

Processing Lexical Bundles

Lensink, S.E.

Citation

Lensink, S. E. (2020, June 4). *Processing Lexical Bundles. LOT dissertation series*. LOT, Amsterdam. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/92886

Version: Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/92886

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/92886 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Lensink, S.E.

Title: Processing Lexical Bundles

Issue Date: 2020-06-04

Stellingen

Behorende bij het proefschrift

Processing lexical bundles

Saskia E. Lensink

- 1. So far, there is no evidence that additional language experience has any measurable consequences for how lexical bundles are read, which does not concur with predictions from a usage-based approach.
- 2. Even though lexical bundles are processed as wholes, the language system also analyses their internal structure and takes into account their constituent parts in parallel.
- 3. Measures extracted from a discriminative model of language are better predictors of eye-tracking data than traditional frequency measures, and moreover provide a more complete and nuanced view on how both top-down and bottom-up processes contribute to processing.
- 4. Lexical access to lexical bundles involves similar stages as lexical access to single words.
- 5. Linguistic theory should consider the possibility that units of form and meaning are not necessarily single words or opaque idioms, but could also consist of transparent, frequent combinations of words.
- 6. Carrying out and reporting on replications should become a standard practice in experimental linguistics, and preferably in any experimental field.
- 7. Linguistics should fully embrace the opportunities offered by machine learning, for it can bring to light new and unexpected patterns, offering us new ideas, insights, and questions.
- 8. Despite the great opportunities offered by quantitative methods, much of language is unquantifiable (humor, creativity, pragmatics...). Linguists should never stop trying to study these unquantifiable parts.
- 9. Any Artificial Intelligence strategy should advice on when to rely on a machine's intelligence and suggestions, as humans can be biased and blind for the unexpected, and when to rely on human intelligence, insights, and interpretations, as a machine's output is just as biased as the data we feed it, and its answers fully depend on the questions we ask it.
- 10. Every time you hire a humanities graduate, your organization's capacity to ask the relevant questions increases.