



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Management of (traumatic) anterior shoulder instability: current treatment and future perspectives The open Bankart procedure still state of the art in 2020

Berendes, T.D.

Citation

Berendes, T. D. (2020, September 23). *Management of (traumatic) anterior shoulder instability: current treatment and future perspectives The open Bankart procedure still state of the art in 2020*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/136943>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/136943>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/136943> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Berendes, T.D.

Title: Management of (traumatic) anterior shoulder instability: current treatment and future perspectives The open Bankart procedure still state of the art in 2020

Issue Date: 2020-09-23

1

Chapter 1

General introduction and
aim of this thesis

1. Epidemiology

Traumatic anterior shoulder dislocations are the most common dislocations of the shoulder.¹ Based on an annual incidence of 30 shoulder dislocations per 100,000 inhabitants, with approximately 17 million inhabitants, the Netherlands can count on about 5100 shoulder dislocations per year.²⁻⁵ Shoulder dislocations occur mainly during private or sports accidents. Age at first dislocation is the most important factor in predicting recurrence, being up to 95% for persons younger than 20 years and up to 80% under 30 years of age.⁶ In general, more men than women are treated for a shoulder dislocation.⁷ Most of the patients treated surgically for persistent shoulder instability are young and active, especially males aged between 15 and 35 years and women in the older age groups.

2. Diagnosis shoulder instability including etiopathology

Age and impact of the instability complaints are two important considerations for the type of intervention in patients with shoulder instability. Typically, patients present with a history of trauma. Symptoms may be vague, varying from pain, weakness and shoulder dysfunction. Discomfort in the overhead, abducted and externally rotated position of the shoulder is associated with anterior instability. Symptoms in the forward elevated and internally rotated position (i.e. when pushing open heavy doors) is more associated with posterior instability. Pain and paresthesias (because of traction on the brachial plexus) is often reported in patients with inferior instability (i.e. when carrying heavy objects). Physical examination should focus on both diagnosis and identification of associated injuries. For anterior instability, the apprehension-relocation test (or Fowler test) is the most sensitive (specificity: 87%, sensitivity: 40% for any labral lesion including SLAP).⁸ Other tests associated with shoulder instability are the (modified) load-and-shift test with an optimal reliability of this test, when tested in 0° abduction for the posterior and inferior directions (intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), for interrater reliability and test-retest reliability was 0.68 and 0.79, respectively) with a good reliability for the anterior direction when tested in 90° abduction (ICC: 0.72) and sulcus sign (Kappa value: < 0.5, ICC: 0.60), the latter reflecting inferior laxity of the capsule.⁹ The two most reliable tests associated with posterior instability are the posterior stress test and the jerk test.¹⁰

Multiple **definitions of shoulder instability** are in circulation, creating imprecision and ambiguity surrounding this topic. Examples are: “glenohumeral instability is the inability to maintain the humeral head centered in the glenoid fossa” or “shoulder instability describes the susceptibility of a shoulder to subluxation or dislocation”. “**Traumatic instability**” arises from an injury of sufficient magnitude to tear the glenohumeral capsule, ligaments, or rotator cuff or to produce a fracture of the humerus or glenoid (rim). Since shoulder instability as such ranges from asymptomatic instabilities, due to extrinsic shoulder problems (neuro-motor systems) to intrinsic, anatomical pathologies, a simple

validated **classification system** covering all instabilities does not exist. Nevertheless several classification systems have been suggested, but due to low validity of these classifications, clinical management decisions based on these classifications are multi interpretable.¹¹ The most commonly used and simple classification system, divides instability into two distinct groups based on the presence or absence of trauma, resulting in instability, direction of (partial) dislocation, and whether it involves one or both shoulders.¹² Later on, a more extensive classification is proposed including three types of shoulder instability recognizing both structural and non-structural components of shoulder instability. Even more, stressing a continuum between pathologies as cause for shoulder instability, with probably multifactorial origin after years, involving the brain-musculoskeletal system.¹³

The glenohumeral joint is a complex, mobile, multiaxial, ball-and-socket articulation that allows coordinated motion in the frontal, transverse, and sagittal planes. The latter allows for 360 degrees of circumduction. **Pathologies causing instability** can be categorized in **structural** (internal: rotator cuff, surface area of contact, capsulolabral complex) and **non-structural** (external, central and peripheral nervous system) elements.^{13–15} The structural elements include abnormal morphology (either genetic or intrauterine), abnormal collagen, acquired (micro-)traumatic lesions over time or traumatic morphologic abnormalities.¹³

As for these **structural causes**, a subclassification in soft tissue (shoulder capsule, glenoid labral rim, glenohumeral ligaments) and bony structures (glenoid cavity and humeral head) can be made. For that matter, an intact labrum deepens the shallow glenoid fossa up to 50%, thus improving its articulation and contact area with the humeral head up to 75%. It probably also serves as a chock block preventing excessive humeral head rollback.¹⁶ The shoulder labrum is attached to the joint capsule, which is the layer of soft tissue encapsulating the joint. The shoulder joint capsule is lax and thin and by itself, offers little resistance or stability. Focal thickening of the anterior capsule present the capsuloligamentous structures, the three glenohumeral ligaments. These ligaments act as restraints, and thus stabilizers at the end-range of motion of the shoulder joint.^{15,17,18} Typical labral lesions are associated with acute or chronic anterior shoulder instability, especially anterior and antero-inferior tears.¹⁹ Bankart described the “essential lesion” of anterior shoulder instability to be an antero-inferior labral tear. That is why it bears the eponymous term *Bankart lesion*. However, different types of labral lesions can be encountered, such as: the Perthes lesion (traumatic) or Buford complex (non-traumatic); GLAD lesion (Gleno-Labral Articular Disruption); Broca-Hartmann pouch; ALPSA lesion and the **Bankart** lesion. Posterior labral tears or Polpsa lesions are much less frequent than anterior tears.²⁰ Whether all these conditions are physiologic ageing phenomena or only related to (multiple) (micro) traumatic injury(ies) is to be seen. Labral tears can occur in stable or in unstable shoulders, so when a labral tear is present not always operative treatment is necessary.¹⁹ Controversy still exists as to which management strategy is best in each situation.²¹ Glenoid bone loss, or bone loss at the humeral head, is also a commonly encountered

problem in (anterior) shoulder instability.^{22,23} When an effective glenoid cavity is present, concavity-compression is a contributing mechanism for stabilizing the glenohumeral joint.^{24–27} Following an initial shoulder dislocation, osseous defects are probably present in up to 22% of patients, and up to 88% of patients with recurrent instability.^{22,28,29} The (critical) amount of (glenoid or bipolar) bone loss and its assessment of optimal treatment is currently a subject of debate.^{23,30,31}

As for **non-structural causes** of instability (central and peripheral nervous system), the importance of intact rotator cuff musculature, with active muscular contraction has been highlighted for glenohumeral stability.^{25,32,33} The mechanical restraints about the shoulder (glenoid-humeral head) contribute also to the feedback loop for stability by neural feedback (proprioception) to the central nervous system. The latter is integrated with other somatosensory, vestibular, and visual input, and ultimately results in the generation of efferent control over the dynamic restraints about the shoulder joint (neuromuscular control).³⁴ So, when mechanical restraints of the shoulder are disrupted, the instability problem becomes aggravated by means of pathologic changes in the neural feedback system.³⁴

3. History of stabilizing surgical procedures, including Bankart repair

Historically, stabilizing surgical procedures for shoulder instability are either focused on passive stability constraints at the level of the joint capsule, dynamic augmentations and osseous procedures at the glenoid, humerus or both. An overview with a time-line when the procedure was introduced is given in Table 1. The procedures are stratified in open soft tissue, open osseous surgical procedures and arthroscopic procedures.^{35–37}

The **open Bankart procedure** was first described in the *British Medical Journal* in 1923.^{38,39} Originally, this stabilizing procedure was done for the patient with habitual anterior shoulder dislocation. Initially, Bankart described a lesion where the labrum was separated from both the glenoid and the capsule. For this, he developed a technique, abrading the glenoid to facilitate natural ingrowth of the reattached labrum, he also sutured the capsule to the detached labrum. During this procedure the subscapularis tendon was not shortened, preserving its function. Later on, he described the technique, reattaching the detached labrum including the joint capsule to the glenoid bone. Since then numerous modifications have been made, such as the Bankart procedure in association with a capsular shift.⁴⁰ Some of the surgical methods, such as the Magnusson-Stack procedure, Putti-Platt procedure, arthroscopic stapling, and transosseous suture fixation have been almost completely abandoned.^{37,41,42} Other strategies, such as the Bankart repair, capsular shift, and remplissage (being a combined arthroscopic posterior capsulodesis and infraspinatus tenodesis using sutures and suture anchors that fills (Remplissage: French: to fill) the Hill-Sachs lesion have persisted for decades and nowadays have been adapted for arthroscopic use.^{37,43}

Table 1: Historic overview of surgical procedures for shoulder instability problems

Soft tissue procedures	<i>Capsular procedures</i>	- <i>Injections with iodine tincture/blood</i>: Genzmer 1882; Mandell/Kepler 1937 - <i>Shortening of shoulder capsule</i>: Ricard 1894, Gerster 1883, Bardenheuer 1896, Mikulicz 1896, Putti-Platt 1923, inferior capsular shift: Neer, Foster 1980 - <i>Shoulder capsule reinforcements, making use of fascia, tendon, periost or other material</i>: Gallie-le Mesurier 1948, Henderson 1943, Nicola 1929 - <i>Open Hill-Sachs “remplissage”</i>: Connolly 1972 - <i>Capsuloligamentary “retensioning” procedures</i>: Caspari 1987 - <i>Closing of rotator interval</i>: Rowe 1987 - <i>“Suture-only” labrum refixation</i>: Harryman 1994
	<i>Rotator cuff muscle/tendon procedures</i>	- <i>Shortening of subscapularis</i>: Quervain 1910, Röbbke 1912, Putti 1923, Platt 1925, Matti 1936, Boicev 1938, Magnuson & Stack 1943, Boicev & Osmond-Clarke 1948 - <i>Transposition of (part of) deltoid muscle</i>: Clairmont-Ehrlich 1909 - <i>Open Hill-Sachs remplissage</i>: Connolly 1972
	<i>Arthroscopic procedures</i>	- <i>Capsular shift by means of “stapling technique”</i>: Johnson 1980 - <i>Labrum refixation</i>: Morgan 1987 - <i>Labrum refixation with “suture anchors”</i>: Snyder, Wolf 1990-1991 - <i>Capsular shift</i>: Duncan 1993 - <i>“Laser assisted/thermal capsular shrinkage”</i>: Thabit 1994 - <i>Closing of rotator interval</i>: Field, Treacy 1995-1997 - <i>Revision of Bankart procedure</i>: Kim 2002 - <i>Hill-Sachs remplissage</i>: Wolf 2004, Purchase 2008
Bone procedures	<i>Glenoid procedures</i>	- <i>Deepening of cavum glenoidalis</i>: Hildebrand 1902 - <i>Procedures focused on the edge of cavum glenoidalis</i>: Perthes 1906, Bankart 1923 - <i>Bone augmentation procedures on glenoid defect</i>: Eden 1918, Hybbinette 1917&1932, Noordenbos 1938, Leguit 1942 - <i>Coracoid (augmentation) procedures</i>: Oudard 1924, Noesske 1924, Latarjet 1954, Bristow 1958, Trillat 1954 - <i>Mini-open Bristow-Latarjet procedure</i>: Nourissat 2006
	<i>Humeral procedures</i>	- <i>Humeral head resection</i>: Cramer 1882 - <i>Glenohumeral arthrodesis</i>: Albert 1888 - <i>Rotational osteotomy of humerus</i>: Weber 1969
	<i>Arthroscopic procedures</i>	- <i>Latarjet procedure</i>: Lafosse 2007 - <i>Eden procedure</i>: Taverna, Scheibel 2008 - <i>Augmentation procedure by means of autograft of distal part of clavicle</i>: Tokish 2014 - <i>Latarjet procedure with “guided surgical approach and suture endobutton” fixation</i>: Boileau 2015 - <i>Dynamic anterior stabilisation with long biceps tendon and Bankart procedure</i>: Mehl 2019

The open (modified) Bankart repair, with good clinical outcome and a **low recurrence rate** is historically considered to be the gold standard of care and the benchmark for all current arthroscopic techniques for anterior shoulder instability.⁴⁴ However, for potential advantages (e.g. faster rehabilitation, smaller incisions etc.), the majority of Bankart repairs are being performed arthroscopically nowadays.^{45–53} Both open and arthroscopic repairs have shown to decrease the recurrence rate of shoulder dislocation and are considered to be safe and reliable surgical treatment options^{54–58} Nevertheless, a majority of studies report on the higher rate of recurrent instability using arthroscopic procedures compared to the traditional open procedures.^{44,59–61} Therefore, recent studies have challenged this arthroscopic trend to treat shoulder instability, reviving the interest in open repair procedures.^{45,54} Results of a recent meta-analysis of open versus arthroscopic shoulder stabilisation during the last two decennia demonstrated there were no significant improvements for clinical outcome or external rotation deficits in both groups.⁶² But, although the recurrence rate of dislocation for open surgery remained comparable in this 20 year time period (10.7% and 10.8%), the recurrence rate after arthroscopic stabilisation was higher, although it decreased little 16.8% to 14.2%.

The (open) Latarjet procedure (transfer of coracoid including attached muscles to the deficient glenolabral area at the anterior glenoid) is like the Bankart repair also a viable surgical option for recurrent traumatic anterior instability of the shoulder joint and is by some the preferred treatment in cases of glenoid deficiency and in revision anterior stabilization.^{63–68} The open Latarjet procedure yields the most reliable outcome of stabilization. However this bone block procedure has also the highest complication rate, especially when being performed arthroscopically by non-experienced surgeons.⁶⁹ In the meantime, a few modifications of the original technique described by Latarjet have been developed, such as the congruent arc technique (developed by DeBeer), which increases the joint surface contact area of the glenoid. Another modification is the technique described by Walch & Boileau.⁷⁰

4. Evidence and evaluation of recurrence

Until today, the optimal approach and technique to address anterior shoulder instability remains controversial. How many instabilities will resolve “spontaneously” or after conservative treatment, with or without proprioceptive training, is unknown. In 2017, Galvin et al. outlined the natural history and best clinical practices for nonoperative management of anterior shoulder instability, including an algorithm to guide management of first-time dislocators (Figure 1).⁷¹ They concluded that, despite the continues refinement of surgical techniques for anterior glenohumeral instability, there remains a significant role for nonoperative treatment. Many different surgical stabilizing shoulder procedures have been applied, of which almost all have been subject to discussion, because of varying

results at long-term follow-up.⁷² The latter and the lack of full knowledge on epidemiology of the natural cause of (traumatic) shoulder dislocations and on the definition of shoulder instability as such, underscores why there is no consensus or best treatment guideline.⁷³

Algorithm for 1st time dislocation




Fig. 1: Algorithm for first time dislocation.⁷¹

Factors predisposing to recurrent instability and revision stabilization procedures for anterior glenohumeral instability remains uncertain. There are publications of population based cohort studies assessing risk factors of failure after stabilizing shoulder surgery, combining the results for open and arthroscopic procedures.^{74,75} Common reasons for failure following previous anterior stabilizing surgery are: diagnostic errors missing associated pathology such as bony lesions; new trauma, for instance in contact or forced overhead sport; technical errors; younger age; capsular laxity or voluntary dislocations.⁷⁶⁻⁸⁰ Underestimation of glenoid bone loss or bone loss at the humeral head is often related to redislocation before and after a stabilizing shoulder procedure.⁸¹⁻⁸⁴ Glenoid bone loss is a commonly encountered problem in anterior shoulder instability and should be identified to facilitate a better understanding of management of the patients in this group.^{22,28,29} Following an initial shoulder dislocation, an osseous defect is probably present in up to 20% of patients, and up to 90% of patients with recurrent instability.^{64,85} When recurrent symptomatic shoulder instability is present, several revision techniques are available, including open Bankart repair, bony augmentation procedures, and management of Hill Sachs defects.⁸⁶ Identifying the patient's primary pathology is a must. The latter includes knowledge on detailed understanding of the patient's shoulder anatomy and its static and dynamic restraints after the failed index procedure.

5. Patient perception on shoulder instability (PROM's)

An increasing number of outcome measurement tools have been designed to report on the effectiveness of treatment for shoulder pathologies. Patient's perceptions on the effect of an intervention have become more important to evaluate outcome. Using the *appropriate instrument* for evaluation of patient outcome data is essential if outcome measures are to be valid and clinically meaningful. Failure to account for patient reported outcome factors has been a major limitation in previous shoulder scoring systems.^{87,88} Known scoring systems that address shoulder instability, in random order, include the Rowe/modified Rowe score (also known as rating sheet for Bankart repair);^{89,90} the American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (ASES) score;^{91,92} the L'Insalata shoulder rating system / Shoulder Rating Questionnaire (SRQ);^{93,94} the Melbourne Instability Shoulder Score (MISS);⁹⁵ the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) score;^{96,97} the Western Ontario Shoulder Instability Index (WOSI);⁹⁸ the Oxford Instability Score (OIS);^{99,100} the Constant-Murley (CM) score;¹⁰¹ the Athletic Shoulder Outcome Rating Scale (ASORS);¹⁰² the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) score;¹⁰³ and the Simple Shoulder Test (SST).^{87,104,105}

The **Oxford Shoulder Score** (OIS) was developed by Dawson et al.^{99,106} Two different questionnaires exist; one was constructed in 1999 for instability patients, now called the OIS, and the other was constructed for shoulder operations other than instability (OSS), a few years earlier, in 1996. The shoulder questionnaires for instability problems that have already been translated and validated in Dutch are: the L'Insalata shoulder rating system/Shoulder Rating Questionnaire (SRQ);^{93,94} the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) score;^{96,97} and the Simple Shoulder Test (SST).^{87,104,105} The Oxford Instability Score (OIS) has been translated and validated in Dutch five years after our Dutch validation of the OSS.^{99,100,106,107}

Aims of thesis

1. Anatomical evaluation of one of the major passive constraints for shoulder instability, the labrum, and its phylogenetic counterpart at the hip joint (chapter 2)
2. Patient evaluation of outcome including discussion on Oxford Shoulder Score and Oxford Shoulder Instability Score ((addendum) chapter 3)
3. Evaluation of management of acute first-time anterior shoulder dislocations in the Netherlands by means of a shoulder questionnaire (including treatment of recurrent shoulder instability) (chapter 4)
4. Clinical evaluation of the mid- and long term results after a labrum joint capsule (open Bankart) repair (chapter 5 & 6)
5. Evaluation of a novel technique addressing bony defects of the glenoid (chapter 7)

Outline of the thesis

Anatomical evaluation of the shoulder labrum, with its well-known Bankart lesion, being highly associated with shoulder instability is presented in **chapter 2**. Labral pathology with special focus on the role in shoulder instability and matching treatment options are being described. We also regard evolutionary differences for the labrum of the shoulder, originating from the fact that humans evolved to assume an upright position. A comparison with the labrum of the hip joint is made, since both hip and shoulder are both essentially ball and socket joints.

Chapter 3 presents the validation study of the Dutch version of the Oxford Shoulder Score, including a discussion on the relation between the Oxford Shoulder Score and the Oxford Shoulder Instability Score. The Oxford Shoulder Score (OSS) is an internationally-used patient-based outcome score. Up to now, it was not validated in Dutch.

Chapter 4 presents the results of a nationwide survey on the management of traumatic anterior shoulder dislocation amongst Dutch public hospitals. We questioned how orthopaedic surgeons at that time would manage patients with this issue, ten years after the introduction of the Dutch national guideline: “acute primary shoulder dislocation, diagnostics and treatment” in 2005. Furthermore, we evaluated how these surgeons would treat recurrent instability after one or more (traumatic) anterior shoulder dislocation.

In **chapter 5 and 6**, the outcome of the conventional open Bankart repair is evaluated in two successive studies including mid- and long term follow-up. In chapter five, the outcome of open Bankart repair using suture anchors in 31 patients (31 shoulders) with a mean follow-up of 11 years (10 to 15) for patients with instability after one or more (traumatic) anterior shoulder dislocation is being reported. In chapter six, the outcome of the open Bankart repair using suture anchors in 39 patients (39 shoulders) is reported with a mean follow-up of 21 years (16 to 26).

A potential alternative treatment option for structural bony deficits is a 3D printing technique to augment the bony glenoid defect. To this end a biomechanical cadaver study is done (**chapter 7**). Ten fresh-frozen cadaveric shoulders were tested for stability under five different conditions, being: (1) in the anatomic situation, (2) after the creation of an anterior glenoid bone defect, (3) after implantation of a 3D patient specific titanium implant, (4) after a Latarjet procedure with (4) and without (5) 10N of load attached to the conjoined tendon.

References

1. Hovelius L. Incidence of shoulder dislocation in Sweden. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1982;(166):127-131. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7083659>. Accessed November 10, 2017.
2. Slaa TRL. The acute first-time anterior shoulder dislocation (AFASD). 2003.
3. Nordqvist A, Petersson CJ. Incidence and causes of shoulder girdle injuries in an urban population. *J shoulder Elb Surg.* 4(2):107-112. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7600160>. Accessed June 8, 2019.
4. Shah A, Judge A, Delmestri A, et al. Incidence of shoulder dislocations in the UK, 1995–2015: a population-based cohort study. *BMJ Open.* 2017;7(11):e016112. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016112
5. Zaccchilli MA, Owens BD. Epidemiology of Shoulder Dislocations Presenting to Emergency Departments in the United States. *J Bone Jt Surgery-American Vol.* 2010;92(3):542-549. doi:10.2106/JBJS.I.00450
6. Chahal J, Marks PH, MacDonald PB, et al. Anatomic Bankart Repair Compared With Nonoperative Treatment and/or Arthroscopic Lavage for First-Time Traumatic Shoulder Dislocation. *Arthrosc J Arthrosc Relat Surg.* 2012;28(4):565-575. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2011.11.012
7. Simonet WT, Melton LJ, Cofield RH, Ilstrup DM. Incidence of anterior shoulder dislocation in Olmsted County, Minnesota. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1984;(186):186-191. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6723141>. Accessed June 8, 2019.
8. Magee DJ. Orthopedic Physical Assessment. In: *Orthopedic Physical Assessment*. 5th ed. St. Louis MO; 2008.
9. Hill AM, Bull AMJ, Richardson J, et al. The clinical assessment and classification of shoulder instability. *Curr Orthop.* 2008;22(3):208-225. doi:10.1016/j.cuor.2008.02.006
10. Millett PJ, Clavert P, Hatch GFR, Warner JJP. Recurrent posterior shoulder instability. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2006;14(8):464-476. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16885478>. Accessed July 17, 2019.
11. Farrar NG, Malal JJG, Fischer J, Waseem M. An overview of shoulder instability and its management. *Open Orthop J.* 2013;7:338-346. doi:10.2174/1874325001307010338
12. Thomas SC, Matsen FA. An approach to the repair of avulsion of the glenohumeral ligaments in the management of traumatic anterior glenohumeral instability. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1989;71(4):506-513. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2703510>. Accessed March 10, 2019.
13. Jaggi A, Lambert S. Rehabilitation for shoulder instability. *Br J Sports Med.* 2010;44(5):333- 340. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2009.059311
14. Lewis A, Kitamura T, Bayley JL. (ii) The classification of shoulder instability: new light through old windows! *Curr Orthop.* 2004;18(2):97-108. doi:10.1016/J.CUOR.2004.04.002
15. Di Giacomo G, Piscitelli L, Pugliese M. The role of bone in glenohumeral stability. *EFORT Open Rev.* 2018;3(12):632-640. doi:10.1302/2058-5241.3.180028
16. Matsen FA, Harryman DT, Sidles JA. Mechanics of glenohumeral instability. *Clin Sports Med.* 1991;10(4):783-788. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1934096>. Accessed November 9, 2017.
17. Blasier R, Guldberg R, elbow ER-J of shoulder and, 1992 undefined. Anterior shoulder stability: contributions of rotator cuff forces and the capsular ligaments in a cadaver model. *Elsevier*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S105827469290091G>. Accessed May 24, 2019.
18. O'Connell PW, Nuber GW, Mileski RA, Lautenschlager E. The contribution of the glenohumeral ligaments to anterior stability of the shoulder joint. *Am J Sports Med.* 1990;18(6):579-584. doi:10.1177/036354659001800604

19. Clavert P. Glenoid labrum pathology. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.* 2015;101(1):S19-S24. doi:10.1016/j.otsr.2014.06.028
20. Simons P, Joekes E, Nelissen RG, Bloem JL. Posterior labrocapsular periosteal sleeve avulsion complicating locked posterior shoulder dislocation. *Skeletal Radiol.* 1998;27(10):588-590. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9840399>. Accessed August 5, 2018.
21. Farrar NG, Malal JJG, Fischer J, Waseem M. An overview of shoulder instability and its management. *Open Orthop J.* 2013;7:338-346. doi:10.2174/1874325001307010338
22. Rabinowitz J, Friedman R, Eichinger JK. Management of Glenoid Bone Loss with Anterior Shoulder Instability: Indications and Outcomes. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med.* 2017;10(4):452- 462. doi:10.1007/s12178-017-9439-y
23. Gowd AK, Liu JN, Cabarcas BC, et al. Management of Recurrent Anterior Shoulder Instability With Bipolar Bone Loss: A Systematic Review to Assess Critical Bone Loss Amounts. *Am J Sports Med.* August 2018:036354651879155. doi:10.1177/0363546518791555
24. Williamson P, Mohamadi A, Ramappa AJ, DeAngelis JP, Nazarian A. Shoulder biomechanics of RC repair and instability: A systematic review of cadaveric methodology. *J Biomech.* 2019;82:280-290. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2018.11.005
25. Veeger HEJ, van der Helm FCT. Shoulder function: The perfect compromise between mobility and stability. *J Biomech.* 2007;40(10):2119-2129. doi:10.1016/J.JBIOMECH.2006.10.016
26. Pagnani MJ, Warren RF. Stabilizers of the glenohumeral joint. *J Shoulder Elb Surg.* 1994;3(3):173-190. doi:10.1016/S1058-2746(09)80098-0
27. Matsen FA, Chebli C, Lippitt S, American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Principles for the evaluation and management of shoulder instability. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006;88(3):648- 659. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16541527>. Accessed May 10, 2019.
28. Taylor DC, Arciero RA. Pathologic changes associated with shoulder dislocations. Arthroscopic and physical examination findings in first-time, traumatic anterior dislocations. *Am J Sports Med.* 1997;25(3):306-311. doi:10.1177/036354659702500306
29. Edwards TB, Boulahia A, Walch G. Radiographic analysis of bone defects in chronic anterior shoulder instability. *Arthroscopy.* 2003;19(7):732-739. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12966381>. Accessed May 7, 2019.
30. Moroder P, Damm P, Wierer G, et al. Challenging the Current Concept of Critical Glenoid Bone Loss in Shoulder Instability: Does the Size Measurement Really Tell It All? *Am J Sports Med.* January 2019:036354651881910. doi:10.1177/0363546518819102
31. Yamamoto N, Itoi E, Abe H, et al. Effect of an Anterior Glenoid Defect on Anterior Shoulder Stability: A Cadaveric Study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2009;37(5):949-954. doi:10.1177/0363546508330139
32. Steenbrink F, Meskers CGM, Nelissen RGHH, de Groot JH. The relation between increased deltoid activation and adductor muscle activation due to glenohumeral cuff tears. *J Biomech.* 2010;43(11):2049-2054. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2010.04.012
33. Steenbrink F, Nelissen RGHH, Meskers CGM, van de Sande MAJ, Rozing PM, de Groot JH. Teres major muscle activation relates to clinical outcome in tendon transfer surgery. *Clin Biomech.* 2010;25(3):187-193. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2009.11.001
34. Myers JB, Wassinger CA, Lephart SM. Sensorimotor contribution to shoulder stability: effect of injury and rehabilitation. *Man Ther.* 2006;11(3):197-201. doi:10.1016/j.math.2006.04.002
35. Sanders RJ. Thesis: De habituele anterieure schouderluxatie. 1978.
36. Randelli P, Cucchi D, Butt U. History of shoulder instability surgery. *Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2016;24(2):305-329. doi:10.1007/s00167-015-3947-3
37. Levy DM, Cole BJ, Bach BR. History of surgical intervention of anterior shoulder instability. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* April 2016. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2016.01.019

38. Bankart AS. Recurrent or habitual dislocation of the shoulder-joint. *Br Med J*. 1923;2(3285):1132-1133. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20771383>. Accessed November 10, 2017.
39. Zarins B. Bankart repair for anterior shoulder instability. *Tech Orthop*. 1989.
40. Altchek DW, Warren RF, Skyhar MJ, Ortiz G. T-plasty modification of the Bankart procedure for multidirectional instability of the anterior and inferior types. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1991;73(1):105-112. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1985978>. Accessed January 13, 2019.
41. Regan WD, Webster-Bogaert S, Hawkins RJ, Fowler PJ. Comparative functional analysis of the Bristow, Magnuson-Stack, and Putti-Platt procedures for recurrent dislocation of the shoulder. *Am J Sports Med*. 1989;17(1):42-48. doi:10.1177/036354658901700107
42. Flatow EL, Miniaci A, Evans PJ, Simonian PT, Warren RF. Instability of the shoulder: complex problems and failed repairs: Part II. Failed repairs. *Instr Course Lect*. 1998;47:113-125. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9571408>. Accessed May 8, 2019.
43. Wolf EM, Pollack M, Smalley C. Hill-Sachs "Remplissage:" An Arthroscopic Solution For the Engaging Hill-Sachs Lesion. (SS-02). *Arthrosc J Arthrosc Relat Surg*. 2007;23(6):e1-e2. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2007.03.015
44. Coughlin RP, Crapser A, Coughlin K, Coughlin LP. Open Bankart Revisited. *Arthrosc Tech*. 2017;6(1):e233-e237. doi:10.1016/j.eats.2016.09.027
45. Khatri K, Arora H, Chaudhary S, Goyal D. Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials Involving Anterior Shoulder Instability. *Open Orthop J*. 2018;12(1):411-418. doi:10.2174/1874325001812010411
46. Miura K, Tsuda E, Tohyama H, et al. Can arthroscopic Bankart repairs using suture anchors restore equivalent stability to open repairs in the management of traumatic anterior shoulder dislocation? A meta-analysis. *J Orthop Sci*. 2018;23(6):935-941. doi:10.1016/j.jos.2018.06.016
47. Wheeler JH, Ryan JB, Arciero RA, Molinari RN. Arthroscopic versus nonoperative treatment of acute shoulder dislocations in young athletes. *Arthroscopy*. 1989;5(3):213-217. doi:0749- 8063(89)90174-6 [pii]
48. Arciero RA, Wheeler JH, Ryan JB, McBride JT. Arthroscopic Bankart repair versus nonoperative treatment for acute, initial anterior shoulder dislocations. *Am J Sport Med*. 1994;22(5):589- 594.
49. Kirkley A, Griffin S, Richards C, Miniaci A, Mohtadi N. Prospective Randomized Clinical Trial Comparing the Effectiveness of Immediate Arthroscopic Stabilization Versus Immobilization and Rehabilitation in First Traumatic Anterior Dislocations of the Shoulder. *Arthrosc J Arthrosc Relat Surg*. 1999;15(5):507-514. doi:10.1053/ar.1999.v15.015050
50. Bottoni CR, Wilckens JH, DeBerardino TM, et al. A prospective, randomized evaluation of arthroscopic stabilization versus nonoperative treatment in patients with acute, traumatic, first-time shoulder dislocations. *Am J Sports Med*. 2002;30(4):576-580. doi:10.1177/03635465020300041801
51. Arciero RA, Taylor DC. Primary anterior dislocation of the shoulder in young patients. A ten-year prospective study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1998;80(2):299-300. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9486735>. Accessed January 6, 2019.
52. Baker CL, Uribe JW, Whitman C. Arthroscopic evaluation of acute initial anterior shoulder dislocations. *Am J Sports Med*. 1990;18(1):25-28. doi:10.1177/036354659001800104
53. DeBerardino TM, Arciero RA, Taylor DC. Arthroscopic stabilization of acute initial anterior shoulder dislocation: the West Point experience. *J South Orthop Assoc*. 1996;5(4):263-271. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8972449>. Accessed January 6, 2019.
54. Hohmann E, Tetsworth K, Glatt V. Open versus arthroscopic surgical treatment for anterior shoulder dislocation: a comparative systematic review and meta-analysis over the past 20 years. *J shoulder Elb Surg*. 2017;26(10):1873-1880. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2017.04.009

55. Chen L, Xu Z, Peng J, Xing F, Wang H, Xiang Z. Effectiveness and safety of arthroscopic versus open Bankart repair for recurrent anterior shoulder dislocation: a meta-analysis of clinical trial data. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2015;135(4):529-538. doi:10.1007/s00402-015-2175-0
56. Freedman KB, Smith AP, Romeo AA, Cole BJ, Bach Jr. BR. Open Bankart repair versus arthroscopic repair with transglenoid sutures or bioabsorbable tacks for Recurrent Anterior instability of the shoulder: a meta-analysis. *Am J Sport Med.* 2004;32(6):1520-1527. doi:10.1177/0363546504265188 [doi]0363546504265188 [pii]
57. Chalmers PN, Mascarenhas R, Leroux T, et al. Do arthroscopic and open stabilization techniques restore equivalent stability to the shoulder in the setting of anterior glenohumeral instability? a systematic review of overlapping meta-analyses. *Arthroscopy.* 2015;31(2):355- 363. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2014.07.008
58. Mohtadi NG, Bitar IJ, Sasyniuk TM, Hollinshead RM, Harper WP. Arthroscopic versus open repair for traumatic anterior shoulder instability: a meta-analysis. *Arthroscopy.* 2005;21(6):652-658.
59. Virk MS, Manzo RL, Cote M, et al. Comparison of Time to Recurrence of Instability After Open and Arthroscopic Bankart Repair Techniques. *Orthop J Sport Med.* 2016;4(6):232596711665411. doi:10.1177/2325967116654114
60. Cohen BH, Thome AP, Tabaddor RR, Owens BD. Open Surgical Stabilization of Glenohumeral Dislocations. *JBJS Essent Surg Tech.* 2018;8(2):e17. doi:10.2106/JBJS.ST.17.00052
61. Provencher MT. Editorial Commentary: Is It Time to Take a Stand? When Arthroscopic Bankart Repair Is No Longer a Viable Option for Anterior Shoulder Instability. *Arthrosc J Arthrosc Relat Surg.* 2018;34(9):2537-2540. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.06.021
62. Berendes TD, Pilot P, Nagels J, Vochteloo AJH, Nelissen RGHH. Survey on the management of acute first-time anterior shoulder dislocation amongst Dutch public hospitals. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2015;135(4). doi:10.1007/s00402-015-2156-3
63. Beran MC, Donaldson CT, Bishop JY. Treatment of chronic glenoid defects in the setting of recurrent anterior shoulder instability: A systematic review. *J Shoulder Elb Surg.* 2010;19(5):769-780. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2010.01.011
64. Provencher MT, Bhatia S, Ghodadra NS, et al. Recurrent shoulder instability: current concepts for evaluation and management of glenoid bone loss. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2010;92 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):133-151. doi:10.2106/JBJS.J.00906
65. Schmid SL, Farshad M, Catanzaro S, Gerber C. The Latarjet Procedure for the Treatment of Recurrence of Anterior Instability of the Shoulder After Operative Repair. *J Bone Jt Surgery- American Vol.* 2012;94(11):e75-e77. doi:10.2106/JBJS.K.00380
66. Shah AA, Butler RB, Romanowski J, Goel D, Karadagli D, Warner JJP. Short-term complications of the Latarjet procedure. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2012;94(6):495-501. doi:10.2106/JBJS.J.01830
67. Latarjet M. Technic of coracoid preglenoid arthroereisis in the treatment of recurrent dislocation of the shoulder. *Lyon Chir.* 1958;54(4):604-607. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13576973>. Accessed May 7, 2019.
68. Hurley ET, Jamal MS, Ali ZS, Montgomery C, Pauzenberger L, Mullett H. Long-term outcomes of the Latarjet procedure for anterior shoulder instability: a systematic review of studies at 10-year follow-up. *J Shoulder Elb Surg.* 2019;28(2):e33-e39. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2018.08.028
69. Rollick N, Ono Yohei, kurji Hafeez, et al. Long-term outcomes of Bankart and Latarjet repairs: a systematic review. *J Sports Med.* 2017;8:97-105. doi:10.2147/OAJSM.S106983
70. Boileau P, Gendre P, Baba M, et al. A guided surgical approach and novel fixation method for arthroscopic Latarjet. *J Shoulder Elb Surg.* 2016;25(1):78-89. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2015.06.001

71. Galvin JW, Ernat JJ, Waterman BR, Stadercker MJ, Parada SA. The Epidemiology and Natural History of Anterior Shoulder Instability. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med.* 2017;10(4):411-424. doi:10.1007/s12178-017-9432-5
72. Glazebrook H, Miller B, Wong I. Anterior Shoulder Instability: A Systematic Review of the Quality and Quantity of the Current Literature for Surgical Treatment. *Orthop J Sport Med.* 2018;6(11):232596711880598. doi:10.1177/2325967118805983
73. Kavaja L, Lähdeoja T, Malmivaara A, Paavola M. Treatment after traumatic shoulder dislocation: a systematic review with a network meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med.* 2018;52(23):1498-1506. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2017-098539
74. Wasserstein D, Dwyer T, Veillette C, et al. Predictors of Dislocation and Revision After Shoulder Stabilization in Ontario, Canada, From 2003 to 2008. *Am J Sports Med.* 2013;41(9):2034-2040. doi:10.1177/0363546513492952
75. Waterman BR, Burns TC, McCrisky B, Kilcoyne K, Cameron KL, Owens BD. Outcomes after bankart repair in a military population: predictors for surgical revision and long-term disability. *Arthroscopy.* 2014;30(2):172-177. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2013.11.004
76. Mahure SA, Mollon B, Capogna BM, Zuckerman JD, Kwon YW, Rokito AS. Risk factors for recurrent instability or revision surgery following arthroscopic Bankart repair. *Bone Joint J.* 2018;100-B(3):324-330. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.100B3.BJJ-2017-0557.R1
77. Kim S-H, Ha K-I, Kim Y-M. Arthroscopic revision Bankart repair. *Arthrosc J Arthrosc Relat Surg.* 2002;18(5):469-482. doi:10.1053/jars.2002.32230
78. Levine WN, Arroyo JS, Pollock RG, Flatow EL, Bigliani LU. Open Revision Stabilization Surgery for Recurrent Anterior Glenohumeral Instability. *Am J Sports Med.* 2000;28(2):156-160. doi:10.1177/03635465000280020401
79. Meehan RE, Petersen SA. Results and factors affecting outcome of revision surgery for shoulder instability. *J shoulder Elb Surg.* 2005;14(1):31-37. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2004.05.005
80. Tauber M, Resch H, Forstner R, Raffl M, Schauer J. Reasons for failure after surgical repair of anterior shoulder instability. *J shoulder Elb Surg.* 13(3):279-285. doi:10.1016/S1058274604000254
81. Balg F, Boileau P. The instability severity index score. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2007;89- B(11):1470-1477. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.89B11.18962
82. Burkhart SS, De Beer JF. Traumatic glenohumeral bone defects and their relationship to failure of arthroscopic Bankart repairs: significance of the inverted-pear glenoid and the humeral engaging Hill-Sachs lesion. *Arthroscopy.* 2000;16(7):677-694. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11027751>. Accessed July 1, 2018.
83. Boileau P, Villalba M, Héry J-Y, Balg F, Ahrens P, Neyton L. Risk factors for recurrence of shoulder instability after arthroscopic Bankart repair. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006;88(8):1755- 1763. doi:10.2106/JBJS.E.00817
84. Boileau P, O'Shea K, Vargas P, Pinedo M, Old J, Zumstein M. Anatomical and Functional Results After Arthroscopic Hill-Sachs Remplissage. *J Bone Jt Surgery-American Vol.* 2012;94(7):618-626. doi:10.2106/JBJS.K.00101
85. Sayegh ET, Mascarenhas R, Chalmers PN, Cole BJ, Verma NN, Romeo AA. Allograft Reconstruction for Glenoid Bone Loss in Glenohumeral Instability: A Systematic Review. *Arthrosc J Arthrosc Relat Surg.* 2014;30(12):1642-1649. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2014.05.007
86. Ho AG, Gowda AL, Michael Wiater J. Evaluation and treatment of failed shoulder instability procedures. *J Orthop Traumatol.* 2016;17(3):187-197. doi:10.1007/s10195-016-0409-8
87. Plancher KD, Lipnick SL. Analysis of Evidence-Based Medicine for Shoulder Instability. *Arthrosc J Arthrosc Relat Surg.* 2009;25(8):897-908. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2009.03.017

88. Kirkley A, Griffin S, McLintock H, Ng L. The Development and Evaluation of a Disease-Specific Quality of Life Measurement Tool for Shoulder Instability. *Am J Sports Med.* 1998;26(6):764- 772. doi:10.1177/03635465980260060501
89. Rowe CR, Patel D, Southmayd WW. The Bankart procedure: a long-term end-result study. *J Bone Jt Surg Am.* 1978;60(1):1-16.
90. Williams GN, Gangel TJ, Arciero RA, Uhorchak JM, Taylor DC. Comparison of the Single Assessment Numeric Evaluation method and two shoulder rating scales. Outcomes measures after shoulder surgery. *Am J Sports Med.* 27(2):214-221.
91. Richards RR, An K-N, Bigliani LU, et al. A standardized method for the assessment of shoulder function. *J Shoulder Elb Surg.* 1994;3(6):347-352. doi:10.1016/S1058-2746(09)80019-0
92. Skutek M, Fremerey RW, Zeichen J BU. Outcome analysis following open rotator cuff repair. Early effectiveness validated using four different shoulder assessment scales. - PubMed - NCBI. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2000;120(7-8):432-436. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10968533>. Accessed May 19, 2019.
93. L'Insalata JC, Warren RF, Cohen SB, Altchek DW, Peterson MG. A self-administered questionnaire for assessment of symptoms and function of the shoulder. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1997;79(5):738-748. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9160947>. Accessed May 19, 2019.
94. Vermeulen HM, Boonman DC, Schüller HM, et al. Translation, adaptation and validation of the Shoulder Rating Questionnaire (SRQ) into the Dutch language. *Clin Rehabil.* 2005;19(3):300- 311. doi:10.1191/0269215505cr811oa
95. Watson L, Story I, Dalziel R, Hoy G, Shimmin A, Woods D. A new clinical outcome measure of glenohumeral joint instability: The MISS questionnaire. *J Shoulder Elb Surg.* 2005;14(1):22-30. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2004.05.002
96. Hudak PL, Amadio PC, Bombardier C, et al. Development of an upper extremity outcome measure: the DASH (disabilities of the arm, shoulder and hand) [corrected]. The Upper Extremity Collaborative Group (UECG). *Am J Ind Med.* 1996;29(6):602-608. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0274(199606)29:6<602::AID-AJIM4>3.0.CO;2-L
97. Veehof MM, Slegers EJA, van Veldhoven NHMJ, Schuurman AH, van Meeteren NLU. Psychometric qualities of the Dutch language version of the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand questionnaire (DASH-DLV). *J Hand Ther.* 15(4):347-354. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12449349>. Accessed March 5, 2019.
98. Kirkley A, Griffin S, McLintock H, Ng L. The Development and Evaluation of a Disease-Specific Quality of Life Measurement Tool for Shoulder Instability. *Am J Sports Med.* 1998;26(6):764- 772. doi:10.1177/03635465980260060501
99. Dawson J, Fitzpatrick R, Carr A. The assessment of shoulder instability. The development and validation of a questionnaire. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1999;81(3):420-426. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10872358>. Accessed March 5, 2019.
100. van der Linde JA, van Kampen DA, van Beers LWAH, van Deurzen DFP, Terwee CB, Willems WJ. The Oxford Shoulder Instability Score; validation in Dutch and first-time assessment of its smallest detectable change. *J Orthop Surg Res.* 2015;10(1):146. doi:10.1186/s13018-015- 0286-5
101. Constant CR, Murley AH. A clinical method of functional assessment of the shoulder. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1987;(214):160-164. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3791738>.
102. Tibone JE. Evaluation of treatment outcomes for the athlete's shoulder. In: *The Shoulder: A Balance of Mobility and Stability.* 1993.
103. Amstutz HC, Sew Hoy AL, Clarke IC. UCLA anatomic total shoulder arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* (155):7-20. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7226634>. Accessed May 19, 2019.

104. van Laarhoven HA, R te S, LM de B, Willems WJ. De Nederlandse Simpele Schouder Test, een efficiënte wijze van schouderfunctie bepaling. *Ned Tijdschr voor Orthop*. 2001;8.
105. Lippitt SMF. A practical tool for the evaluation of function: the simple shoulder test. In: *The Shoulder: A Balance of Mobility and Stability*. 1993.
106. Dawson J, Fitzpatrick R, Carr A. Questionnaire on the perceptions of patients about shoulder surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1996;78(4):593-600. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8682827>. Accessed September 30, 2018.
107. Berendes T, Pilot P, Willems J, Verburg H, te Slaa R. Validation of the Dutch version of the Oxford Shoulder Score. *J Shoulder Elb Surg*. 2010;19(6). doi:10.1016/j.jse.2010.01.017