

Towards an interspecies health policy : great apes and the right to health $\mbox{\sc Nieuwland},\mbox{\sc J}.$

Citation

Nieuwland, J. (2020, May 13). *Towards an interspecies health policy : great apes and the right to health*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/87894

Version: Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/87894

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/87894 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Nieuwland, J.

Title: Towards an interspecies health policy: great apes and the right to health

Issue Date: 2020-05-13

Stellingen

behorende bij het proefschrift

Towards an Interspecies Health Policy: Great Apes and the Right to Health

door I. Nieuwland

- 1. Whereas One Health paves the way for recognizing interdependence across species boundaries, it too often serves to broaden the scope only incrementally.
- 2. Philosophical engagement with One Health is insufficiently attuned to non-Western philosophical traditions, which is especially problematic given the plea for cross-disciplinary collaboration.
- 3. Well-being is about collectives supporting individuals to actively shape and endorse their own way of life.
- 4. Even if great apes lack autonomy, it is morally unacceptable to deny them their freedom.
- 5. Moral rights materialize as robust protection of significant interests, out of compassion, cutting across the various boundaries that separate humans from their fellow sentient beings.
- 6. Future generations will disavow the many ways in which modern humans treat non-human animals to date. However, based on insights drawn from moral psychology and metaphysics, they might very well refrain from either voicing harsh judgments or implying blame.
- 7. Staggering global inequalities and the ecological crisis challenge many core beliefs we hold dear, including the notion of progress and political neutrality regarding the good life.
- 8. A strict opposition between individual and collective is both conceptually and morally problematic.
- 9. Nature does not exist.
- 10. Practical philosophers need to ecologize.
- 11. While philosophical reasoning can bolster moral perception, we need to be particularly wary of its ability to lead us astray.
- 12. When it comes to shoes, it is foolish to follow the harlequin instead of the clown.