



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Exploring justice in extreme cases: Criminal law theory and international criminal law

Robinson, D.E.

Citation

Robinson, D. E. (2020, May 12). *Exploring justice in extreme cases: Criminal law theory and international criminal law*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/87892>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/87892>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/87892> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Robinson, D.E.

Title: Exploring justice in extreme cases: Criminal law theory and international criminal law

Issue Date: 2020-05-12

Exploring Justice in Extreme Cases:
Criminal Law Theory and International Criminal Law

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 12 mei 2020
klokke 11.15

door

Darryl Eric Robinson

geboren te Thompson, Canada

in 1971

Promotor: Prof. dr. C. Stahn

Copromotoren: Dr. G. Pinzauti
Dr. J.C. Powderly

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. W.A. Schabas
Dr. L. Lo Giacco
Dr. R.W. Heinsch
Prof. E. Van Sliedregt (University of Leeds, UK)
Prof dr. H.G. van der Wilt (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

Acknowledgements

I am particularly grateful to Carsten Stahn, who put me on to the path of pursuing doctoral studies at Leiden University. Carsten generously agreed to be my supervisor, and his judgement and wide-ranging knowledge have been invaluable in refining this thesis. I am also very grateful to Giulia Pinzauti and Joseph Powderly for their very helpful and generous contributions as supervisors.

It has taken me years – more years than I anticipated – to complete this project, because it sits at the intersection of multiple fields. The project draws on two fields of scholarship – criminal law theory and international criminal law – but developing a method to explore deontic constraints also required forays into moral philosophy and deeper epistemological theory.

I have benefited from discussions with a great number of colleagues and scholars. Among these scholars, in the field of international criminal law, are Kai Ambos, Diane Marie Anann, Ilias Bantekas, Elena Baylis, Alejandro Chehtman, Nancy Combs, Robert Cryer, Caroline Davidson, Randle DeFalco, Margaret (Meg) deGuzman, Mark Drumbl, Markus Dubber, Alexander (Sasha) Greenawalt, Adil Haque, Kevin Heller, Frédéric Mégret, Sarah Nouwen, Jens Ohlin, Leila Sadat, Elies van Sliedregt, Cassandra Steer, James Stewart, François Tanguy-Renaud, Jenia Turner, and Harmen van der Wilt. In addition, I have received helpful feedback at various conferences and workshops, including particularly from colleagues at Queen's University (Canada) and the University of Toronto, among whom I would especially thank Chris Essert, Jacob Weinrib, Vincent Chiao, Karen Knop, Markus Dubber and Malcolm Thorburn.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	5
List of Abbreviations	9

PART I: INTRODUCTION AND PROBLEM

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1	Context: Why Principles Matter	11
1.2	Research Gap and Question: How to Formulate Fundamental Principles of ICL?	
1.3	Contribution	19
1.4	Methodology	25
1.5	The Limited Scope of This Thesis	27

2. THE IDENTITY CRISIS OF INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW

2.1.	Context and Argument	34
2.2.	Interpretive Assumptions	42
2.3.	Substantive and Structural Conflation	55
2.4.	Ideological Assumptions (Sovereignty and Progress)	62
2.5.	After the Identity Crisis (The Deontic Turn)	69
2.6	Implications	71

PART II: PROPOSED SOLUTION

3. THE HUMANITY OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE

3.1.	Context and Argument	78
3.2.	Why Engage with Constraints: A Human Commitment	84
3.3.	Absorbing Common Criticisms: A Humanistic Account	96
3.4	Implications	108

4. FUNDAMENTALS WITHOUT FOUNDATIONS

4.1.	Terms: Fundamentals and Foundations	110
4.2.	Where Can We Find Fundamental Principles?	111
4.3	Mid-Level Principles and Coherentism	122
4.4	Justice: A Coherentist Approach	139

5. CRIMINAL LAW THEORY *IN EXTREMIS*

5.1.	Questions for Criminal Law Theory	145
5.2	Promising Problems	153
5.3	Conclusion	162

PART III: ILLUSTRATIONS

6. A CULPABILITY CONTRADICTION: HOW COMMAND RESPONSIBILITY GOT SO COMPLICATED

6.1	Argument and Objectives	168
6.2	The Novel Reach of Command Responsibility	172
6.3	The Culpability Contradiction	174
6.4	The Stakes	181
6.5	First Strategy: Doctrinal Arguments to Circumvent Causal Contribution	185
6.6	Second Strategy: Characterization as Separate Offence	190
6.7	Other Responses (and the Mystification of Command Responsibility)	200
6.8	Implications	208

7. THE GENIUS OF COMMAND RESPONSIBILITY

7.1	Problem, Objective, and Themes	208
7.2	The Aversion to Negligence	214
7.3.	A Proposed Justification of Command Responsibility	221
7.4.	Implications	236
7.5	Conclusion	241

8. HORIZONS: THE FUTURE OF THE JUSTICE CONVERSATION

8.1	Coherentism in Action	243
8.2	Major and Minor Themes	248
8.3	Further Questions	251

Annex 1 – Omissions: Can Failures Have Consequences?	256
---	-----

Summary	260
----------------	-----

Samenvatting (Summary in Dutch)	262
--	-----

Bibliography	265
---------------------	-----

Curriculum Vitae	294
-------------------------	-----

List of Abbreviations

Institutions

ICC	International Criminal Court
ICTR	International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
ICTY	International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia
SCSL	Special Court for Sierra Leone
UN	United Nations

Concepts

HRTK	‘had reason to know’ standard
MLP	mid-level principle
ICL	International criminal law
JCE	Joint criminal enterprise
SHK	‘should have known’ standard

Citation References

A.Ch	Appeals Chamber
CUP	Cambridge University Press
EJIL	European Journal of International Law
JICJ	Journal of International Criminal Justice
LJIL	Leiden Journal of International Law
OUP	Oxford University Press
PTC	Pre-Trial Chamber
T.Ch	Trial Chamber
VCLT	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties