



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

What's the issue? : the lobbying and representativeness of political parties on specific policy issues

Romeijn, J.

Citation

Romeijn, J. (2020, June 2). *What's the issue? : the lobbying and representativeness of political parties on specific policy issues*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/92367>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/92367>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/92367> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Romeijn, J.

Title: What's the issue? : the lobbying and representativeness of political parties on specific policy issues

Issue Date: 2020-06-02

What's the issue?

The lobbying and representativeness of political parties on specific policy issues

Jeroen Romeijn

What's the issue?

The lobbying and representativeness of political parties on specific policy issues

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van

de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 2 juni 2020 klokke 16:15 uur

door

Jeroen Romeijn
Geboren te Quito,
1990

PROMOTOR

Prof. dr. A. Rasmussen (Leiden University & University of Copenhagen)

Co-promotor

Dr. D.D. Toshkov (Leiden University)

Doctorate committee

Prof. dr. E.H. Allern (University of Oslo)

Prof. dr. S.M. Groeneveld (Leiden University)

Dr. T.P. Louwse (Leiden University)

Prof. mr. dr. E.R. Müller (Leiden University)

Prof. dr. A. Timmermans (Leiden University)

This dissertation was written within the GovLis project “When does government listen to the Public: Interest groups and policy responsiveness” (NWO VIDI Grant 452-12-008 and Danish Council for Independent Research Sapere Aude Grant 0602- 02642B, awarded to Anne Rasmussen).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A good friend once told me: "It's just 150 pages and you have four years to write them, how hard can it be?" Well, hard enough that there are more people to thank than I have the space to mention, including the aforementioned friend who reminded me to keep thinking about the final product: the book in front of you.

First of all, I am grateful to my parents Paul and Dineke, Elske, Stef and my grandmother Manske, who in addition to all her support kept me grounded by remarking I was pursuing a PhD in "*weet-niet-kunde*".

My thanks go to the entire GovLis-family, but especially to my fellow PhD students Linda and Wiebke. Thank you for many great memories, laughter, long hours of peering over datasets and scripts, many great trips and even more great, often Lebanese, food. And, of course, my supervisors, Anne and Dimiter, to whom I am grateful for their support, journeys to conferences and Texas, as well as many rounds of feedback combined with unwavering faith in my academic abilities. My thanks also go out to the members of the committee for their time and constructive comments.

Doing this degree gave me the opportunity to get to know and work with many great colleagues. Firstly at the institute of Public Administration, where Daniëlle, Eduard, Marieke, Machiel, Wout, Mark, Wout, Bernard, Adria, Moritz, Erin, Emily, Samir, Bert and Caelesta were among many with whom I enjoyed discussions, coffee and beers. There is also the "interest group family": a welcoming coalition of strange bed fellows consisting of pyjama elfs and many others: thank you. Thirdly, I would like to thank my new colleagues at the Ministry for their warm welcome and putting up with my long-winded excitement over normal distributions.

Saskia, Nienke, Tobey, Kirsten, Tim, Joep, Bratwurstbende and many others: thank you for your friendship and sharing all the highs and lows of this process, your support, advice and willingness to always listen (for ages), whether in the Hague, Bommel, Budapest, Scotland, Japan, Mali, or simply over the phone.

Finally, and most importantly, thank you Nick. Your love, (nearly) endless patience and *lomme opmerkingen* make me happier, laugh more and have taught me to like the man I am. No one knows where and who I (and this dissertation) would be without you, but I am for sure happier thanks to you.

Thank you all.

Jeroen

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1	Introduction	11
1.1	Introduction	13
1.2	Political parties as representatives of public preferences	15
1.3	(Limitations to) existing studies of the representative role and capacity of political parties	16
1.4	The benefits of adding the study of specific policy issues to the study of political parties	18
1.5	Interest groups as alternatives for political parties	20
1.6	The policy impact of ties between interest groups and political parties	22
1.7	Methodological approach	26
1.8	Overview and outlook	29
Chapter 2	Do political parties listen to the(ir) public? Public opinion-party linkage on specific policy issues	33
2.1	Introduction	35
2.2	Policy outcomes and representation of the public	36
2.3	Political parties and representation of the public	37
2.4	Theorizing the positions of political parties on specific policy issues	38
2.5	Research design	40
2.6	Analysis	46
2.7	Conclusion	50
Chapter 3	Dynamics of Regulatory Policymaking in Sweden: The Role of Media Advocacy and Public Opinion	53
3.1	Introduction	55
3.2	Public opinion and interest groups as drivers of public policy	57
3.3	The hypothesized effects of public support and media advocacy on political attention and policy change	59
3.4	Research design	61
3.5	Empirical analyses	64
3.6	Conclusion	74

Chapter 4	Party Collaboration as a Route to Advocacy Success? The Decision of Whom to Lobby	79
4.1	Introduction	81
4.2	Group-party linkage in existing research	83
4.3	Theoretical framework: The benefits for organized interests of working with political parties	84
4.3	Research design	88
4.4	Measuring the independent variables	90
4.5	Analysis and results	94
4.6	Conclusion	98
Chapter 5	Lobbying during government formations: Do policy advocates attain their preferences in coalition agreements?	103
5.1	Introduction	105
5.2	Coalition agreements and their appeal to lobbyists	107
5.3	Lobbying coalition agreement negotiations	108
5.4	Case selection and research design	111
5.5	Analysis and results	117
5.6	Conclusion	121
Chapter 6	Conclusion	125
6.1	Introduction and conclusions per chapter	127
6.2	Broader conclusions	130
6.3	Limitations and future research	134
6.4	Concluding remarks	137
	Bibliography	139
	Appendix	155
	Dutch Summary	207
	CV	215

