A., Cristofoli, D., Frosini, F., & Nasi, G. (2010). Networking Literature about Determinants of Network Effectiveness. *Public Administration*, 88(2), 528-550.
- U**
- Uzzi, B. (1996). The Sources and Consequences of Embeddedness for the Economic Performance of Organizations: The Network Effect. *American Sociological Review*, 61(4), 674-698.
- V**
- Valente, T. W. (2005). Network Models and Methods for Studying the Diffusion of Innovations. In P. J. Carrington, J. Scott, & S. Wasserman (Eds.). *Models and Methods in Social Network Analysis* (pp. 98-116). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Vangen, S., & Huxham, C. (2003). Nurturing Collaborative Relations: Building Trust in Interorganizational Collaboration. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 39(1), 5-31.
- Vantaggiato F. P. (2018). The Drivers of Regulatory Networking: Policy Learning Between Homophily and Convergence. *Journal of Public Policy*, 39, 443-464.
- Vantaggiato, F. P. (2019). Networking for Resources: How Regulators use Networks

- to Compensate for Lower Staff Levels. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 26(10), 1540-1559.
- Van de Ven, A. H. (1976). On the Nature, Formation, and Maintenance of Relations among Organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, 1(4), 24-36.
- Van Boetzelaer, K., & Princen, S. (2012). The Quest for Co-ordination in European Regulatory Networks. *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 50(5), 819-836.
- Van Osch, D. A. G. T. (2017). Accountability in the Context of Transgovernmental Networks: A Conceptual Approach. *TARN Working Paper Series*, available at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2962973>.
- Verdier, P. H. (2009). Transnational Regulatory Networks and Their Limits. *The Yale Journal of International Law*, 34, 113-172.
- Vestlund, N. M. (2017). Pooling Administrative Resources through EU Regulatory Networks. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 24(1), 61-80.
- Vis, B. (2019). Heuristics and Political Elites' Judgment and Decision-Making. *Political Studies Review*, 17(1), 41-52.
- W**
- Walgrave, S., & Dejaeghere, Y. (2017). Surviving Information Overload: How Elite Politicians Select Information. *Governance*, 30(2), 229-244.
- Walker, R. M., O'Toole Jr, L. J., & Meier, K. J. (2007). It's Where You Are that Matters: The Networking Behaviour of English Local Government Officers. *Public Administration*, 85(3), 739-756.
- Walton, J. (1992). Making the Theoretical Case. In C. Ragin & H. S. Becker (Eds.). *What is a Case? Exploring the Foundations of Social Inquiry* (pp. 121-138). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Ward, M. D., Stovel, K., & Sacks, A. (2011). Network Analysis and Political Science. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 14, 245-264.
- Wasserman, S., & Faust, K. (1994). *Social Network Analysis*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Waterman, R. W., & Meier, K. J. (2004). Principal-Agent Models: a Theoretical Cul-de-Sac. In R. K. Waterman, A. A. Rouse, & R. Wright (Eds.). *Bureaucrats, Politics, and the Environment*. Pittsburgh: Pittsburgh University Press.
- Weible, C. M. (2005). Beliefs and Perceived Influence in a Natural Resource Conflict: An advocacy Coalition Approach to Policy Networks. *Political Research Quarterly*, 58(3), 461-475.
- Wellman, B. (1983). Network Analysis: Some Basic Principles. *Sociological Theory*, 1(1), 155-200.
- Whytock, C. A. (2005). A Rational Design Theory of Transgovernmentalism: The Case of EU-US Merger Review Cooperation. *BU International Law Journal*, 23, 1-54.
- Williams, P. (2002). The Competent Boundary-Spanner. *Public Administration*, 80(1), 103-124.
- Wilks, S. (2007). Agencies, Networks, Discourses and the Tjectory of European Competition Enforcement. *European Competition Journal*, 3(2), 437-464.
- Y**
- Yang, T. M., & Maxwell, T. A. (2011). Information Sharing in Public Organizations: A Literature Review of Interpersonal, Intra-Organizational, and Inter-Organizational Success Factors. *Government Information Quarterly*, 28(2), 164-175.
- Yesilkagit, K. (2011). Institutional Compliance, European Networks of Regulation and the Bureaucratic Autonomy of National Regulatory Authorities. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 18(7), 962-979.

Yesilkagit, A. K. (2016). *De Grenzeloze Staat*. Leiden, NL: Leiden University Press.

Yin, R. K. (2005). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Ylikoski, P. (2018). Mechanism-Based Theorizing and Generalization From Case Studies. *Studies in History and Philosophy*

of Science Part A, available at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsa.2018.11.009>

Z

Zahra, S. A., & George, G. (2002). Absorptive Capacity: A Review, Reconceptualization, and Extension. *Academy of Management Review*, 27(2), 185-203.

News Articles

Blow to Telecoms Companies as EU Outlaws Network-wide Ad Blocking (11 sep. 2016). *Financial Times*. Retrieved from: <https://www.ft.com/content/cd64993e-6ec7-11e6-a0c9-1365ce54b926>

EU's Banks Face Stringent Capital Rules (22 jul. 2011). *Euractiv*. Retrieved from: <https://www.euractiv.com/section/euro-finance/news/eu-s-banks-face-stringent-capital-rules/>

EU's REACH Chemicals Law Begins Life in Helsinki (31 may. 2007). *EU Observer*. Retrieved from: <https://euobserver.com/economic/24169>

Appendices

APPENDIX A - INTERVIEW GUIDE (CHAPTERS 4 & 5)

Note: The interview guide below was translated from Dutch. Also, in practice, the interview guide taken to the actual interview was specified further depending on the particular characteristics of the respondent (i.e. organization, function). Still, the sequence of questions as described below was always the blueprint, as to ensure the basic areas of interest were covered.

1. **General Introduction:** Explaining the goals of the research and what will be discussed (in general lines) during the interview. Procedures on anonymization and data protection (transcript/recording).
2. **Walkthrough of activities and setup of organizational unit.**
 - a. Role and relation of the unit to the broader organization.
 - b. Specific tasks of respondent within the unit/organization.
3. **Walkthrough international platforms with which the unit is involved**
 - a. Who typically participates on behalf of the unit?
 - b. International activities respondent. Involved in what ways?
4. **Specific regulatory standards/dossiers currently relevant:**
 - a. Specific dossiers with which respondents is involved
 - b. Identify suitable examples to which to return
5. **Walkthrough of how international meetings are typically prepared:**
 - a. Structure of preparation, determining positions, finding coalition partners
 - b. Coordinating with other units
 - c. Respondents own role + examples.
6. **Walkthrough of reporting on international meetings/activities:**
 - a. Reporting to supervisors? In what ways?
 - b. Keeping a log? Communicating activities (to whom)?
7. **Coordination with other (national) agencies/ministries**
 - a. Ways of convening, contact. Speaking with one voice?
 - b. Examples good or bad. Own involvement?

8. **International negotiations/decision-making: ways of influence?**
 - a. Different policy instruments/strategies of influence
 - b. Probing for examples/own experiences.

9. **Comparing different types of fora/platforms (European/Global)**
 - a. Nature of negotiations? Different strategies required?
 - b. Probing for examples/own experiences.

10. **Level of contact with foreign regulators/officials. Role of informal network?**
 - a. Meeting the same people? Different per country?
 - b. Determining who is an appropriate partner?
 - c. Role of informal network/social dynamics.

11. **Wrap-up and debriefing**
 - a. Additions? Returning to particular questions.
 - b. Debriefing and next steps.

APPENDIX B - GOODNESS OF FIT STATISTICS (CHAPTER 3)

Goodness of Fit checks whether the RSiena model sufficiently reproduces the characteristics of the observed network. Good fit is established by simulating auxiliary statistics and assessing whether the average values of these auxiliary are close enough to the values observed in the data. This fit is visualized below in which the goals is to have the observed values within the confidence bands of the simulated values. The tested auxiliary statistics are In-/Outdegree distributions and triad census distribution. Note that higher p-values indicate better fit. For more information, see Schweinberger (2012).

FIGURE A1 GOF In-/Outdegree Distribution Analysis Period 1999-2008




FIGURE A2 GOF Triad Census Distribution Analysis Period 1999-2008




FIGURE A3 GOF In-/Outdegree Distribution Analysis Period 2008-2017




FIGURE A4 GOF Triad Census Distribution Analysis Period 2008-2017

