



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Genetic variants contribute to differences in response and toxicity to drugs used in autoimmune diseases: Rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus

Dávila Fajardo, C.L.

Citation

Dávila Fajardo, C. L. (2020, September 29). *Genetic variants contribute to differences in response and toxicity to drugs used in autoimmune diseases: Rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/136914>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/136914>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/136914> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Dávila Fajardo, C.L.

Title: Genetic variants contribute to differences in response and toxicity to drugs used in autoimmune diseases: Rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus

Issue date: 2020-09-29

IL2/IL21 region polymorphism influences response to rituximab in systemic lupus erythematosus patients

Ana Márquez, Cristina Lucía Dávila-Fajardo, Gema Robledo, José Luis Callejas Rubio, Enrique de Ramón Garrido, Francisco J. García-Hernández, Rocío González-León, Raquel Ríos-Fernández, José Cabeza Barrera, María Francisca González-Escribano, Ma. Teresa Camps García, María Jesús Castillo Palma, María del Mar Ayala, Norberto Ortego-Centeno, Javier Martín

To determine whether the *IL2/IL21* region, a general autoimmunity locus, contributes to the observed variation in response to rituximab in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus as well as to analyze its influence in a cohort including other autoimmune diseases. rs6822844 G/T polymorphism at the *IL2–IL21* region was analyzed by TaqMan assay in 84 systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and 60 different systemic autoimmune diseases Spanish patients receiving rituximab. Six months after the first infusion patients were classified, according to the EULAR criteria, as good responders, partial responders and non-responders. A statistically significant difference was observed in GG genotype frequency between responder (total and partial response) (83.56%) and non-responder (45.45%) SLE patients ($p = 0.010$, odds ratio (OR) = 6.10 [1.28–29.06]). No association with the response was evident in the group of patients with autoimmune diseases other than lupus. Furthermore, when both groups of patients were pooled in a meta-analysis, a reduced statistical significance of the association was observed ($p = 0.024$, OR = 3.53 [1.06–11.64]). Our results show for a first time that *IL2–IL21* region seems to play a role in the response to rituximab in SLE patients but not in other autoimmune diseases.

INTRODUCTION

Rituximab is an anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody that suppresses inflammation effectively in multiple autoimmune diseases (AD) (1). It was initially approved by FDA for the treatment of B cell lymphomas and later for rheumatoid arthritis (RA) refractory to anti-tumor necrosis factor therapies (2, 3). The precise mechanisms by which rituximab exerts its effects are not fully understood. Different mechanisms have been proposed for explaining the therapeutic action of this drug in AD. On the one hand, rituximab is hypothesized to suppress disease injury by promoting rapid and long-term elimination of circulating and possibly lymphoid-tissue-associated B cells (4-6). On the other hand, rituximab-opsonized B cells may act as decoy immune complexes that effectively divert monocytes or macrophages from interactions with tissue associated immune complexes (7).

Recently, studies in the research field of pharmacogenetics have reported potential markers associated with clinical response on treatment with rituximab. In this way, polymorphisms located in *FcGR3A*, *IL6* and *TGFB1* genes seem to act as predictors of response in patients with RA (8-10).

Certain clinical factors have also been associated with a better response to rituximab, including the presence of positive rheumatoid factor in RA patients, positive Epstein-Barr virus in bone marrow, depletion of B cells after first infusion (11, 12) low levels of B lymphocyte stimulator (BLyS) and low levels of type I interferons (13, 14).

Several early clinical investigations of the combination of interleukin-2 (IL2) and rituximab have reported an increased efficacy of this drug by expansion of circulating NK cells, leading to an increased antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) (15, 16), therefore evidencing the key role played by this cytokine in rituximab response.

The *IL2/IL21* region at 4q27 is a susceptibility locus for multiple autoimmune diseases (17). Both genes, *IL2* and *IL21*, are plausible functional candidates as genetic modifiers of autoimmunity (18, 19). IL2 stimulates T cell proliferation and activation and regulates the adaptive immune response by stimulating both T-regulatory cells and activation-induced cell death in antigen-activated T cells. Although different polymorphisms in this region have been associated with autoimmunity, rs6822844 has been the most consistently replicated in independent studies and different populations (17, 20-25).

Polymorphisms in susceptibility genes for RA have been shown to be associated with treatment response (26, 27); we hypothesized that rs6822844, known to have a role in several autoimmune diseases, may also influence the response to rituximab therapy. Our main aim was to analyze the role of this genetic variant in the rituximab response in a

cohort of SLE patients and, additionally, to check whether this polymorphism is a common factor influencing the response in different autoimmune disorders.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and treatment

In the present study were included 84 SLE patients and 60 patients with other systemic autoimmune diseases (16 patients (26.7%) presented different inflammatory myopathies including polymyositis and dermatomyositis, 16 (26.7%) were ANCA-mediated vasculitis patients including Wegener's granulomatosis, Churg–Strauss syndrome and microscopic polyangiitis and the remaining 29 (48.3%) patients presented other systemic autoimmune diseases, such as systemic sclerosis, Sjögren's syndrome, rheumatoid arthritis and autoimmune haemolytic anemia), all of them Spanish Caucasian patients treated with rituximab. Patients were recruited from three university medical centers (Hospital Universitario San Cecilio, Granada; Hospital Carlos Haya, Málaga; Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla). The main characteristics of the patients enrolled in this study are shown in Table 8.1.

The administered intravenous dose of rituximab was 375 mg/m² weekly for 4 weeks in most cases, although some patients received 1,000 mg twice at an interval of fifteen days. Clinical response was evaluated 6 months after the first infusion of rituximab, according to the ACR and EULAR recommendations (28–30). The criteria used to evaluate the response to rituximab in different autoimmune diseases have already been described in detail elsewhere (1). Complete response was defined as disappearance of all symptoms and signs of the systemic disease that recommended the use of rituximab. Partial response was defined as a significant improvement (at least 50%) of initial disease activity, based on clinical judgment. Responders included complete responders and partial responders; no response was defined as no significant improvement or worsening of the disease. Concomitant and previous treatments are shown in Table 8.1. The study was approved by an ethic committee, and all patients gave written informed consent before participation.

Genotyping

DNA was isolated from whole peripheral blood, using standard procedures. *IL2–IL21* SNP (rs6822844) genotyping was performed using the Taqman allelic discrimination assay technology in a 7,500 real-time PCR system, from applied biosystems (Foster City, California, USA). The genotype call rate was 100% for the tested genetic variant. The probes were labeled with the fluorescent dyes VIC and FAM and PCR reaction was carried.

Table 8.1: Main characteristics of systemic autoimmune diseases patients treated with rituximab included in this study

Characteristics	n (%)
Female	114 (79.16)
Male	30 (20.83)
Systemic autoimmune diseases	
Systemic lupus erythematosus	84 (57.64)
Inflammatory myopathies	16 (11.11)
ANCA-mediated vasculitis	16 (11.11)
Sjögren's syndrome	4 (2.77)
Systemic sclerosis	5 (3.47)
Hemolytic autoimmune anemia	3 (2.08)
Pemphigus vulgaris	3 (2.08)
Mixed connective tissue disease	2 (1.38)
Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura	4 (2.77)
Cryoglobulinemia	2 (1.38)
Rheumatoid arthritis	3 (2.08)
Axonal polyneuropathy associated with HCV	1 (0.69)
Autoimmune thrombocytopenia	1 (0.69)
Sarcoidosis + RA	1 (0.69)
Previous therapies	
Corticosteroids	137 (95.14)
Cyclophosphamide	89 (61.80)
Methotrexate	52 (36.11)
Mycophenolate	50 (34.72)
Intravenous immunoglobulins	49 (34.02)
Antimalarials	38 (26.38)
Azathioprine	38 (26.38)
Cyclosporine A	11 (7.6)
Leflunomide	5 (3.4)
Other biologic therapies	5 (3.4)
Plasma exchange	3 (2)
Thalinomide	2 (1.38)
Other therapies	11 (7.63)
Concomitant therapies	
Corticosteroids	136 (94.4)
Antimalarials	13 (9)
Methotrexate	8 (5.5)
Azathioprine	8 (5.5)
Mycophenolate	7 (4.86)
Cyclophosphamide	8 (5.5)
Cyclosporine A	2 (1.38)
Intravenous immunoglobulins	3 (2.08)
Other therapies	2 (1.38)
Response (n = 144)	
Complete	87 (60.42)
Partial	40 (27.77)
No response	17 (11.81)

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis for allelic and genotypic distributions was calculated by Chi squared test or Fisher's exact test, when necessary, using the StatCalc software packages (Epi Info 2002; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA); p-values, odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated according to this software. p-value lower than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

The presence of heterogeneity between SLE and the remaining autoimmune diseases patients was tested on the basis of the Breslow–Day test using a significance level of 0.05 (StatsDirect, v. 2,6,6). Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed using STATA v. 10.

RESULTS

The clinical response of the treatment with rituximab was evaluated at month 6 after the first infusion, according to the EULAR and ACR criteria (1, 28-30). In the group of SLE patients, 49 patients (58.3%) responded well to the treatment, 24 (28.6%) were considered as partial responders and 11 (13.1%) were considered non responders. In patients with other autoimmune disorders, 38 patients (63.3%) showed a good response, 16 patients (26.7%) were considered as partial responders and 6 patients (10%) did not respond to the treatment. The response rate observed in patients including in our study is similar to those described in other studies (31, 32).

Genotypic and allele frequencies of the *IL2/IL21* polymorphism observed in responder and non-responder autoimmune diseases patients are summarized in Table 8.2. These frequencies were not significantly different from those previously described in Caucasian populations (22).

In SLE patients, both GG genotype and G allele frequencies were increased in responders compared with non-responders (83.6% vs 45.5%; $p = 0.010$, OR = 6.10 [1.28–29.06] and 91.8% vs 72.7%; $p = 0.016$, OR = 4.19 [1.12–14.06], respectively) (Table 8.2). Nevertheless, no differences between responder and non-responder patients were observed in the group of non-SLE patients (Table 8.2).

Subsequently, different systemic autoimmune diseases patients were pooled and homogeneity of odds ratio between SLE and the remaining autoimmune diseases patients was verified by Breslow-Day test ($p > 0.05$). In responders, GG genotype frequency was significantly increased with respect to non-responders (83.5% vs 58.82%; $p = 0.024$, OR = 3.53 [1.06–11.64]). Significant differences were also observed in the allelic frequencies between responder and non-responder patients (91.7% vs 79.4%; $p = 0.032$, OR = 2.88 [1.00–8.01]) (Table 8.2).

Table 8.2: Distribution of rs6822844 IL2/IL21 genetic variant in systemic lupus erythematosus, non-systemic lupus erythematosus and autoimmune diseases patients

Disease	Subgroup (n)	Genotype, n (%)			Genotype test			Allele test	
		GG	GT	TT	p-value ^a	OR (95% CI)	G allele frequency (%)	p-value	OR (95% CI)
SLE	Non-responders (n = 11)	5 (45.45)	6 (54.55)	0			72.70		
	Responders (n = 73)	61 (83.56)	12 (16.44)	0	0.010	6.10 (1.28–29.060)	91.78	0.016	4.19 (1.12–14.06)
Non-SLE	Non-responders (n = 6)	5 (83.33)	1 (16.67)	0			91.67		
	Responders (n = 54)	45 (83.33)	9 (16.67)	0	0.683	1.00 (0.02–10.67)	91.69	0.666	1.00 (0.02–8.55)
Autoimmune diseases pooled	Non-responders (n = 17)	10 (58.82)	7 (41.18)	0			79.41		
	Responders (n = 127)	106 (83.46)	21 (16.54)	0	0.024	3.53 (1.06–11.64)	91.73	0.032	2.88 (1.00–8.01)

^a P-values have been calculated comparing responders carrying GG versus non-responders carrying GG.

SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus.

Bold text denotes significant p-values.

Finally, to evaluate if socio-demographic variables and concomitant therapies could be confounding the observed association in SLE patients, a multivariate logistic regression analysis, considering the effect of the GG genotype on the rituximab response as the dependent variable and gender, age and concomitant therapies as independent variables, was performed. As shown in Table 8.3, this analysis observed no confounding factors.

Table 8.3: Multivariable model of *IL2/IL21* rs6822844 GG genotype carriage adjusting for potential confounding factors in systemic lupus erythematosus

	p-value	OR (95% CI)
rs6822844 GG genotype unadjusted	0.008 ^a	6.1 (1.60–23.26) ^a
Adjusted for individual covariates		
Gender	0.007 ^b	6.37 (1.64–24.67) ^b
Age	0.012 ^b	6.63 (1.51–29.11) ^b
Corticosteroids	0.005 ^b	7.38 (1.80–30.18) ^b
DMARDs	0.006 ^b	7.43 (1.78–31.03) ^b
Other therapies	0.006 ^b	7.13 (1.74–29.18) ^b
Adjusted for all the covariates	0.016 ^b	6.43 (1.42–21.07) ^b

^a p-value and OR correspond to the effect of the rs6822844 GG genotype in rituximab response.

^b p-values and OR correspond to the effect of the rs6822844 GG genotype in rituximab response adjusted for different covariates considered individually and altogether. DMARDs, disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs.

DISCUSSION

Treatment with rituximab results in a reduction of disease activity in most autoimmune diseases patients. However, a percentage of patients do not respond to this therapy and/or experience toxicity (33). The reason for this non-response is unknown, but genetic and environmental factors are thought to be implicated. Given the potential toxicities and the high cost of rituximab therapy, it would be beneficial to predict whether an individual patient will benefit from this treatment, beforehand.

Knowledge about related genetic variants, mostly SNPs, may help to predict drug response or optimal dose in the individual patient. Classically, explorative pharmacogenetic association studies are aimed at finding polymorphisms potentially predictive (34).

Our results indicate that SLE patients homozygous for rs6822844 G allele show a better clinical response to rituximab at month 6 than patients with GT genotype. On the contrary, no association was evident in the group of non-SLE patients. It could be speculated that this lack of association was a consequence of a lower statistical power in the latter analysis;

however, it should be noted that no effect size was suggested in this case (i.e. OR = 1) and, in addition, a reduction of the statistical significance of the association was observed when the non-SLE patients were meta-analyzed with those showing SLE (which increases the statistical power). Taken together, our data suggest that the influence of the *IL2/IL21* rs6822844 polymorphism in the therapeutic response to rituximab is specific of the SLE condition.

Although the mechanism of action of rituximab remains unclear, accumulating data suggest that antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) may play a dominant role (35). ADCC is mediated through immune effector cells, mainly NK cells, via expression of an activating receptor for the Fc portion of IgG antibodies (FcGR). The majority of human NK cells are CD16 positive (FcGRIII) and express the intermediate affinity interleukin-2 receptor. It has been described that intermediate doses of IL2 are capable of expanding CD16 positive NK cells and activating cytotoxic effector functions, including ADCC activity (36-40).

Several studies have demonstrated that this ability of IL2 to promote NK cell expansion and cytotoxicity influences the efficiency of rituximab treatment and correlates with the clinical response (15, 16, 41-44). Furthermore, the relationship between IL2 and the efficacy of rituximab is supported by the fact that soluble interleukin-2 receptor is used as a prognostic factor in patients with lymphoma receiving rituximab (45-49).

An alteration of the function of B cells is a key factor contributing to SLE pathophysiology; however, some clinical trials with rituximab in this disease have failed to show efficacy. Murine models of SLE based on antibody mediated cellular depletion evidenced that this lack of efficacy can be explained by a defect in macrophage and neutrophil IgG-dependent phagocytosis induced by serum IgG (50). In this context, the role of IL2 promoting rituximab-mediated ADCC could become more critical in the efficacy of rituximab in lupus than in other autoimmune diseases in which this drug acts through all its mechanisms.

The *FCGR3A-158* polymorphism is currently shown to enhance rituximab mediated ADCC and improve clinical response to this drug (51). Similarly, rs6822844 variant could affect the cytotoxic activity of NK cells and, therefore, the efficacy of rituximab in SLE condition; although to date no functional studies analyzing this issue have been published.

In conclusion, we show for a first time that *IL2-IL21* rs6822844 G/T polymorphism influences the clinical efficacy of rituximab in SLE patients. The replication of this association in independent studies could enable the potential use of this variant as a pharmacogenetic marker.

REFERENCES

1. Ramos-Casals M, Garcia-Hernandez FJ, de Ramon E, Callejas JL, Martinez-Berriotxo A, Pallares L. et al. Off-label use of rituximab in 196 patients with severe, refractory systemic autoimmune diseases. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2010;28:468-76.
2. Keystone E, Burmester GR, Furie R, Loveless JE, Emery P, Kremer J, et al. Improvement in patient-reported outcomes in a rituximab trial in patients with severe rheumatoid arthritis refractory to anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy. *Arthr Rheum*. 2008;59:785-93.
3. Cohen SB, Emery P, Greenwald MW, Dougados M, Furie RA, Genovese MC, et al. Rituximab for rheumatoid arthritis refractory to anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy: results of a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial evaluating primary efficacy and safety at twenty-four weeks. *Arthr Rheum*. 2006;54:2793-806.
4. Edwards JC, Cambridge G. B-cell targeting in rheumatoid arthritis and other autoimmune diseases. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2006;6:394-403.
5. Eisenberg R, Albert D. B-cell targeted therapies in rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. *Nat Clin Pract Rheumatol*. 2006;2:20-7.
6. Sfikakis PP, Boletis JN, Tsokos GC. Rituximab anti-B-cell therapy in systemic lupus erythematosus: pointing to the future. *Curr Opin Rheumatol*. 2005;17:550-7.
7. Taylor RP, Lindorfer MA. Drug insight: the mechanism of action of rituximab in autoimmune disease—the immune complex decoy hypothesis. *Nat Clin Pract Rheumatol*. 2007;3:86-95.
8. Daien CI, Fabre S, Rittore C, Soler S, Daien V, Tejedor G, et al. TGF beta1 polymorphisms are candidate predictors of the clinical response to rituximab in rheumatoid arthritis. *Joint Bone Spine*. 2011;79(5):471-5.
9. Fabris M, Quartuccio L, Lombardi S, Saracco M, Atzeni F, Carletto A, et al. The CC homozygosis of the-174G[C IL-6 polymorphism predicts a lower efficacy of rituximab therapy in rheumatoid arthritis. *Autoimmun Rev*. 2012;11:315-20.
10. Ruysen-Witrand A, Rouanet S, Combe B, Dougados M, Le Loet X, Sibia J, et al. Fc gamma receptor type IIIA polymorphism influences treatment outcomes in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with rituximab. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2012;71:875-7.
11. Gibbons LJ, Hyrich KL. Biologic therapy for rheumatoid arthritis: clinical efficacy and predictors of response. *BioDrugs*. 2009;23:111-24.
12. Dass S, Rawstron AC, Vital EM, Henshaw K, McGonagle D, Emery P. Highly sensitive B cell analysis predicts response to rituximab therapy in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthr Rheum*. 2008;58:2993-9.
13. Fabris M, Quartuccio L, M Saracco, et al. BLYS promoter polymorphism and response to rituximab in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients positive or negative for the rheumatoid factor. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2009;Suppl 10:1678.
14. Thurlings RM, Boumans M, Tekstra J, van Roon JA, Vos K, van Westing DM, et al. Relationship between the type I interferon signature and the response to rituximab in rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Arthr Rheum*. 2010;62:3607-14.
15. Eisenbeis CF, Grainger A, Fischer B, Baiocchi RA, Carrodeguas L, Roychowdhury S, et al. Combination immunotherapy of B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma with rituximab and interleukin-2: a preclinical and phase I study. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2004;10:6101-10.
16. Gluck WL, Hurst D, Yuen A, Levine AM, Dayton MA, Gockerman JP, et al. Phase I studies of interleukin (IL)-2 and rituximab in B-cell non-hodgkin's lymphoma: IL-2 mediated natural killer cell expansion correlations with clinical response. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2004;10:2253-64.
17. Maiti AK, Kim-Howard X, Viswanathan P, Guillen L, Rojas-Villarraga A, Deshmukh H, et al. Confirmation of an association between rs6822844 at the IL2–IL21 region and multiple autoimmune diseases: evidence of a general susceptibility locus. *Arthr Rheum*. 2010;62:323-9.
18. Liao W, Lin JX, Leonard WJ. IL-2 family cytokines: new insights into the complex roles of IL-2 as a broad regulator of T helper cell differentiation. *Curr Opin Immunol*. 2011;23:598-604.

19. Spolski R, Leonard WJ. Interleukin-21: basic biology and implications for cancer and autoimmunity. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2008;26:57-79.
20. Albers HM, Kurreeman FA, Stoeken-Rijsbergen G, Brinkman DM, Kamphuis SS, van Rossum MA, et al. Association of the autoimmunity locus 4q27 with juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Arthr Rheum.* 2009;60:901-4.
21. Daha NA, Kurreeman FA, Marques RB, Stoeken-Rijsbergen G, Verduijn W, Huizinga TW, et al. Confirmation of STAT4, IL2/IL21, and CTLA4 polymorphisms in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthr Rheum.* 2009;60:1255-60.
22. Hollis-Moffatt JE, Chen-Xu M, Topless R, Dalbeth N, Gow PJ, Harrison AA, et al. Only one independent genetic association with rheumatoid arthritis within the KIAA1109-TENRMol IL2–IL21 locus in Caucasian sample sets: confirmation of association of rs6822844 with rheumatoid arthritis at a genome-wide level of significance. *Arthr Res Ther.* 2010;12:R116.
23. Liu Y, Helms C, Liao W, Zaba LC, Duan S, Gardner J, et al. A genome-wide association study of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis identifies new disease loci. *PLoS Genet.* 2008;4:e1000041.
24. Teixeira VH, Pierlot C, Migliorini P, Balsa A, Westhovens R, Barrera P, et al. Testing for the association of the KIAA1109/Tenr/IL2/IL21 gene region with rheumatoid arthritis in a European family-based study. *Arthr Res Ther.* 2009;11:R45.
25. Zhernakova A, Alizadeh BZ, Bevova M, van Leeuwen MA, Coenen MJ, Franke B, et al. Novel association in chromosome 4q27 region with rheumatoid arthritis and confirmation of type 1 diabetes point to a general risk locus for autoimmune diseases. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2007;81:1284-8.
26. Cui J, Saevarsdottir S, Thomson B, Padyukov L, van der Helmvan Mil AH, Nititham J, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis risk allele PTPRC is also associated with response to anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha therapy. *Arthr Rheum.* 2010;62:1849-61.
27. Tan RJ, Gibbons LJ, Potter C, Hyrich KL, Morgan AW, Wilson AG, et al. Investigation of rheumatoid arthritis susceptibility genes identifies association of AFF3 and CD226 variants with response to anti-tumour necrosis factor treatment. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2010;69:1029-35.
28. Criteria Renal Disease Subcommittee of the American College of Rheumatology Ad Hoc Committee on Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Response. The American College of Rheumatology response criteria for proliferative and membranous renal disease in systemic lupus erythematosus clinical trials. *Arthr Rheum.* 2006;54:421-32.
29. Hellmich B, Flossmann O, Gross WL, Bacon P, Cohen-Tervaert JW, Guillevin L, et al. EULAR recommendations for conducting clinical studies and/or clinical trials in systemic vasculitis: focus on anti-neutrophil cytoplasm antibody-associated vasculitis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2007;66:605-17.
30. Mosca M, Bombardieri S. Disease-specific quality indicators, guidelines, and outcome measures in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* 2007;25:107-13.
31. Ramos-Casals M, Brito-Zeron P, Munoz S, Soto MJ. A systematic review of the off-label use of biological therapies in systemic autoimmune diseases. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2008;87:345-64.
32. Tony HP, Burmester G, Schulze-Koops H, Grunke M, Henes J, Kotter I, et al. Safety and clinical outcomes of rituximab therapy in patients with different autoimmune diseases: experience from a national registry (GRAID). *Arthr Res Ther.* 2011;13:R75.
33. Gottenberg JE, Guillevin L, Lambotte O, Combe B, Allanore Y, Cantagrel A, et al. Tolerance and short term efficacy of rituximab in 43 patients with systemic autoimmune diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2005; 64:913-20.
34. Kooloos WM, Huizinga TW, Guchelaar HJ, Wessels JA. Pharmacogenetics in treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2010;16:164-75.
35. Weiner GJ. Rituximab: mechanism of action. *Semin Hematol.* 2010;47:115-23.
36. Baume DM, Robertson MJ, Levine H, Manley TJ, Schow PW, Ritz J. Differential responses to interleukin 2 define functionally distinct subsets of human natural killer cells. *Eur J Immunol.* 1992;22:1-6.

37. Caligiuri MA, Zmuidzinas A, Manley TJ, Levine H, Smith KA, Ritz J. Functional consequences of interleukin 2 receptor expression on resting human lymphocytes. Identification of a novel natural killer cell subset with high affinity receptors. *J Exp Med.* 1990;171:1509-26.
38. Carson WE, Parihar R, Lindemann MJ, Personeni N, Dierksheide J, Meropol NJ, et al. Interleukin-2 enhances the natural killer cell response to Herceptin-coated Her2/neu-positive breast cancer cells. *Eur J Immunol.* 2001;31:3016-25.
39. Dunne J, Lynch S, O'Farrelly C, Todryk S, Hegarty JE, Feighery C, et al. Selective expansion and partial activation of human NK cells and NK receptor-positive T cells by IL-2 and IL-15. *J Immunol.* 2001;167:3129-38.
40. Roda JM, Joshi T, Butchar JP, McAlees JW, Lehman A, Tridandapani S, et al. The activation of natural killer cell effector functions by cetuximab-coated, epidermal growth factor receptor positive tumor cells is enhanced by cytokines. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2007;13:6419-28.
41. Friedberg JW, Neuberger D, Gribben JG, Fisher DC, Canning C, Koval M, et al. Combination immunotherapy with rituximab and interleukin 2 in patients with relapsed or refractory follicular non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Br J Haematol.* 2002;117:828-34.
42. Lopes de Menezes DE, Denis-Mize K, Tang Y, Ye H, Kunich JC, Garrett EN, et al. Recombinant interleukin-2 significantly augments activity of rituximab in human tumor xenograft models of B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *J Immunother.* 2007;30:64-74.
43. Poire X, Kline J, Grinblatt D, Zimmerman T, Conner K, Muhs C, et al. Phase II study of immunomodulation with granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor, interleukin-2, and rituximab following autologous stem cell transplant in patients with relapsed or refractory lymphomas. *Leuk Lymphoma.* 2010;51:1241-50.
44. Schliemann C, Palumbo A, Zuberbuhler K, Villa A, Kaspar M, Trachsel E, et al. Complete eradication of human B-cell lymphoma xenografts using rituximab in combination with the immunocytokine L19-IL2. *Blood.* 2009;113:2275-83.
45. Ennishi D, Yokoyama M, Terui Y, Asai H, Sakajiri S, Mishima Y, et al. Soluble interleukin-2 receptor retains prognostic value in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma receiving rituximab plus CHOP (RCHOP) therapy. *Ann Oncol.* 2009;20:526-33.
46. Goto N, Tsurumi H, Goto H, Shimomura YI, Kasahara S, Hara T, et al. Serum soluble interleukin-2 receptor (sIL-2R) level is associated with the outcome of patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma treated with R-CHOP regimens. *Ann Hematol.* 2012;91:705-14.
47. Hamamoto S, Tozawa K, Nishio H, Kawai N, Kohri K. Successful treatment of primary malignant lymphoma of the penis by organ-preserving rituximab-containing chemotherapy. *Int J Clin Oncol.* 2012;17:181-4.
48. Morito T, Fujihara M, Asaoku H, Tari A, Sato Y, Ichimura K, et al. Serum soluble interleukin-2 receptor level and immunophenotype are prognostic factors for patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Cancer Sci.* 2009;100:1255-60.
49. Oki Y, Kato H, Matsuo K, Kuwatsuka Y, Taji H, Yamamoto K, et al. Prognostic value of serum soluble interleukin-2 receptor level in patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma, treated with CHOP-or RCHOP-based therapy. *Leuk Lymphoma.* 2008;49:1345-51.
50. Ahuja A, Teichmann LL, Wang H, Dunn R, Kehry MR, Shlomchik MJ. An acquired defect in IgG-dependent phagocytosis explains the impairment in antibody-mediated cellular depletion in lupus. *J Immunol.* 2011;187:3888-94.
51. Hatjiharissi E, Xu L, Santos DD, Hunter ZR, Ciccarelli BT, Verselis S, et al. Increased natural killer cell expression of CD16, augmented binding and ADCC activity to rituximab among individuals expressing the Fc{gamma}RIIIa-158 V/V and V/F polymorphism. *Blood.* 2007;110:2561-4.

