



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Child sexual abuse in the digital era : Rethinking legal frameworks and transnational law enforcement collaboration**

Witting, S.K.

### **Citation**

Witting, S. K. (2020, June 11). *Child sexual abuse in the digital era : Rethinking legal frameworks and transnational law enforcement collaboration*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/96242>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/96242>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/96242> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Witting, S.K.

**Title:** Child sexual abuse in the digital era : Rethinking legal frameworks and transnational law enforcement collaboration

**Issue Date:** 2020-06-11



Universiteit  
Leiden

Child Sexual Abuse in the Digital Era:  
Rethinking Legal Frameworks and Transnational  
Law Enforcement Collaboration

Sabine K. Witting

Child Sexual Abuse in the Digital Era:  
Rethinking Legal Frameworks and Transnational  
Law Enforcement Collaboration

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,  
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties  
te verdedigen op donderdag 11 juni 2020  
klokke 15.00 uur

door  
Sabine Katharina Witting  
geboren te Berchtesgaden, Duitsland  
in 1989

Promotores: Prof. dr. J.J. Sloth-Nielsen  
Prof. dr. S. van der Hof

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. T. Liefwaard  
Prof. dr. J. E. Doek  
Prof. dr. E. Lievens (Ghent University, Belgium)  
Prof. dr. A. A. Gillespie (Lancaster University, UK)  
Prof. dr. J.M. ten Voorde

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION.....1**

**I. BACKGROUND .....1**

**II. AIM AND RELEVANCE OF THE STUDY .....2**

**III. PROBLEM STATEMENT AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS .....4**

**IV. METHODOLOGY .....5**

**V. SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS .....8**

**VI. OUTLINE OF THE STUDY .....10**

**CHAPTER II: THE ‘GRAYSCALE’ OF CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE MATERIAL: OF MANGAS, AVATARS AND SCHOOLGIRLS.....15**

**I. WHEN TECHNOLOGY OUTPACES THE LAW: EMERGING ASPECTS OF CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE MATERIAL .....16**

**II. WHAT CONSTITUTES HARM TO CHILDREN? OF OFFENCES WITHOUT IMMEDIATE VICTIMS .....16**

**III. PERSPECTIVE(S) OF INTERNATIONAL LAW .....18**

**IV. FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND RIGHT TO PRIVACY VS. PUBLIC WELFARE AND CHILD PROTECTION: NATIONAL RESPONSES TO THE ‘GRAYSCALE’ .....24**

**V. STRIKING THE BALANCE.....32**

**VI. CONCLUSION .....37**

**CHAPTER III: REGULATING BODIES: THE MORAL PANIC OF CHILD SEXUALITY IN THE DIGITAL ERA.....39**

**I. INTRODUCTION .....40**

**II. *O TEMPORA, O MORES!*: TEENAGE SEXUALITY AND DIGITALISATION ....42**

**III. TEENAGE SEXTING ACROSS THE WORLD: USA, CANADA, GERMANY .....44**

**IV. REGULATING BODIES: BALANCING AUTONOMY AND PROTECTION .....59**

**V. PREVENTING RISK FROM TURNING INTO HARM IN THE DIGITAL SPACE 66**

**CHAPTER IV: LEVERAGING INTERNATIONAL LAW TO STRENGTHEN THE NATIONAL LEGAL FRAMEWORK ON CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE MATERIAL IN NAMIBIA.....67**

**I. INTRODUCTION .....68**

**II. ONLINE CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE IN NAMIBIA.....69**

**III. INTERNATIONAL LAW ON ONLINE CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE IN NAMIBIA .73**

**IV. CONCLUSION .....82**

**CHAPTER V: DO UT DES: DISSEMINATING ONLINE CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE MATERIAL FOR INVESTIGATIVE PURPOSES?.....85**

I.	TASK FORCE ARGOS - FOR THE 'GREATER GOOD'?	86
II.	THE CURRENT LEGAL SITUATION IN GERMANY	87
III.	OF CONSENT, RIGHT TO PRIVACY AND ENDS-MEANS RELATIONS	88
IV.	RULE OF LAW OR THE CONCEPT OF THE LESSER EVIL?	90
V.	CONCLUSION	92
	CHAPTER VI: TRANSNATIONAL BY DEFAULT: CONTEXTUALISING CROSS-BORDER LAW ENFORCEMENT COLLABORATION IN ONLINE CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE CASES	95
I.	TRANSNATIONAL BY DEFAULT: ONLINE CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE RESPECTS NO BORDERS	96
II.	(EXTRA)TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION	98
III.	MUTUAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE AND EXTRADITION	106
IV.	CHILD SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS IN TRANSNATIONAL LAW ENFORCEMENT	111
V.	CONCLUSION	116
	CHAPTER VII: CONCLUSION	117
I.	KEY FINDINGS FOR RESEARCH QUESTIONS	117
II.	KEY FINDINGS FOR PROBLEM STATEMENT	123
III.	FINAL REMARKS	124
	REFERENCES	127
	PUBLICATIONS	141
	SUMMARY	143
	SAMENVATTING (DUTCH SUMMARY)	147
	CURRICULUM VITAE	151

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The complexity of prevention and response to the horrific offence of online child sexual abuse has been of great interest to me since I started working on the topic in 2016 in Namibia. When I went for dinner with Prof Julia Sloth-Nielsen in Windhoek in 2017, I had no idea that I would have a PhD supervisor two hours later. But she immediately believed in the importance of the topic and, more importantly, in me as a PhD candidate. I am proud to have joined the ranks of the 'army' of child-rights advocates whom she has educated, inspired and supervised around the world. Prof Sloth-Nielsen has not only provided her immense technical expertise in the area of children's rights, but has been a role model, a life coach, and a constant source of wisdom for any questions that come to mind. Therefore, I would like to thank her deeply for her role as my primary supervisor.

Furthermore, I would like to thank Prof van der Hof for her role as co-supervisor. From the outset she has been passionate about the topic and crucial in merging the cybercrime with the child protection lens. Even though I could visit Leiden Law School only rarely, Prof van der Hof contributed significantly to making my short stay there a remarkable experience. This includes her constant efforts to guide me through the technicalities of the PhD procedure at Leiden University and her explanation of the specificities of the Dutch PhD procedure. Therefore, I wish to thank Prof van der Hof for her relentless work in making my PhD a success, and for her invaluable contribution, specifically from the eLaw perspective.

I would also like to take this opportunity to thank all my colleagues in the eLaw and the Child Law Department at Leiden University for their hospitality during my visits, for their interest in my research, and for championing a spirit of mutual support. This is a trait which is unfortunately not common in the legal fraternity, and I shall always aspire to demonstrate the same spirit in my interactions with fellow researchers and academics.

Further, I would like to thank a group of child-rights experts who have been at the forefront of my PhD journey both in Namibia and Zimbabwe and a great source of strength to me: Karen Hollely, for pushing me in the right direction, for critical interdisciplinary discussions, and for cheering me up when times were rough; Simon Mason, for being a true source of inspiration, for giving me insight into his complex work, and for always looking out for my personal and professional wellbeing; Jolanda van Westering, for giving me the opportunity to start a career in child online safety, for always appreciating my 'legal mind', and for being a champion of women's empowerment; and, lastly, Frauke de Kort, for her constant support of my academic career, for trusting me to juggle various tasks, and for championing a true child-rights approach.

Lastly, I would like to thank my family and friends for their support of and commitment to my studies. In particular, I would like to thank Irene Lang von Hirschberg for always cheering from the side-lines; my wonderful sister, Eva Galler, and her husband, Stefan Galler, who gave me moral support throughout this process and never shied away from debating complex issues in my PhD research while on holiday. Finally, I wish to thank my mother, Claudia Witting. Her strength, wisdom and reflections on this difficult topic have been the cornerstone of this study. I dedicate this research to her.