

Early Jaina epistemology: a study of the philosophical chapters of the Tattvārthādhigama; With an English translation of the Tattvārthādhigamabhāṣya I, II.8 25, and V

Boer, L. den

#### Citation

Boer, L. den. (2020, April 23). Early Jaina epistemology: a study of the philosophical chapters of the Tattvārthādhigama; With an English translation of the Tattvārthādhigamabhāṣya I, II.8 25, and V. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/87415

Version: Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: <a href="https://hdl.handle.net/1887/87415">https://hdl.handle.net/1887/87415</a>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

### Cover Page



### Universiteit Leiden



The handle <a href="http://hdl.handle.net/1887/87415">http://hdl.handle.net/1887/87415</a> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Boer, L. den

Title: Early Jaina epistemology: a study of the philosophical chapters of the

Tattvārthādhigam Issue Date: 2020-04-23

### II. Translation

### **Preliminary Remarks**

The following sections provide an English translation of the philosophical chapters of the *Tattvārthādhigama* (TA) and the *Tattvārthādhigamabhāṣya* (TABh).<sup>542</sup> In addition, this part contains a translation of the *sambandhakārikās* (SK) and the *praśasti.*<sup>543</sup>

The transliteration of the Sanskrit text is primarily based on the edition of the TA and TABh by Keshavlal Premchand Mody.<sup>544</sup> I have also consulted the edition by Hiralal Rasikdas Kapadia.<sup>545</sup> In a number of cases, I have followed Kapadia's instead of Mody's reading.<sup>546</sup> The differences between the two editions are relatively minor and are mentioned in the footnotes.

The numbering of the  $s\bar{u}tras$  in my transliteration follows Mody's edition. They indicate the chapter and  $s\bar{u}tra$  number. E.g., '||1.1||' is the first  $s\bar{u}tra$  of the first chapter. Some of the  $s\bar{u}tras$  have a different number in the version of the TA that is accompanied by Pūjyapāda's  $Sarv\bar{u}rthasiddhi$  (SS). These variant numbers are given in parentheses. The numbers between square brackets in the  $bh\bar{u}sya$  are my own. They correspond to the dundus in Mody's edition. E.g., the number '[1.2.3]' refers to the third sentence of the commentary on the second  $s\bar{u}tra$  of the first chapter of the TA. An overview of the different abbreviations can be found in the references.

For my translation of the TA, I have consulted the following translations: Jacobi 1906, Sanghvi 1974, and Tatia 2011. For the translation of the *praśasti*, I have consulted Dhaky 1996 and Zydenbos 1983. The *bhāṣya* and the *sambandhakārikā*s

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>542</sup> I.e., Chapter I, II.8 – 25, and V. In the general introduction (§ 1), I explain why these parts have been selected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>543</sup> I.e., the introductory verses and colophon that accompany the *bhāṣya*.

<sup>544</sup> See Mody 1903.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>545</sup> See Kapadia 1926, 1930. Kapadia's edition also contains Siddhasenagani's tīkā.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>546</sup> All deviations from Mody's edition are mentioned in the footnotes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>547</sup> E.g., TA 1.22 corresponds to *sūtra* 1.21 in the *Sarvārthasiddhi*. I refer to the version of this *sūtra* in the *Sarvārthasiddhi* as '(SS 1.21)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>548</sup> In Part I of this study, I refer to these numbers as TA 1.1 and TABh 1.2.3. I have numbered the verses of the *sambandhakārikās* and *praśasti* in the same way as the TABh. The number '[0.1]' refers to the first line of the *sambandhakārikās*. Since the *praśasti* immediately follows the concluding verses of the commentary on TA 10.7, the numbering of the *praśasti* begins with '[10.7.224]'.

have not been translated into a European language before, with the exception of the tenth chapter of the  $bh\bar{a}sya.^{549}$ 

The TA is written in the style of the philosophical  $s\bar{u}tra$  texts and the intended meaning of many passages cannot be understood without the help of a commentary. Yet, since it is highly unlikely that the TABh was written as an autocommentary, we cannot be certain that the interpretation that the TABh offers is in line with the meaning that the composer of the TA had in mind when he composed the text. Therefore, I have tried to interpret the  $s\bar{u}tras$  of the TA primarily in the context of the theories that are provided in the TA itself.  $^{550}$ 

For the same reasons, I have translated most of the technical terms in a literal way. As such, my translation of these terms differs from more conventional translations, which are often based on later interpretations. For example, I translate 'manaḥparyāyajñāna' as 'knowledge from mental perception' instead of the more common translation 'telepathy'. Since the discussions of this notion in the TA and the TABh do not say anything about reading the minds of other people, I prefer to use a translation whose meaning is less specific. Since many passages in the TA and TABh are open to various interpretions, I have aimed to indicate as clearly as possible how my English rendering relates to the Sanskrit text. Therefore, I have kept my translation very literal and close to the Sanskrit syntax. Although this complicates the reading of my translation, I hope that my translation can be of help to other scholars who wish to investigate the actual content of the TA and TABh.

The textual analysis in the third chapter of this study discusses the main ideas and concepts that are expressed in the philosophical sections of the TA and TABh, which are translated in this part. The order of the topics in my analysis corresponds to the order of the topics in the translated passages. As such, the reader can consult my interpretation of the content together with the Sanskrit text and my English translation.

<sup>550</sup> For some passages, I have based my translation of the TABh on the explanation in Siddhasenagaṇi's  $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$  (Kapadia 1926, 1930). In these cases, I mention the  $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$  in the footnotes as the source of my interpretation.

<sup>549</sup> The tenth chapter has been translated by Zydenbos. See Zydenbos 1983.

### Tattvārthādhigama551 Chapter I

#### samyagdarśanajñānacāritrāņi mokṣamārgaḥ ||1.1||

1.1 The path to liberation (*mokṣa-mārga*) [is constituted by] right worldview (*samyag-darśana*), [right] knowledge (*jñāna*) and [right] conduct (*cāritra*).<sup>552</sup>

### [1.1.1] samyagdarśanam samyagjñānam samyakcāritram ity eṣa trividho mokṣamārgaḥ |

'Right worldview (samyag-darśana), right knowledge (samyag-jñāna) and right conduct (samyak-cāritra)' — this (etad) [is] the threefold (trividha) path to liberation (mokṣa-mārga).

#### [1.1.2] tam purastāl lakṣaṇato vidhānataś ca vistareṇopadekṣyāmaḥ |

Later on (purastat), we will explain (upadeksyamah) this (tad) in detail (vistara) based on [their] characteristic[s] (laksana)<sup>553</sup> and (ca) classification (vidhana)<sup>554</sup>.

#### [1.1.3] śāstrānupūrvīvinyāsārthaṃ tūddeśamātram idam ucyate |

However (tu), this [ $s\bar{u}tra$ ] (idam) has been formulated (ucyate) merely as ( $m\bar{a}tra$ ) a brief statement ( $udde\acute{s}a$ ), for the sake of (artha) arrangement ( $viny\bar{a}sa$ ) [in accordance with] the order ( $anup\bar{u}rvin$ ) [in] the scriptures ( $\acute{s}\bar{a}stra$ ).555

#### [1.1.4] etāni ca samastāni moksasādhanāni |

And (ca) these (etad) combined (samasta) [are] the means [to] liberation (mokṣa-sādhana).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>551</sup> Several other titles are used to refer to the *Tattvārthādhigama*. In this study, I use the title that is mentioned in the *Sambandhakārikā*s. For a discussion of the title of the text, see § 2.3, *Authorship of the TA and the TABh*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>552</sup> For a discussion of this *sūtra*, see § 3.2 *The path to liberation*.

<sup>553 &#</sup>x27;Charakterischen Merkmal', 'Kennzeichen', 'Definition' (Oberhammer 2006: 100).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>554</sup> 'Anordnung', 'Erklärende Differenzierung'; 'Er bezeichnet die Anordnung des Stoffes bzw. das Nennen der Abschnitte oder Themen einer Darstellung in der ihnen entsprechenden Reihenfolge' (Oberhammer 2006: 141).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>555</sup> Alternatively, the order of the chapters in the TA itself, which is called a 'śāstra' in praśasti 5.

#### [1.1.5] ekatarābhāve 'py asādhanānīty atas trayāṇāṃ grahaṇaṃ |

For (*iti*), even (*api*) in the absence (*abhāva*) [of] one of them (*ekatara*), [they are] not leading [to liberation] (*a-sādhana*); hence (*atas*) [there should be] adoption (*grahaṇa*) [of] the threefold [means] (*traya*).

### [1.1.6] eṣāṃ ca pūrvalābhe bhajanīyam uttaraṃ | [1.1.7] uttaralābhe tu niyataḥ pūrvalābhaḥ |

And (ca) in the case of acquisition of the first (i.e., samyag-darśana)<sup>556</sup>  $(p\bar{u}rva-l\bar{a}bha)$  of these (idam), the latter (i.e.,  $samyag-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$  and  $samyak-c\bar{a}ritra$ ) (uttara) [have] to be cultivated  $(bhajan\bar{i}ya)$ . But (tu) in the case of acquisition  $(l\bar{a}bha)$  of the latter (i.e.,  $samyag-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$  and  $samyak-c\bar{a}ritra$ ) (uttara), the obtainment  $(l\bar{a}bha)$  [of] the first  $(p\bar{u}rva)$  [is] certain (niyata)

### [1.1.8] tatra samyag iti praśaṃsārtho nipātaḥ samañcater vā bhāve<sup>557</sup> darśana iti |

In this [ $s\bar{u}tra$ ] (tatra) the word (iti) 'right' ( $samya\tilde{n}c$ ) [is] a particle ( $nip\bar{a}ta$ ), for the sake of (artha) commendation ( $pra\acute{s}ams\ddot{a}$ ), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) [it is] 'worldview' ( $dar\acute{s}ana$ ) (iti) in the state ( $bh\bar{a}va$ ) of [the verb] ' $sama\tilde{n}c$ ' (to correspond, i.e., the state of corresponding with reality) ( $sama\tilde{n}cati$ )<sup>558</sup>.

### [1.1.9] dṛśer avyabhicāriṇī sarvendriyānindriyārthaprāptiḥ etat samyagdarśanam |

The obtainment  $(pr\bar{a}pti)$  [of] all (sarva) the object[s] (artha) of the organs of sense (indriya) and the mind (anindriya) which does not deviate  $(avyabhic\bar{a}rin)$  from the [right] view<sup>559</sup>  $(dr\acute{s}i)$  — this (etad) [is] right worldview  $(samyag-dar\acute{s}ana)$ .

### [1.1.10] praśastam darśanam samyagdarśanam | [1.1.11] saṅgatam vā darśanam samyagdarśanam |

'Right worldview' (samyag-darśana) [is] the best (praśasta) worldview (darśana). Alternatively ( $v\bar{a}$ ), 'right worldview' (samyag-darśana) [is] the correct (sangata) worldview (darśana).

#### [1.1.12] evam jñānacāritrayor api ||

The same (evam) [applies] to knowledge ( $j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}na$ ) [and] conduct ( $c\tilde{a}ritra$ ) as well (api).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>556</sup> My interpretation of ' $p\bar{u}rva$ ' and 'uttara' follows the  $t\bar{i}k\bar{a}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>557</sup> Mody reads 'bhāvaḥ darśana', and mentions the variant reading 'bhāve'. Kapadia places the daṇḍa before 'darśanam iti'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>558</sup> The form 'samañcateḥ' is the gen. sg. of the pres. 3 sg. of sam+ $\sqrt{a}$ nc.

<sup>559</sup> Alternatively, 'which has no deviation of the view'.

#### tattvārthaśraddhānam samyagdarśanam ||1.2||

1.2 Right worldview (*samyagdarśana*) [is] confidence (*śraddhāna*) in the categories (*artha*) of reality (*tattva*).

### [1.2.1] tattvānām arthānāṃ śraddhānaṃ tattvena vārthānāṃ śraddhānaṃ tattvārthaśraddhānam tat samyagdarśanam |

Confidence in the categories of reality (tattva-artha- $śraddh\bar{a}na$ ) [is] confidence ( $śraddh\bar{a}na$ ) in the categories (artha) of the entities<sup>560</sup> (tattva) or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) confidence ( $śraddh\bar{a}na$ ) in the categories (artha) [in terms of] reality (tattvena); that (tad) [is] right worldview (samyag-darśana).

#### [1.2.2] tattvena bhāvato niścitam ity arthah |

The meaning [of] (ity artha) 'tattvena' [is]: 'understood (niścita) in terms of [their] nature (bhāva)'.<sup>561</sup>

#### [1.2.3] tattvāni jīvādīni vakṣyante |

The entities (tattva) will be explained (see TA 1.4) (vak syante) [to be] the soul ( $j\bar{v}a$ ) etc. ( $\bar{a}di$ ).

#### [1.2.4] ta eva cārthāḥ teṣāṃ śraddhānaṃ teṣu pratyayāvadhāraṇam |

And (ca) they (tad) [are] indeed (eva) the categories (artha); confidence (śraddhāna) in these (tad) [is] ascertainment (avadhāraṇa) [of] trust (pratyaya) with respect to these [categories] (tad).

# [1.2.5] tad evam praśamasamveganirvedānukampāstikyābhivyaktilakṣaṇam tattvārthaśraddhānam samyagdarśanam iti ||

In this way (evam), this (tad) confidence in the categories of reality (tattva-artha-śraddhāna) — whose characteristic (lakṣaṇaṃ) [is] the manifestation (abhivyakti) [of] tranquillity (praśama), desire for liberation (saṃvega),<sup>562</sup> indifference (nirveda), compassion (anukampā) [and] faithfulness (āstikya) — [is] right worldview (saṃyag-darśana) (iti).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>560</sup> The word 'tattva' is used in both singular and plural in the *bhāṣya*. I translate 'reality' when used in singular and 'the entities' when used in plural. See also TABh 1.4.1 and TABh 1.4.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>561</sup> The  $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$  explains that this is a gloss (*vivaraṇa*) on the word 'tattvena' in the previous sentence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>562</sup> 'desire for emancipation' (MW). The term 'saṃvega' is mentioned as a variety of body-determining karman (nāmakarman) in TA 6.23.

#### tan nisargād adhigamād vā ||1.3||

1.3 That (i.e., the right worldview) [arises] by nature (*nisarga*) or from learning (*adhigama*).

### [1.3.1] tad etat samyagdarśanam dvividham bhavati | [1.3.2] nisargasamyagdarśanam adhigamasamyagdarśanam ca |

That very (tad etad) right worldview (samyag-darśana) exists (bhavati) in two ways (dvividha); [i.e.,] right worldview by nature (nisarga-samyag-darśana) and right worldview [from] learning (adhigama-samyag-darśana).

#### [1.3.3] nisargād adhigamād votpadyate iti dvihetukam dvividham ||

'It arises (utpadyate) from nature (nisarga) or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) by learning (adhigama)' (iti), [that is the meaning of] 'twofold' (dvividha) (see TABh 1.3.1), [i.e.], having two causes (dvi-hetuka).

#### [1.3.4] nisargaḥ pariṇāmaḥ svabhāvaḥ aparopadeśa ity anarthāntaram |

'Nature' (*nisarga*), '[natural] transformation' (*pariṇāma*), 'innate disposition' (*svabhāva*), 'not taught by others' (*apara-upadeśa*) (*iti*), [they are] not different (i.e., they are synonyms) (*anarthāntara*).

#### [1.3.5] jñānadarśanopayogalakṣaṇo jīva iti vakṣyate |

[It] will be explained (see TA 2.8) [that] (*iti*) 'the soul (*jīva*) is characterised (*lakṣaṇa*) [by] the cognitive operation (*upayoga*) [in the form of] knowledge [and] worldview (*darśana*).'563

# [1.3.6] tasyānādau saṃsāre paribhramataḥ karmata eva karmaṇaḥ svakṛtasya bandhanikācanodayanirjarāpekṣaṃ nārakatiryagyonimanuṣyāmarabhava-grahaṇeṣu vividhaṃ puṇyapāpaphalam anubhavato

For the one (tad) [who is] wandering about (paribhramat) in beginningless (anādi) saṃsāra — for [him who is] experiencing (anubhavat) the varied (vividha) fruit of merit and demerit (puṇya-pāpa-phala), depending on (apekṣā, bah.) the bondage (bandha) nikācanā,<sup>564</sup> rising (udaya), [and] destruction (nirjarā) of the karman made by himself (svakṛta), in taking states [of] hellish beings, animals, human beings [and] gods (nāraka-tiryagyoni-manuṣya-amara-bhava-grahaṇa), indeed (eva) due to [one's] karman;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>563</sup> Tatia translates *upayoga* as 'sentience' (Tatia 2011: 39). TA 2.9 explains the twofold character of *upayoga* (i.e., knowledge and worldview). See also § 3.3, *Cognitive operation*. <sup>564</sup> According to Tatia, the term '*nikācanā*' refers to a karmic process (*karaṇa*) that is predetermined and cannot be altered (Tatia 1951: 259).

### jñānadarśanopayogasvābhāvyāt tāni tāni pariņāmādhyavasāyasthānāntarāņi gacchato'nādimithyādṛṣṭer api sataḥ

for [him] going (gacchat) [through] all these (tāni tāni) differences (antara) of transformation (pariṇāma), determination (adhyavasāya),<sup>565</sup> [and] states (sthāna), due to the own nature [of] the cognitive operation [in the form of] knowledge [and] worldview (jñāna-darśana-upayoga-svābhāvya), even though (api) he is (sat) [someone whose] wrong view [has] no beginning (anādi-mithyā-dṛṣṭi) —

### pariṇāmaviśeṣād apūrvakaraṇaṃ tādṛg bhavati yenāsyānupadeśāt samyagdarśanam utpadyate ity etat nisargasamyagdarśanam ||

such (tādṛk) an apūrvakaraṇa [process]<sup>566</sup> (apūrva-karaṇa) arises (bhavati) due to a particular transformation (pariṇāma-viśeṣa); by this [apūrvakaraṇa process] (yad) there arises (utpadyate) right worldview (samyag-darśana) for him (idam) without instruction (anupadeśa) — this (etad) [is] right worldview by nature (nisarga-samyag-darśana).

### [1.3.7] adhigamaḥ abhigama āgamo nimittaṃ śravaṇaṃ śikṣā upadeśa ity anarthāntaram |

'Learning' (see TA 1.3) (adhigama), 'understanding' (abhigama), 'tradition' (āgama), 'instruction' <sup>567</sup> (nimitta), 'hearing' (śravaṇa), 'study' (śikṣā), [and] 'teaching' (upadeśa) (iti) — [these are] not different (i.e., these are synonyms) (anarthāntara).

# [1.3.8] tad evaṃ paropadeśād yat tattvārthaśraddhānaṃ bhavati tad adhigamasamyagdarśanam iti ||

Thus (*tad evam*), confidence in the categories of reality (*tattva-artha-śraddhāna*), which (*yad*) arises (*bhavati*) [as a result of] instruction by others (*para-upadeśa*), that (*tad*) [is called] right worldview by learning (*adhigama-samyag-darśana*).

## [1.3.9] atrāha tattvārthaśraddhānaṃ samyagdarśanam ity uktam | [1.3.10] tatra kiṃ tattvam iti | [1.3.11] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says (āha): [It has been] explained (ukta) [that] 'right worldview (samyag-darśana) [is] confidence in the categories of reality (tattva-artha-śraddhāna)'. Here (tatra), [one may ask]: What (kim) [is] reality (tattva)? At this point (atra), it is said (ucyate):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>565</sup> For a discussion of *adhyavasāya* in the Jaina theory of *karman*, see Wiley 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>566</sup> 'the process by which the soul attains to an unprecedented degree of purity' (P.S. Jaini 1998: 337).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>567</sup> MW mentions 'ādeśa' (instruction) as a synonym of 'nimitta'.

#### jīvājīvāsravabandhasamvaranirjarāmokṣās tattvam ||1.4||

- 1.4 [The categories of] reality (tattva) [are]:
  - i. soul (*jīva*)
  - ii. non-soul (i.e., inanimate entities) (*ajīva*)
  - iii. influx (āsrava)
  - iv. binding (bandha)
  - v. stopping (samvara)
  - vi. destruction (*nirjarā*), [and]
  - vii. liberation (moksa).

## [1.4.1] jīvā ajīvā āsravā bandhaḥ saṃvaro nirjarā mokṣa ity eṣa saptavidho'rthas tattvam | [1.4.2] ete vā sapta padārthās tattvāni |

Souls ( $j\bar{\imath}va$ ), non-souls (i.e., inanimate entities) ( $aj\bar{\imath}va$ ), [types of] influx ( $\bar{a}srava$ ), binding (bandha), stopping (samvara), destruction ( $nirjar\bar{a}$ ), [and] liberation ( $mok\bar{\imath}a$ ) (iti) — this (etad) [is] the sevenfold (saptavidha) category<sup>568</sup> (artha), [which is] reality (tattva). Or ( $v\bar{a}$ ), these (etad) seven (sapta) categories ( $pad\bar{a}rtha$ ) [are] the entities (tattva).

#### [1.4.3] tāml lakṣaṇato vidhānataś ca purastād vistareṇopadekṣyāmaḥ ||

Later on (purastat), we will explain (upadekṣyama) them (tad) in detail (vistara) based on [their] characteristic[s] (lakṣaṇa) and (ca) classification (vidhana).

#### nāmasthāpanādravyabhāvatas tannyāsaḥ ||1.5||

- 1.5 The analysis of these [categories] ( $tad-ny\bar{a}sa$ ) [can be done] from [the perspective of]:
  - i. name (nāma)
  - ii. representation (*sthāpanā*) 569
  - iii. substance (dravya), [and]
  - iv. state  $(bh\bar{a}va)^{570}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>568</sup> It is somewhat odd that 'artha' is used in singular in this sentence. For the sake of consistency, I have translated 'artha' as 'category'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>569</sup> Lit. 'causing to stand'. The  $bh\bar{a}sya$  refers to a painting or sculpture of the god Indra. (see TABh 1.5.8).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>570</sup> For a discussion of these modes of analysis, see § 3.2 *The modes of analysis*.

### [1.5.1] ebhir nāmādibhiś caturbhir anuyogadvārais teṣāṃ jīvādīnāṃ tattvānāṃ nyāso bhavati |

The analysis (*nyāsa*) of these (*tad*) entities (*tattva*), beginning with soul (*jīva-ādi*), takes place (*bhavati*) by these (*etad*) four (*catur*) doors of examination (*anuyoga-dvāra*), [i.e.], name etc. (*nāma-ādi*).

### [1.5.2] vistareņa lakṣaṇato vidhānataś cādhigamārtham nyāso nikṣepa ity arthah |

The analysis (*nyāsa*) for the sake of learning (*adhigama-artha*), based on [their] characteristic[s] (*lakṣaṇa*) and (*ca*) classification (*vidhāna*), in detail (*vistara*) — that is the meaning (*ity artha*) [of] '*nikṣepa*'.

#### [1.5.3] tad yathā | [1.5.4] nāmajīvaḥ sthāpanājīvo dravyajīvo bhāvajīvo iti |

For instance ( $tad\ yath\bar{a}$ ), soul [from the perspective of] name ( $n\bar{a}ma$ - $j\bar{i}va$ ), soul [from the perspective of] representation ( $sth\bar{a}pan\bar{a}$ - $j\bar{i}va$ ), soul [from the perspective of] substance (dravya- $j\bar{i}va$ ) [and] soul [from the perspective of] state ( $bh\bar{a}va$ - $j\bar{i}va$ ).

#### [1.5.5] nāma samjñākarma ity anarthāntaram |

'Name'  $(n\bar{a}ma)$  [and] 'giving a name'<sup>571</sup>  $(samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}-karman)$  (iti) — [these are] not different (i.e., these are synonyms)  $(anarth\bar{a}ntara)$ .

# [1.5.6] cetanāvato 'cetanasya vā dravyasya jīva iti nāma kriyate | [1.5.7] sa nāmajīvaḥ ||

[When] the name  $(n\bar{a}ma)$  'soul'  $(j\bar{i}va\ iti)$  is given (kriyate) to animate  $(cetan\bar{a}vat)$  or  $(v\bar{a})$  inanimate (acetana) substance (dravya), that (tad) [is] soul [from the perspective of] name  $(n\bar{a}ma-j\bar{i}va)$ .

### [1.5.8] yaḥ kāṣṭapustacitrakarmākṣanikṣepādiṣu sthāpyate jīva iti sa sthāpanājīvo devatāpratikṛtivad indro rudraḥ skando viṣṇur iti ||

The soul  $(j\bar{\imath}va)$  which  $(ya\rlap/h)$  is represented  $(sth\bar{a}pyate)$  [in the case of] visual representations  $(ak\rlap/sa-nik\rlap/sepa)^{572}$  [in] wood  $(k\bar{a}\rlap/sta)$ , a clay model (pusta), a painting (citra-karman) etc.  $(\bar{a}di)$  — that (tad) [is] soul [from the perspective of] representation  $(sth\bar{a}pan\bar{a}-j\bar{\imath}va)$ ; like the images of deities  $(devat\bar{a}-pratik\rlap/rtivat)$ , named (iti) Indra, Rudra, Skanda [or]  $Vi\rlap/snu.^{573}$ 

 $<sup>^{571}</sup>$  samjñākarman = samjñākaraṇa (MW). I follow Kapadia's reading 'samjñākarma'. Mody reads 'samjñā karma'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>572</sup> Lit. 'deposited in the senses'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>573</sup> The same explanation is given in TABh 1.5.16.

### [1.5.9] dravyajīva iti guņaparyāyaviyuktaḥ prajñāsthāpito 'nādipāriņāmika-bhāvayukto jīva ucyate |

[When] the soul (*jīva*) is said (*ucyate*) [to be] destitute of qualities [and] modes (*guṇa-paryāya-viyukta*),<sup>574</sup> represented by knowledge<sup>575</sup> (*prajñā-sthāpita*), [and] connected with the condition of beginningless transformation (*anādi-pāriṇāmika-bhāva-yukta*) — [that is] 'soul [from the perspective of] substance (*dravya-jīva*)' (*iti*). [1.5.10] *athavā śūnyo 'yaṃ bhaṅgaḥ* |

However (athavā), this (idam) form of analysis (bhaṅga) [is] pointless (śūnya). 576

### [1.5.11] yasya hy ajīvasya sato bhavyaṃ jīvatvaṃ syāt sa dravyajīvaḥ syāt anistam caitat ||

For (hi), this (tad) soul [from the perspective of] substance  $(dravya-j\bar{v}a)$  would imply  $(sy\bar{a}d)$  [that] the quality of being a soul  $(j\bar{v}atva)$  might  $(sy\bar{a}t)^{577}$  occur (bhavya) for that (yad) which is (sat) not-soul  $(aj\bar{v}a)$ , and (ca) this (etad) is incorrect (anista).

## [1.5.12] bhāvato jīvā aupaśamikakṣāyikakṣāyopaśamikaudayikapāriṇāmika-bhāvayuktā upayogalakṣaṇāḥ saṃsāriṇo muktāś ca dvividhā vakṣyante ||

From [the perspective of] state ( $bh\bar{a}va$ ), souls ( $j\bar{\imath}va$ ) will be said (vak syante) <sup>578</sup> [to be] twofold (dvividha): transmigratory [souls] ( $sam s\bar{a}rin$ ) — [which] are connected with the states ( $bh\bar{a}va-yukta$ ) [that are] resulting from the cessation [of karman] (aupa sam ika), resulting from the annihilation [of karman] (k sam a sam ika), resulting from the annihilation and cessation [of karman] (k sam a sam ika) k sam a sam ika) [and] resulting from a natural disposition (par sam a sam ika) man sam a sam

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>574</sup> TA 5.37 explains that 'substance has qualities and modes' (*guṇaparyāyavad dravyam*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>575</sup> The  $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$  suggests an instrumental relationship between 'prajñā' and 'sthāpita'. The meaning of this expression is not entirely clear to me. I have translated 'stāpita' in accordance with my translation of the term 'sthāpanā' (representation), which is frequently used in this passage. Perhaps, the intended meaning is 'mental phenomenon'. Alternatively, 'prajñāsthāpita' can be translated as 'established by knowledge'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>576</sup> I.e., analysing the soul from the perspective of *dravya* does not make any sense. See also the following sentence (TABh 1.5.11).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>577</sup> Mody's K manuscript omits the second 'svāt' (Mody 1903: 8).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>578</sup> TA 2.10 explains that there are two types of souls, i.e., 'worldly and liberated [souls]' (saṃsāriṇo muktāś ca).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>579</sup> Mentioned in TA 2.1 as 'the mixed state' (*miśra*).

 $<sup>^{580}</sup>$  These five states are also listed in TA 2.10.

#### [1.5.13] evam ajīvādişu sarveşv anugantavyam ||

Likewise (*evam*), [the different perspectives are] to be applied (*anugantavya*) to all [other categories] (*sarva*), beginning with non-soul (*ajīva-ādi*).<sup>581</sup>

### [1.5.14] paryāyāntareṇāpi nāmadravyaṃ sthāpanādravyaṃ dravyadravyam bhāvato dravyam iti |

Also (api), with regard to (antareṇa) modes  $(pary\bar{a}ya)^{582}$ , [there is] 'substance [from the perspective of] name  $(n\bar{a}ma-dravya)$ , substance [from the perspective of] representation  $(sth\bar{a}pan\bar{a}-dravya)$ , substance [from the perspective of] substance (dravyadravya) [and] substance (dravya) from [the perspective of] state  $(bh\bar{a}va)$ ' (iti).

[1.5.15]  $yasya j\bar{\imath}vasy\bar{a}j\bar{\imath}vasya^{583} v\bar{a} n\bar{a}ma kriyate dravyam iti tan n\bar{a}madravyam |$  [When] the name  $(n\bar{a}ma)$  'substance' (dravya iti) is given (kriyate) to that which (yad) [is] soul  $(j\bar{\imath}va)$  or  $(v\bar{a})$  non-soul  $(aj\bar{\imath}va)$ , that (tad) is substance [from the perspective of] name  $(n\bar{a}ma-dravya)$ .

# [1.5.16] yat kāṣṭapustacitrakarmākṣanikṣepādiṣu sthāpyate dravyam iti tat sthāpanādravyam devatāpratikṛtivad indro rudraḥ skando viṣṇur iti |

The substance (*dravyam*) which (*yad*) is represented (*sthāpyate*) [in the case of] visual representations (*akṣa-nikṣepa*) [in] wood (*kāṣṭa*), a clay model (*pusta*), a painting (*citra-karman*) etc. (*ādi*), that (*tad*) [is] substance [from the perspective of] representation (*sthāpanā-dravya*); like the images of deities (*devatā-pratikṛtivad*), named (*iti*) *Indra*, *Rudra*, *Skanda* [or] *Viṣṇu*.<sup>584</sup>

### [1.5.17] dravyadravyam nāma guņaparyāyaviyuktam prajñāsthāpitam dharmādīnām anyatamat |

'Substance [from the perspective of] substance' can be defined as  $(n\bar{a}ma)$  [that which is] without qualities [and] modes (guna-paryaya-viyukta), established by knowledge (prajnasthapita), [and] different from (anyatama) motion etc.  $(dharma-\bar{a}di)^{585}$ .

space (ākāśa), and material elements (pudgala).

 $<sup>^{581}</sup>$  I.e., the other categories that are mentioned in TA 1.4 can also be analysed from the four perspectives that are mentioned in TA 1.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>582</sup> See TA 5.37 for a discussion of 'modes' ( $pary\bar{a}ya$ ). The meaning of this passage is not entirely clear to me.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>583</sup> Mody reads '*jīvasya vā jīvasya vā*'. He mentions a variant reading that omits the first *vā*. I follow Kapadia, who reads '*jīvasyājīvasya vā*'.

<sup>584</sup> Cf. TABh 1.5.8.

<sup>585</sup> I.e. the inanimate entities, which are listed in TA 5.1: motion (*dharma*), rest (*adharma*),

### [1.5.18] kecid apy āhur yad dravyato dravyam bhavati tac ca pudgaladravyam eveti pratyetavyam |

Some [people] (*kecid*) also (*api*) say (*āhuḥ*): 'And (*ca*) that which (*yad*) is (*bhavati*) substance (*dravya*) [from the perspective of] substance (*dravya*), that (*tad*) [is] just (*eva*) to be understood (*pratyetavya*) [as] 'the substance [of] material elements' (*pudgala-dravya*) (*iti*).'

#### [1.5.19] anavah skandhāś ca saṅghātabhedebhya utpadyanta iti vaksyāmah |

We will explain (vakṣyāmaḥ) (see TA 5.25 - 26) [that] (iti) the atoms (aṇ u) and (ca) the aggregates (skandha) result (utpadyante) from combination and disintegration (saṅghāta-bheda).

## [1.5.20] bhāvato dravyāṇi dharmādīni saguṇaparyāyāṇi prāptilakṣaṇāni vakṣyante |

From [the perspective of] state (*bhāva*), the substances (*dravya*) will be said (see TA 5.37) (*vakṣyante*) [to be]: motion etc. (*dharma-ādi*) (i.e., the five substances, see TA 5.1), [provided] with qualities [and] modes (*saguṇa-paryāya*), [and] characterised by reach (*prāpti-lakṣaṇa*) (i.e., having extension)<sup>586</sup>.

### [1.5.21] āgamataś ca prābhṛtajño dravyam iti bhavyam āha | [1.5.22] dravyaṃ ca bhavye |

And (ca) based on scripture  $(\bar{a}gama)$ , a learned person  $(pr\bar{a}bhrta-j\tilde{n}a)^{587}$  names  $(\bar{a}ha)$  [that which] exists (bhavya) 'substance' (dravya) (iti). And (ca) [this is] substance (dravya) [in the sense of] 'that which exists' (bhavya).

## [1.5.23] bhavyam iti prāpyam āha | [1.5.24] bhū prāptāv ātmanepadī | [1.5.25] tad evam prāpyante prāpnuvanti vā dravyāṇi ||

[He] names  $(\bar{a}ha)$  that which exists (bhavya) (iti) as 'attainable'  $(pr\bar{a}pya)$ . [The verb] ' $\sqrt{bh\bar{u}}$ ' (existing) in the middle voice  $(\bar{a}tmanepadin)$  [has the meaning of] 'reaching'  $(pr\bar{a}pti)$ . In this respect  $(tad\ evam)$ , the substances (dravya) are reached  $(pr\bar{a}pyante)$ , or  $(v\bar{a})$  they reach  $(pr\bar{a}pnuvanti)$  (i.e., they are either contactable or they come into contact).

 $^{587}$  Lit. someone who knows the  $\bar{a}gamas$ . The term ' $pr\bar{a}bhr$ ta' refers to a category of texts that are used in the Digambara tradition.

 $<sup>^{586}</sup>$  Perhaps, ' $pr\bar{a}ptilak$ ṣaṇa' is the opposite of the expression ' $praj\tilde{n}asth\bar{a}pita$ ' in TABh 1.5.7 and TABh 1.5.15.

### [1.5.26] evam sarveşām anādīnām ādimatām ca jīvādīnām bhāvānām mokṣāntānāṃ tattvādhigamārthaṃ nyāsaḥ kārya iti ||

Likewise (evam), the analysis ( $ny\bar{a}sa$ ) [is] to be done ( $k\bar{a}rya$ ) for all (sarva) states  $(bh\bar{a}va)$  of souls etc. ( $j\bar{i}va$ - $\bar{a}di$ ), [i.e., the categories] <sup>588</sup> without beginning ( $an\bar{a}di$ ) and (ca) having a beginning (ādimat)<sup>589</sup>, whose end is liberation (mokṣa-ānta), for the sake of study of reality (tattva-adhigama-artha) (iti).

#### pramāṇanayair adhigamaḥ ||1.6||

1.6 [The categories] can be understood (adhigama) through the means of cognition  $(pram\bar{a}na)^{590}$  and the perspectives (naya).

### [1.6.1] eşām ca jīvādīnām tattvānām yathoddişţānām nāmādibhir nyastānām pramāṇanayair vistarādhigamo bhavati ||

And (ca) the full understanding (vistarādhigama) of these (etad) entities (tattva) — [i.e.], souls etc. (jīva-ādi) as listed (see TA 1.4) (yathā-uddiṣṭa), [which are] analysed  $(nyasta)^{591}$  by name etc.  $(n\bar{a}ma-\bar{a}di)$  — takes place (bhavati) through the means of cognition (pramāṇa) [and] perspectives (naya).

#### [1.6.2] tatra pramāṇaṃ dvividhaṃ parokṣaṃ pratyakṣaṃ ca vakṣyate |

Among them (tatra), the twofold (dvividha) means of cognition (pramāṇa), [i.e.], indirect cognition (paroksa) and (ca) direct cognition (pratyaksa), will be explained (see TA 1.10 - 1.12) (vaksyate).

#### [1.6.3] caturvidham ity eke | [1.6.4] nayavādāntarena ||592

Some (eka) [say that] (iti) [the means of cognition are] fourfold (caturvidha), 593 in accordance with (*antareṇa*) the doctrine of perspectives (*naya-vāda*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>588</sup> See TA 1.4.

<sup>589</sup> TA 5.42 says: '[There is transformation] without beginning and having a beginning' (anādir ādimāms ca).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>590</sup> Since a *pramāṇa* can also lead to false cognition (*ajñāna*) according to the *bhāsya* (see TABh 1.12.15), I translate 'means of cognition' instead of the more commonly used phrase 'means of knowledge'. The difficulty of translating this term partly results from the fact that the word 'pramāṇa' is used in different ways by different philosophical movements. For some traditions it means 'authoritative means of knowledge', while for others it does 'not necessarily yield true cognition' (Gokhale 1993: 675 - 676). The TABh adheres to the latter position.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>591</sup> Cf. '*nyāsa*' in TA 1.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>592</sup> Kapadia's edition omits the *danda* between 'ity eke' and 'nayavādāntareṇa'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>593</sup> In § 3.2 Classification of the means of knowledge, I discuss who the adherents of this view might be.

#### [1.6.5] nayāś ca naigamādayo vakṣyante || [1.6.6] kiṃ cānyat |

And (ca) the perspectives (naya) will be said (see TA 1.34) (vakṣyante) [to be] the commonplace [perspective] etc. (naigama-ādi). Further (kiṃ cānyat):

#### nirdeśasvāmitvasādhanādhikaraņasthitividhānataḥ ||1.7||

1.7 [The categories can also be analysed] based on classification (vidhāna) [into]:

- i. description (nirdeśa)
- ii. ownership (*svāmitva*)
- iii. cause (sādhana)594
- iv. locus (adhikaraṇa)
- v. duration (sthiti), [and]
- vi. classification (vidhāna).

### [1.7.1] ebhiś ca nirdeśādibhiḥ şaḍbhir anuyogadvāraiḥ sarveṣāṃ bhāvānāṃ jīvādīnāṃ tattvānāṃ vikalpaśo vistareṇādhigamo bhavati |

And (*ca*) by these (*idam*) six (*ṣaṣ*) doors of examination (*anuyoga-dvāra*), [i.e.], description etc. (*nirdeśa-ādi*), there is (*bhavati*) varied (*vikalpaśas*) understanding (*adhigama*) in detail (*vistareṇa*) of all (*sarva*) states (*bhāva*) [of all] entities (*tattva*), beginning with soul (*jīva-ādi*).<sup>595</sup>

# [1.7.2] tadyathā | [1.7.3] nirdeśaḥ | [1.7.4] ko jīvaḥ | [1.7.5] aupaśamikādibhāva-yukto dravyaṃ jīvaḥ |

To illustrate ( $tadyath\bar{a}$ ), [from the perspective of] description ( $nirde\acute{s}a$ ) — what (kim) [is] the soul ( $j\bar{\imath}va$ )? The soul ( $j\bar{\imath}va$ ) [is] a substance (dravya) connected with states ( $bh\bar{a}va-yukta$ ), beginning with 'resulting from the cessation [of karman]' ( $aupa\acute{s}amika-\bar{a}di$ ) (see TA 2.1).

[1.7.6] *samyagdarśanaparīkṣāyām* | [1.7.7] *kiṃ samyagdarśanaṃ dravyam* | [With regard to] the investigation (*parīkṣā*) [of] right worldview (*samyag-darśana*): What (*kim*) [is] right worldview (*samyag-darśana*)? [It is] a substance (*dravya*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>594</sup> Lit. 'bringing about' (MW). My translation of this term is based on Tatia's translation of this *sūtra* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>595</sup> The word order in this sentence is somewhat strange. One would rather expect 'sarveṣāṃ bhāvānāṃ' after 'jīvādīnāṃ tattvānāṃ' if the intended meaning is indeed 'of all states [of all] entities, beginning with soul'.

#### [1.7.8] samyagdṛṣṭijīvo 'rūpī noskandho nogrāmaḥ<sup>596</sup> ||

The soul [that possesses] right insight (samyag-drsti-jiva) [has] no extension ( $ar\bar{u}pin$ )<sup>597</sup>, [having] a quasi-combination [of atoms] (no-skandha), [being] a quasi-collection ( $no-gr\bar{a}ma$ ).<sup>598</sup>

## [1.7.9] svāmitvam | [1.7.10] kasya samyagdarśanam iti etad ātmasaṃyogena parasaṃyogenobhayasaṃyogena ceti vācyam |

[From the perspective of] ownership ( $sv\bar{a}mitva$ ): Who has (kim) right worldview (samyag-darśana) (iti)? [It is] to be said ( $v\bar{a}cya$ ): It [exists] (etad) [in terms of] connection with the self ( $\bar{a}tma-samyoga$ ), [in terms of] connection with the other (para-samyoga) and (ca) [in terms of] connection with both (ubhaya-samyoga) (iti).

#### [1.7.11] ātmasaṃyogena jīvasya samyagdarśanam |

[There is] right worldview (samyag-darśana) of the soul (jīva) [in terms of] connection with the self (ātma-saṃyoga);

### [1.7.12] parasaṃyogena jīvasyājīvasya jīvayor ajīvayor jīvānām ajīvānām iti vikalpāḥ |

[In terms of] connection with the other (para-saṃyoga) [there are] the varieties [of connection] (vikalpa):

- i. of soul (jīva) [and] non-soul (ajīva)
- ii. of two souls (jīva) [and] two non-souls (ajīva)
- iii. of [many] souls (jīva) [and many] non-souls (ajīva) (iti).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>596</sup> Mody separates the prefix 'no-' in this passage. I follow Kapadia's reading, which is in line with the way in which 'no-' appears in other passages of the TABh (e.g. TABh 1.7.13).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>597</sup> TA 5.4 explains that the substances (*dravya*) have no extension with the exception of the material elements (*pudgala*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>598</sup> The intended meaning seems to be that, somehow, there is a connection between the soul and material elements (pudgala), even though the soul itself is a substance without extension. The peculiar prefix 'no'' (< na + u, 'and not' or 'partly not') also appears in other passages of the TABh and has the meaning of 'quasi-'. For example, TABh 1.7.13 makes a distinction between ' $j\bar{i}va'$ , ' $aj\bar{i}va'$ , and ' $noj\bar{i}va'$ , which indicates that ' $noj\bar{i}va'$  is different from ' $j\bar{i}va'$  (soul) and ' $aj\bar{i}va'$  (non-soul).

### [1.7.13] ubhayasaṃyogena jīvasya nojīvasya jīvayor ajīvayor jīvānām ajīvānām iti vikalpā na santi śeṣāḥ santi ||

Soul  $(j\bar{\imath}va)$  [and] quasi-soul  $(noj\bar{\imath}va)$ , two souls  $(j\bar{\imath}va)$  [and] two non-souls  $(aj\bar{\imath}va)$ , [many] souls  $(j\bar{\imath}va)$  [and] many non-souls  $(aj\bar{\imath}va)$  — [these] (iti) are not  $(na\ santi)$  varieties (vikalpa) [in terms of] connection with both (ubhaya-samyoga); the remaining [combinations] (sesa) are (santi).

### [1.7.14] sādhanam | [1.7.15] samyagdarśanam kena bhavati | [1.7.16] nisargād adhigamād vā bhavatīty uktam |

[From the perspective of] cause ( $s\bar{a}dhana$ ): By what (kim) does right worldview (samyag-darśana) come into existence (bhavati)? It has been said (see TA 1.3) (ukta) [that] it arises (bhavati) by nature (nisarga) or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) from learning (adhigama) (iti).

#### [1.7.17] tatra nisargaḥ pūrvoktaḥ | [1.7.18] adhigamas tu samyagvyāyāmaḥ |

Among them (*tatra*), 'by nature' (*nisarga*) has been explained before (*pūrva-ukta*) (see TABh 1.3.4). And (*tu*) learning (*adhigama*) [is] right exertion (*samyag-vyāyāma*).

### [1.7.19] ubhayam api tadāvaraṇīyasya karmaṇaḥ kṣayeṇopaśamena kṣayopaśamābhyām iti ||

Both (i.e., right worldview by nature and from learning) (*ubhaya*) also (*api*) [arise] by destruction (*kṣaya*), by cessation (*upaśama*) [and] by both destruction [and] cessation (*kṣaya-upaśama*) of *karman* [that is] covering that (i.e., right worldview)<sup>600</sup> (*tad-āvaraṇīya*) (*iti*).

### [1.7.20] adhikaraṇaṃ trividham ātmasannidhānena parasannidhānenobhayasannidhāneneti vācyaṃ |

[From the perspective of] locus (*adhikaraṇa*) — [it is] to be said (*vācya*) [that] (*iti*) [the locus of right worldview is] threefold (*trividha*):

- i. in the presence of the self (ātma-sannidhāna)
- ii. in the presence of the other (i.e., non-self) (para-sannidhāna)
- iii. in the presence of both (ubhaya-sannidhāna).601

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>599</sup> The function of the dual and plural forms and the intended meaning of this passage are not entirely unclear to me. Perhaps, the intended meaning is that there are only five types of connection between substances: (i.) between souls ( $j\bar{\imath}va$ ) and non-souls (i.e. non-living substances, such as matter) ( $aj\bar{\imath}va$ ), (ii.) between quasi-souls ( $no-j\bar{\imath}va$ ) and non-souls ( $aj\bar{\imath}va$ ), (iii.) between different souls ( $j\bar{\imath}va$ ), (iv.) between different non-souls ( $aj\bar{\imath}va$ ), (v.) between different quasi-souls ( $noj\bar{\imath}va$ ).

<sup>600</sup> TA 6.11 lists the different types of 'knowledge and worldview covering [karman]' (jñānadarśanāvaraṇa).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>601</sup> I.e., *samyagdarśana* has something to do with the self, has reference to things other than the self, and is related to both at the same time.

# [1.7.21] ātmasannidhānam abhyantarasannidhānam ity arthaḥ | [1.7.22] parasannidhānaṃ bāhyasannidhānam ity arthaḥ | [1.7.23] ubhayasannidhānaṃ bāhyābhyantarasannidhānam<sup>602</sup> ity arthaḥ |

In the presence of the self ( $\bar{a}tma$ -sannidh $\bar{a}na$ ) — the meaning is ( $ity\ artha$ ) 'in the presence of the interior' (abhyantara-sannidh $\bar{a}na$ ). In the presence of the other (para-sannidh $\bar{a}na$ ) — the meaning is ( $ity\ artha$ ) 'in the presence of the exterior' ( $b\bar{a}hya$ -sannidh $\bar{a}na$ ). In the presence of both (ubhaya-sannidh $\bar{a}na$ ) — the meaning is ( $ity\ artha$ ) 'in the presence of the exterior [and] the interior' ( $b\bar{a}hya$ -abhyantara-sannidh $\bar{a}na$ ). $^{603}$ 

#### [1.7.24] kasmin samyagdarśanam | 604

Right worldview (samyag-darśana) [is] in what (kim)?

### [1.7.25] ātmasannidhāne tāvat jīve samyagdarśanam jīve jñānam jīve cāritram ity etadādi |

First of all  $(t\bar{a}vat)$ , [the varieties of] 'in the presence of the self  $(\bar{a}tma-sannidh\bar{a}na)$ ' [are]: right worldview (samyag-darśana) in the soul  $(j\bar{i}va)$ , [right] knowledge  $(j\bar{n}ana)$  in the soul  $(j\bar{i}va)$ , [right] conduct  $(c\bar{a}ritra)$  in the soul  $(j\bar{i}va)$ , and so on  $(ity\ etad-\bar{a}di)$ .

## [1.7.26] bāhyasannidhāne jīve samyagdarśanam nojīve samyagdarśanam iti yathoktā vikalpāḥ |

The varieties (*vikalpa*) [of] 'in the presence of the other (*bāhya-sannidhāna*)' [are]: 'right worldview (*samyag-darśana*) in the soul (*jīva*) [and] right worldview (*samyag-darśana*) in the quasi-soul (*nojīve*)' (*iti*) as it is said (*yathā-ukta*)<sup>605</sup>.

# [1.7.27] ubhayasannidhāne cāpy abhūtāḥ sadbhūtāś ca yathoktā bhaṅgavikalpā iti ||

And (ca) also (api), the varieties [with respect to] analysis (bhanga-vikalpa) [of] 'in the presence of both' (ubhaya-sannidhāna) are: non-existent (abhūta) and (ca) fully existent<sup>606</sup> (sad-bhūta)' (iti), as it is said  $(yath\bar{a}-ukta)$ .

-

<sup>602</sup> Kapadia reads 'abhyantarabāhyayoḥ sannidhānam'.

 $<sup>^{603}</sup>$  This passage comments on the previous sentence. It is somewhat strange that the composer of the  $bh\bar{a}sya$  provides a comment on his own text, which might indicate that this passage is a later addition.

<sup>604</sup> Kapadia adds 'ātmasannidhāne parasannidhāne ubhayasannidhāne iti'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>605</sup> I have not been able to identify the reference of 'yathokta' in TABh 1.7.26 and 1.7.27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>606</sup> The term 'sadbhūta' also appears in the commentary on TA 1.8 (TABh 1.8.1, 1.8.8), which deals with the different 'doors of examination' (anuyogadvāra).

#### [1.7.30] sthitiḥ | [1.7.29] samyagdarśanaṃ kiyantaṃ kālam |

[From the perspective of] duration (*sthiti*) — right worldview (*samyag-darśana*) [lasts] up to what time (*kiyat kāla*)?

### [1.7.30] samyagdṛṣṭir dvividhā | [1.7.31] sādiḥ saparyavasānā sādir aparyavasānā ca |

Right insight (*samyag-dṛṣṭi*) [is] twofold (*dvividha*):

- i. having a beginning (sa-ādi) [and] having an end (sa-paryavasāna), and (ca)
- ii. having a beginning (sa-ādi) [and] not having an end (a-paryavasāna).

#### [1.7.32] sādisaparyavasānam eva ca samyagdarśanam |

And (ca) right worldview (samyag-darśana) [is] only (eva) 'having a beginning [and] having an end (sa-ādi-saparyavasāna)'.

### [1.7.33] tajjaghanyenāntarmuhūrtam utkṛṣṭena ṣaṭṣaṣṭiḥ sāgaropamāni sādhikāni |

At its lowest (tad-jaghanya) [the duration is] less than an hour (antar-muhūrta); at [its] highest (utkṛṣṭa) [it is] more than (sādhika) 66 (ṣaṭṣaṣṭi) 'ocean-measured' [periods] (sāgara-upamā)<sup>607</sup>.

### [1.7.34] samyagdṛṣṭiḥ sādiraparyavasānā | [1.7.35] sayogaḥ śaileśīprāptaś ca kevalī siddhaśceti ||

[Concerning] 'right insight' (samyag-drṣṣti) [that] has a beginning ( $sa-\bar{a}di$ ) [and is] not having an end ( $a-paryavas\bar{a}na$ ) — [this type of right insight is found in]:

- i. [someone] possessed with yoga (sa-yoga),608 and (ca)
- ii. [in someone] reaching the top [of the *guṇasthānas*]<sup>609</sup> (śaileśī-prāpta)
- iii. the one endowed with absolute knowledge (kevalin), and (ca)
- iv. the perfected being (siddha) (iti).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>607</sup> For a discussion of the measurement of time in the TABh, see Tatia 2011: 271-274.

 $<sup>^{608}</sup>$  I.e., one of the 14 stages of spiritual development (gunasthana). For an overview of the gunasthanas, see, e.g., Tatia 2011: 279 – 285.

<sup>609</sup> I.e., the 14th gunasthāna.

### [1.7.36] vidhānam hetutraividhyāt kṣayādi trividhaṃ samyagdarśanam | [1.7.37] tadāvaraṇīyasya karmaṇo darśanamohanīyasya ca kṣayādibhyaḥ |

[From the perspective of] classification (*vidhāna*) — right worldview (*saṃyag-darśana*) [is] threefold (*trividha*), [resulting] from a triple cause (*hetu-traividhya*), beginning with destruction (*kṣaya-ādi*). [I.e.], from the destruction etc. (*kṣaya-ādi*) of *karman* [that is] covering [worldview] (*tad-āvaraṇīya*) and (*ca*) deluding worldview (*darśana-mohanīya*).<sup>610</sup>

### [1.7.38] tadyathā | [1.7.39] kṣayasamyagdarśanam upaśamasamyagdarśanam kṣayopaśamasamyagdarśanam iti |

To illustrate (tad-yathā): 'the right worldview [resulting from] destruction (kṣaya-samyag-darśana), the right worldview [resulting from] cessation (upaśama-samyag-darśana) [and] the right worldview [resulting from] destruction [and] cessation (kṣaya-upaśama-samyag-darśana) (iti).

### [1.7.40] atra caupaśamikakṣāyopaśamikakṣāyikāṇāṃ parataḥ parato viśuddhiprakarṣaḥ || [1.7.41] kiṃ cānyat |

And (ca) here (atra), [there is a] higher and higher (paratas paratas) intensity [of] purity (viśuddhiprakarṣa) for [respectively someone] with cessation (aupaśamika), [someone] with destruction [and] cessation (kṣāya-upaśamika), [and] [someone] with destruction (kṣāyika) [of worlview covering and deluding karman]. Further (kiṃ cānyat):

#### satsamkhyākṣetrasparśanakālāntarabhāvālpabahutvaiś ca ||1.8||

#### 1.8 And by:

- i. existence (sat)
- ii. numeration (samkhyā)
- iii. region (ksetra)
- iv. touching (i.e., reach) (sparśana)
- v. time (*kāla*)
- vi. interval (antara)611
- vii. state (bhāva), [and]
- viii. quantity<sup>612</sup> (alpa-bahutva).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>610</sup> See also TABh 1.7.19.

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>611</sup> Alternatively, 'kāla' and 'antara' can also be read together as 'kālāntara' (interval). However, TABh mentions that there are eight doors of examination (anuyogadvāra). It is more likely, therefore, that these two words represent two different doors of examination.

# [1.8.1] sat saṅkhyā kṣetraṃ sparśanaṃ kālaḥ antaraṃ bhāvaḥ alpabahutvam ity etaiś ca sadbhūtapadaprarūpaṇādibhir aṣṭābhir anuyogadvāraiḥ sarvabhāvānāṃ vikalpaśo vistarādhigamo bhavati |

And (ca) by these (etad) eight (aṣṭa) doors of examination  $(anuyoga-dv\bar{a}ra)$ , [namely] 'existence (sat), numeration  $(saṃkhy\bar{a})$ , region (kṣetra), touching (i.e., reach) (sparśana), time  $(k\bar{a}la)$ , interval (antara), state  $(bh\bar{a}va)$ , [and] quantity<sup>613</sup> (alpa-bahutva)' (iti), — [i.e. by exposing]<sup>614</sup> that which is true, a sign, a metaphorical description etc.  $(sadbh\bar{u}ta-pada-prar\bar{u}paṇ\bar{a}di)$  — there is (bhavati) full (vistara) varied (vikalpaśas) understanding (adhigama) of all states  $(sarva-bh\bar{a}va)$ .

# [1.8.2] katham iti cet ucyate | [1.8.3] sat samyagdarśanaṃ kim asti nāsti astīty ucyate |

If one asks (*iti ced*): 'How [are these doors of examination to be applied]?' (*katham*), [then] it is said (*ucyate*): [From the perspective of] 'existence' (*sat*) — does right worldview (*samyag-darśana*) exist [or] does is not exist (*kim asti na-asti*)? [Then] it is said (*ucyate*): It exists (*asti*) (*iti*).

[1.8.4]  $kv\bar{a}st\bar{i}ti\ ced\ ucyate\ |\ [1.8.5]\ aj\bar{i}veṣu\ t\bar{a}van\ n\bar{a}sti\ |\ [1.8.6]\ j\bar{i}veṣu\ tu\ bhājyam\ |$  If one asks ( $iti\ cet$ ): "Where is it<sup>616</sup> (kva-asti)?", it is said (ucyate): First of all ( $t\bar{a}vat$ ), it is not (na-asti) in non-souls ( $aj\bar{i}va$ ). However (tu), [it is] distributed<sup>617</sup> ( $bh\bar{a}jya$ ) in souls ( $j\bar{i}va$ ).

# [1.8.7] tadyathā | [1.8.8] gatīndriyakāyayogakaṣāyavedaleśyāsamyaktvajñānadarśanacāritrāhāropayogeṣu trayodaśasv anuyogadvāreṣu yathā saṃbhavaṃ sadbhūtaprarūpaṇā kartavyā ||

As here follows (*tad-yathā*), exposing that which is true (*sad-bhūta-prarūpaṇā*) [is] to be done (*kartavya*) respectively (*yathā sambhava*) in the case of the thirteen (*trayodaśa*) doors of examination (*anuyoga-dvāra*), [i.e.]:

- i. transmigration (gati)
- ii. the senses (*indriya*)
- iii. body (kāya)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>612</sup> Tatia translates 'relative numerical strength'.

<sup>613</sup> Lit. 'being little or much'.

<sup>614</sup> Cf. 'sadbhūtaprarūpanā' in TABh 1.8.8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>615</sup> The word order of this sentence is somewhat strange. The syntax of this passage is similar to TABh 1.7.1.

<sup>616</sup> I.e., what is the locus of right worldview?

<sup>617</sup> Lit. 'to be distributed' (MW).

- iv. activity (yoga)
- v. passion (kaṣāya)
- vi. feelings (veda)
- vii. colouring (*leśyā*)
- viii. rightness (samyaktva)
  - ix. knowledge (jñāna)
  - x. worldview (darśana)
- xi. conduct (cāritra)
- xii. taking food (āhāra)
- xiii. [cognitive] operation (upayoga).618

## [1.8.9] saṅkhyeyā | [1.8.10] kiyat samyagdarśanam kiṃ saṅkhyeyam asaṅkhyeyam anantam iti |

[From the perspective of] numeration  $(sa\dot{n}khyey\bar{a})$  — How many (kiyat) right worldviews (samyag-darśana) [are there]? Is it (kim) numerable  $(sa\dot{n}khyeya)$ , innumerable  $(asa\dot{n}khyeya)$  [or] endlessly many (ananta) (iti)?

# [1.8.11] ucyate | [1.8.12] asaṅkhyeyāni samyagdarśanāni | [1.8.13] samyagdṛṣṭayas tv anantāḥ ||

It is said (*ucyate*): [The number of] right worldviews (*samyagdarśana*) [is] innumerable (*asaṅkhyeya*) but (*tu*) [there are] endlessly many (*ananta*) right insights (*samyag-dṛṣṭi*).

# [1.8.14] kṣetram | [1.8.15] samyagdarśanam kiyati kṣetre | [1.8.16] lokasyāsaṅkhyeyabhāge ||

[From the perspective of] place — in a region (*kṣetra*) of what extent (*kiyat*) [does] right worldview (*samyag-darśana*) [occur]? [It occurs] in an innumerable part (*asańkhyeya-bhāga*) of the cosmos (*loka*).

#### [1.8.17] sparśanam | [1.8.18] samyagdarśanena kim sprstam |

[From the perspective of] touching (i.e., reach) (*sparśana*): What (*kim*) [is] reached (*spṛṣṭa*) by right worldview (*samyag-darśana*)?<sup>619</sup>

#### [1.8.19] lokasyāsaṅkheyabhāgaḥ | [1.8.20] samyagdṛṣṭinā tu sarvaloka iti ||

An innumerable part  $(asankheya-bh\bar{a}ga)$  of the cosmos (loka). However (tu), the whole cosmos (sarva-loka) [is reached] by right insight (samyag-drṣṭi) (iti).

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>618</sup> Several items in this list are also mentioned in TA 2.6, which enumerates 21 states ( $bh\bar{a}va$ ) of the soul, including four varieties of transmigration (gati), four passions (kasaya), and six colourings of the soul (lesya).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>619</sup> In other words: 'What is the range of right worldview?'

#### [1.8.21] atrāha samyagdṛṣṭisamyagdarśanayoḥ kaḥ prativiśeṣa iti |

At this point (atra) one says (āha): What (kim) [is] the difference (prativiśeṣa) between right insight and right worldview (samyag-dṛṣṭi-samyag-darśana) (iti)?

### [1.8.22] ucyate | [1.8.23] apāyasaddravyatayā samyagdarśanam apāya ābhinibodhikam | [1.8.24] tadyogāt samyagdarśanam |

It is said (ucyate): Right worldview (samyag-darśana) [arises] from existent substance [through] elimination<sup>620</sup> ( $ap\bar{a}ya-sad-dravyat\bar{a}$ ); 'elimination' ( $ap\bar{a}ya$ ) [is] perceptual apprehension ( $\bar{a}bhinibodhika$ ); right worldview (samyag-darśana) [arises] from the activity of that (tad-voga).

# [1.8.25] tat kevalino nāsti | [1.8.26] tasmāt na kevalī samyagdaršanī samyagdṛṣṭis tu<sup>621</sup> ||

That (i.e., right worldview) (tad) is not (na-asti) of the one endowed with absolute knowledge (kevalin). Therefore (tasmāt), the one endowed with absolute knowledge (kevalin) is not [someone] possessing right worldview (samyag-darśanin); however (tu), [he does possess] right insight (samyag-dṛṣṭi).

# [1.8.27] kālaḥ | [1.8.28] samyagdarśanaṃ kiyantaṃ kālam iti atrocyate | [1.8.29] tad ekajīvena nānājīvaiś ca parīkṣyam |

[From the perspective of] time  $(k\bar{a}la)$  — How long  $(kiyat\ k\bar{a}la)$  [does] right worldview [last] (samyag-darśana) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): That (tad) [is] to be examined  $(par\bar{i}k\bar{s}ya)$  with respect to an individual soul  $(eka-j\bar{i}va)$  and (ca) with respect to all soul[s]  $(n\bar{a}n\bar{a}-j\bar{i}va)$ .

# [1.8.30] tadyathā | [1.8.31] ekajīvaṃ prati jaghanyenāntarmuhūrtam utkṛṣṭena ṣaṭṣaṣṭiḥ sāgaropamāni sādhikāni | [1.8.32] nānājīvān prati sarvāddhā ||

Namely (tad- $yath\bar{a}$ ), in the case of (prati) an individual soul (eka- $j\bar{v}a$ ) [it is] less than an hour (antar- $muh\bar{u}rta$ ) at its lowest (jaghanya), [and] more than ( $s\bar{a}dhika$ ) 66 (sat, sat, sat) satisfies at [its] highest (utkr, sat, in the case of (prati) all souls ( $n\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ - $j\bar{v}a$ ) [it exists] all the time (sarva- $addh\bar{a}$ ).

 $<sup>^{620}</sup>$  TA 1.15 lists 'elimination' ( $ap\bar{a}ya$ ) as the third phase of ordinary cognition (mati) (for a discussion of the phases of ordinary cognition, see § 3.2 *Ordinary cognition*). The general idea of 'elimination' is that sensory cognition only becomes knowledge after investigating an object of sense and eliminating false ideas, such as the idea of silver in the case of mother-of-pearl. This passage in the  $bh\bar{a}sya$  seems to explain that right worldview is ultimately based on actual perceptions. For this reason, it is said that the *kevalin* cannot have right worldview (samyagdarsana) (TABh 1.8.25), since he is liberated from all bonds with the material world. Instead, the  $bh\bar{a}sya$  says that he has right insight (samyagdarsii) (TABh 1.8.26).

<sup>621</sup> Kapadia adds 'bhavati', mentioned by Mody as a variant reading.

<sup>622 &#</sup>x27;sarvāddhā' = 'savvadhā' (Ardhamāgadhī), 'gesamte Zeit' (Mylius 2003: 621).

#### [1.8.33] antaram | [1.8.34] samyagdarśanasya ko virahakālaḥ |

[From the perspective of] interval (antara): What (kim) [is] the time [in] separation (viraha-kāla) of right worldview (samyag-darśana) (i.e., the time between two instances of right worldview)?

### [1.8.35] ekaṃ jīvaṃ prati jaghanyenāntarmuhūrtam utkṛṣṭena upārdhapudgalaparivartaḥ | [1.8.36] nānājīvān prati nāsty antaram ||

In the case of (prati) an individual (eka) soul  $(j\bar{\imath}va)$  [it is] less than an hour  $(antar-muh\bar{\imath}uta)$  at its lowest (jaghanya) [and] nearly half [the time of] the expiration of material elements<sup>623</sup> (upa-ardha-pudgala-parivarta) at [its] highest (utkr,;ia); in the case of (prati) many souls  $(n\bar{\imath}n\bar{\imath}a-j\bar{\imath}va)$  there is no (na-asti) interval (antara).

# [1.8.37] bhāvaḥ | [1.8.38] samyagdarśanam aupaśamikādīnāṃ bhāvānāṃ katamo bhāva ucyate | [1.8.39] audayikapāriṇāmikavarjaṃ triṣu bhāveṣu bhavati ||

[From the perspective of] state ( $bh\bar{a}va$ ): Which (katama) state ( $bh\bar{a}va$ ) of the states ( $bh\bar{a}va$ ) beginning with 'resulting from the cessation [of karman]' ( $aupaśamika-\bar{a}di$ )<sup>625</sup> [is suitable for] right worldview (samyag-darśana)? It is said (ucyate): It exists (bhavati) in three (tri) states ( $bh\bar{a}va$ ), [i.e., all states] with the exception of [the state] resulting from the manifestation [of karman and the state] resulting from a natural disposition ( $audayika-p\bar{a}rin\bar{a}mika-varja$ ).

# [1.8.40] alpabahutvam | [1.8.41] atrāha samyagdarśanānāṃ triṣu bhāveṣu vartamānānāṃ kiṃ tulyasaṃkhyatvam<sup>626</sup> āhosvid alpabahutvam astīti |

[From the perspective of] quantity (alpa-bahutva): At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): Is there (kim) a state of having equal numbers (tulya-samkhyatva) or is there ( $\bar{a}hosvit$ ) a state of being little and much (alpa-bahutva) of right worldviews (samyag-darśana) existing ( $vartam\bar{a}na$ ) in the three (tri) states ( $bh\bar{a}va$ ) (iti) (in other words, is there a same amount of right worldviews in the three states or not)?

218

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>623</sup> According to Tatia, 'just short of half the time it takes karmic particles to undergo their complete course of binding and falling away from the soul' (Tatia 2011: 11).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>624</sup> In other words, there is always right worldview.

<sup>625</sup> The different states of the soul, including the 'aupaśamika' state, are listed in TA 2.1.

<sup>626</sup> Kapadia reads -samkhyātva-.

### [1.8.42] ucyate | [1.8.43] sarvastokam aupaśamikam | [1.8.44] tataḥ kṣāyikam asaṅkhyeyaguṇam |

It is said (*ucyate*): [In] the state 'resulting from the cessation [of *karman*]' (*aupaśamika*) [it is] the smallest of all (*sarva-stoka*). From that (*tatas*), [it is multiplied by] an innumerable number (*asańkhyeya-guṇa*) [in] the state resulting from the annihilation [of *karman*] (*kṣāyika*).

### [1.8.45] tato 'pi kṣāyopaśamikam asaṅkhyeyaguṇam | [1.8.46] samyagdṛṣṭayas tv anantaguṇā iti ||

Again (*api*) from that (*tatas*), [it is multiplied by] an innumerable number (*asaṅkhyeya-guṇa*) [in] the state resulting from the annihilation and cessation [of *karman*] (*kṣāya-upaśamika*). But (*tu*) right insights (*samyag-dṛṣṭi*) [are multiplied by] an endless number (*ananta-guṇa*).

### [1.8.47] evam sarvabhāvānām nāmādibhir nyāsam kṛtvā pramāṇādibhir abhigamaḥ kāryaḥ ||

Thus (evam), having done ( $krtv\bar{a}$ ) the analysis ( $ny\bar{a}sa$ ) by name etc. ( $n\bar{a}ma-\bar{a}di$ ) of all states ( $sarva-bh\bar{a}va$ ), the study (abhigama) by the means of cognition etc. ( $pram\bar{a}na-\bar{a}di$ ) [is] to be done ( $k\bar{a}rya$ ).

#### [1.8.48] uktam samyagdarśanam | [1.8.49] jñānam vakṣyāmaḥ |

Right worldview (samyag-darśana) [has been] discussed (ukta). [Now] we will explain (vakṣyāmaḥ) knowledge (jñāna).

#### matiśrutāvadhimanaḥparyāyakevalāni jñānam ||1.9||

1.9 [The varieties of] knowledge (*jñāna*) [are]:

- i. ordinary cognition (mati)
- ii. testimony (*śruta*)

iii. cosmic perception<sup>627</sup> (avadhi)

iv. mental perception (manaḥ-paryāya),628 [and]

v. absolute [knowledge]<sup>629</sup> (kevala).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>627</sup> This term is often translated as 'clairvoyance' (e.g., Soni 2000). Literally, the term 'avadhi' means 'limit', which might refer to the range of this variety of knowledge, which consists of all extended substance up to the limits of the cosmos (see also TABh 1.26.6 – 1.26.16).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>628</sup> This term is often translated as 'mind-reading' (e.g., Tatia 2011). However, 'mind-reading' usually refers to telepathy, i.e., reading other people's mind. As will be explained in TA 1.29, *manaḥparyāya* should not be interpreted as telepathy. Sanghvi explains that *manaḥparyāyajñāna* apprehends the shapes or modes (*paryāya*) that the mind (*manas*) assumes while thinking, which correspond with the objects (Sanghvi 1974: 46).

# [1.9.1] matijñānaṃ śrutajñānaṃ avadhijñānaṃ manaḥparyāyajñānaṃ kevalajñānam ity etat mūlavidhānataḥ pañcavidham jñānam | [1.9.2] prabhedās tv asya purastād vakṣyante ||

Knowledge from ordinary cognition ( $mati-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ), knowledge from testimony ( $\acute{s}ruta-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ), knowledge from cosmic perception ( $avadhi-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ), knowledge from mental perception ( $mana\dot{h}-pary\bar{a}ya-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ) [and] absolute knowledge ( $kevala-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ) (iti) — thus (etad), knowledge ( $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ) [is] fivefold ( $pa\tilde{n}cavidha$ ) according to the basic classification ( $m\bar{u}la-vidh\bar{a}na$ ). And (tu) the varieties (prabheda) of this (idam) will be explained (vaksyante) later on ( $purast\bar{a}t$ ).

#### tat pramāņe ||1.10||

1.10 These [five varieties of knowledge are] the two means of cognition  $(pram\bar{a}na)$ .

## [1.10.1] tad etat pañcavidham api jñānam dve pramāņe bhavataḥ parokṣaṃ pratyakṣaṃ ca ||

That very ( $tad\ etad$ ) full (api)<sup>631</sup> fivefold ( $pa\tilde{n}cavidha$ ) knowledge ( $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ) [is] the two (dvi) means of cognition ( $pram\bar{a}na$ ), being (bhavatah) indirect cognition (paroksa) and (ca) direct cognition (pratyaksa).

#### ādye parokṣam ||1.11||

1.11 The first two [varieties of knowledge are forms of] indirect cognition (paroksa).632

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>629</sup> Often translated as 'omniscience' (e.g., Soni 2000). A person who acquires absolute knowledge (i.e., a *kevalin*) will attain liberation at the end of their life (Wiley 2004: 123).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>630</sup> For a discussion of this *sūtra*, see § 3.2 *Classification of the means of cognition*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>631</sup> 'Putting *api* after a cardinal expresses the completeness of the number' (Speijer 1886, § 298).

<sup>632</sup> For a discussion of this passage, see § 3.2 Direct and indirect types of knowledge.

# [1.11.1] ādau bhavam ādyam | [1.11.2] ādye sūtrakramaprāmāṇyāt prathamadvitīye śāsti | [1.11.3] tad evam ādye matijñānaśrutajñāne parokṣaṃ pramāṇaṃ bhavataḥ |

[That] which is (bhava) at the beginning ( $\bar{a}di$ ), that is [the meaning of] 'first' ( $\bar{a}dya$ ). 'The first [two]' ( $\bar{a}dya$ , du.) — [The author] teaches<sup>633</sup> (i.e., he refers to) ( $s\bar{a}sti$ ) the first and the second [variety of knowledge] ( $prathama-dvit\bar{i}ya$ ), following the authoritativeness of the order in the  $s\bar{u}tra$  (see TA 1.9) ( $s\bar{u}tra-krama-pr\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya$ ); according to that ( $tad\ evam$ ), the first two ( $\bar{a}dya$ ), [i.e.], knowledge from ordinary cognition and knowledge from testimony ( $mati-jn\bar{a}na-sruta-jn\bar{a}na$ ), are (bhavatah) indirect (paroksa) means of cognition ( $pram\bar{a}na$ ).

## [1.11.4] kutah | [1.11.5] nimittāpekṣatvāt | [1.11.6] apāyasaddravyatayā matijñānam | [1.11.7] tad indriyānindriyanimittam iti vakṣyate ||

Why (kutas)? Due to the quality of being dependent on a cause (nimitta-apek,satva); ordinary cognition (mati- $j\tilde{n}a\bar{n}a$ ) [arises] from existent substance [through] elimination ( $ap\bar{a}ya$ -sad- $dravyat\bar{a}$ ) $^{634}$ . It will be said (see TA 1.14) (vak,yate) [that] 'this [ordinary cognition] (tad) [is] caused by the organs of sense [and] the mind (indriya-anindriya-nimitta).'

#### [1.11.8] tatpūrvakatvāt paropadeśajatvāc ca śrutajñānam ||

Knowledge from testimony ( $\acute{s}ruta-j\~n\=ana$ ) [is an indirect means of cognition] due to the quality of being preceded by that (i.e., since knowledge from testimony is preceded by ordinary cognition)<sup>635</sup> ( $tat-p\=urvakatva$ ), and (ca) due to the quality of being caused by the instruction of others ( $para-upade\acute{s}ajatva$ ).

#### pratyakşam anyat ||1.12||

1.12 The other [varieties of knowledge] (anya) [are forms of] direct cognition (pratyakṣa).

<sup>633</sup> The verb form 'śāsti' suggests that the author of the *bhāṣya* did not compose the *sūtra*. Siddhasenagaṇi comments on this issue and acknowledges that this is a problem. Nevertheless, he maintains that both texts are composed by the same person.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>634</sup> The same expression occurs in TABh 1.8.23 and TABh 1.31.16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>635</sup> See the definition of testimony in TA 1.20.

### [1.12.1] matiśrutābhyāṃ yad anyat trividhaṃ jñānaṃ tat pratyakṣaṃ pramāṇaṃ bhavati |

The threefold (trividha) knowledge ( $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ) that [is] different ( $yad\ anyat$ ) from ordinary cognition and testimony ( $mati-\acute{s}ruta$ ),<sup>636</sup> that (tad) is (bhavati) direct (pratyakça) cognition ( $pram\bar{a}na$ ).

#### [1.12.2] kutaḥ | [1.12.3] atīndriyatvāt |

Why (*kutas*)? Due to the quality of being beyond [the cognisance] of the senses (*atīndriyatva*).

#### [1.12.4] pramīyante 'rthās tair iti pramāṇāni ||

Since (*iti*) the objects (*artha*) are cognised (*pramīyante*) through them (*tad*), [they are called] 'means of cognition' (*pramāna*).

### [1.12.5] atrāha | [1.12.6] iha avadhāritam dve eva pramāņe pratyakṣaparokṣe iti |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): Here (iha) [it is] determined ( $avadh\bar{a}rita$ ) [that] the means of cognition ( $pram\bar{a}na$ ) [are] indeed (eva) two (dvi), [i.e.], direct and indirect cognition (pratyakṣa-parokṣa) (iti).

## [1.12.7] anumānopamānāgamārthāpattisambhavābhāvān api pramāṇāni iti kecit manyante | [1.12.8] tat katham etad iti |

Some [people] (*kecid*) are of the opinion (*manyante*) [that] (*iti*) inference, comparison, verbal testimony, postulation, equivalence, [and] negation (*anumāna-upamāna-āgama-arthāpatti-sambhava-abhāva*) [are] also (*api*) means of cognition (*pramāna*).<sup>637</sup> How (*katham*), then (*tad*), [can] this (*etad*) [be explained] (*iti*)?

## [1.12.9] atrocyate | [1.12.10] sarvāņy etāni matiśrutayor antarbhūtāni, indriyārthasannikarṣanimittatvāt | [1.12.11] kiṃ cānyat |

At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): All (sarva) these (etad) are within ( $antarbh\bar{u}ta$ ) ordinary cognition and testimony ( $mati-\acute{s}ruta$ ), due to the quality of being caused by the connection of the object with the sense organ (indriya-artha-sannikarṣa-nimittatva)<sup>638</sup>. Further ( $kim \ c\bar{a}nyat$ ):

\_

<sup>636</sup> I.e., avadhi, manahparyāya, and kevala (see TA 1.9).

 $<sup>^{637}</sup>$  This is a peculiar list, which does not correspond to a known list of *pramāṇas* that were accepted by a specific school. For a discussion of this passage, see § 3.2 *Other means of cognition.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>638</sup> The term 'saṃnikarṣa' refers to 'the connection of an *indriya* with its *viṣaya* or object' (MW). This term plays an important role in the epistemology of the Naiyāyikas. For a discussion of this term and the relationship between the theory in the TA and the *Nyāyasūtra*, see § 3.2 *Ordinary cognition*.

### [1.12.12] apramāṇāny eva vā | [1.12.13] kutaḥ | [1.12.14] mithyādarśana-parigrahāt viparītopadeśāc ca |

Or,  $(v\bar{a})$  [they are] indeed (eva) not means of cognition  $(apram\bar{a}na)$ . Why (kutas)? [Since this results] from the adoption of wrong view  $(mithy\bar{a}-dar\acute{s}ana-parigraha)$  and (ca) from false teaching  $(vipar\bar{t}a-upade\acute{s}a)$ .

### [1.12.15] mithyādṛṣṭer hi matiśrutāvadhayo niyatam ajñānam eveti vakṣyate |

Since (hi), it will be said (TA 1.32) (vak\$yate) [that] ordinary cognition, testimony [and] cosmic perception  $(mati-\acute{s}ruta-avadhi)$  [are] certainly (niyata) false knowledge  $(aj\~n\=ana)$  for someone who has wrong view  $(mithy\=a-drsti)$ .

# [1.12.16] nayavādāntareņa tu yathā matiśrutavikalpajāni bhavanti tathā parastād vakṣyāmaḥ ||

And (tu), in accordance with the doctrine of perspectives  $(naya-v\bar{a}da-antarena)$ , we will explain  $(vaksy\bar{a}man)$  later on (parastat) [that] they are (bhavanti) born from the varieties of ordinary cognition and testimony (mati-sruta-vikalpaja).

# [1.12.17] atrāha | [1.12.18] uktaṃ bhavatā matyādīni jñānāni uddiśya tāni vidhānato lakṣaṇataś ca purastād vistareṇa vakṣyāma iti | [1.12.19] tad ucyatām iti | [1.12.20] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): [It] has been said (ukta) by you (bhavat) [that] 'after having taught (uddisya) the knowledges ( $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ), beginning with ordinary cognition ( $mati-\bar{a}di$ ), we will explain ( $vaksy\bar{a}mah$ ) them (tad) below ( $purast\bar{a}t$ ) in detail (vistarena) based on [their] characteristic[s] (laksana) and (ca) classification ( $vidh\bar{a}na$ )' (see TABh 1.9.2) (iti). That (tad) should [now] be taught ( $ucyat\bar{a}m$ ) (iti). At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

#### matiḥ smṛtiḥ samjñā cintābhinibodha ity anarthāntaram ||1.13||

1.13 'Ordinary cognition' (mati), 'remembrance' (smrti), 'recognition' (samria), 'thought' ( $cint\bar{a}$ ) [and] 'apprehension' (abhinibodha) — [these are] not different (i.e., they are synonyms) ( $an\bar{a}rthantara$ ).

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>639</sup> The primary meaning of the unusual word 'abhinibodha' is not given in the standard dictionaries. The  $bh\bar{a}sya$  on this  $s\bar{u}tra$  uses a slightly different form of the word, i.e. 'abhinibodhikajñāna'. It is evident that the word is used as a synonym of 'mati' (ordinary cognition). It is usually translated as 'apprehension' (see, e.g., Balcerowicz 2016d: 998), which is close to the primary meaning of 'ni- $\sqrt{budh}$  (to learn, to attend)' + 'abhi' (towards).

### [1.13.1] matijñānaṃ smṛtijñānaṃ saṃjñājñānaṃ cintājñānaṃ abhinibodhikajñānam ity anarthāntaram ||

'Knowledge [from] ordinary cognition' (*mati-jñāna*), 'knowledge [from] remembrance' (*smṛti-jñāna*), 'knowledge [from] recognition' (*saṃjñā-jñāna*), 'knowledge [from] thought' (*cintā-jñāna*), [and] 'knowledge [from] apprehension' (*abhinibodhika-jñāna*) (*iti*) — [these are] not different (i.e., they are synonyms) (*anarthāntara*).

#### tad indriyānindriyanimittam ||1.14||

1.14 This [ordinary cognition] (tad) [is] caused by the organs of sense [and] the mind (indriya-anindriya-nimitta).

### [1.14.1] tad etat matij $\tilde{n}$ ānam dvividham bhavati | [1.14.2] indriyanimittam anindriyanimittam ca |

That very ( $tad\ etad$ ) ordinary cognition ( $mati-j\tilde{n}ana$ ) arises (bhavati) in a twofold way (dvividha): caused by the organs of sense (indriya-nimitta) and (ca) caused by the mind (anindriya-nimitta).

### [1.14.3] tatrendriyanimittam sparśanādīnām pañcānām sparśādiṣu pañcasv eva svaviṣayeṣu | [1.14.4] anindriyanimittam manovṛttir oghajñānam ca |

Among them (tatra), 'caused by the organs of sense' (indriya-nimitta) [refers to] the respective ranges (svaviṣaya), [which are] indeed (eva) the five [objects of sense] ( $pa\~nca$ ) beginning with the quality of tangibility ( $sparśa-\bar{a}di$ ), which belong to the five [senses] ( $pa\~nca$ ), beginning with the organ of touch ( $sparśana-\bar{a}di$ ). 'Caused by the mind' (anindriya-nimitta) [is] the activity of the mind (manas-vrtti) and (ca)  $oghaj\~nana^{640}$ .

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>640</sup> Siddhasenagaṇi uses the word 'sāmānya' (general, common) to describe this type of knowledge, and he compares *oghajñāna* with the knowledge of a creeper. This is in line with Tatia's explanation of '*ogha*' as 'instinct' (Tatia 1951: 54). Perhaps, it could be translated as 'basic knowledge'.

#### avagrahehāpāyadhāraṇāḥ ||1.15||

1.15 [The phases of ordinary cognition are]:

- sense perception (avagraha)
- ii. endeavour to obtain (*īhā*)
- elimination (apāya),641 [and] iii.
- holding (i.e., keeping in remembrance) (dhāraṇā).642 iv.

### [1.15.1] tad etat matijñānam ubhayanimittam apy ekaśah caturvidham bhavati | [1.15.2] tadyathā | [1.15.3] avagraha īhā apāyo dhāranā ceti |

That very (tad etad) ordinary cognition (mati-jñāna), even though (api) [it is] caused by both (i.e., by the senses and the mind)<sup>643</sup> (ubhaya-nimitta), arises (bhavati) in every case<sup>644</sup> (ekaśas) in a fourfold way (caturvidha). I.e. (tad-yathā), [it arises through] sense perception (avagraha), endeavour to obtain ( $\bar{i}h\bar{a}$ ), elimination (apāya), and (ca) holding (dhāraṇā) (iti).

### [1.15.4] tatrāvyaktam yathāsvam indriyair visayāṇām ālocanāvadhāraṇam avagrahah |

Among them (tatra), sense perception (avagraha) [is] an indistinct (avyakta) perceptual ascertainment (ālocana-avadhāraṇa) of the ranges (viṣaya) by the senses (indriya), each on their own account (yathāsvam).

#### [1.15.5] avagraho grahanam ālocanam avadhāranam ity anarthāntaram ||

'Sense perception' (avagraha), 'seizing' (grahana), 'perceiving' (ālocana), [and] 'ascertainment' (avadhāraṇa) (iti) — [these are] not different (i.e., they are synonyms) (anarthāntara).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>641</sup> Sanghvi reads 'avāya'. He remarks that both readings are possible according to Akalaṅka (1974: 4, n. 4). Balcerowicz notes that 'apāya' is used in the Śvetāmbara tradition and 'avāya' in the Digambara tradition (Balcerowicz 2016d: 1001).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>642</sup> My translations of the technical terms in this *sūtra* are based on the explanation in the bhāṣya (TABh 1.15.1 - 1.15.11).

<sup>643</sup> See TABh 1.14.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>644</sup> I.e., in the case of the five varieties of ordinary cognition that are caused by the senses and the two varieties that are caused by the mind (see TABh 1.14.2 – 1.14.4).

### [1.15.6] avagṛhīte viṣayārthaikadeśāc cheṣānugamanaṃ niścayaviśeṣajijñāsā<sup>645</sup> īhā |

Seeking the remainder ( $\dot{s}e\dot{s}a$ -anugamana) on the basis of a part of the objects [within one's] range ( $\dot{v}i\dot{s}aya$ -artha-ekade $\dot{s}a$ ) in the case of that which is perceived ( $avagrh\bar{t}ta$ ), [or] the desire to know the particularities [by] inquiry ( $ni\dot{s}caya$ - $vi\dot{s}e\dot{s}a$ - $jij\tilde{n}\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ ), [that is] the 'endeavour to obtain' ( $\bar{t}h\bar{a}$ ).

#### [1.15.7] īhā ūhā tarkaḥ parīkṣā vicāraṇā jijñāsety anarthāntaram ||

'Endeavour to obtain'  $(\bar{\imath}h\bar{a})$ , 'comprehending'  $(\bar{u}h\bar{a})$ , 'reasoning' (tarka), 'investigation'  $(par\bar{\imath}k\bar{\imath}a)$ , 'consideration'  $(vic\bar{a}ra\bar{\imath}a)$ , [and] 'desire to know'  $(jij\bar{\imath}a\bar{s}a)$  (iti) — [these are] not different (i.e., they are synonyms)  $(anarth\bar{a}ntara)$ .

### [1.15.8] avagṛhīte viṣaye samyagasamyag iti guṇadoṣavicāraṇā adhyavasāyāpanodo'pāyaḥ |

[Making] a distinction [between] merits and defects  $(guṇ a-doṣ a-vic\bar{a}raṇ\bar{a})$  [by telling] (iti) 'right' from 'wrong'  $(samyag-asamya\tilde{n}c)$  (iti) with respect to the perceived  $(avagṛh\bar{t}ta)$  range<sup>646</sup> (viṣ aya) — [that is] elimination  $(ap\bar{a}ya)$ , [which] removes mere opinion<sup>647</sup>  $(adhyavas\bar{a}ya-apanoda)$ .

### [1.15.9] apāyo 'pagamaḥ apanodaḥ apavyādhaḥ apetam apagatam apaviddham apanuttam ity anarthāntaram ||

'Elimination' (*apāya*), 'going away' (*apagama*), 'removing' (*apanoda*), 'driving away' (*apavyādha*), 'gone' (*apeta*), 'departed' (*apagata*), 'rejected' (*apaviddha*), 'removed' (*apanutta*) (*iti*) — [these are] not different (i.e., they are synonyms) (*anarthāntaram*).<sup>648</sup>

[1.15.10] dhāraṇā pratipattir yathāsvaṃ matyavasthānam avadhāraṇaṃ ca | 'Holding' (dhāraṇā) [means] 'ascertainment' (pratipatti), 'properly<sup>649</sup> (yathāsvam) holding [in] the mind' (maty-avasthāna), and (ca) 'retaining' (avadhārana).

\_\_\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>645</sup> Kapadia reads 'niścayaviśeṣajijñāsā **ceṣṭā** īhā'. The word 'ceṣṭā' can be translated as 'activity', or 'endeavour' (MW). Alternatively, it can be analysed as 'ca + iṣṭa (desired)'. Siddhasenagaṇi interprets 'ceṣṭā' as 'activity'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>646</sup> See also TABh 1.15.6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>647</sup> 'blosse Meinung' (*adhyavasāya*) (Böhtlingk 1855).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>648</sup> It is somewhat strange that this list of synonyms contains active and passive word forms (apāya, apagama, apanoda, and apavyādha vs. apeta, apagata, apaviddha, and apanutta).
<sup>649</sup> 'yathāsvam' can also mean 'each on their own account' (MW). Siddhasenagaṇi explains

<sup>&#</sup>x27;yathāsvam' as 'yathāviṣayam' (in accordance with [one's] sphere of reference).

### [1.15.11] dhāraṇā pratipattir avadhāraṇāvasthānaṃ niścayo 'vagamaḥ avabodha ity anarthāntaram ||

'Holding' (dhāraṇā), 'assurance' (pratipatti), 'the condition of retaining' (avadhāraṇa-avasthāna), 'inquiry' (niścaya), 'understanding' (avagama), [and] 'knowledge' (avabodha) (iti) — [these are] not different (i.e., they are synonyms) (anarthāntara).

#### bahubahuvidhakşiprāniśritānukta<sup>650</sup>dhruvāṇāṃ setarāṇām ||1.16||

1.16 [The objects of ordinary cognition appear as] much (bahu), of many sorts (bahuvidha), swift (ksipra), independent (aniśrita), non-verbal<sup>651</sup> (anukta) [and] constant (*dhruva*), together with [their] opposites (*setara*).

### [1.16.1] avagrahādayaś catvāro matijñānavibhāgā esām bahvādīnām arthānām setarāṇām bhavanty ekaśaḥ |

The four  $(cat\bar{u}r)$  varieties of ordinary cognition  $(mati-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na-vibh\bar{a}ga)$  — [i.e.], sense perception etc. 652 (avagraha-ādi) — exist (bhavanti) for these (idam) objects (artha), beginning with much (bahv-ādi), together with [their] opposites (sa-itara), in every case (ekaśas).653

#### [1.16.2] setarāṇām iti | [1.16.3] sapratipakṣāṇām ity arthaḥ |

Together with [their] opposites (setara) (iti) — the meaning is (iti artha) 'with that which is opposite' (sa-pratipakṣa).

#### [1.16.4] bahv avagrhnāti alpam avagrhnāti |

One perceives (avagṛḥṇāti) much<sup>654</sup> (bahu) [and] one perceives little (alpa).

#### [1.16.5] bahuvidham avagṛḥṇāti ekavidham avagṛḥṇāti |

One perceives [something] of many sorts (bahuvidha) [and] one perceives [something] of one sort (ekavidha).

#### [1.16.6] kṣipram avagṛḥṇāti cireṇāvagṛḥṇāti |

One perceives [something] swift (kṣipra) [and] one perceives [something] for a long time (cirena).

<sup>650</sup> Kapadia reads 'niśritāsandigdhadhruvāṇāṃ' ('independent, unambiguous, constant'). The reading of Mody corresponds to the reading in the *Sarvārthasiddhi*.

<sup>651</sup> Literally 'unspoken'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>652</sup> See TA 1.15.

<sup>653</sup> In other words, there is avagraha, īhā etc. of the objects that are much, of many sorts etc.

<sup>654</sup> The word bahu seems to have an adverbial function. The same goes for kṣipra etc. in the next sentences.

#### [1.16.7] aniśritam avagṛḥṇāti niśritam avagṛḥṇāti |

One perceives [something] independent (aniśrita) [and] one perceives [something] dependent (niśrita).

#### [1.16.8]655 anuktam avagṛḥṇāti uktam avagṛḥṇāti |

One perceives [something] non-verbal (anukta) [and] one perceives [something that is] spoken (ukta).

#### [1.16.9] dhruvam avagṛḥṇāti adhruvam avagṛḥṇāti |

One perceives [something] constant (*dhruva*) [and] one perceives [something] impermanent (*adhruva*).

#### [1.16.10] ity evam īhādīnām api vidyāt ||

In the same way (*ity evam*), one should also know (*api vidyāt*) [these varieties] of 'the endeavour to obtain' etc. (see TA 1.15) ( $\bar{t}h\bar{a}-\bar{a}di$ ).

#### *arthasya* ||1.17||

1.17 [There is ordinary cognition]<sup>656</sup> of the sense object (*artha*).

#### [1.17.1] avagrahādayo matijñānavikalpā arthasya bhavanti ||

The varieties of ordinary cognition ( $mati-j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}na-vikalpa$ ), beginning with sense perception ( $avagraha-\tilde{a}di$ ), are (bhavanti) of a sense object (artha).

#### vyañjanasyāvagrahah ||1.18||

1.18 [There is] sense perception (avagraha) of the vyañjana<sup>658</sup>.

#### [1.18.1] vyañjanasyāvagraha eva bhavati nehādayaḥ |

There is (bhavati) indeed (eva) sense perception (avagraha) of the  $vya\tilde{n}jana$ , not 'the endeavour to obtain' etc. ( $na\ \bar{i}h\bar{a}-\bar{a}di$ ).

<sup>655</sup> Omitted by Kapadia. See Mody 1903: 28, footnote 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>656</sup> It is somewhat strange that 'artha' is given in singular since the qualifications of the sense object in the previous *sūtra* are given in plural (TA 1.16). It is also possible that TA 1.17 should be read together with TA 1.18. For a discussion of this possibility, see § 3.2 *Ordinary cognition*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>657</sup> In other words, the sense objects are the object of ordinary cognition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>658</sup> The peculiar term 'vyañjana' seems to refer to the physical contact of a sense organ with its object. Tatia translates the term 'vyañjanāvagraha' as 'contact-awareness' (Tatia 1951:35). For a discussion of this term, see § 3.2 *Ordinary cognition*.

# [1.18.2] evaṃ dvividho 'vagraho vyañjanasyārthasya ca | [1.18.3] īhādayas tv arthasyaiva ||

Thus (evam), sense perception (avagraha) [is] twofold (dvividha), [i.e.], of the  $vya\tilde{n}jana$  and (ca) of the sense object (artha). But (tu) 'the endeavour to obtain' etc.  $(\bar{l}h\bar{a}-\bar{a}di)$  [is] only (eva) of the sense object (artha).

### na cakşuranindriyābhyām ||1.19||

1.19 [There is] no [sense perception of the  $vya\tilde{n}jana$ ]<sup>659</sup> by the eyes (caksus) or the mind (anindriya).

## [1.19.1] cakṣuṣā noindriyeṇa ca vyañjanāvagraho na bhavati | [1.19.2] caturbhir indriyaiḥ śeśair bhavati |

There is no ( $na\ bhavati$ ) sense perception (avagraha) of the  $vya\tilde{n}jana$  by the eye (cak sus) and (ca) the mind (noindriya)  $^{660}$ ; [however] there is (bhavati) [sense perception of the  $vya\tilde{n}jana$ ] by the four (catur) other (sesa) senses (indriya). $^{661}$ 

## [1.19.3] evam etat matijñānam dvividham caturvidham aṣṭāviṃśatividham aṣṭaṣaṣṭyuttaraśatavidham ṣaṭtrimśattriśatavidham ca bhavati ||

So (*evam*), this (*etad*) ordinary cognition (*mati-jñāna*) is (*bhavati*) twofold (*dvividha*), fourfold (*caturvidha*), 28-fold (*aṣṭāviṃśatividha*), 168-fold (*aṣṭaṣaṣṭy-uttaraśatavidha*) and (*ca*) 336-fold (*ṣaṭtriṃśattriśatavidha*).<sup>662</sup>

### śrutam matipūrvam dvyanekadvādaśabhedam ||1.20||

1.20 Testimony (*śruta*) is preceded by ordinary cognition (*mati*). [It consists of] two [varieties], the many [outer limbs] and the twelve [inner limbs].<sup>663</sup>

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>659</sup> See TA 1.18.

 $<sup>^{660}</sup>$  It is remarkable that the *bhāṣya* uses the word '*noindriya*' instead of '*anindriya*', which is used in the *sūtra*. For a discussion of the peculiar word formation '*noindriya*', see § 3.2 *Ordinary cognition*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>661</sup> An overview of this theory is given in § 3.2, table viii. *Types of ordinary cognition that have vyañjanāvagraha* and ix. *Objects of the different phases of ordinary cognition*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>662</sup> See § 3.2, table x. (*Varieties of ordinary cognition*) for a clarification of these numbers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>663</sup> The 'inner limbs' (aṅgapraviṣṭa) and 'outer limbs' (aṅgabāhya) refer to specific textual categories of the Jaina scriptures (see TABh 1.20.3). They are specified in TABh 1.20.7 and 1.20.10.

### [1.20.1] śrutajñānam matijñānapūrvakam bhavati |

Knowledge from testimony (*śruta-jñāna*) is (*bhavati*) preceded by knowledge from ordinary cognition (*mati-jñāna-pūrvaka*).

# [1.20.2] śrutam āptavacanaṃ āgamaḥ upadeśa aitihyam āmnāyaḥ pravacanaṃ jinavacanam ity anarthāntaram ||

'Testimony' (*śruta*), 'the words of the *āpta*' (*āpta-vacana*), 'scriptural tradition' (*āgama*), 'teaching' (*upadeśa*), 'tradition' (*aitihya*), 'sacred tradition' (*āmnāya*), 'sacred writings' (*pravacana*), [and] 'the words of the *jina*' (*jina-vacana*) (*iti*) — [these are] not different (i.e., they are synonyms) (*anarthāntara*).

## [1.20.3] tad dvividham aṅgabāhyam aṅgapraviṣṭaṃ ca | [1.20.4] tat punar anekavidhaṃ dvādaśavidhaṃ ca yathā saṅkhyam |

That (i.e., testimony) (tad) [is] twofold (dvividha), [i.e.], the [corpus of] outer limbs ( $a\dot{n}ga-b\bar{a}hya$ )<sup>664</sup> and (ca) the [corpus of] inner limbs ( $a\dot{n}ga-pravi\dot{s}\dot{t}a$ ). That (tad) [is] again (punar) manifold (anekavidha) and (ca) twelvefold ( $dv\bar{a}da\dot{s}avidha$ ), according to ( $yath\bar{a}$ ) numbering ( $sa\dot{n}khya$ ).<sup>665</sup>

[1.20.5] aṅgabāhyam anekavidham | [1.20.6] tadyathā | [1.20.7] sāmāyikaṃ caturviṃśatistavo vandanaṃ prati-kramaṇaṃ kāyavyutsargaḥ pratyākhyānaṃ daśavaikālikaṃ uttarādhyāyāḥ daśāḥ kalpavyavahārau niśītham ṛṣibhāṣitāny evam ādi ||

The [corpus of] outer limbs ( $a\dot{n}ga$ - $b\bar{a}hya$ ) [is] manifold (anekavidha). Namely (tad- $yath\bar{a}$ ):

i.	Sāmāyika	vii.	Daśavaikālika
ii.	Caturviṃśatistava	viii.	Uttarādhyāyāḥ
iii.	Vandana	ix.	Daśāḥ
iv.	Pratikramaņa	X.	Kalpavyavahārau
v.	Kāyavyutsarga	xi.	Niśītha
vi.	Pratyākhyāna	xii.	Ŗṣibhāṣitāni, etc. (evam ādi).666

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>664</sup> Alternatively, 'that which is outside the limbs', i.e., 'non-canonical'.

 $<sup>^{665}</sup>$  For an overview of these texts, see § 3.2, table xi. *Testimonial knowledge: The Jaina scriptures.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>666</sup> For a discussion of this list, see § 3.2 *Testimony*.

[1.20.8] aṅgapraviṣṭaṃ dvādaśavidham | [1.20.9] tadyathā | [1.20.10] ācāraḥ sūtrakṛtaṃ sthānaṃ samavāya vyākhyāprajñaptiḥ jñātadharmikathā upāsakādhyayanadaśāḥ antakṛddaśāḥ anuttaraupapātikadaśāḥ praśnavyākaraṇaṃ vipākasūtraṃ dṛṣṭipāta iti ||

The [corpus of] inner limbs (aṅga-praviṣṭa) [is] twelvefold (dvādaśavidha). Namely (tad-yathā):

i. Ācāra vii. Upāsakādhyayanadaśāḥ

ii. Sūtrakṛta viii. Antakṛddaśāḥ

iii. Sthāna ix. Anuttaraupapātikadaśāḥ

iv. Samavāya x. Praśnavyākaraņa

v. Vyākhyāprajñapti xi. Vipākasūtra

vi. Jñātadharmakathāḥ xii. Dṛṣṭipāta.

### [1.20.11] atrāha | [1.20.12] matijñānaśrutajñānayoḥ kaḥ prativiśeṣa iti |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): What (kim) [is] the difference (prativiśeṣa) between knowledge from ordinary cognition and knowledge from testimony ( $mati-jñ\bar{a}na-śruta-jñ\bar{a}na$ ) (iti)?

 $[1.20.13] \ atrocyate \ | \ [1.20.14] \ utpannāvinasṭārthagrāhakam \ sāmpratakālaviṣayam matijñānam \ | \ [1.20.15] \ \acute{s}rutajñānam \ tu \ trikālaviṣayam utpannavinaṣṭānutpannārthagrāhakam \ ||$ 

At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): That which perceives objects [that have been] produced [and are] not [yet] destroyed (utpanna-avinaṣṭa-artha-grāhaka), having the present time as its range (sāmprata-kāla-viṣaya), [that is] knowledge from ordinary cognition (matijñāna); but (tu) knowledge from testimony (śrutajñāna) has the three times as its range (trikāla-viṣaya) [and] perceives objects [that have been] produced, [that are] destroyed [and are] not [yet] produced (i.e., objects in the past, present and future) (utpanna-vinasta-anutpanna-artha-grāhaka).

[1.20.16] atrāha | [1.20.17] gṛhṇīmo matiśrutayor nānātvam | [1.20.18] atha śrutajñānasya dvividham anekadvādaśavidham iti kiṃ kṛtaḥ prativiśeṣa iti |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): We understand ( $grhn\bar{n}mah$ ) the difference ( $n\bar{a}n\bar{a}tva$ ) between ordinary cognition and testimony ( $mati-\acute{s}ruta$ ). Now (atha), why (kim) [has one] made (krta) a difference ( $prativi\acute{s}e\.{s}a$ ) [between] the twofold (dvividha), manifold, [and] twelvefold ( $aneka-dv\bar{a}da\acute{s}avidha$ ) <sup>667</sup> [varieties] of knowledge from testimony ( $\acute{s}ruta-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ) (iti)?

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>667</sup> See TABh 1.20.3 - 1.20.4.

### [1.20.19] atrocyate | [1.20.20] vaktrviśeṣād dvaividhyam |

At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): The twofold nature (dvaividhya) [results] from the difference of speaker (vaktr-viśesa).

[1.20.21] yad bhagavadbhih sarvajñaih sarvadarśibhih paramarsibhir arhadbhis tatsvābhāvyāt paramaśubhasya ca pravacanapratiṣṭhāpanaphalasya tīrthakaranāmakarmaņo 'nubhāvād uktam bhagavacchisyair atiśayavadbhir uttamātiśayavāgbuddhisampannair gaṇadharair dṛbdham tad angapravistam |

That which (yad) has been spoken (ukta) by the jinas (bhagavat) —

[who are] the all-knowing (sarva-jña) [and] all-seeing (sarva-darśin) most excellent sages (parama-rsi), the arhats,668 on account of the experience (anubhāva) of body-determining karman [related to] the tīrthakara  $(t\bar{t}rthakara-n\bar{a}ma-karman)^{669}$  — which is very positive (parama-subha) [and] the result of which is the establishing of the doctrine (pravacanapratiṣṭhāpana-phala) — [and] on account of their own nature (tatsvābhāvya) —

[which has been] composed (drbdha) by the pupils of the jinas (bhagavat-śisya) — [who are] the gaṇadharas, having supernatural qualities (atiśayavat),670 provided with the most eminent speech and mind (uttama-atiśaya-vāgbuddhi-sampanna) —

that (tad) [is the corpus] of inner limbs (anga-pravista).

[1.20.22] gaṇadharānantaryādibhis tv atyantaviśuddhāgamaiḥ paramaprakṛṣṭavāṅmatibuddhiśaktibhir ācāryaiḥ kālasaṃhananāyurdoṣād alpaśaktīnām śiṣyāṇām anugrahāya yat proktam tad aṅgabāhyam iti ||

And (tu) that which (yad) [is] taught (prokta) by the succession of gaṇadharas etc. (qanadhara-ānantarya-ādi)<sup>671</sup> —

[who are] the teachers (ācārya), [whose] verbal testimonies [are] excessively pure (atyanta-viśuddha-āgama), [whose] powers of speech, ordinary cognition and mind [are] most superior (parama-prakṛṣṭa-vācmati-buddhi-śakti) —

<sup>668</sup> The terms 'paramarsi' and 'arhat' refer to the jina.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>669</sup> This type of *karman* is listed in TA 8.12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>670</sup> The word 'atiśaya' refers to 'one of the superhuman qualities attributed to Jaina Arhats' (MW).

<sup>671</sup> Siddhasenagaṇi mentions Jambū as an example. He was the pupil of the gaṇadhara Sudharman (see, e.g., Wiley 2004: 104).

for the benefit (anugraha) of the pupils (śisya) —

[whose] power is little (*alpaśakti*) through defect brought about by time, physical structure [and] vital power (*kāla-saṃhanana-āyur-doṣa*) —

that (tad) [is the corpus of] outer limbs (aṅgabāhya) (iti).

### [1.20.23] sarvajñapraṇītatvād ānantyāc ca jñeyasya śrutajñānaṃ matijñānān mahāviṣayam |

Knowledge from testimony ( $\acute{s}ruta-j\~n\=ana$ ), [due to] the quality of being conveyed by the all-knowing [beings] ( $\emph{s}arvaj\~na-pran\~itatva$ ) and ( $\emph{c}a$ ) [due to] the infinity ( $\~anantya$ ) of [that what is] to be known ( $\emph{j}\~neya$ ), [has] a greater range ( $\emph{m}ah\=a-vi\~saya$ ) [than] knowledge by ordinary cognition ( $\emph{m}ati-j\~n\=ana$ ).

## [1.20.24] tasya mahāviṣayatvāt tāṃs tān arthān adhikṛtya prakaraṇasamāptyapekṣam aṅgopāṅganānātvam |

And (ca) [there is] a variety of 'limbs' and 'additional limbs'<sup>672</sup> (aṅga-upāṅga-nānātva) — whose reference is the complete acquisition of the subjects (prakaraṇa-samāpty-apekṣā), referring to (adhikṛtya) the various (tad tad) objects (artha) — due to the quality of having a great range (mahāviṣayatva) of this (i.e., of testimony) (tad).

### [1.20.25] kiṃ cānyat | [1.20.26] sukhagrahaṇavijñānāpohaprayogārthaṃ ca |

Moreover (kim  $c\bar{a}nyat$ ), [there is] also (ca) [a variety of scriptures] for the sake of (artha) easy understanding (sukha-grahana), [easy] $^{673}$  comprehending ( $vijn\bar{a}na$ ), [easy] reasoning (apoha), and [easy] operation of consciousness (prayoga). $^{674}$ 

### [1.20.27] anyathā hy anibaddham aṅgopāṅgaśaḥ samudraprataraṇavadduradhyavasānaṃ syāt |

For (hi), otherwise  $(anyath\bar{a})$ , it would be  $(sy\bar{a}t)$  a difficult task  $(dur-adhyavas\bar{a}na)$ , like crossing the ocean (samudra-prataraṇavat), unattached (anibaddha) to the limbs and additional limbs (i.e., without arms and legs)  $(anga-up\bar{a}nga)$ . 675

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>672</sup> The terms 'anga' and 'upānga' refer to the inner and outer corpus, which are mentioned previously (see TABh 1.20.3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>673</sup> Siddhasenagaṇi explains that '*sukha*' qualifies all other elements in the compound. This interpretation is in line with the next sentence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>674</sup> Siddhasenagaṇi explains '*prayoga*' as '*prayoga-vyāpāra*'. The exact meaning of this term is unclear to me.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>675</sup> In other words, mastering the different subjects without the help of the scriptures would be nearly impossible, just as crossing the ocean. The expression 'anibaddham aṅgopāṅgaśaḥ' (without arms and legs/without the inner and outer corpus) seems to be a pun.

# [1.20.28] etena pūrvāṇi vastūni prābhṛtāni prābhṛtaprābhṛtāni adhyayanāny uddeśāś ca vyākhyātāḥ ||

By this  $^{676}$  (etad), the  $p\bar{u}rvas$   $^{677}$ , subjects (vastu), chapters  $^{678}$  (prābhṛta), subdivisions  $^{679}$  (prābhṛta-prābhṛta), readings (adhyayana), and (ca) brief statements (uddeśa), are fully explained (vyākhyāta). $^{680}$ 

### [1.20.29] atrāha | [1.20.30] matiśrutayos tulyaviṣayatvaṃ vakṣyati | [1.20.31] dravyeṣv asarvaparyāyeṣu iti | [1.20.32] tasmād ekatvam evāstv iti |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): [There is] the quality of being of equal range (tulya-viṣayatva) of ordinary cognition and testimony (mati- $\acute{s}ruta$ ), $^{681}$  [since the author of the  $s\bar{u}tra$ ] will say (vakṣyati) $^{682}$  that '[the domain of ordinary cognition and testimony extends] to [all] substances (dravya) [but] not in all modes (a-sarva-paryāya)' (iti) (see TA 1.27). Therefore (tasmāt), there must indeed (eva) be (astu) the quality of being one (i.e., ordinary cognition and testimony must have the same range) (ekatva) (iti).

# [1.20.33] atrocyate | [1.20.34] uktam etat sāmpratakālaviṣayaṃ matijñānaṃ śrutajñānaṃ tu trikālaviṣayaṃ viśuddhataraṃ ceti |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>676</sup> I.e., the limbs  $(a\dot{n}ga)$  and additional limbs  $(up\bar{a}\dot{n}ga)$  (see TABh 1.20.27).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>677</sup> The term 'pūrva' is used in the Jaina tradition with reference to a collection of 14 extinct scriptures, which are supposed to contain the oldest teachings of the *tīrthaṅkaras* (see, e.g., Wiley 2004: 176).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>678</sup> The term 'prābhṛta' can be used to refer to the chapters of a work (MW). It is also a type of texts in the Digambara tradition (see also TABh 1.5.21). However, since the term is followed by the term 'prābhṛtaprābhṛta', it is more likely that the intended meaning is 'chapter'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>679</sup> The word 'prābhṛtaprābhṛta' can be used with reference to subdivisions of chapters (MW).

 $<sup>^{680}</sup>$  The precise reference of the different terms in this passage is unclear to me. Siddhasenagaṇi interprets the terms that follow ' $p\bar{u}rv\bar{a}ni$ ' as increasingly smaller subdivisions of the  $p\bar{u}rva$ s.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>681</sup> In other words, ordinary cognition and testimony have the same range. This is an objection to TABh 1.20.15, which says that testimony has a greater range than ordinary cognition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>682</sup> It is remarkable that that the questioner in the *bhāṣya* refers to a *sūtra* (TA 1.27) that has not yet been discussed.

# [1.20.35] kiṃ cānyat | [1.20.36] matijñānam indriyānindriyanimittam ātmano jñasvabhāvyāt pāriṇāmikaṃ | [1.20.37] śrutajñānaṃ tu tatpūrvakam āptopadeśād bhavatīti ||

Moreover ( $kim c\bar{a}nyat$ ): Knowledge from ordinary cognition ( $mati-j\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ ) [is] caused by the senses and the mind (indriya-anindriya-nimitta), resulting from a natural disposition ( $p\bar{a}rin\bar{a}mika$ ) due to the own nature of knowing ( $j\bar{n}a-svabh\bar{a}vya$ ) of the self ( $\bar{a}tman$ ) (i.e., since knowing is the essence of the self); but (tu) knowledge from testimony (futa-futa-futa), [which is] preceded by that (fut-futa), arises (futa-futa)) from the teaching of the futa-futa (futa-futa)).

# [1.20.38] atrāha | [1.20.39] uktaṃ śrutajñānam | [1.20.40] athāvadhijñānaṃ kim iti | [1.20.41] atrocyate ||

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): Knowledge from testimony ( $\acute{s}ruta-j\~n\bar{a}na$ ) [has now been] discussed (ukta). Now (atha), what (kim) [is] knowledge from cosmic perception ( $avadhi-j\~n\bar{a}na$ ) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

### dvividho'vadhih ||1.21||

1.21683 Cosmic perception (avadhi) [has] two varieties (dvividha).

### [1.21.1] bhavapratyayaḥ kṣayopaśamanimittaś ca || tatra

[The two varieties of cosmic perception are]: [cosmic perception that] originates in birth (see TA 1.22) (*bhava-pratyaya*), and (*ca*) [cosmic perception that is] caused by the destruction [and] cessation [of *karman*] (*kṣaya-upaśama-nimitta*). Among them (*tatra*):

#### bhavapratyayo nārakadevānām ||1.22||

1.22 (SS 1.21) Hellish beings and gods [have cosmic perception that is] caused by birth (*bhava-pratyaya*).

# [1.22.1] nārakāṇāṃ devānāṃ ca yathāsvaṃ bhavapratyayam avadhijñānaṃ bhavati |

There is (bhavati) knowledge from cosmic perception (avadhi- $jñ\bar{a}na$ ) caused by birth (bhava-pratyaya) for hellish beings ( $n\bar{a}raka$ ) and (ca) gods (deva), in their own way ( $yath\bar{a}svam$ ).

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>683</sup> The *Sarvārthasiddhi* does not include this *sūtra*.

### [1.22.2] bhavapratyayam bhavahetukam bhavanimittam ity arthah |

'Caused by birth' (*bhava-pratyaya*): The meaning [is] (*iti artha*) 'effected by birth (*bhava-hetu*) [or] dependent on birth' (*bhava-nimitta*).

# [1.22.3] teṣāṃ hi bhavotpattir eva tasya hetur bhavati pakṣiṇām ākāśagamanavat na śikṣā na tapa iti ||

Since (hi) the cause (hetu) of it (i.e., of cosmic perception) (tad) is (bhavati) indeed (eva) produced as a consequence of birth (bhava-utpatti) for them (tad), like flying ( $\bar{a}k\bar{a}\dot{s}a-gamanavat$ ) for birds ( $pak\dot{s}in$ ), [it arises] without learning ( $na\ \dot{s}ik\dot{s}\bar{a}$ ) [and] without austerity ( $na\ tapa$ ) (iti).

### yathoktanimittah şadvikalpah śeṣāṇām ||1.23||

1.23 (SS 1.22) The other [beings] ( $\acute{se}$ , [have cosmic perception] that is caused (nimitta) as it is said (see TABh 1.21.1) ( $yath\bar{a}$ -ukta). [This variety of cosmic perception has] six forms ( $\acute{sad}$ -vikalpa).

# [1.23.1] yathoktanimittaḥ kṣayopaśamanimitta ity arthaḥ | [1.23.2] tad etad avadhijñānaṃ kṣayopaśamanimittaṃ ṣaḍvidhaṃ bhavati śeṣāṇām<sup>685</sup> |

'Caused as it is said' (yathā-ukta-nimitta): The meaning [is] (ity artha) 'caused by the destruction [and] cessation [of karman] (see TABh 1.21.1)' (kṣaya-upaśama-nimitta). That very (tad etad) knowledge from cosmic perception (avadhi-jñāna) [that is] caused by the destruction [and] cessation [of karman] (kṣaya-upaśama-nimitta) exists (bhavati) in a sixfold way (sadvidha) for the other beings (śesa).

# [1.23.3] śeṣāṇām iti nārakadevebhyaḥ śeṣāṇāṃ tiryagyonijānāṃ manuṣyāṇām ca |

"The other [beings]' (see TA 1.23) (*śeṣa*) (*iti*) [refers to beings] different (*śeṣa*) from hellish beings and gods (*nāraka-deva*), [beings] born from the wombs of animals (*tiryañc-yoni-ja*) and (*ca*) human beings (*manuṣya*).

 $<sup>^{684}</sup>$  It is remarkable that the  $s\bar{u}tra$  refers to the  $bh\bar{a}sya$ . For a discussion of this passage, see § 2.3 Is the TABh an auto-commentary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>685</sup> Kapadia's edition omits 'śeṣānām'. Note that the next sentence begins with 'śeṣānām'.

# [1.23.4] avadhijñānāvaraṇīyasya karmaṇaḥ kṣayopaśamābhyāṃ bhavati ṣaḍvidham | [1.23.5] tadyathā | [1.23.6] anānugāmikaṃ ānugāmikaṃ hīyamānakaṃ, vardhamānakaṃ anavasthitaṃ avasthitam iti |

[It] arises (*bhavati*) from the destruction [and] cessation (*kṣaya-upaśama*) of *karman* that is covering knowledge from cosmic perception (*avadhi-jñāna-āvaraṇīya*), in a sixfold way (*ṣaḍvidham*). Namely (*tad-yathā*):

- i. the one that is not following (an-ānugāmika)
- ii. the one that is following (ānugāmika)
- iii. the one that weakens (hīyamānaka)
- iv. the one that increases (vardhamānaka)
- v. the one that is not continuous (an-avasthita), [and]
- vi. the one that is continuous (avasthita) (iti).

# [1.23.7] tatrānānugāmikam yatra kṣetre sthitasyotpannam tataḥ pracyutasya pratipatati praśnādeśapuruṣajñānavat ||

Among them (*tatra*), [there is] 'the one that is not following' (*an-ānugāmika*) whenever (*yatra*) [cosmic perception that is] produced (*utpanna*) for [someone who is] staying (*sthita*) in a region (*kṣetra*) goes away (*pratipatati*) [when that person is] gone away (*pracyuta*) from that [place] (*tatas*); like the knowledge of a person [when there is a] teaching of a lesson<sup>686</sup> (*praśna-ādeśa-puruṣa-jñānayat*).

### [1.23.8] ānugāmikaṃ yatra kvacid utpannaṃ kṣetrāntaragatasyāpi na pratipatati bhāskaraprakāśavat ghaṭaraktabhāvavac ca ||

[There is] 'the one that is following' (ānugāmika), [which can be] produced (*utpanna*) in any place (*yatra kvacid*) [but] does not go away (*na pratipatati*) for [that person], even when [that person has] gone to another place (*kṣetra-antara-gata ... api*), like the brightness of the sun (*bhāskara-prakāśavat*) and (*ca*) like the condition of being coloured<sup>687</sup> of a jar (*ghata-rakta-bhāvavat*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>686</sup> The meaning of this simile is unclear to me. Perhaps, it refers to a situation in which students are connected with knowledge when they are listening to a teacher but forget about the teaching when they leave the class.

<sup>687</sup> Alternatively, 'red'.

#### [1.23.9] hīyamānakam asamkhyeyesu dvīpesu samudresu prthivīsu vimānesu tiryag ūrdhvam adho vā yad utpannam kramaśah samksipyamānam saṃkhyeyabhāgāt pratipatati ā aṅgulāsaṃ pratipataty eva vā paricchinnendhanopādānasamtaty agniśikhāvat ||

[There is] 'the one that weakens' (hīyamānaka), which (yad), [after being] produced (utpanna) with regard to 688 innumerable (asankhyeya) islands (dvīpa), oceans (samudra), lands (pṛthivī), vimāna-heavens (vimāna) — horizontal (tiryañc), upwards ( $\bar{u}rdhvam$ ), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) below (adhas) — goes away (pratipatati), gradually (kramaśas) contracting (samksipyamāna) up to an innumerable part of a finger's breadth ( $\bar{a}$  angula-asankhyeya-bhāga); or ( $v\bar{a}$ ), it goes indeed away (pratipatati eva) like a multitude (samtati) of fire flames (agni-śikhāvat) [that is] dependent (upādāna) on fuel that has diminished (paricchinna-indhana).

#### vardhamānakam angulasyāsamkhyeyabhāgādisūtpannah [1.23.10]yad vardhate ā sarvalokāt adharottarāraninirmathanotpann<sup>689</sup>opāttaśuṣkopacīyamānādhīyamānendhanarāśyagnivat ||

[There is] 'the one that increases' (vardhamānaka), which (yad) [is] produced (utpanna) with regard to an innumerable part etc. (asamkhyeya-bhāga-ādi) of a finger's breadth (angula) [and] increases (vardhate) up to the whole world ( $\bar{a}$ sarvalokāt), like a fire (agnivat) from a heap of fuel (indhana-rāśi) [that is] gathered (upātta<sup>690</sup>), dried up (śuska), accumulated (upacīyamāna), [and] placed in a fire (ādhīyamāna) [that is] risen (utpanna) by rubbing (nirmathana) the lower and upper piece of wood [used for kindling fire] (adhara-uttara-arani) 691.

### [1.23.11] anavasthitam hīyate vardhate vardhate hīyate ca pratipatati cotpadyate ceti punah punar ūrmivat ||

'The one that is not continuous' (anavasthita) diminishes (hīyate) [and] increases (vardhate), and (ca), [it] increases [and] (vardhate) diminishes (hīyate) (i.e., it fluctuates constantly); and (ca) it goes away (pratipatati) and (ca) is produced [again] (utpadyate) (iti), like a wave (ūrmivat) [that is coming and going] again and again (punar punar).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>688</sup> In other words, the range of this type of cosmic perception includes innumerable islands, oceans, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>689</sup> Kapadia reads '*nirmathanāsanno*pātta' (from near hand, *āsanna*).

<sup>690 &#</sup>x27;contracted fr. upā-datta' (MW)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>691</sup> The term 'arani' refers to 'the piece of wood used for kindling fire by attrition'; 'generally distinction is made between the lower one and the upper one (adharāraṇi & uttarāraṇi)' (MW).

# [1.23.12] avasthitam yāvati kṣetre utpannam bhavati tato na pratipataty ā kevalaprāpteḥ<sup>692</sup> ā bhavakṣayād vā jātyantarasthāyi vā<sup>693</sup> bhavati liṅgavat ||23||

'The one that is continuous' (avasthita) is (bhavati) produced (utpanna) in whatever  $(y\bar{a}vat)$  region (kṣetra), it does not go away (na pratipatati) from there (tatas) [and] it remains (avatiṣṭate) up to the reaching of absolute knowledge (ā kevala-prāpti); [it] is (bhavati) either (vā) remaining [till] the next birth (jāti-antara-sthāyin) or (vā) up to the termination of [one's] state (ā bhava-kṣaya), like gender (liṅgavat).

### [1.23.13] uktam avadhijñānam | [1.23.14] manaḥparyāyajñānaṃ vakṣyāmaḥ |

Knowledge from cosmic perception (*avadhi-jñāna*) [has now been] discussed (*ukta*). [Next], we will explain (*vakṣyāmaḥ*) knowledge from mental perception (*manaḥ-paryāya-jñāna*).

### rjuvipulamatī manaḥparyāyaḥ ||1.24||

1.24 (SS 1.23) Mental perception (*manaḥ-paryāya*) [has] two [varieties], [i.e.], direct perception (*rju-mati*) [and] extensive perception (*vipula-mati*). <sup>694</sup>

# [1.24.1] manaḥparyāyajñānaṃ dvividham | [1.24.2] rjumatimanaḥparyāya-jñānam vipulamatimanaḥparyāyajñānaṃ ca ||

Knowledge from mental perception (*manaḥ-paryāya-jñāna*) is twofold (*dvividha*), [i.e.], knowledge from direct mental perception (*rju-mati-manaḥparyāya-jñāna*) and (*ca*) knowledge from extensive mental perception (*vipula-mati-manaḥ-paryāya-jñāna*).

### [1.24.3] atrāha | [1.24.5] ko 'nayoḥ prativiśeṣa iti | [1.24.6] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): What (kim) [is] the difference (prativiśeṣa) [between] these two (idam) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

### viśuddhyapratipātābhyām tadviśeṣaḥ ||1.25||

1.25 (SS 1.24) The difference between them [results] from purity ( $vi\acute{s}uddhi$ ) and permanence<sup>695</sup> (a- $pratip\bar{a}ta$ ).

<sup>692</sup> Kapadia reads 'kevalaprāpter avatisthate'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>693</sup> Kapadia omits the second 'vā'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>694</sup> Tatia translates 'simple' and 'complex'. See also § 3.2 *Cosmic perception, mental perception, and absolute knowledge.* See also the discussion of these terms in § 3.2 *Cosmic perception, mental perception, and absolute knowledge.* 

<sup>695</sup> Lit. 'not falling away'.

# [1.25.1] viśuddhikṛtaś cāpratipātakṛtaś cānayoḥ prativiśeṣaḥ | [1.25.2] tadyathā | [1.25.3] rjumatimanaḥparyāyād <sup>696</sup> vipulamatimanaḥparyāya-jñānaṃ viśuddhataram | [1.25.4] kiṃ cānyat |

The difference (*prativiśeṣa*) [between] these two (*idam*) is brought about by purity (*viśuddhi-kṛta*) and (*ca*) is brought about by permanence (*a-pratipāta-kṛta*).

Namely (*tad-yathā*), knowledge from extensive mental perception (*vipula-mati-manaḥ-paryāya-jñāna*) [is] purer (*viśuddhatara*) than [knowledge] from direct mental perception (*rju-mati-manah-paryāya*). Further (*kim cānyat*):

# [1.25.5] rjumatimanaḥparyāyajñānam pratipataty api bhūyo vipulamatimanaḥparyāyajñānam tu na pratipatatīti ||

Knowledge from direct mental perception (*rju-mati-manaḥ-paryāya-jñāna*) goes away (*pratipatati*) again (*api bhūyas*)<sup>697</sup> but (*tu*) knowledge from extensive mental perception (*vipula-mati-manaḥ-paryāya-jñāna*) does not go away (*na pratipatati*).

# [1.25.6] atrāha | [1.25.7] athāvadhimanaḥparyāyajñānayoḥ kaḥ prativiśeṣa iti | [1.25.8] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says (āha): Now (atha), what (kim) [is] the difference (prativiśeṣa) [between] cosmic perception and mental perception (avadhi-manaḥ-paryāya-jñāna) (iti)? At this point (atra), it is said (ucyate):

### viśuddhikṣetrasvāmiviṣayebhyo'vadhimanaḥparyāyayoḥ ||1.26||

1.26 (SS 1.25) [The difference between] cosmic perception (*avadhi*) and mental perception (*manaḥ-paryāya*) [results] from purity (*viśuddhi*), region (*kṣetra*), owner (*svāmin*), [and] range (*viṣaya*).

### [1.26.1] viśuddhikṛtaḥ kṣetrakṛtaḥ svāmikṛto viṣayakṛtaś cānayor viśeṣo bhavaty avadhimanaḥparyāyajñānayoḥ |

The difference (*viśeṣa*) [between] this (*idam*) knowledge from cosmic perception and mental perception (*avadhi-manaḥ-paryāya-jñāna*) is (*bhavati*):

- i. brought about by purity (see TABh 1.25.1) (viśuddhi-kṛta)
- ii. brought about by region (kṣetra-kṛta)
- iii. brought about by owner (svāmi-kṛta), and (ca)
- iv. brought about by range (viṣaya-kṛta).

\_

<sup>696</sup> Kapadia reads 'ṛjumatimanaḥparyāya**jñānād**'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>697</sup> The word order is somewhat odd. One would rather expect 'bhūyo 'pi'.

# [1.26.2] tadyathā | [1.26.3] avadhijñānāt manaḥparyāyajñānaṃ viśuddhataram |

Namely (tad-yathā), knowledge from mental perception (manaḥ-paryāya-jñāna) [is] more pure (viśuddhatara) than knowledge from cosmic perception (avadhi-jñāna).

# [1.26.4] yāvanti hi rūpīņi dravyāņy avadhijñānī jānīte tāni manaḥparyāyajñānī viśuddhatarāṇi manogatāni jānīte || [1.26.5] kiṃ cānyat |

For (hi), as many  $(y\bar{a}vat)$  extended  $(r\bar{u}pin)$  substances<sup>698</sup> (dravya) [as] the one with knowledge from cosmic perception  $(avadhi-j\bar{n}\bar{a}nin)$  knows  $(j\bar{a}n\bar{i}te)$ , so many (tad) the one endowed with knowledge from mental perception  $(mana\dot{h}-pary\bar{a}ya-j\bar{n}\bar{a}nin)$  knows  $(j\bar{a}n\bar{i}te)$  [as] more<sup>699</sup> refined  $(vi\acute{s}uddhatara)$  thoughts (manas-gata). Further  $(kim\ c\bar{a}nyat)$ :

[1.26.6] kṣetrakṛtaś cānayoḥ prativiśeṣaḥ | [1.26.7] avadhijñānam aṅgulasyāsaṅkhyeyabhāgādiṣūtpannaṃ bhavaty ā sarvalokāt | [1.26.8] manaḥparyāyajñānaṃ tu manuṣyakṣetra eva bhavati nānyakṣetra iti || [1.26.9] kiṃ cānyat |

And (ca) [concerning] the difference (prativiśeṣa) between these  $two^{700}$  (idam) resulting from region (kṣetrakṛta): Knowledge from cosmic perception (avadhijñāna) is (bhavati) produced (utpanna) in an innumerable part etc. (asaṃkhyeya-bhāga-ādi) of a finger's breadth (aṅgula), up to the whole world  $(\bar{a}\ sarvalok\bar{a}t)^{701}$ . In contrast (tu), knowledge from mental perception (manaḥparyāya-jñāna) exists (bhavati) only (eva) in the region of men (manuṣyakṣetra), [and] not (na) in another region (anyakṣetra) (iti). Moreover  $(kim\ cānyat)$ :

# [1.26.10] svāmikṛtaś cānayoḥ prativiśeṣaḥ | [1.26.11] avadhijñānaṃ saṃyatasya asaṃyatasya vā<sup>702</sup> sarvagatiṣu bhavati |

And (ca) [concerning] the difference (prativiśeṣa) between these two (idam) resulting from the owner ( $sv\bar{a}mikrta$ ): Clairvoyant knowledge ( $avadhijn\bar{a}na$ ) exists (bhavati) for [beings with] restraint (samyata)<sup>703</sup> or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) [beings] without restraint (asamyata), in all varieties of transmigration (sarvagati).

<sup>702</sup> Kapadia reads 'asaṃyatasya, [saṃyatāsaṃyatasya ca] (vā)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>698</sup> For a discussion of the substances (*dravya*), see TA 5.1 - 5.3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>699</sup> The suffix '-tara' can also mean 'particularly', instead of 'more'. However, given the meaning of the term 'viśuddhatara' in the previous sentence (TABh 1.26.2), I translate 'more'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>700</sup> I.e., manahparyāyajñāna and ayadhijñāna (see TABh 1.26.1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>701</sup> See also TABh 1.23.10.

 $<sup>^{703}</sup>$  Siddhasenagaņi explains that 'saṃyata' excludes the first six guṇasthānas. See also Wiley 2003: 100.

# [1.26.12] manaḥparyāyajñānaṃ tu manuṣyasaṃyatasyaiva bhavati nānyasya || [1.26.13] kiṃ cānyat |

By contrast (tu), knowledge from mental perception (manahparyaya-jñana) exists (bhavati) only (eva) for beings with restraint that are human (i.e., not for gods and hellish beings)<sup>704</sup> (manusyasamyata), [and] not (na) for another (anya). Moreover (kim canyat):

# [1.26.14] vişayakṛtaś cānayoḥ prativiśeṣaḥ | [1.26.15] rūpidravyeṣv asarvaparyāyeṣv avadher viṣayanibandho bhavati | [1.26.16] tadanantabhāge manaḥparyāyasyeti ||

And (ca) [concerning] the difference (prativiśeṣa) between these two (idam) resulting from the range (viṣaya-kṛta): The scope of the range<sup>705</sup> (viṣaya-nibandha) of cosmic perception (avadhi) exists (bhavati) with respect to all extended substances (rūpi-dravya), [but] not in all modes (a-sarva-paryāya) (see TA 1.27). [It will be said] (see TA 1.29) [that] (iti) [the domain of] mental perception (manaḥ-paryāya) [extends to] an infinitesimal part (ananta-bhāga) of that (tad).

# [1.26.17] atrāha | [1.26.18] uktaṃ manaḥparyāyajñānam | [1.26.19] atha kevalajñānaṃ kim iti |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): Knowledge from mental perception ( $mana\dot{p}$ - $pary\bar{a}ya$ - $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ) [has now been] discussed (ukta). Now (atha), what (kim) [is] absolute knowledge (kevala- $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ) (iti)?

# [1.26.20] atrocyate | [1.26.21] kevalajñānaṃ daśame 'dhyāye vakṣyate | [1.26.22] mohakṣayāt jñānadarśanāvaraṇāntarāyakṣayāc ca kevalam iti ||

At this point (atra), it is said (ucyate): Absolute knowledge (kevala-jñāna) will be discussed (vakṣyate) in the tenth (daśama) chapter (adhyāya). [It will be said that] (see TA 10.1) 'absolute knowledge (kevala) [results] from the destruction [of] deluding [karman] (moha-kṣaya) and from the destruction [of] knowledge[covering], worldview-covering, [and] obstacle-creating [karman] (jñāna-darśana-āvaraṇa-antarāya-kṣaya)' (iti).

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>704</sup> See also TABh 1.26.9. Knowledge from mental perception (*manaḥparyāyajñāna*) only occurs in the human realm (*manuṣyakṣetra*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>705</sup> Lit. 'the binding of the range' (*viṣayanibandha*).

# [1.26.23] atrāha | [1.26.24] eṣāṃ matijñānādīnāṃ jñānānāṃ kaḥ kasya viṣayanibandha iti | [1.26.25] atrocyate |

At this point (atra), one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): Of these (etad) [varieties of] knowledge ( $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ), beginning with ordinary cognition ( $mati-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na-\bar{a}di$ ), what (kim) scope of the range (see TABh 1.26.15) ( $vi\bar{s}aya-nibandha$ ) [is there] for whom (kim) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

### matiśrutayor nibandhah sarvadravyeşv asarvaparyāyeşu ||1.27||

1.27 (SS 1.26) The scope (*nibandha*) of ordinary cognition (*mati*) and testimony (*śruta*) [includes] all substances (*sarva-dravya*) [but] not in all modes (*a-sarva-paryāya*).

# [1.27.1] matijñānaśrutajñānayor viṣayanibandho bhavati sarvadravyeṣv asarvaparyāyeṣu |

The scope of the range (*viṣaya-nibandha*) of knowledge from ordinary cognition (*mati-jñāna*) and knowledge from testimony (*śruta-jñāna*) exists (*bhavati*) with respect to all substances (*sarva-dravya*) [but] not in all modes (*a-sarva-paryāya*).

### [1.27.2] tābhyāṃ hi sarvāṇi dravyāni jānīte na tu sarvaiḥ paryāyaiḥ ||

For (hi), one knows  $(j\bar{a}n\bar{i}te)$  all (sarva) substances (dravya) by these two<sup>706</sup> (tad) but (tu) not (na) by<sup>707</sup> all (sarva) modes  $(pary\bar{a}ya)$ .

### rūpisv avadheh ||1.28||

1.28 (SS 1.27) [The scope of the range] of cosmic perception (avadhi) [includes all things] that have extension ( $r\bar{u}pin$ ).

# [1.28.1] rūpiṣv eva dravyeṣv avadhijñānasya viṣayanibandho bhavati asarvaparyāyeṣu |

The scope of the range (viṣaya-nibandha) of knowledge from cosmic perception ( $avadhi-jñ\bar{a}na$ ) exists (bhavati) indeed<sup>708</sup> (eva) with respect to the substances (dravya) that have extension ( $r\bar{u}pin$ ), but not in all modes (a- $sarva-pary\bar{a}ya$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>706</sup> I.e., *matijñāna* and *śrutajñāna*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>707</sup> The meaning of the instrumental case is not entirely clear to me. Perhaps, the intended meaning is '[accompanied] by all modes'.

<sup>708</sup> Alternatively, 'only'.

# [1.28.2] suviśuddhenāpy avadhijñānena rūpīņy eva dravyāņy avadhijñānī jānīte tāny api na sarvaiḥ paryāyair iti ||

Even when (api) the one endowed with clairvoyant knowledge  $(avadhi-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}nin)$  knows  $(j\bar{a}n\bar{\imath}te)$  the substances (dravya) that have indeed (eva) extension  $(r\bar{u}pin)$  by a very pure  $(su-vi\acute{s}uddha)$  cosmic perception  $(avadhi-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na)$ , even then (api), [he can] not (na) [know] them (tad) by all (sarva) modes  $(pary\bar{a}ya)$  (iti).

### tadanantabhāge manaḥparyāyasya ||1.29||

1.29 (SS 1.28) [The scope] of mental perception (*manaḥ-paryāya*) [extends to] an infinitesimal part (*tad-ananta-bhāga*) of that (see TABh 1.29.1).

# [1.29.1] yāni rūpīņi dravyāņy avadhijñānī jānīte tato 'nantabhāge manaḥparyāyasya viṣayanibandho bhavati |

The scope of the range (*viṣaya-nibandha*) of mental perception (*manaḥ-paryāya*) exists (*bhavati*) with respect to an infinitesimal part (*ananta-bhāga*) of that (*tatas*), [i.e.], the substances (*dravya*) that have extension (*rūpin*), which (*yad*) the one endowed with knowledge from cosmic perception (*avadhi-jñānin*) knows (*jānīte*) (see TABh 1.28.1).

# [1.29.2] avadhijñānaviṣayasyānantabhāgaṃ manaḥparyāyajñānī jānīte rūpidravyāṇi manorahasyavicāragatāni ca mānuṣa 709 kṣetraparyāpannāni vi-śuddhatarāṇi ceti ||

The one endowed with knowledge from mental perception ( $mana h-pary \bar{a}ya-j \bar{n} \bar{a}nin$ ) knows ( $j \bar{a}n \bar{i}te$ ) an infinitesimal part ( $ananta-bh \bar{a}ga$ ) of the range of knowledge from cosmic perception ( $avadhi-j \bar{n} \bar{a}na-v i \bar{s}aya$ ) — [i.e.], extended substances ( $r \bar{u}p i-d r avya$ ), and (ca) the movements of thought, [which are] hidden in the mind ( $mano-r ahas y a-v i \bar{c} \bar{a} r a-g ata$ ), and (ca) [that which is] beyond the human region ( $m \bar{a} n u \bar{s} a-k \bar{s} e t r a-p ary \bar{a} p anna$ ) — [as] more refined [thoughts]<sup>710</sup> ( $v i \bar{s} u d d h a t a r a$ ) (i t i).

### sarvadravyaparyāyeşu kevalasya ||1.30||

1.30 (SS 1.29) [The scope] of absolute knowledge (*kevala*) [extends to] all modes of all substances (*sarva-dravya-paryāya*).

 $<sup>^{709}</sup>$  Mody mentions the variant reading 'manuṣya-' The term 'manuṣyakṣetra' also appears in TABh 1.26.9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>710</sup> See TABh 1.26.4.

### [1.30.1] sarvadravyeşu sarvaparyāyeşu ca kevalajñānasya vişayanibandho bhavati |

The scope of the range (*viṣaya-nibandha*) of absolute knowledge (*kevala-jñāna*) exists (*bhavati*) with respect to all substances (*sarva-dravya*) and (*ca*) in all modes (*sarva-paryāya*).

### [1.30.2] taddhi sarvabhāvagrāhakam sambhinnalokālokavişayam |

For (hi), that [absolute knowledge] (tad) is the seizer of everything  $(sarva-bh\bar{a}va-gr\bar{a}haka)$ , [whose] range [is] both the world and that which is beyond the world (sambhinna-loka-aloka-visaya).

## [1.30.3] nātaḥ paraṃ jñānam asti | [1.30.4] na ca kevalajñānaviṣayāt paraṃ kiṃcid anyaj jñeyam asti |

There is (asti) no (na) higher (para) knowledge ( $j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}na$ ) than this (atas). And (ca) there is (asti) not (na) something else ( $ki\tilde{n}cid\ anya$ ) to be known ( $j\tilde{n}eya$ ) [that is] higher (para) than the range of absolute knowledge ( $kevala-j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}na-visaya$ ).

# [1.30.5] kevalam paripūrņam samagram asādhāraņam nirapekṣam viśuddham sarvabhāvajñāpakam lokālokaviṣayam anantaparyāyam ity arthaḥ ||

'Absolute' (kevala) — The meaning is (ity artha): 'complete' (paripūrṇa), 'entire' (samagra), 'extra-ordinary' (asādhāraṇa), 'independent' (nirapekṣa), 'pure' (viśuddha), 'causing to know everything' (sarva-bhāva-jñāpaka), '[whose] range is the world and that which is beyond the world' (loka-aloka-viṣaya), '[whose] modes [are] infinite' (ananta-paryāya).

# [1.30.6] atrāha | [1.30.7] eṣāṃ matijñānādīnāṃ yugapad ekasmiñ jīve kati bhavantīti | [1.30.8] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): How much (kati) of these (idam) [varieties of knowledge], beginning with knowledge from ordinary cognition ( $mati-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na-\bar{a}di$ ), exist (bhavanti) simultaneously (yugapad) in one (eka) soul ( $j\bar{v}va$ )? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

### ekādīni bhājyāni yugapad ekasminn ā caturbhyaḥ ||1.31||

1.31 (SS 1.30) One up to four [varieties of knowledge] (*eka-ādi .... ā catur*) can be shared (*bhājya*) simultaneously (*yugapad*) in one [soul] (*eka*).

### [1.31.1] eṣāṃ matyādīnāṃ jñānānām ādita ekādīni bhājyāni yugapad ekasmiñ jīve ā caturbhyaḥ |

Starting from  $(\bar{a}ditas)$  the [varieties] of knowledge  $(j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na)$ , beginning with ordinary cognition  $(mati-\bar{a}di)$ , one up to four  $(eka-\bar{a}di....\bar{a}\ catur)$  of these (tad), can be shared  $(bh\bar{a}jya)$  simultaneously (yugapad) in one (eka) soul  $(j\bar{i}va)$ .

[1.31.2] tadyathā - kasmiṃścij jīve matyādīnām ekaṃ bhavati | [1.31.3] kasmiṃścij jīve dve bhavataḥ | [1.31.4] kasmiṃścit trīṇi bhavanti | [1.31.5] kasmiṃścic catvāri bhavanti |

To illustrate (tad- $yath\bar{a}$ ), in some ( $ka\acute{s}cid$ ) soul[s] ( $j\bar{\imath}va$ ) there is (bhavati) one (eka) of the [varieties of knowledge, i.e.], ordinary cognition etc. (mati- $\bar{a}di$ ). In some ( $ka\acute{s}cid$ ) soul[s] ( $j\bar{\imath}va$ ) there are ( $bhavata\dot{n}$ ) two (dvi). In some ( $ka\acute{s}cid$ ) there are (bhavanti) four (catur).

### [1.31.6] śrutajñānasya tu matijñānena niyataḥ sahabhāvas tatpūrvakatvāt |

However (tu), the concomitance ( $sahabh\bar{a}va$ ) of knowledge from testimony ( $\acute{s}rutaj\~n\~ana$ ) with knowledge from ordinary cognition ( $matij\~n\~ana$ ) [is] certain (niyata) due the quality of being preceded by that (i.e., ordinary cognition precedes testimony) ( $tat-p\=urvakatva$ ).

# [1.31.7] yasya śrutajñānaṃ tasya niyataṃ matijñānam | [1.31.8] yasya tu matijñānaṃ tasya śrutajñānaṃ syād vā na veti ||

For whom  $(ya\dot{h})$  [there is] knowledge from testimony  $(\acute{sruta-jn\bar{a}na})$ , for [that person] (tad) [there is] certainly (niyata) knowledge from ordinary cognition  $(mati-jn\bar{a}na)$ . But (tu) for whom  $(ya\dot{h})$  [there is] knowledge from ordinary cognition  $(mati-jn\bar{a}na)$ , for him (tad) knowledge from testimony  $(\acute{sruta-jn\bar{a}na})$  might either exist  $(sy\bar{a}t\ v\bar{a})$  or not  $(na\ v\bar{a})$  (iti).

## [1.31.9] atrāha | [1.31.10] atha kevalajñānasya pūrvair matijñānādibhiḥ kiṃ sahabhāvo bhavati nety<sup>711</sup> ucyate |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): Now (atha), is there (kim ... bhavati) concomitance ( $saha-bh\bar{a}va$ ) of absolute knowledge ( $kevala-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ) with the foregoing [varieties of knowledge] ( $p\bar{u}rva$ ), [i.e.], knowledge from ordinary cognition etc. ( $mati-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na-\bar{a}di$ )? It is said (ucyate): '[This is] not [the case]' (na) (iti).

\_

<sup>711</sup> Kapadia reads 'neti? | atrocyate'.

# [1.31.11] kecid ācāryā vyācakṣate | [1.31.12] nābhāvaḥ | [1.31.13] kiṃ tu tadabhibhūtatvād akiṃcitkarāṇi bhavantīndriyavat |

Some (kecid) teachers ( $\bar{a}c\bar{a}rya$ ) explain ( $vy\bar{a}cak\bar{s}ate$ ) [that there is] no absence [of the other varieties of knowledge for the one endowed with absolute knowledge] ( $na-abh\bar{a}va$ ); nevertheless (kim tu), due to the quality of surpassing them ( $tad-abhibh\bar{u}tatva$ ), they are (bhavanti) non-functional<sup>712</sup> ( $aki\tilde{n}citkara$ ), like the senses (indriyavat).<sup>713</sup>

# [1.31.14] yathā vā vyabhre nabhasi āditya udite bhūritejastvād ādityenābhibhūtāny anyatejāṃsi jvalanamaṇicandranakṣatraprabhṛtīni prakāśanaṃ prati akiṃcitkarāṇi bhavanti tadvad iti |

Or  $(v\bar{a})$ , just as  $(yath\bar{a})$  when the sun  $(\bar{a}ditya)$  rises (udita) in the cloudless (vyabhra) sky (nabhas), the other lights (anya-tejas) — beginning with shining jewels, the moon, and the stars (jvalana-maṇi-candra-nakṣatra-prabhṛtin), [which are] surpassed  $(abhibh\bar{u}ta)$  by the sun  $(\bar{a}ditya)$ , due to the quality of being a great light  $(bh\bar{u}ri-tejastva)$  — become (bhavanti) likewise (tadvat) non-functional (akiñcitkara) with regard to (prati) [that which is] illuminating  $(prak\bar{a}śana)$  (iti).

# [1.31.15] kecid apy āhuḥ | [1.31.16] apāyasaddravyatayā matijñānaṃ tatpūrvakaṃ śrutajñānam avadhijñānamanaḥparyāyajñāne ca rūpidravyaviṣaye tasmān naitāni kevalinaḥ santīti ||

Some (kecid) also (api) say ( $\bar{a}hu\dot{p}$ ): 'Knowledge from ordinary cognition ( $mati-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}nam$ ) [arises] from existent substance [through] elimination<sup>714</sup> ( $ap\bar{a}ya-sad-dravyat\bar{a}$ ); Knowledge from testimony (fruta-fraumana) [is] preceded by that (fruta+fraumana); And (fruta+fraumana) and (fruta+fraumana) and (fruta+fraumana) and [their] range [consists of] substances having form (fruta+fraumana). Hence (fruta+fraumana) and (fruta+fraumana) and (fruta+fraumana). Hence (fruta+fraumana) and (fruta+fraumana) and (fruta+fraumana). Hence (fruta+fruta+fruta+fraumana) and (fruta+fruta

### [1.31.17] kim cānyat | [1.31.18] matijñānādişu caturşu paryāyeṇopayogo bhavati na yugapat |

Moreover (kim  $c\bar{a}nyat$ ): In the case of the four [varieties of knowledge]<sup>715</sup> (catur), beginning with ordinary cognition ( $mati-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na-\bar{a}di$ ), [cognitive] operation (upayoga) takes place (bhavati) successively ( $pary\bar{a}yena$ ), not simultaneously (na yugapad).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>712</sup> a-kimcid-kara: 'not able to do anything', 'insignificant' (MW).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>713</sup> For a discussion of this passage, see § 3.2 *Concomitance of the varieties of knowledge.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>714</sup> See also TABh 1.8.23 and TABh 1.11.6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>715</sup> Alternatively, '[people endowed with] the four [varieties of knowledge]'.

# [1.31.19] saṃbhinnajñānadarśanasya tu bhagavataḥ kevalino yugapat sarvabhāvagrāhake nirapekṣe kevalajñāne kevaladarśane cānusamayam upayogo bhavati ||

But (tu) [cognitive] operation (upayoga) takes place (bhavati) simultaneously (yugapad) for the one endowed with absolute knowledge (kevalin), [which is] the jina (bhagavat), [whose] knowledge and worldview [are] combined (sambhinna-jñāna-darśana); [in other words, cognitive operation takes place] jointly (anusamaya) with respect to absolute knowledge (kevala-jñāna) — [which is] the seizer of everything  $^{716}$  (sarva-bhāva-grāhaka) [and which is] independent  $^{717}$  (nirapekṣa) — and (ca) with respect to absolute worldview (kevala-darśana).

[1.31.20] kiṃ cānyat | [1.31.21] kṣayopaśamajāni catvāri jñānāni pūrvāṇi kṣayād eva kevalam | [1.31.22] tasmān na kevalinaḥ śeṣāṇi jñānāni bhavantīti || Moreover (kiṃ cānyat): The former (pūrva) four (catur) [varieties of] knowledge (jñāna) [are] born from the destruction and cessation [of karman] (kṣaya-upaśama-ja); absolute knowledge (kevala) [is born] from destruction [of karman] (kṣaya) alone (eva). Hence (tasmāt), the other (śeṣa) [varieties of] knowledge (jñāna) exist not (na bhavanti) for the one endowed with absolute knowledge (kevalin) (iti).

#### matiśrutāvadhayo viparyayaś ca ||1.32||

1.32 (SS 1.31) [There is knowledge from] ordinary cognition (*mati*), testimony (*śruta*), [and] cosmic perception (*avadhi*), and the opposite (*viparyaya*).

# [1.32.1] matijñānaṃ śrutajñānam avadhijñānam iti | [1.32.2] viparyayaś ca bhavaty ajñānaṃ cety arthaḥ |

[There is] knowledge from ordinary cognition (*mati-jñāna*), knowledge from testimony (*śruta-jñāna*), [and] knowledge from cosmic perception (*avadhi-jñāna*) (*iti*). And (*ca*) there is (*bhavati*) the opposite (*viparyaya*). The meaning (*ity artha*) [is] 'false knowledge' (*ajñāna*).

### [1.32.3] jñānaviparyayo'jñānam iti |

The opposite of knowledge (jñāna-viparyaya) is false knowledge (ajñāna).

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>716</sup> See also TABh 1.30.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>717</sup> Siddhasenagaṇi explains '*nirapekṣa*' as 'independent of the *indriyas*'. He interprets this as a qualification of both *kevalajñāna* and *kevaladarśana*. The same goes for '*sarvabhāva-grāhaka*'. However, these two terms are used in TABh 1.30.2 and TABh 1.30.5 with reference to *kevalajñāna* only.

# [1.32.4] atrāha | [1.32.5] tad eva jñānam tad evājñānam iti | [1.32.6] nanu cchāyātapavac chītoṣṇavac ca tadatyantaviruddham iti |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): That (tad) [is] indeed (eva) knowledge ( $j\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ ) [and] that (tad) [is] indeed (eva) false knowledge ( $aj\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ ) (iti); surely (nanu) [there is] an extreme opposition of them (tad-atyanta-viruddha) like shade [and] sunshine ( $ch\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ -atapavat) and (ca) like cold [and] hot ( $s\bar{i}ta$ - $us\bar{i}navat$ ) (iti).

## [1.32.7] atrocyate | [1.32.8] mithyādarśanaparigrahād viparītagrāhakatvam eteṣām |

At this point (*atra*) it is said (*ucyate*): [There is] the quality of being a seizer of the opposite (*viparīta-grāhakatva*) of them (i.e., the varieties of knowledge) (*etad*), due to the adoption of wrong view (*mithyā-darśana-parigraha*).<sup>718</sup>

# [1.32.9] tasmād ajñānāni bhavanti | [1.32.10] tadyathā | [1.32.11] matyajñānaṃ śrutājñānaṃ vibhaṅgajñānam iti |

The [varieties of] false knowledge (*ajñāna*) arise (*bhavanti*) from that (*tasmāt*). Namely (*tad-yathā*), false knowledge from ordinary cognition (*maty-ajñāna*), false knowledge from testimony (*śruta-ajñāna*), [and] deceptive knowledge (i.e., the opposite of *avadhi*) (*vibhaṅgajñāna*) (*iti*).

### [1.32.12] avadher<sup>719</sup> viparīto vibhaṅga ity ucyate ||

It is said (*ucyate*) that (*iti*) deceptive knowledge (*vibhaṅga*) [is] the opposite (*viparīta*) [of] cosmic perception (*avadhi*).

# $[1.32.13] \quad atr\bar{a}ha \mid \quad [1.32.14] \quad uktam \quad bhavat\bar{a} \quad samyagdar \acute{s}anaparigrh\bar{\imath}tam \\ maty\bar{a}dij\tilde{n}\bar{a}nam \quad bhavaty \quad anyath\bar{a}^{720} \ 'j\tilde{n}\bar{a}nam \quad eveti \mid$

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): [It] has been said (ukta) by you (bhavat) (see TABh 1.32.1 – 1.32.6) [that] 'knowledge, beginning with ordinary cognition ( $mati-\bar{a}di-j\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ ), is (bhavati) obtained by right worldview ( $samyag-darśana-parigrh\bar{\iota}ta$ ) [and that] everything contrary to this ( $anyath\bar{a}$ ) [is] indeed (eva) false knowledge ( $aj\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ )' (iti).

<sup>718</sup> Cf. TABh 1.12.14.

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>719</sup> The edition of Modi has 'avadh**ir**' even though he mentions 'avadh**er**' as a variant reading. Since the word is followed by 'viparīta', I choose the reading with the ablative ending.
<sup>720</sup> Mody reads anyathājñānam.

# [1.32.15] mithyādṛṣṭayo 'pi ca bhavyāś cābhavyāś cendriyanimittān aviparītān sparśādīn upalabhante upadiśante ca sparśaṃ sparśa iti rasaṃ rasa iti | [1.32.16] evaṃ śeṣān | [1.32.16] tat katham etad iti |

And although (*api ca*) [they may have] wrong view (*mithyā-dṛṣṭi*), [both those who are] suitable for liberation<sup>721</sup> (*bhavya*) and (*ca*) [those who are] not suitable for liberation (*abhavya*) perceive (*upalabhante*) [that which is perceptible by the sense of] touch etc. (*sparśa-ādi*) without mistakes (*aviparīta*), caused by senses (*indriya-nimitta*). And (*ca*) they point out (*upadiśante*): "[there is] touching (*sparśa*) [of] a tangible object (*sparśa*)" (*iti*)<sup>722</sup>, "[there is] tasting (*rasa*) [of] taste (*rasa*)" (*iti*), [and] likewise (*evam*) [in the case of] the remaining [senses] (*śeṣa*). Then (*tad*), how (*katham*) [is] this [possible] (*etad*) (*iti*)?<sup>723</sup>

### [1.32.17] atrocyate | [1.32.18] teṣāṃ hi viparītam etad bhavati |

At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): surely (hi), this (etad) is (bhavati) false (viparīta) for them (tad).<sup>724</sup>

### sadasator aviśeṣād yadrcchopalabdher unmattavat ||1.33||

1.33 (SS 1.32) [Erroneous cognition results] from accidental-perception (*yadṛccha-upalabhdi*) because of indistinction (*aviśeṣa*) between [things that are] real and unreal (*sad-asat*), like a madman (*unmattavat*).<sup>725</sup>

[1.33.1] yathonmattaḥ karmodayād upahatendriyamatir viparītagrāhī bhavati so 'śvaṃ gaur ity adhyavasyati gāṃ cāśva iti loṣṭaṃ suvarṇam iti suvarṇam loṣṭa iti loṣṭaṃ ca loṣṭa iti suvarṇaṃ suvarṇam iti tasyaivam aviśeṣeṇa loṣṭaṃ suvarṇaṃ suvarṇaṃ loṣṭam iti viparītam adhyavasyato niyatam ajñānam eva bhavati – tadvan mithyādarśanopahatendriyamater matiśrutāvadhayo 'py ajñānam bhavanti ||

Just as (yathā) a madman (unmatta), whose senses and mind are damaged (upahata-indriya-mati) from the rising of karman (karma-udaya), is (bhavati) perceiving the opposite (viparīta-grāhin). He (tad) determines (adhyavasyati) a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>721</sup> TA 2.7 says: '[There are] the qualities of being suitable (i.e. for liberation) and unsuitable (*abhavya*) etc. [in the case] of the soul etc.' (*jīvabhavyābhavyatvādīni ca*).

 $<sup>^{722}</sup>$  Alternatively, 'they name tangible objects tangible' etc. That is, they teach them as they are.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>723</sup> Put differently, how is it possible that people with a wrong view make right observations? <sup>724</sup> In other words, this is false knowledge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>725</sup> For a discussion of this passage, see § 3.2 *False knowledge*.

horse [as a] cow(go)(aśva)(iti) and (ca) a cow(go) [as] a horse (aśva)(iti), gold (suvarṇa) [as] clay (loṣṭa) (iti) [and] clay (loṣṭa) [as] gold (suvarṇa) (iti), and [sometimes]<sup>726</sup> (ca) [he determines] clay (loṣṭa) [as] clay (loṣṭa) (iti) [and] gold (suvarna) [as] gold (suvarna) (iti). Thus (evam), [that] is (bhavati) certainly (niyata ... eva) false knowledge (ajñāna) for him (tad) [who is] determining (adhyavasyat) the opposite (viparīta) without distinction (aviśeṣeṇa), [saying] 'clay (loṣṭa) [is] gold (suvarṇa) [and] gold (suvarṇa) [is] clay (loṣṭa)' (iti).

In the same way (tadvat), ordinary cognition, testimony, and cosmic perception (mati-śruta-avadhi) of [a person] whose senses and mind are damaged [due to] wrong worldview (mithyā-darśana-upahata-indriya-mati) are (bhavanti) also (api) false knowledge (ajñāna).

[1.33.2] uktam jñānam | [1.33.3] cāritram navame 'dhyāye vakṣyāmaḥ | [1.33.4] pramāņe cokte | [1.33.5] nayān vakṣyāmaḥ | [1.33.6] tadyathā |

[So far] knowledge (jñāna) [has been] discussed (ukta). We will explain (vakṣyāmaḥ) conduct (cāritra) in the ninth (navama) chapter (adhyāya). And (ca) the two means of cognition (pramāṇa) [have been] discussed (ukta). [Now] we will explain (*vakṣyāmaḥ*) the perspectives (*naya*). Namely (*tad-yathā*):

#### naigamasangrahavyavahārarjusūtraśabdā nayāḥ ||1.34||

1.34 (SS 1.33, variant) $^{727}$  The perspectives (*naya*) [are]:

- i. the commonplace [perspective] (naigama)
- ii. the collecting [perspective] (sangraha)
- iii. the practical [perspective] (*vyavahāra*)
- iv. the linear [perspective] (*rju-sūtra*)
- the literal [perspective] (*śabda*).<sup>728</sup> v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>726</sup> This reading is based on Siddhasenagani's interpretation.

<sup>727</sup> The Sarvārthasiddhi adds 'samabhirūḍhaivambhūta' (naigamasaṃgrahavyavahārarjusūtraśabdasamabhirūdhaivambhūtā nayāḥ) (Sarvārthasiddhi 1.33). For a discussion of this variant reading, see § 3.2 The perspectives.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>728</sup> See § 3.2 *The perspectives* for an analysis of the different perspectives.

# [1.34.1] naigamaḥ saṅgrahaḥ vyavahāraḥ rjusūtraḥ śabda ity ete pañcanayā bhavanti | [1.34.2] tatra

'The commonplace [perspective] (naigama), the collecting [perspective] (saṅgraha), the practical [perspective] (vyavahāra), the linear [perspective] (rju-sūtra) [and] the literal [perspective] (śabda)' (iti) — these (etad) are (bhavanti) the five (pañca) perspectives (naya). Among them (tatra):

### ādyaśabdau dvitribhedau ||1.35||

 $1.35^{729}$  The first [perspective] (i.e., the commonplace perspective, naigama) ( $\bar{a}dya$ ) [and] the literal perspective ( $\hat{s}abda$ ) [have respectively] two [and] three varieties (dvi-tribheda).

### [1.35.1] ādya iti sūtrakramaprāmānyān naigamam āha |

[The author of the  $s\bar{u}tra$ ] refers ( $\bar{a}ha$ ) to the commonplace [perspective] (naigama) [as] 'the first' ( $\bar{a}dya\ iti$ ), following the authoritativeness of the order [in] the  $s\bar{u}tra$  ( $s\bar{u}tra-krama-pr\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya$ ).

### [1.35.2] sa dvibhedo deśaparikṣepī sarvaparikṣepī ceti |

This [perspective] (i.e., *naigama*) (*sa*) [has] two varieties (*dvibheda*): [the one that is] encompassing partially (*deśa-parikṣepin*) and (*ca*) [the one that is] encompassing everything (*sarva-parikṣepin*) (*iti*).<sup>730</sup>

### [1.35.3] śabdas tribhedaḥ sāmprataḥ samabhirūḍha evambhūta iti ||

The literal perspective ( $\acute{s}abda$ ) has three varieties (tribheda): the present [viewpoint] ( $s\~{a}mprata$ ), the etymological [viewpoint] ( $samabhir\~{u}\rlap/qha$ ), [and] the exact [viewpoint] (evam- $bh\~{u}ta$ ) (iti).

#### [1.35.4] atrāha | [1.35.5] kim eṣām lakṣaṇam iti |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): What (kim) is the characteristic (lakṣaṇa) of these?

<sup>730</sup> Tatia explains: 'regarding a part as the whole and vice versa' (Tatia 2011: 24).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>729</sup> This *sūtra* is not included in the *Sarvārthasiddhi*.

### [1.35.6] atrocyate | [1.35.7] nigameşu ye 'bhihitāḥ śabdās teṣām arthaḥ śabdārthaparijñānaṃ ca deśasamagragrāhī naigamaḥ |

At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): The object (artha) and (ca) the ascertainment of the meaning of the words<sup>731</sup> (śabda-artha- $parij\tilde{n}ana$ ) of those (tad) words (yad śabda) [that are] employed (abhihita) in 'daily undertakings' (nigama), [that is] the commonplace [perspective] (naigama), which is grasping either partially or wholly (deśa-samagra-grahin).

### [1.35.8] arthānām sarvaikadeśagrahaṇam saṅgrahaḥ |

Seizing [both] the whole and the part<sup>732</sup> (*sarva-ekadeśa-grahaṇa*) of objects (*artha*), [that is] the collecting [perspective] (*saṅgraha*).

### [1.35.9] laukikasama upacāraprāyo vistṛtārtho vyavahāraḥ |

The practical [perspective] ( $vyavah\bar{a}ra$ ) [is] equal to the [view of] worldly men<sup>733</sup> (laukika-sama), applied in a pragmatic way<sup>734</sup> ( $upac\bar{a}ra$ - $pr\bar{a}ya$ ), having a broad meaning (vistrta-artha).

### [1.35.10] satām sāmpratānām arthānām abhidhānaparijñānam rjusūtraḥ |

The linear [perspective] (*rjusūtra*) [is] the ascertainment of the name (*abhidhāna-parijñāna*) of objects (*artha*) existing (*sat*) presently (i.e., not in the past or future) (*sāmprata*).

#### [1.35.11] yathārthābhidhānam śabdaḥ |

The literal perspective (*śabda*) [is] the designation in accordance with reality (*yathārtha-abhidhāna*).

### [1.35.12] nāmādiṣu prasiddhapūrvāc chabdād arthe pratyayaḥ sāmprataḥ |

The present [viewpoint] (i.e., the first variety of 'śabda') ( $s\bar{a}mprata$ ) [is] the idea (pratyaya) in the case of an object (artha) [from] a literal perspective (śabda), preceded by being known (i.e., whose conventional meaning is already fixed)<sup>735</sup> ( $prasiddha-p\bar{u}rva$ ), with respect to name etc.<sup>736</sup> ( $n\bar{a}ma-\bar{a}di$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>731</sup> It is unclear to me what the precise meaning of 'artha' and 'śabdārthaparijñāna' is in this context. Perhaps the author tries to differentiate between the sense (śabdārtha-parijñāna) and reference (artha) of a word.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>732</sup> Siddhasenagani analyses 'sarvaikadeśagrahanam' as a dvandva compound.

<sup>733</sup> Siddhasenagani interprets 'laukika' as worldly people.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>734</sup> Alternatively, 'by way of metaphor'. Siddhasenagaṇi refers to metaphors in his commentary on this passage. However, this perspective deals with objects in a practical way. See also TABh 1.35.29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>735</sup> Cf. TABh 1.35.31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>736</sup> I.e., the four modes of analysis (see TA 1.5).

### [1.35.13] satsv artheșv asankramaḥ samabhirūḍhaḥ |

The etymological [viewpoint] ( $samabhir\bar{u}dha$ ) [is] non-going together (i.e., making distinctions) ( $asa\dot{n}krama$ ) in the case of objects (artha) [that are] existent (sat).

### [1.35.14] vyañjanārthayor evambhūta iti ||

The exact [viewpoint] (evam- $bh\bar{u}ta$ ) [makes a distinction]<sup>737</sup> between the sign ( $vya\tilde{n}jana$ )<sup>738</sup> [and] the object<sup>739</sup> ( $vya\tilde{n}jana$ -artha) (iti).

## [1.35.15] atrāha | [1.35.16] uddiṣṭā bhavatā naigamādayo nayāḥ | [1.35.17] tan<sup>740</sup> nayā iti kaḥ padārtha iti |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): The perspectives (naya) beginning with [the perspective of] the common man ( $naigama-\bar{a}di$ ) [have now been] listed (uddista) by you (bhavat). What (kim) [is] the meaning (pada-artha) [of] the there (tad) [mentioned term] (iti) 'perspectives' (naya)?

# [1.35.18] atrocyate | [1.35.19] nayāḥ prāpakāḥ kārakāḥ sādhakā nirvartakā nirbhāsakā upalambhakā vyañjakā ity anarthāntaram |

At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): 'Perspectives' (lit. 'leading') (naya), '[that which is] causing to reach' (prāpaka), '[that which is] causing to make' (kāraka), '[that which is] causing to accomplish' (sādhaka), '[that which is] causing to bring about' (nirvartaka), '[that which is] causing to illuminate' (nirbhāsaka), '[that which is] causing to perceive' (upalambhaka), '[that which is] causing to appear' (vyañjaka) — [these are] not different (i.e., they are synonyms).

# [1.35.20] jīvādīn padārthān nayanti prāpnuvanti kārayanti sādhayanti nirvartayanti nirbhāsayanti upalambhayanti vyañjayantīti nayāḥ ||

The perspectives lead (nayanti), [i.e.], reach (prāpnuvanti), cause to make (kārayanti), cause to accomplish (sādhayanti), cause to bring about (nirvartayanti), cause to illuminate (nirbhāsayanti), cause to perceive (upalambhayanti), and cause to clarify (vyañjayanti) the categories (padārtha), beginning with soul (jīva-ādi) (iti).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>737</sup> See the previous sentence (TABh 1.35.13).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>738</sup> For a discussion of the term '*vyañjana*', see § 3.2 *Ordinary cognition*. The meaning of the term in this context seems to be 'sign' or 'word'. However, the term has a different meaning in the theory of perception in the TA (see TA 1.8). See also TABh 1.35.33.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>739</sup> Cf. TABh 1.18.2: 'Thus, sense perception [is] twofold, [i.e.], of the *vyañjana* and of the sense object' (*evaṃ dvividho 'vagraho vyañjanasyārthasya ca*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>740</sup> Kapadia reads '*tatra* nayā iti'.

# [1.35.21] atrāha | [1.35.22] kim ete tantrāntarīyā vādina āhosvit svatantrā eva codakapakṣagrāhiṇo matibhedena vipradhāvitā iti |

At this point (atra) one says (āha): [Are] (kim) these (etad) [perspectives] the proponents (vādin) of other sects<sup>741</sup> (tantrāntarīya) or (āhosvit) [proponents of] our own school (svatantra) [who are] taking the side of the objector (codaka-pakṣa-grāhin), [and who are] running in different directions (i.e., disagreeing) (vipradhāvita) by difference in opinion (mati-bheda) (iti)?

# [1.35.23] atrocyate | [1.35.24] naite tantrāntarīyā nāpī svatantrāḥ matibhedena vipradhāvitāḥ |

At this point (*atra*) it is said (*ucyate*): These (*etad*) [perspectives are] not (*na*) [the proponents belonging to] other schools (*tantrāntarīya*) nor (*na-api*) [proponents belonging to] our own school (*svatantra*) [who are] running in different directions (i.e., disagreeing) (*vipradhāvita*) by difference in opinion (*mati-bheda*).

### [1.35.25] jñeyasya tv arthasyādhyavasāyāntarāny etāni |

On the contrary (tu), these ( $et\bar{a}ni$ ) [are] different apprehensions ( $adhyavas\bar{a}ya-antara$ ) of the object (artha) to be known ( $j\tilde{n}eya$ ).

[1.35.26] tadyathā | [1.35.27] ghaṭa ity ukte yo 'sau ceṣṭābhinirvṛtta ūrdhvakuṇḍalauṣṭhāyatavṛttagrīvo 'dhastāt parimaṇḍalo jalādīnām āharaṇadhāraṇasamartha uttaraguṇanirvartanānirvṛtto dravyaviśeṣas tasminn ekasmin viśeṣavati tajjātīyeṣu vā sarveṣv aviśeṣāt parijñānaṃ naigamanayaḥ |
To illustrate (tad-yathā), the understanding (parijñāna) [when the word] 'pot' (ghaṭa) (iti) [is] said (ukta) — [i.e.], that [object] (adas) which (yad) [is] resulting from activity (ceṣṭā-abhinirvṛtta), having round handles on top (ūrdhva-kuṇḍala-auṣṭha) [and] a stretched circular neck (āyata-vṛtta-grīvā), with a globe (parimaṇḍala) below (adhastāt), [which is] fit for taking and holding (āharaṇa-dhāraṇa-samartha) of water etc. (jala-ādi), completed by the application of other qualities (i.e., colour etc.) (uttara-guṇa-nirvartanā-nirvṛtta), having some specific material (dravya-viśeṣa) — [as] that (tad) single (eka) particularised<sup>742</sup> [object] (viśeṣavat) or (vā) [as] all [objects] (sarva) of the class of that (taj-jātīya) in general

(aviśeṣāt), [that is] the commonplace perspective (naigama-naya).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>741</sup> Siddhasenagaņi mentions the Vaiśeṣika tradition as an example.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>742</sup> Lit. 'having a particular'.

# [1.35.28] ekasmin vā bahuṣu vā nāmādiviśeṣiteṣu sāmpratātītānāgateṣu ghaṭeṣu sampratyayaḥ saṅgrahaḥ |

The understanding (sampratyaya) in the case of pots (ghața) [as being] either ( $v\bar{a}$ ) one (eka) or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) many (bahu), specified by name etc. ( $n\bar{a}ma-\bar{a}di-vi\acute{s}e\ddot{s}ita$ ), [existing in] the present, past, and future<sup>743</sup>  $s\bar{a}mprata-at\bar{t}ta-an\bar{a}gata$ ), [that is] the collecting [perspective] ( $sa\acute{n}graha$ ).

# [1.35.29] teşv eva laukikaparīkṣakagrāhyeṣūpacāragamyeṣu yathāsthūlārtheṣu sampratyayo vyavahāraḥ |

The understanding (*sampratyaya*) [in the case of] the very same [pots] (*tad eva*) [as] having a broad meaning (*yathā-sthūla*<sup>744</sup>-*artha*), to be understood by the investigator [for the sake] of worldly matters (*laukika-parīkṣaka-grāhya*), to be understood in a pragmatic way <sup>745</sup> (*upacāra-gamya*), [that is] the practical [perspective] (*vyavahāra*).

### [1.35.30] teşv eva satsu sāmprateşu sampratyayaḥ rjusūtraḥ |

The understanding (*sampratyaya*) [in the case of] the very same [pots] (*tad eva*) [as] existing (*sat*) at present (*sāmprata*), [that is] the linear [perspective] (*rju-sūtra*).

### [1.35.31] teşv eva sāmprateşu nāmādīnām anyatamagrāhişu prasiddhapūrvakeşu ghaṭeşu sampratyayaḥ sāmprataḥ śabdaḥ |

The understanding (sampratyaya) [in the case of] the very same ( $tad\ eva$ ) pots (ghața) [that are] present ( $s\bar{a}mprata$ ), [which are] previously known<sup>746</sup> (prasiddhapurvaka) expressive of any ( $anyatama-gr\bar{a}hin$ ) of [the modes of analysis] beginning with name ( $n\bar{a}ma-\bar{a}di$ ), [that is] the present ( $s\bar{a}mprata$ ) literal perspective ( $s\bar{a}bda$ ).

# [1.35.32] teṣām eva sāmpratānām adhyavasāyāsaṅkramo vitarkadhyānavat samabhirūḍhaḥ |

The delimitation of the apprehension (*adhyavasāya-asaṅkrama*) [in the case of] the very same [pots] (*tad eva*) [that are] present (*sāmprata*), as in *vitarka* meditation<sup>747</sup> (*vitarka-dhyānavat*), [that is] the etymological [viewpoint] (*samabhirūḍha*).<sup>748</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>743</sup> Lit. 'gone' (atīta) and 'not arrived' (anāgata).

<sup>744</sup> yathāsthūla: 'not detailed' (MW).

<sup>745</sup> Cf. TABh 1.35.9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>746</sup> I.e., whose conventional meaning has already been fixed. Cf. TABh 1.35.12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>747</sup> I.e., focused on singleness. The ninth chapter of the TA discusses *vitarka* meditation (TA 9.41, TA 9.43, and TA 9.45).

<sup>748</sup> Cf. TABh 1.35.13.

# [1.35.33] teṣām eva vyañjanārthayor anyonyāpekṣārthagrāhitvam evambhūta iti ||

The quality of understanding objects [by] mutual dependence (anyonya-apekṣā-artha-grāhitva) of the sign<sup>749</sup> (vyañjana) [and] the object<sup>750</sup> (vyañjana-artha) [in the case of] the very same [pots] ( $tad\ eva$ ), [that is] the exact [viewpoint] (evam- $bh\bar{u}ta$ ).

### [1.35.34] atrāha | [1.35.35] evam idānīm ekasminn arthe 'dhyavasāyanānātvān nanu vipratipattiprasaṅga iti |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): In this way (evam), [is there] not (nanu) the unwanted consequence of a contradiction (vipratipatti-prasaṅ ga) at this point ( $id\bar{a}n\bar{i}m$ ), due to the difference of apprehensions ( $adhyavas\bar{a}ya-n\bar{a}n\bar{a}tva$ ) in the case of a single (eka) object (artha) (iti)?

### [1.35.36] atrocyate | [1.35.37] yathā sarvam ekam sadaviśeṣāt

At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): Just as ( $yath\bar{a}$ ) everything (sarva) [is] a unity (eka) on account of non-distinction [with respect to] existence ( $sad-avi\acute{s}e, a$ );<sup>751</sup>

### sarvam dvitvam jīvājīvātmakatvāt

everything (*sarva*) [is] twofold (*dvitva*) on account of the quality of being characterised by soul and non-soul (*jīva-ajīva-ātmakatva*);

### sarvam tritvam dravyagunaparyāyāvarodhāt

everything (*sarva*) [is] threefold (*tritva*) on account of the delimitation of qualities, modes and substance (*dravya-guṇa-paryāya-avarodha*);

### sarvam catustvam<sup>752</sup> caturdarśanavisayāvarodhāt

everything (sarva) [is] fourfold (catuṣṭva) on account of the delimitation of the range of the four views<sup>753</sup> (catur-darśana-viṣaya-avarodha);

### sarvam pañcatvam astikāyāvarodhāt<sup>754</sup>

everything (*sarva*) [is] fivefold (*pañcatva*) on account of the delimitation of the [five] categories (*astikāya-avarodha*);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>749</sup> Siddhasenagani interprets *vyañjana* as 'word' (*śabda*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>750</sup> Perhaps the intended meaning is that the exact viewpoint analyses the relationship between word and the nature of objects. For example, when people bake, they can be called 'baker'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>751</sup> In other words, seen from the perspective of existence, everything is the same, i.e. existent. <sup>752</sup> Kapadia reads '*catustayam*'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>753</sup> The reference of these four views eludes me. Perhaps it refers to the eye, the ear, the tongue, and touch. Alternatively, it might refer to the four modes of analysis (TA 1.5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>754</sup> Kapadia reads '*pañcāstikāyātmakatvāt*' ('on account of the quality of being characterised by the five categories').

### sarvam şaţtvam şaddravyāvarodhād iti |

everything (sarva) [is] sixfold (ṣaṭtva) on account of the delimitation of the six substances (ṣaḍ-dravya-avarodha).

## [1.35.38] yathaitā na vipratipattayo 'tha cādhyavasāyasthānāntarāṇy etāni tadvan nayavādā iti |

Just as (yathā) these (etad) [are] not (na) contradictory (vipratipatti) but (ca) these (etad) [are] rather (atha) different states of apprehension (adhyavasāya-sthāna-antara), likewise (tadvat), the statements [from the different] perspectives (naya-vāda) [are not incompatible] (iti).

[1.35.39] kiṃ cānyat | [1.35.40] yathā matijñānādibhiḥ pañcabhir jñānair dharmādīnām astikāyānām anyatamo 'rthaḥ pṛthak pṛthag upalabhyate paryāyaviśuddhiviśeṣād utkarṣeṇa na ca tā<sup>755</sup> vipratipattayaḥ bhavanti tadvan nayavādāḥ |

Further (kiṃ cānyat): Just as (yathā) any (anyatama) object (artha) of the categories (asti-kāya), beginning with motion (dharma-ādi), is perceived (upalabhyate) separately (pṛthak pṛthak) by the five (pañca) knowledges (jñāna), beginning with knowledge from ordinary cognition (see TA 1.9) (mati-jñāna-ādi), [resulting] from a difference in the purity of the modes (paryāya-viśuddhi-viśeṣa), gradually (utkarṣeṇa), and (ca) these (tad) are (bhavanti) not (na) contradictory (vipratipatti), likewise (tadvat), the statements [from the different] perspectives (naya-vāda) [are not incompatible] (iti).

# [1.35.41] yathā vā pratyakṣānumānopamānāptavacanaiḥ pramāṇair eko 'rthaḥ pramīyate svaviṣayaniyamāt na ca tā vipratipattayo bhavanti tadvan nayavādā iti |

Or  $(v\bar{a})$ , just as  $(yath\bar{a})$  one (eka) object (artha) is understood  $(pram\bar{i}yate)$  by the means of cognition  $(pram\bar{a}na)$ , [i.e.], direct cognition, inference, comparison and verbal testimony  $(pratyakṣa-anum\bar{a}na-upam\bar{a}na-\bar{a}ptavacana)$  — and these (tad) are (bhavanti) not (na) contradictory (vipratipatti) on account of the limitation of their respective ranges (svaviṣaya-niyama) — likewise (tadvat), the statements [from the different] perspectives  $(naya-v\bar{a}da)$  [are not incompatible] (iti).

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>755</sup> Kapadia reads 'tāni'.

#### **āha ca**<sup>756</sup>

### [1.35.42] naigamaśabdārthānām ekānekārthanayagamāpekṣaḥ |

### [1.35.43] deśasamagragrāhī vyavahārī naigamo jñeyaḥ ||1||

And (ca) one says  $(\bar{a}ha)$ : Grasping either partially or wholly<sup>757</sup>  $(de\acute{s}a\text{-}samagra-gr\bar{a}hin)$ , depending on the understanding [from] a perspective on objects that are single and many  $(eka\text{-}aneka\text{-}artha\text{-}naya\text{-}gama\text{-}apekṣaḥ})$  [with reference to] meanings of common words  $(naigama\text{-}\acute{s}abda\text{-}artha)$ , [this is] to be known  $(j\tilde{n}eya)$  [as] the ordinary<sup>758</sup>  $(vyavah\bar{a}rin)$  commonplace [perspective] (naigama).

### [1.35.44] yat saṅgṛhītavacanaṃ sāmānye deśato 'tha ca viśeṣe |

### [1.35.45] tat saṅgrahanayaniyatam jñānam vidyān nayavidhijñaḥ ||2||

One who knows the perspectives (naya- $vidhij\tilde{n}a$ ) should know ( $vidy\bar{a}t$ ) that (tad) knowledge ( $j\tilde{n}a\bar{n}a$ ) [which is] established<sup>759</sup> by the collecting perspective ( $sa\dot{n}graha$ -naya-niyata) [as the one] which (yad) [is] expressive of the collective ( $sa\dot{n}grh\bar{t}ta$ -vacana), in general terms (samanya), in partial terms (desatas), and (ca) specific terms (visesa).<sup>760</sup>

### [1.35.46] samudāyavyaktyākṛtisattāsamjñādiniścayāpekṣam |

### [1.35.47] lokopacāraniyatam vyavahāram vistrtam vidyāt ||3||

[He] should know (*vidyāt*) the practical [perspective] (*vyavahāra*) [as] broad (*vistṛta*), established by worldly usage (*loka-upacāra-niyata*), depending on the ascertainment of 'group, individual, form, existence, name, etc.' (*samudāya-vyakty-ākrti-sattā-samjñā-ādi-niścaya-apekṣa*).<sup>761</sup>

\_

 $<sup>^{756}</sup>$  It is remarkable that this passage is introduced with ' $\bar{a}ha$  ca', which suggests that the verses that follow are quoted from another source. For a discussion of this issue, see § 3.5 *Quotations in the TABh.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>757</sup> See TABh 1.35.7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>758</sup> It is somewhat strange that the author uses the word '*vyavahārin*' to qualify the *naigama* perspective since the *vyavahāra* perspective is discussed separately (see TABh 1.35.47). This suggests that this passage was written by a different author.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>759</sup> Alternatively, 'delimited' (*niyata*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>760</sup> It is unclear to me how 'sāmānye deśato' tha ca viśeṣe' should be analysed, and the syntax allows for several interpretations. The word 'deśatas' can be interpreted as a third option, apart from 'sāmānya' and 'viśeṣa'. Alternatively, it might qualify 'viśeṣa', or 'sāmānya' and 'viśeṣa'. The vocabulary suggests a link with the Vaiśeṣika theory of universals and particulars.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>761</sup> It is unclear to me what the source of this list is. In his study on Vaiśeṣika philosophy, Halbfass writes that in 'early Nyāya, *ākṛti* is defined as the "mark" (*liṅga*) of the universal (*jāti*). [...] In classical Mīmāṃsā and some grammatical traditions, *ākṛti* can be used as a synonym of *jāti/sāmānya* and refer to the universal itself (Halbfass 1992: 121).

### [1.35.48] sāmprataviṣayagrāhakam rjusūtranayam samāsato vidyāt | [1.35.49] vidyād yathārthaśabdam viśeṣitapadam tu śabdanayam ||4|| iti ||

[He] should know (*vidyāt*) concisely (*samāsatas*) the linear perspective (*rju-sūtra-naya*) [as the kind of] grasping [having] the present [as its] range (*sāmprata-viṣaya-grāhaka*); and (*tu*) [he] should know (*vidyāt*) the verbal perspective (*śabda-naya*) [as the one in which] a word [is] in accordance with the object (*yathā-artha-śabda*), which has qualified stages<sup>762</sup> (*viśeṣita-pada*).

# [1.35.50] atrāha | [1.35.51] atha jīvo nojīvaḥ ajīvo no'jīva<sup>763</sup> ity ākārite kena nayena ko'rthaḥ pratīyata iti |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): Now (atha), if [the words] (iti) 'soul' ( $j\bar{v}u$ ), 'quasi-soul' ( $noj\bar{v}u$ ), 'non-soul' ( $aj\bar{v}u$ ), [or] 'quasi-non-soul' ( $no-aj\bar{v}u$ ) are brought into play ( $\bar{a}k\bar{a}rita$ ), which (kim) object (artha) is understood ( $prat\bar{v}u$ ) by which (kim) perspective (naya)?

# [1.35.52] atrocyate | [1.35.53] jīva ity ākārite naigamadeśasaṅgrahavyavahārarjusūtrasāmpratasamabhirūḍhaiḥ pañcasv api gatiṣv anyatamo jīva iti pratīyate |

At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): [When] the word 'soul' ( $j\bar{\imath}va\ iti$ ) is brought into play ( $\bar{a}k\bar{a}rita$ ), any (anyatama) soul ( $j\bar{\imath}va\ iti$ ) is understood ( $prat\bar{\imath}yate$ ) — in all (api) the five ( $pa\bar{n}ca$ ) varieties of transmigration (gati)<sup>764</sup> — by the commonplace [perspective] (naigama), the collecting [perspective] (sangraha) [with respect to] the part<sup>765</sup> (desa), the practical [perspective] ( $vyavah\bar{a}ra$ ), the linear [perspective] (rju- $s\bar{u}tra$ ), the present [viewpoint] ( $s\bar{a}mprata$ ), [and] the etymological [viewpoint] ( $samabhir\bar{u}dha$ ).<sup>766</sup>

## [1.35.54] kasmāt | [1.35.55] ete hi nayā jīvaṃ praty aupaśamikādiyukta-bhāvagrāhinaḥ |

Why (*kasmāt*)? Since (*hi*) these (*etad*) perspectives (*naya*) [are] grasping the states of existence, connected with 'the state resulting from the cessation' [of *karman*] etc. (*aupaśamika-ādi-yukta-bhāva-grāhin*), applied to (*prati*) the soul (*jīva*).

<sup>764</sup> Siddhasenagani explains: animals, hell-beings, humans, gods, and *siddha*s.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>762</sup> It is not clear to me what the intended meaning is. Perhaps this refers to the three varieties of *śabda*, i.e., *sāmprata*, *samabhirūḍha*, and *evambhūta*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>763</sup> Kapadia reads 'noajīva'..

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>765</sup> TABh 1.35.69 explains that it is pointless to analyse the words 'soul' (sg.) or 'souls' (du., pl.) from the *saṅgraha* perspective with respect to the whole (*sarva*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>766</sup> This seems to be a selective list of *nayas* that can be applied to the word 'soul'.

### [1.35.56] nojīva ity ajīvadravyam jīvasya vā deśapradeśau |

'Quasi-soul' (*nojīva iti*) [implies] inanimate substance (*ajīva-dravya*), or (*vā*) larger and smaller parts<sup>767</sup> (deśa-pradeśa) of the soul (jīva).

### [1.35.57] ajīva ity ajīvadravyam eva |

'Non-soul' (ajīva iti) [implies] only (eva) inanimate substance (ajīva-dravya).

### [1.35.58] no'jīva iti jīva eva tasya vā deśapradeśāv iti ||

'Quasi-non-soul' (*no'jīva iti*) [implies] indeed (*eva*) soul (*jīva*), or (*vā*) larger and smaller parts (deśa-pradeśa) for this [soul] (tad) (iti).

### [1.35.59] evambhūtanayena tu jīva ity ākārite bhavastho jīvah pratīyate |

But (tu) [when] the word 'soul' ( $j\bar{i}va$  iti) is brought into play ( $\bar{a}k\bar{a}r$ ita) from the exact perspective (evam-bhūta-naya), the soul (jīva) is understood (pratīyate) [as] being in existence (bhava-stha).

### [1.35.60] kasmāt | [1.35.61] esa hi nayo jīvam praty audayikabhāvagrāhaka eva |

Why  $(kasm\bar{a}t)$ ? For (hi) this (etad) perspective (naya) [is] only (eva) grasping the state [resulting from] the manifestation [of karman] (audayika-bhāva-grāhaka), applied to the soul (jīva prati).

### [1.35.62] jīvatīti jīvaḥ prāṇiti prāṇān dhārayatīty arthaḥ |

'The soul (jīva) lives (jīvatī)' (iti) — '[it] lives' (prāṇitī), '[it] continues living' (prāṇān *dhārayati*),<sup>768</sup> [that is] the meaning (*ity artha*).

### [1.35.63] tac ca jīvanam siddhe na vidyate tasmād bhavastha eva jīva iti |

And (ca) that (tad) [which is] being alive (jīvana) is not seen (na vidyate) [in the case of the perfected being (siddha); therefore (tasmāt), [the word] 'soul' [from the exact perspective [769 (jīva iti) [is] only (eva) [understood as] being in existence (bhavastha).770

#### [1.35.64] nojīva ity ajīvadravyam siddho vā |

[The word] 'quasi-soul' [from the exact perspective] (nojīva iti) [refers to] inanimate substance ( $aj\bar{v}a$ -dravya), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) the perfected being (siddha).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>767</sup> The term 'pradeśa' also occurs in the fifth chapter of the TA as 'space-points'. The word seems to have a more general meaning in this context. Siddhasenagani explains 'deśapradeśa' as larger and smaller parts (see also TABh 1.35.67).

 $<sup>768 \</sup>sqrt{dhr}$  with *prānān*: 'to preserve soul', 'continue living' (MW).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>769</sup> See above (TABh 1.35.59).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>770</sup> In other words, *siddha*s are not alive, even though they exist.

### [1.35.65] ajīva ity ajīvadravyam eva |

[The word] 'non-soul' [from the exact perspective] (*ajīva iti*) [refers] indeed (*eva*) [to] inanimate substance (*ajīva-dravya*).

### [1.35.66] no'jīva iti bhavastha eva jīva iti |

[The word] 'quasi-non-soul' [from the exact perspective] (*no-ajīva iti*) [refers] indeed (*eva*) [to] 'soul' (*jīva iti*) [as] being in existence (*bhava-stha*).

[1.35.67] samagrārthagrāhitvāc cāsya nayasya nānena deśapradeśau gṛhyete | And (ca) on account of the quality of seizing the objects entirely (samagra-artha-grāhitva) of this (idam) perspective<sup>771</sup> (naya), larger and smaller parts<sup>772</sup> (deśa-pradeśa) are not (na) perceived (grhyete) by it (idam).

[1.35.68] evam jīvau jīvā iti dvitvabahutvākāriteṣv api | [1.35.69] sarvasaṅgrahaṇe<sup>773</sup> tu jīvo nojīvaḥ<sup>774</sup> ajīvo no'jīvo jīvau nojīvau ajīvau no'jivau ity ekadvitvākāriteṣu śūnyam |

The same applies (*evam*) also (*api*) [when the words] (*iti*) 'two souls' (*jīva*) [and] '[many] souls' (*jīva*) [are] brought into play [on account of] the quality of being [respectively] dual and plural (*dvitva-bahutva-ākārita*).<sup>775</sup> But (*tu*) in the case of grasping the whole<sup>776</sup> (*sarva-saṅgrahaṇa*), [when the words] (*iti*) 'soul' (*jīva*), 'quasi-soul' (*nojīva*), 'non-soul' (*ajīva*), 'quasi-non-soul' (*no-ajīva*), 'two souls' (*jīva*), 'two quasi-souls' (*nojīva*), 'two non-souls' (*ajīva*) [and] 'two quasi-non-souls' (*no-ajiva*) [are] brought into play [on account of] the quality of being single or dual (*eka-dvitva-ākārita*), [it is] pointless<sup>777</sup> (*śūnya*).

<sup>771</sup> I.e., evambhūtanaya.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>772</sup> See also TABh 1.35.56.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>773</sup> Since this passage deals with the perspectives, including the 'saṅgraha' perspective, it is somewhat strange that the text reads 'sarvasaṅgrahaṇe'. Moreover, TABh 1.35.53 mentions 'deśasaṅgraha', and this seems to be the opposite of that term. Siddhasenagaṇi reads 'sarvasaṅgraheṇa'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>774</sup> Kapadia's edition has a daṇḍa at this point (nojīvaḥ | ajīvo). The fact that Mody's edition reads 'nojīvaḥ ajīvo' instead of 'nojīvo 'jīvo', suggests that there was originally a daṇḍa between these two words. However, it is unclear to me how the reading in Kapadia's edition can be interpreted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>775</sup> In other words, one can also apply the above analysis to the dual and plural forms of the words '*jīva*'.

<sup>776</sup> Cf. TABh 1.35.53.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>777</sup> The same expression appears in TABh 1.5.10.

# [1.35.70] kasmāt | [1.35.71] eṣa hi nayaḥ saṅkhyānantyāj jīvānāṃ bahutvam evecchati yathārthagrāhī |

Why (*kasmāt*)? Since (*hi*) this (*etad*) perspective (*naya*), [which is] grasping in accordance with reality (*yathā-artha-grāhin*), indeed (*eva*) seeks for (*icchati*) the quality of being many (*bahutva*) of souls (*jīva*), on account of the infinity of the number [of souls] (*saṅkhyā-anantya*).

## [1.35.72] śeṣās tu nayāḥ jātyapekṣam ekasmin bahuvacanatvaṃ bahuṣu ca bahuvacanaṃ sarvākāritagrāhina iti |

But (tu) the remaining (śeṣa) perspectives (naya), [which are] seizing all that is brought into play ( $sarva-\bar{a}k\bar{a}rita-gr\bar{a}hin$ ), [seek for] plurality<sup>778</sup> (bahu-vacanatva), [which is] depending on genus ( $j\bar{a}ty-apekṣa$ ), [even when referring to] a single thing (eka), and (exa) for the plural number (bahu-vacana) in the case of many (bahu) (iti).<sup>779</sup>

### [1.35.73] evam sarvabhāveşu nayavādādhigamaḥ<sup>780</sup> kāryaḥ |

Likewise (evam), the approach<sup>781</sup> of the statements [from the different] perspectives ( $naya-v\bar{a}da-adhigama$ ) [is] to be done ( $k\bar{a}rya$ ) in the case of all states ( $sarva-bh\bar{a}va$ ).

# [1.35.74] atrāha | [1.35.75] atha pañcānāṃ jñānānāṃ<sup>782</sup> saviparyayāṇāṃ kāni ko nayaḥ śrayata<sup>783</sup> iti |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): Now (atha), which (kim) perspective (naya) applies to<sup>784</sup> ( $\acute{s}rayate$ ) which (kim) of the five ( $pa\~{n}ca$ ) [varieties of] knowledge ( $j\~{n}\bar{a}na$ ) together with [their] opposites<sup>785</sup> (sa-viparyaya) (iti)?

 $<sup>^{778}</sup>$  bahuvacana: 'the pl. number, the case endings and personal terminations in the pl. number' (MW).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>779</sup> The meaning seems to be that plurality is always implied by the other perspectives, even when these perspectives are applied to a singular object.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>780</sup> Kapadia reads 'nayavādānugamaḥ'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>781</sup> I translate 'adhigama' as 'learning' in the rest of the chapter. However, this translation does not fit very well in this passage, which might explain why Kapadia's edition reads 'anugama'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>782</sup> Kapadia omits 'jñānānām'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>783</sup> Kapadia reads '*samā*śrayata'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>784</sup> Lit. 'rests on', 'clings to' ( $<\sqrt{sri}$ ) (MW).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>785</sup> I.e., varieties of false knowledge. See TABh 1.32.9 - 1.32.11.

# [1.35.76] atrocyate | [1.35.77] naigamādayas trayaḥ sarvāṇy aṣṭau śrayante | [1.35.78] ṛjusūtranayo matijñānamatyajñānavarjāni ṣaṭ ||

At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): The three [perspectives] (tri), beginning with the commonplace [perspective] ( $naigama-\bar{a}di$ ), apply to ( $\acute{s}rayante$ ) all (sarva) eight [varieties of knowledge]<sup>786</sup> (asta); the linear perspective ( $rju-s\bar{u}tra-naya$ ) [applies to] six (sas), leaving out knowledge from ordinary cognition and false knowledge from ordinary cognition (mati-jnan-maty-ajnan-varja).

### [1.35.79] atrāha | [1.35.80] kasmān matim saviparyayām na śrayata iti |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): Why ( $kasm\bar{a}t$ ) does [the linear perspective] not apply to ( $na\ srayate$ ) ordinary cognition (mati) together with its opposite (saviparyaya) (iti)?

# [1.35.81] atrocyate | [1.35.82] śrutasya saviparyayasyopagrahatvāt | [1.35.83] śabdanayas tu dve eva śrutajñānakevalajñāne śrayate |

At this point (*atra*) it is said (*ucyate*): [The linear perspective does not apply to ordinary cognition together with its opposite] due to the quality of seizing (*upagrahatva*) of scriptural [knowledge] (*śruta*) together with its opposite<sup>787</sup> (*saviparyaya*). And (*tu*) the literal perspective (*śabda-naya*) applies (*śrayate*) only (*eva*) to two [varieties of knowledge] (*dvi*), [i.e.], knowledge from testimony and absolute knowledge (*śruta-jñāna-kevala-jñāna*).

### [1.35.83] atrāha | [1.35.84] kasmān netarāņi śrayata iti |

At this point (atra) one says (āha): Why (kasmāt) does [it] not apply to (na śrayate) other [varieties of knowledge] (itara) (iti)?

### [1.35.85] atrocyate | [1.35.86] matyavadhimanaḥparyāyāṇāṃ śrutasyaivopagrāhakatvāt | [1.35.87] cetanājñasvābhāvyāc ca sarvajīvānāṃ nāsya kaścin mithyādṛṣṭir ajño vā jīvo vidyate |

At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): Due to the quality of being a seizer (upagrāhakatva) of [this] very (eva) testimony (śruta) of ordinary cognition, cosmic perception and mental perception<sup>788</sup> (maty-avadhi-manaḥ-paryāya). And (ca) due to the own nature [and] intelligence<sup>789</sup> (cetanā-jña-svābhāvya) of all souls (sarva-jīva),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>786</sup> I.e. five varieties of knowledge and three types of false knowledge (see TABh 1.32.11).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>787</sup> In other words, the linear perspective (*rjusūtra*) can be applied to knowledge from testimony and false knowledge from testimony, but not to knowledge from ordinary cognition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>788</sup> In other words, since knowledge from testimony includes ordinary cognition, cosmic perception, and mental perception.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>789</sup> Siddhasenagani analyses this as a *dvandva* compound.

[there is] not (na) seen (vidyate) any ( $ka\acute{s}cid$ ) soul ( $j\bar{v}a$ ) that has<sup>790</sup> (idam) wrong view ( $mithy\bar{a}$ -drsti) or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) false knowledge ( $aj\tilde{n}a$ ).<sup>791</sup>

### [1.35.88] tasmād api viparyayān na śrayata iti |

Therefore (tasmāt) [it] also (api) does not apply to (na śrayate) the opposites (viparyaya) (iti).

### [1.35.89] ataś ca pratyakṣānumānopamānāptavacanānām api prāmāṇyam abhyanujñāyata iti | āha ca –

And (*ca*) hence (*atas*), the authoritativeness (*prāmāṇya*) of direct perception, inference, comparison, and verbal testimony (*pratyakṣa-anumāna-upamāna-āpta-vacana*) [is] also (*api*) approved (*abhyanujñāyate*) (*iti*).<sup>792</sup> And (*ca*) one says (*āha*) –

### [1.35.90] vijñāyaikārthapadāny arthapadāni ca vidhānam iṣṭaṃ ca |

### [1.35.91] vinyasya parikṣepān nayaiḥ parīkṣyāṇi tattvāni ||1||

Having understood ( $vij\tilde{n}aya$ ) words of single meaning<sup>793</sup> (eka-artha-pada) and (ca) words referring to objects (artha-pada), and (ca) [their] appropriate (ista) classification<sup>794</sup> (vidhana), having set them out (vinyasya) completely (pariksepat), the entities (tattva) [are] to be examined (pariksepat) by the perspectives (naya).

## [1.35.92] jñānaṃ saviparyāsaṃ trayaḥ śrayanty ādito nayāḥ sarvam | [1.35.93] samyagdṛṣṭer jñānaṃ mithyādṛṣṭer viparyāsaḥ ||2||

The first ( $\bar{a}ditas$ ) three (tri) perspectives (naya) apply to (srayanti) all<sup>795</sup> (sarva), [i.e.], knowledge ( $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ) together with its opposite ( $sa-vipary\bar{a}sa$ ). Knowledge ( $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ) [results] from right view (samyag-drsti), delusion ( $vipary\bar{a}sa$ ) [results] from wrong view ( $mithy\bar{a}-drsti$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>790</sup> I interpret 'asya' as 'jīvasya'. However, it is not entirely clear to me what the reference of 'asya' in this sentence is.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>791</sup> Cf. TABh 1.35.96 – 1.35.97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>792</sup> It is remarkable that the four means of cognition that are accepted by the Nyāya tradition are said to be valid. For a discussion of this passage, see § 3.2, *The perspectives*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>793</sup> Perhaps 'synonyms'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>794</sup> Siddhasenagani refers to the four modes of analysis (*nāma*, *sthāpana*, etc.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>795</sup> I.e. the eight varieties of knowledge and false knowledge.

### [1.35.94] rjusūtraḥ ṣaṭ śrayate mateḥ śrutopagrahād ananyatvāt | [1.35.95] śrutakevale tu śabdaḥ śrayate nānyac chrutāṅgatvāt ||3||

The linear perspective (rju- $s\bar{u}tra$ ) applies to ( $\acute{s}rayate$ )  $six^{796}$  ( $\lq sa\. s$ ) due to identity (ananyatva), [i.e.], due to the seizing of testimony ( $\acute{s}ruta$ -upagraha) of ordinary cognition<sup>797</sup> (mati). And (tu) the literal perspective ( $\acute{s}abda$ ) applies to ( $\acute{s}rayate$ ) testimony [and] absolute knowledge<sup>798</sup> ( $\acute{s}ruta$ -kevala) due to the quality of being dependent on testimony ( $\acute{s}ruta$ -angatva), not on anything else (na-anyat).

### [1.35.96] mithyādṛṣṭyajñāne na śrayate nāsya kaścid ajño 'sti |

### [1.35.97] jñasvābhāvyāj jīvo mithyādṛṣṭir na cāpy ajñaḥ<sup>799</sup> ||4||

[The literal perspective]<sup>800</sup> does not apply to ( $na \ śrayate$ ) wrong view or false knowledge ( $mithy\bar{a}$ -drṣṭy- $aj\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ) [because] there is not ( $na \ asti$ ) any ( $ka\acute{s}cid$ ) false knowledge ( $aj\tilde{n}a$ ) for [the soul] (idam). The soul ( $j\bar{i}va$ ) [is] not (na) ignorant ( $aj\tilde{n}a$ ) and (ca) also (api) [not] having false view ( $mithy\bar{a}$ -drṣṭi), due to the own nature of having knowledge ( $j\tilde{n}a$ - $sv\bar{a}bh\bar{a}vya$ ).

#### [1.35.98] iti nayavādāś citrāḥ kvacid viruddhā ivātha ca viśuddhāḥ |

#### [1.35.99] laukikaviṣayātītās tattvajñānārtham adhigamyāḥ ||5||

In this manner (*iti*), the statements [from the different] perspectives (*naya-vāda*) [are] manifold<sup>801</sup> (*citra*), first they appear to be opposed (*kvacid viruddhā iva*), and (*ca*) yet (*atha*), [they are] free from vice (*viśuddha*). Surpassing the worldly range (*laukika-viṣaya-atīta*) [they are] to be studied (*adhigamya*) for the sake of knowledge of reality (*tattva-jñāna-artha*).

### [1.35.100] iti tattvārthādhigame 'rhatpravacanasaṅgrahe prathamo 'dhyāyaḥ samāptaḥ $||^{802}$

Thus (*iti*), the first (*prathama*) chapter (*adhyāya*) of the *Tattvārthādhigama*, [which is] a summary of the words of the *arhat* (*arhat-pravacana-saṅgraha*), [is now] completed (*samāpta*).

 $<sup>^{796}</sup>$  According to Siddhasenagaṇi, the varieties of knowledge with the exception of knowledge from ordinary cognition (mati).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>797</sup> I.e., because testimony (*śruta*) is preceded by ordinary cognition (*mati*) (see TA 1.20).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>798</sup> See also TABh 1.35.83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>799</sup> Kapadia reads 'cāpy**asti**'.

<sup>800</sup> See TABh 1.35.83.

<sup>801</sup> The word 'citra' also means 'excellent' (MW).

<sup>802</sup> Kapadia has a different concluding sentence, which refers to the *bhāṣya* and the  $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ .

### Tattvārthādhigama Chapter II (II.8-25)

## [2.0.1] atrāha | [2.0.2] uktam bhavatā jīvādīni tattvānīti | [2.0.3] tatra ko jīvaḥ kathamlakṣaṇo veti | [2.0.4] atrocyate | [...]

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): You have mentioned ( $uktam bhavat\bar{a}$ ) '[the categories of] reality (tattva) beginning with soul ( $j\bar{v}a-\bar{a}di$ )' (see TA 1.4) (iti). Here (tatra), [one may ask]: 'What (kim) [is] the soul ( $j\bar{v}a$ ), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ), how [is it] characterised (katham-laksana) (iti)?' At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): [...]<sup>803</sup>

### upayogo lakṣaṇam ||2.8||

2.8 [Cognitive] operation (*upayoga*) [is] the characteristic [of the soul] (*lakṣaṇa*).

### [2.8.1] upayogo lakṣaṇaṃ jīvasya bhavati |

[Cognitive] operation (upayoga) is (bhavati) the characteristic (lakṣaṇa) of the soul ( $j\bar{\imath}va$ ).

### sa dvividho'stacaturbhedah ||2.9||

2.9 This [cognitive operation] (tad) is twofold (dvividha), [having] eight [and] four varieties (asta-caturbheda).

### [2.9.1] sa upayogo dvividhaḥ sākāro 'nākāraś ca jñānopayogo darśanopayogaś cety arthaḥ |

This (tad) [cognitive] operation (upayoga) [is] twofold (dvividha): having shape ( $s\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra$ ) and (ca) shapeless ( $an\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra$ ).<sup>804</sup> The meaning is ( $ity\ artha$ ): [cognitive] operation [in the form of] knowledge ( $j\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ -upayoga) and (ca) [cognitive] operation [in the form of] worldview ( $dar\acute{s}ana$ -upayoga).

 $<sup>^{803}</sup>$  The second chapter of the TA deals with the soul. The first  $s\bar{u}tras$  (TA 2.1 - 2.7), which follow the opening sentences of the  $bh\bar{a}sya$ , deal with the states of the soul ( $bh\bar{a}va$ ) and the Jaina theory of karman. They are not included in this study.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>804</sup> I.e., representational and non-representational. For a discussion of this passage, see § 3.3, *Cognitive operation*. Sanghvi translates 'determinate' and 'indeterminate' (Sanghvi 1974: 82).

#### [2.9.2] sa punar yathāsaṅkhyam astacaturbhedo bhavati |

This [twofold cognitive operation] (tad) is (bhavati) again (punar) respectively (yathā-saṅkhyam) eight- and fourfold (aṣṭa-caturbheda).

[2.9.3] jñānopayogo 'ṣṭavidhaḥ | [2.9.4] tadyathā | [2.9.5] matijñānopayogaḥ śrutajñānopayogo 'vadhijñānopayogo manaḥparyāyajñānopayogaḥ kevalajñānopayogo matyajñānopayogaḥ śrutājñānopayoga vibhaṅgajñānopayoga iti |

[Cognitive] operation [in the form of] knowledge (jñāna-upayoga) [is] eightfold (aṣṭavidha). Namely (tad-yathā):

- i. [cognitive] operation [in the form of] knowledge from ordinary cognition (mati-jñāna-upayoga)
- ii. [cognitive] operation [in the form of] knowledge from testimony ( $\acute{s}ruta-j\~{n}\ddot{a}na-upayoga$ )
- iii. [cognitive] operation [in the form of] cosmic knowledge (avadhi- $j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}na-upayoga$ )
- iv. [cognitive] operation [in the form of] mental knowledge ( $mana h-pary \bar{a}ya-j \bar{n} \bar{a}na-upayoga$ )
- v. [cognitive] operation [in the form of] absolute knowledge (kevala-jñāna-upayoga)
- vi. [cognitive] operation [in the form of] false knowledge from ordinary cognition (maty-ajñāna-upayoga)
- vii. [cognitive] operation [in the form of] false knowledge from testimony (*śruta-ajñāna-upayoga*)
- viii. [cognitive] operation [in the form of] deceptive knowledge<sup>805</sup> (*vibhaṅga-jñāna-upayoga*) (*iti*).

[2.9.6] darśanopayogaś caturbhedaḥ | [2.9.7] tadyathā | [2.9.8] cakṣurdarśanopayogo 'cakṣurdarśanopayogo 'vadhidarśanopayogaḥ kevaladarśanopayoga iti |

[Cognitive] operation [in the form of] worldview (darśana-upayoga) [has] four varieties (caturbheda). Namely (tad-yathā):

- · [cognitive] operation [in the form of] visual worldview (cakṣur-darśana-upayoga)
- · [cognitive] operation [in the form of] non-visual<sup>806</sup> worldview (acakṣur-darśana-upayoga)

\_

<sup>805</sup> I.e., the opposite of avadhijñāna (see TABh 1.32.12).

- · [cognitive] operation [in the form of] cosmic worldview (avadhi-darśana-upayoga)
- [cognitive] operation [in the form of] absolute worldview (kevala-darśanaupayoga) (iti).

#### saṃsāriņo muktāś ca ||2.10||

2.10 [There are] worldly (saṃsārin) and liberated (mukta) [souls].

### [2.10.1] te jīvāḥ samāsato dvividhā bhavanti saṃsāriņo muktāś ca | [2.10.2] kiṃ cānyat |

Succinctly (*samāsatas*), these (*tad*) souls (*jīva*) are (*bhavanti*) twofold (*dvividha*): worldly (*saṃsārin*) and liberated (*mukta*). Further (*kiṃ cāṇyat*):

### samanaskāmanaskāḥ ||2.11||

2.11 [Souls exist] with minds (samanaska) and without minds (amanaska).

## [2.11.1] samāsatas ta<sup>807</sup> eva jīvā dvividhā bhavanti samanaskāś cāmanaskāś ca | [2.11.2] tān parastād vaksyāmaḥ ||

Succinctly ( $sam\bar{a}satas$ ), these (tad) souls ( $j\bar{i}va$ ) are (bhavanti) twofold (dvividha): with minds (samanaska) and without minds (amanaska). We will explain ( $vaksy\bar{a}mah$ ) them (tad) later on (see TA 2.25) ( $parast\bar{a}t$ ).

### saṃsāriṇas trasasthāvarāḥ ||2.12||

2.12 Worldly souls (*saṃsārin*) [are] mobile (*trasa*) and immobile (*sthāvara*).

### $[2.12.1]\ saṃsāriṇo\ jīvā\ dvividhā\ bhavanti\ trasāḥ\ sthāvarāś\ ca\ |\ [2.12.2]\ tatra$

Worldly souls (*saṃsārin*) are (*bhavanti*) twofold (*dvividha*): mobile (*trasa*) and (*ca*) immobile (*sthāvara*). Among them (*tatra*):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>806</sup> It is not entirely clear to me what the intended meaning of 'cakṣus-' and 'acakṣus-' in this context is. Siddhasenagaṇi interprets 'acakṣus-' as the other senses, which I follow in my translation. This distinction resembles TA 1.14, which says that ordinary cognition is caused by the organs of sense and the mind (indriya-anindriya-nimitta). Siddhasenagaṇi illustrates acakṣurdarśanopayoga with the example of feeling a snake behind one's back.

<sup>807</sup> Mody reads 'te'. Kapadia has the correct reading 'ta'.

#### pṛthivyabvanaspatayaḥ sthāvarāḥ ||2.13||808

2.13 Earth (prthivi), water (ap), [and] plants<sup>809</sup> (vanaspati) [are] immobile [souls] ( $sth\bar{a}vara$ ).

### [2.13.1] pṛthivīkāyikā apkāyikā vanaspatikāyikā ity ete trividhā sthāvarā jīvā bhavanti |

These (etad) immobile (sthāvara) souls (jīva) are (bhavanti) threefold (trividha):

- i. earth-bodied (pṛthivī-kāyika)
- ii. water-bodied (*ap-kāyika*) [and]
- iii. plant-bodied (vanaspati-kāyika) (iti).

### [2.13.2] tatra pṛthivīkāyo'nekavidhaḥ śuddhapṛthivīśarkarāvālukādiḥ |

Among them (tatra), the earth-bodied [immobile souls] (pṛthivī-kāya) [are] manifold (anekavidha), beginning with pure earth, small stones, [and] sand (śuddha-pṛthivī-śarkarā-vāluka-ādi).

#### [2.13.3] apkāyo 'nekavidho himādiḥ |

The water-bodied [immobile souls]  $(ap-k\bar{a}ya)$  [are] manifold (anekavidha), beginning with snow  $(hima-\bar{a}di)$ .

#### [2.13.4] vanaspatikāyo 'nekavidhaḥ śaivalādiḥ ||

The plant-bodied [immobile souls] ( $vanaspati-k\bar{a}ya$ ) [are] manifold (anekavidha), beginning with  $śaivala^{810}$  ( $śaivala-\bar{a}di$ ).

#### tejovāyū dvīndriyādayaś ca trasāḥ ||2.14||811

2.14 Fire (tejas), air ( $v\bar{a}yu$ ), and (ca) [beings with] two or more senses (dvi-indriya- $\bar{a}di$ ) [are] mobile [souls] (trasa).

### [2.14.1] tejaḥkāyikā aṅgārādayaḥ |

The fire-bodied [mobile souls] (*tejaḥ-kāyika*) [are] charcoal<sup>812</sup> etc. (*aṅgāra-ādi*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>808</sup> The *Sarvārthasiddhi* has a different reading and includes fire- and air-bodied beings in the class of immobile souls (SS 2.13-14).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>809</sup> The primary meaning of 'vanaspati' is 'tree' (MW). However, the explanation of 'vanaspati-kāya' in TABh 2.13.4 clearly indicates that the term refers to the category of plants in general.

<sup>810 &#</sup>x27;Blyxa Octandra', 'a kind of duck-weed or green moss-like plant growing in pools' (MW).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>811</sup> The *Sarvārthasiddhi* includes '*tejas*' and '*vāyu*' in the class of immobile souls (see also TA 2.13).

### [2.14.2] vāyukāyikā utkalikādayaḥ |

The air-bodied [mobile souls] (vāyukāyika) [are] the outgoing etc.813 (utkalikā-ādi).

### [2.14.3] dvīndriyās trīndriyāś caturindriyāḥ pañcendriyā ity ete trasā bhavanti |

[Beings with] two senses (*dvi-indriya*), [beings with] three senses (*tri-indriya*), [beings with] four senses (*catur-indriya*) [and] [beings with] five senses (*pañca-indriya*) (*iti*) — these (*etad*) are (*bhavanti*) mobile (*trasa*).

### [2.14.4] saṃsāriṇas trasāḥ sthāvarā ity ukte etad uktaṃ bhavati muktā naiva trasā naiva sthāvarā iti ||

When it is said (see TA 2.12) (*ity ukta*) 'worldly souls (*saṃsārin*) [are] mobile (*trasa*) [and] immobile (*sthāvara*)', this (*etad*) is (*bhavati*) said (*ukta*): 'liberated [souls] (*mukta*) [are] neither (*na-eva*) mobile (*trasa*) nor (*na-eva*) immobile (*sthāvara*)' (*iti*).

### pañcendriyāṇi ||2.15||

2.15 [There are] five senses (pañca-indriya).

### [2.15.1] pañcendriyāṇi bhavanti | [2.15.2] ārambho niyamārthaḥ ṣaḍādipratiṣedhārthaś ca ||

[There] are (*bhavanti*) five senses ( $pa\tilde{n}ca$ -indriya). The beginning [of the subject]<sup>814</sup> ( $\bar{a}rambha$ ) [is] for the sake of restriction (i.e., of the number of senses) (niyama-artha) and (ca) for the sake of exclusion of six etc. (sad- $\bar{a}di$ -pratisedha-artha).

# [2.15.3] indriyam | [2.15.4] indraliṅgam indradiṣṭam indradṛṣṭam indrasṛṣṭam indrajuṣṭam iti $v\bar{a}^{815}$ |

'Sense' (indriya) [means] 'mark of the soul' (indra-liṅga), 'directed by the soul' (indra-diṣṭa), 'perceived by the soul' (indra-dṛṣṭa), 'brought forth by the soul' (indra-ṣṛṣṭa), or (vā) 'welcomed by the soul' (indra-juṣṭa)' (iti).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>812</sup> It is somewhat strange that charcoal is seen as a mobile soul. Perhaps the idea is that charcoal is inhabited by fire-bodied mobile souls. The classification in the *Sarvārthasiddhi*, which classifies fire-bodied souls as immobile (SS 2.13), is easier to understand.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>813</sup> Siddhasenagaṇi explains: 'Air (vāyu) [has the following] varieties (*bheda*): eastern, western etc. (*prācya-pratīcya-ādi*), [and] outgoing (*utkalikā*), circular etc. (*maṇḍalikā-ādi*) (*prācyapratīcyādyutkalikāmaṇḍalikādibhedo vāyuh*).

<sup>814</sup> I.e., TA 2.15, which opens the passage on the senses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>815</sup> This is a quote from Pāṇini 5.2.93. However, Umāsvāti skips '*indradatta*' and adds '*indradiṣṭa*' (Ohira 1982: 59).

### [2.15.5] indro jīvaḥ sarvadravyeṣv aiśvaryayogād viṣayeṣu vā paramaiśvaryayogāt |

The soul ( $j\bar{i}va$ ) [is called] 'indra' on account of the power ( $ai\acute{s}varya$ -yoga) with respect to all substances (sarvadravya), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) on account of the highest power (parama- $ai\acute{s}varya$ -yoga) with respect to the range [of the senses] ( $vi\ddot{s}aya$ ).

### [2.15.6] tasya liṅgam indriyam liṅganāt sūcanāt pradarśanād upaṣṭambhanād vyañjanāc ca jīvasya liṅgam indriyam ||

The sense (indriya) [is] the mark (linga) of this (tad), [i.e.], the sense (indriya) [is] the mark (linga) of the soul ( $j\overline{i}va$ ) [on account of its] marking (lingana), indicating ( $s\overline{u}cana$ ), pointing out (pradarśana), supporting (upaṣṭambhana), and (ca) manifesting (vyañjana).

### dvividhāni ||2.16||

2.16.1 [The five senses are] twofold (*dvividha*).

# [2.16.1] dvividhānīndriyāṇi bhavanti | [2.16.2] dravyendriyāṇi bhāvendriyāṇi ca || [2.16.3] tatra

[The five] senses (indriya) are (bhavanti) twofold (dvividha), [i.e.], the sense organs<sup>816</sup> (dravya-indriya) and (ca) the sense faculties ( $bh\bar{a}va-indriya$ ).<sup>817</sup> Among them (tatra):

#### nirvṛttyupakarane dravyendriyam ||2.17||

2.17 The sense organ (*dravya-indriya*) [consists of] the 'manifestation' (*nirvṛtti*) [and] the 'instrument' (*upakaraṇa*).

#### [2.17.1] nirvṛttīndriyam upakaraṇendriyam ca dvividham dravyendriyam |

The sense organ (*dravya-indriya*) [is] twofold (*dvividha*), [i.e.], the manifested sense (*nirvṛtti-indriya*) and (*ca*) the instrumental sense (*upakaraṇa-indriya*).

### [2.17.2] nirvṛttir aṅgopāṅganāmanirvartitānīndriyadvārāṇi karmaviśeṣasaṃskṛtāḥ śarīrapradeśāḥ |

The 'manifestation' (*nirvṛtti*) [is] the regions of the body (śarīra-pradeśa) [that are] conditioned [by] a particular type of karman<sup>818</sup> (karma-viśeṣa-saṃskṛta), [i.e.], the

-

<sup>816</sup> Literally 'material sense'.

<sup>817</sup> For a discussion of this distinction, see § 3.3, The five senses.

apertures [of] the senses ( $indriyadv\bar{a}ra$ ) [that are] brought about (nirvartita) [by] body determining [karman that causes] the limbs and additional limbs<sup>819</sup> ( $a\dot{n}ga-up\bar{a}\dot{n}ga-n\bar{a}ma$ ).

### [2.17.3] nirmāṇanāmāṅgapratyayā mūlaguṇanirvartanety arthaḥ ||

The meaning is ( $ity\ artha$ ): [whose] accomplishment [is due to] the basic virtues<sup>820</sup> ( $m\bar{u}la$ -guna-nirvartana) [and whose] cause<sup>821</sup> (pratyaya) [of] the body-parts (anga) [is the karman that causes] the formation [of the body]<sup>822</sup> (nirmana-nama).

### [2.17.4] upakaraṇaṃ bāhyam abhyantaraṃ ca | [2.17.5] nirvartitasyānupaghātānugrahābhyām upakārīti ||

The 'instrument'<sup>823</sup> (upakaraṇa) [is] outer ( $b\bar{a}hhya$ ) and (ca) interior (abhyantara). [Is is] assisting ( $upak\bar{a}rin$ ) [by] not-obstructing ( $anupagh\bar{a}ta$ ) [and] favouring (anugraha) [that which is] brought about (nirvartita)<sup>824</sup> (iti).

#### labdhyupayogau bhāvendriyam ||2.18||

2.18 The sense faculty (*bhāva-indriya*) [consists of] acquisition (*labdhi*) and [cognitive] operation (*upayoga*).

### [2.18.1] labdhir upayogaś ca bhāvendriyaṃ bhavati |

The sense faculty ( $bh\bar{a}vendriya$ ) is (bhavati) acquisition (labdhi) and (ca) [cognitive] operation (upayoga).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>818</sup> Alternatively, 'for a particular type of action'. However, Siddhasenagaṇi glosses 'karmaviśeṣa' as 'nāmakarman'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>819</sup> I.e., a particular type of body determining *karman* (*aṅgopaṅganāmakarmani*). For a discussion of the 93 varieties of *nāmakarman*, see Glasenapp 1925: 188*ff*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>820</sup> In the Śvetāmbara tradition, the word '*mūlaguṇa*' usually refers to the list of *aṇuvrata*, i.e., the minor vows that a householder should observe. See Williams 1963: 50ff for a discussion of the different lists of *mūlaguṇa*.

<sup>821</sup> Siddhasenagani glosses 'nimitta'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>822</sup> Glasenapp explains *nirmāṇanāmakarman* as the *karman* that 'causes that the parts of a being are in the right place' (Glasenapp 1925: 190).

<sup>823</sup> I.e., the *dravyendriya* as instrument (see TA 2.17).

 $<sup>^{824}</sup>$  Perhaps the intended meaning is 'brought about [by angopanganamakarman]' (see 'nivartita' in TABh 2.17.2).

### [2.18.2] labdhir nāma gatijātyādināmakarmajanitā tadāvaraṇīyakarmakṣayopaśamajanitā cendriyāśrayakarmodayanirvṛttā ca jīvasya bhavati |

[That which is] called (nāma) 'acquisition' (labdhi), is (bhavati) [that which is]:

- i. produced (*janita*) by body-determining *karman* (*nāmakarman*), beginning with [the varieties of] transmigration [and] birth (*gati-jāti-ādi*), and (*ca*)
- ii. produced (*janita*) by both destruction [and] cessation (*kṣaya-upaśama*) of *karman* [that is] covering that (i.e., knowledge- and worldview)<sup>825</sup> (*tad-āvaraṇīya-karman*), and (*ca*)
- iii. resulting (*nirvṛtta*) from the rising (*udaya*) of *karman* [that is] attached to the senses (*indriya-āśraya-karman*), of the soul (*jīva*).

# [2.18.3] sā pañcavidhā | [2.18.4] tadyathā | [2.18.5] sparśanendriyalabdhiḥ rasanendriyalabdhiḥ ghrāṇendriyalabdhiḥ cakṣurindriyalabdhiḥ śrotrendriyalabdhir iti ||

It (i.e., *labdhi*) (*tad*) [is] fivefold (*pañcavidha*). Namely (*tad-yathā*):

- i. acquisition [related to] the sense of touch (sparśana-indriya-labdhi)
- ii. acquisition [related to] the sense of taste (rasana-indriya-labdhi)
- iii. acquisition [related to] the sense of smell (*ghrāṇa-indriya-labdhi*)
- iv. acquisition [related to] the sense of sight (cakṣus-indriya-labdhi)
- v. acquisition [related to] the sense of hearing (*śrotra-indriya-labdhi*) (*iti*).

### upayogah sparśādişu ||2.19||826

2.19 [Cognitive] operation (*upayoga*) relates to touch (i.e., touchable objects) (*sparśa*) etc.

#### [2.19.1] sparśādişu matijñānopayoga ity arthah |

The meaning is (*ity artha*): [Cognitive] operation [in the form of] knowledge from ordinary cognition (*mati-jñāna-upayoga*) with respect to touch etc. (*sparśa-ādi*)

#### [2.19.2] uktam etad upayogo lakṣaṇam |

It (*etad*) has been said (see TA 2.8) (*ukta*) [that] '[cognitive] operation (*upayoga*) [is] the characteristic [of the soul] (*lakṣaṇa*)'.

[2.19.3] upayogaḥ praṇidhānam āyogas tadbhāvaḥ pariṇāma ity arthaḥ ||

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>825</sup> See, e.g., TABh 1.7.19.

<sup>826</sup> This sūtra is not included in the Sarvārthasiddhi.

'[Cognitive] operation' (*upayoga*) — the meaning is (*ity artha*): 'directing'<sup>827</sup> (*praṇidhāna*), 'joining' (*āyoga*), 'becoming' (*tad-bhāva*), 'developing' (*pariṇāma*).

[2.19.4] eṣāṃ ca satyāṃ nirvṛttāv upakaraṇopayogau bhavataḥ | [2.19.5] satyāṃ ca labdhau nirvṛttyupakaraṇopayogā bhavanti | [2.19.6] nirvṛttyādīnām ekatarābhāve viṣayālocanaṃ na bhavati |

And (ca) among these (i.e., nirvrtti, upakaraṇa, labdhi, and  $upayoga)^{828}$  (idam), when there is  $(sat\bar{\imath})$  the manifestation (nirvrtti), [then] there are (bhavatas) the instrument and cognitive operation (upakaraṇa-upayoga). And (ca) when there is ( $sat\bar{\imath}$ ) acquisition (labdhi), there are (bhavanti) the manifestation, the instrument, and cognitive operation (nirvrtti-upakaraṇa-upayoga). [There] is (bhavati) no (na) perception of the range [of the senses] ( $viṣaya-\bar{a}locana$ ) in the absence of one ( $ekatara-abh\bar{a}va$ ) of the 'manifestation' etc. ( $nirvrtti-\bar{a}di$ ).829

## [2.19.7] atrāha | [2.19.8] uktaṃ bhavatā pañcendriyānīti | [2.19.9] tat kāni tānīndriyānīty ucyate |

At this point (atra) one says (āha): [It] has been said (ukta) by you (bhavat) [that] '[there are] five senses (pañca-indriya)' (see TA 2.15) (iti). Now (tad), which (kim) [are] these (tad) senses (indriya)? It is said (ucyate):

#### sparśanarasanaghrāṇacakṣuḥśrotrāṇi ||2.20||

2.20 [The five senses are]:

- i. [sense of] touch (sparśana)
- ii. [sense of] taste (rasana)
- iii. [sense of] smell (*ghrāṇa*)
- iv. [sense of] sight (cakṣus) [and]
- v. [sense of] hearing (*śrotra*).

[2.20.1] sparśanaṃ rasanaṃ ghrāṇaṃ cakṣuḥ śrotram ity etāni pañcendriyāṇi | [Sense of] touch (sparśana), [sense of] taste (rasana), [sense of] smell (ghrāṇa), [sense of] sight (cakṣus), [and] [sense of] hearing (śrotra) (iti) — these (etad) [are] the five senses (pañca-indriya).

-

<sup>827</sup>  $pra+ni+√dh\bar{a}$ : 'to turn or direct (the eyes or thoughts) upon' (MW).

<sup>828</sup> See TA 2.17 - 2.18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>829</sup> Sanghvi explains the order as follows: *labdhi* is a prerequisite for *nirvṛtti*. Again, *nirvṛtti* is a prerequisite for *upakaraṇa* and *upayoga*. *Upayoga* is the combination of *labdhi*, *nirvṛtti* and *upakaraṇa* (Sanghvi 1974: 89-90).

### sparśarasagandhavarnaśabdās teṣām arthāḥ ||2.21||

2.21 The objects (*artha*) of them (i.e., of the senses) (*tad*) [are]:

- i. touch (sparśa)
- ii. taste (rasa)
- iii. smell (gandha)
- iv. colour (varṇa)
- v. and sound (śabda).

#### [2.21.1] eteşām indriyāṇām ete sparśādayo 'rthā bhavanti yathāsaṅkhyam ||

These (*etad*) objects (*artha*), beginning with touch (*sparśa-ādi*), are (*bhavanti*) respectively (*yathāsaṅkhyam*) [the objects] of these (*etad*) senses (*indriya*).

#### śrutam anindriyasya ||2.22||

2.22 Testimony (*śruta*) [is the object] of the mind (*anindriya*).

### [2.22.1] śrutajñānam dvividham anekadvādaśavidham noindriyasyārthaḥ |

Knowledge from testimony (śrutajñāna) [is] twofold (dvividha), [i.e.], manifold [and] twelvefold (see TABh 1.20.3 – 1.20.4) (aneka-dvādaśavidha). [It is] the object (artha) of the mind (noindriya).<sup>830</sup>

[2.22.2] atrāha | [2.22.3] uktaṃ bhavatā pṛthivyabvanaspatitejovāyavo dvīndriyādayaś<sup>831</sup> ca nava jīvanikāyāḥ | [2.22.4] pañcendriyāṇi ceti | [2.22.5] tat kiṃ kasyendriyam iti | [2.22.6] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): [It] has been said (ukta) by you (see TA 2.13 – 2.14) (bhavat) [that] earth ( $prthiv\bar{i}$ ), water (ap), plants (vanaspati), fire (tejas), air ( $v\bar{a}yu$ ), and (ca) [beings with] two senses etc. (dvi-indriya- $\bar{a}di$ ) [are] the nine classes of souls ( $j\bar{i}va$ - $nik\bar{a}ya$ ). And [is has also been said by you] (ca) [that there are] five senses ( $pa\bar{n}ca$ -indriya) (see TA 2.15) (iti). Now (tad), which sense (kim ... indriya) [belongs to] which [class of beings] (kim) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>830</sup> The *bhāṣya* reads '*noindriya*' instead of '*anindriya*'. The same phenomenon occurs in TABh 1.19.1. For a discussion of this peculiar word formation, see § 3.2 *Ordinary cognition*.

<sup>831</sup> Mody erroneously reads '*dvīndriyādaś*'. Kapadia has the correct reading '*-ādayaś*'.

#### vāyvantānām ekam ||2.23||

2.23 [Souls] up to the air[-bodied]<sup>832</sup> ( $v\bar{a}yv$ -anta) [have] one [sense] (i.e., touch) (eka).<sup>833</sup>

### [2.23.1] pṛthivyādīnāṃ vāyvantānāṃ jīvanikāyānām ekam evendriyaṃ sūtrakramaprāmāṇyāt prathamaṃ sparśanam evety arthaḥ |

The meaning [is] (*ity artha*): [There is] only (*eva*) one (*eka*) sense (*indriya*) for the classes of souls (*jīva-nikāya*) beginning with earth (*pṛthivī-ādi*) up to air (*vāyu-anta*). Following the authoritativeness of the order [in] the *sūtra* (*sūtra-krama-prāmāṇya*), the first [is] indeed (*eva*) sense of touch (*sparśana*).

### kṛmipipīlikābhramaramanuṣyādīnām ekaikavṛddhāni ||2.24||

2.24 [The number of senses of] worms (krmi), ants ( $pip\bar{l}ik\bar{a}$ ), bees (bhramara), human beings (manusya) etc. ( $\bar{a}di$ ) increases one by one (i.e., worms have two senses, ants three etc.) (ekaika-vrddha).

### [2.24.1] kṛmyādīnāṃ pipīlikādīnām bhramarādīnāṃ manuṣyādīnām ca yathāsaṅkhyam ekaikavṛddhānīndriyāṇi bhavanti |

The senses (indriya) of

- i. [the class of souls] beginning with worms (kṛmi-ādi),
- ii. [the class of souls] beginning with ants (pipīlikā-ādi),
- iii. [the class of souls] beginning with bees (*bhramara-ādi*), and (*ca*)
- iv. [the class of souls] beginning with human beings (manuṣya-ādi)

are (bhavanti) respectively (yathāsankhyam) increasing one by one (ekaika-vrddha).

[2.24.2] yathākramam | [2.24.3] tadyathā | [2.24.4] kṛmyādīnāṃ apādikanūpurakagaṇḍūpadaśaṅkhaśuktikāśambūkājalūkāprabhṛtīnām ekendriyebhyaḥ pṛthivyādibhya ekena vṛddhe sparśanarasanendriye bhavataḥ |

Successively<sup>834</sup> ( $yath\bar{a}kramam$ ) — Namely ( $tad-yath\bar{a}$ ): The senses of touch and taste ( $spar\acute{s}ana-rasana-indriya$ ) are (bhavatas) increased (vrddha) by one (eka) from [the class of souls] beginning with earth etc. ( $prthiv\bar{i}-\bar{a}di$ ) for [the class of souls]

<sup>832</sup> The Sarvārthasiddhi reads 'vanaspatyantānām', 'up to the plant-bodied'.

<sup>833</sup> For a discussion of this passage, see §3.3, Number of senses in classes of beings.

<sup>834</sup> Kapadia adds 'yathākramam' to the previous sentence.

beginning with worms<sup>835</sup> ( $kṛmi-\bar{a}di$ ) — [i.e.], beginning with (prabhṛtin)  $ap\bar{a}dika$ ,  $n\bar{u}puraka$ ,  $gaṇd\bar{u}pada$ , conch-shells (śaṅkha),  $śuktik\bar{a}$ ,  $śamb\bar{u}k\bar{a}$ , [and] leeches ( $jal\bar{u}k\bar{a}$ ).<sup>836</sup>

### [2.24.5] tato 'py ekena vṛddhāni pipīlikārohiṇikāupacikākunthūtuburukatrapusabījakarpāsāsthikāśatapadyutpatakatṛṇapatrakāṣṭahārakaprabhṛtīnāṃ trīṇi sparśanarasanaghrāṇāni |

Again (*api*), from that (i.e., the class of souls beginning with worms) (*tatas*), the three (*tri*) [senses of] touch, taste, [and] smell (*sparśana-rasana-ghrāṇa*) [are] increased (*vṛddha*) by one (*eka*) for [the class of souls] beginning with (*prabhṛtin*) ants (*pipīlikā*), *rohiṇikā*, *upacikā*, *kunthū*, *tuburuka*, *trapusabīja*, *karpāsāsthikā*, centipedes (*śatapadī*), *utpataka*, *tṛṇapatra*, [and] *kāṣṭa-hāraka*.

# [2.24.6] tato 'py ekena vṛddhāni bhramara-vaṭara-sāraṅga-makṣikā-puttikā-daṃśa-maśaka-vṛścika-nandyāvarta-kīṭa-pataṅgādīnāṃ catvāri sparśana-rasanaghrāṇacakṣūṃṣi |

Again (*api*), from that (i.e., the class of souls beginning with ants) (*tatas*), the four (*catur*) [senses of] touch, taste, smell, [and] sight (*sparśana-rasana-ghrāṇa-cakṣus*) [are] increased (*vṛddha*) by one (*eka*) for [the class of souls] beginning with (*ādi*) bees (*bhramara*), *vaṭara*, *sāraṅga*, flies (*makṣikā*), *puttikā*, gnats (*daṃśa*), mosquitos (*maśaka*), scorpions (*vṛścika*), *nandyāvarta*, worms (*kīṭa*), [and] moths (*pataṅga*).

# [2.24.7] śeṣāṇām ca tiryagyonijānāṃ matsyoragabhujaṅgapakṣicatuṣ-padānāṃ sarveṣāṃ ca nārakamanuṣyadevānāṃ pañcendriyāṇīti ||

And (ca) [there are] five  $(pa\tilde{n}ca)$  senses (indriya) for the remaining [classes of souls]  $(\acute{s}esa)$ , [i.e.]:

- i. [beings] born from the womb of an animal (tiryag-yoni-ja) and (ca)
- ii. all (sarva) fishes, snakes, serpents, birds, [and] quadrupeds (matsya-uraga-bhujanga-pakṣi-catuṣpada) [and]
- iii. hellish beings, human beings, [and] gods (nāraka-manuṣya-deva) (iti).

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>835</sup> In other words, the class of souls that includes worms etc. has one sense more than the class of souls that includes earth etc.

<sup>836</sup> I have been unable to identify most of the species in this list and the following two lists.

## [2.24.8] atrāha | [2.24.9] uktaṃ bhavatā dvividhā jīvāḥ | [2.24.10] samanaskā amanaskāś ceti | [2.24.11] tatra ke samanaskā iti | [2.24.12] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): [It] has been said (ukta) by you (see TABh 2.11.1) (bhavat) [that] souls ( $j\bar{v}a$ ) [are] twofold (dvividha): with minds (samanaska) and without minds (samanaska). Among them (tatra), which [souls] (kim) [are provided] with minds (samanaska)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

#### samjñinah samanaskāh ||2.25||

2.25 Conscious [beings] (samjñin) [are provided] with minds (samanaska).

### [2.25.1] saṃpradhāraṇasaṃjñāyāṃ saṃjñino jīvāḥ samanaskā bhavanti |

Souls ( $j\bar{\imath}va$ ) [that are] conscious ( $samj\tilde{n}in$ ) with respect to the awareness of deliberation  $^{837}$  ( $sampradh\bar{a}rana-samj\tilde{n}a$ ) are (bhavanti) [provided] with minds (samanaska).

### [2.25.2] sarve nārakadevā garbhavyutkrāntayaś ca manuṣyās tiryagyonijāś ca kecit ||

[I.e.], all (*sarva*) hellish beings and gods (*nāraka-deva*), and (*ca*) [beings] born from the womb (*garbhavyutkrānti*), [and] human beings (*manuṣya*), and (*ca*) some<sup>838</sup> (*kecid*) [beings] born from the womb of animals (*tiryagyoni-ja*).

# [2.25.3] īhā <sup>839</sup> pohayuktā guṇadoṣavicāraṇātmikā saṃpradhāraṇasaṃjñā | [2.25.4] tāṃ prati saṃjñino vivakṣitāḥ |

The awareness of deliberation ( $sampradh\bar{a}rana-samjn\bar{a}$ ), the nature of which [is] the distinction [between] merits and defects <sup>840</sup> ( $guna-dosa-vic\bar{a}ran\bar{a}-\bar{a}tmik\bar{a}$ ), [is] connected with desire and exclusion ( $\bar{i}h\bar{a}-apoha-yukt\bar{a}$ ). [Souls are] said (vivaksita) [to be] 'conscious' (see TA 2.15) (samjnin) with respect to (prati) this (i.e.,  $sampradh\bar{a}ranasamjn\bar{a}$ ) (tad).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>837</sup> I.e., with moral consciousness (see TABh 2.25.3). According to Sanghvi, the term 'saṃpradhāraṇasaṃjñā' refers to 'reflection over the merits and demerits of things' (Sanghvi 1974: 95-96).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>838</sup> The Sanskrit is ambiguous; it is not clear whether *kecid* should be connected with both *manuṣya* and *tiryagyonija* or only with the latter. Siddhasenagaṇi connects *kecid* with *tiryagyonija* only.

<sup>839</sup> Mody reads *īhopoha-*. Kapadia has the correct reading '*īhā-*'.

<sup>840</sup> See also TABh 1.15.8.

# [2.25.5] anyathā hy āhārabhayamaithunaparigrahasaṃjñābhiḥ sarva eva jīvāḥ saṃjñina iti ||

For (hi), otherwise  $(anyath\bar{a})$ , all (sarva) souls  $(j\bar{\imath}va)$  [would be] indeed (eva) conscious  $(samj\tilde{n}in)$ , by the awareness of taking food, fear, sexual intercourse [and] possession  $(\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra-bhaya-maithuna-parigraha-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a})$ .

### Tattvārthādhigama Chapter V

### [5.0.1] uktā jīvāḥ | [5.0.2] ajīvān vakṣyāmaḥ ||

Souls ( $j\bar{i}va$ ) have been discussed (ukta). [Now] we will explain ( $vak\underline{s}y\bar{a}ma\dot{h}$ ) non-souls (i.e., inanimate entities) ( $aj\bar{i}va$ ).<sup>841</sup>

### ajīvakāyā dharmādharmākāśapudgalāḥ ||5.1||

- 5.1 The inanimate entities<sup>842</sup> (*ajīva-kāya*) [are]:
  - i. motion (dharma)
  - ii. rest (adharma)
  - iii. space (ākāśa) [and]
  - iv. material elements<sup>843</sup> (pudgala).

## [5.1.1] dharmāstikāyo 'dharmāstikāya ākāśāstikāyāḥ pudgalāstikāya ity ajīvakāyāḥ | [5.1.2] tān lakṣaṇataḥ parastād vakṣyāmaḥ |

We will explain (vakṣyāmaḥ) them (tad) below (see TABh 5.16.11) (parastāt) based on [their] characteristic[s] (lakṣaṇa). The category of motion (dharma-astikāya), the category of rest (adharma-astikāya), the category of space  $(\bar{a}k\bar{a}śa-astik\bar{a}ya)$  and the category of material elements  $(pudgala-astik\bar{a}ya)$  — [these are] (iti) the inanimate entities  $(aj\bar{i}vak\bar{a}ya)$ .

### [5.1.3] kāyagrahaṇaṃ pradeśāvayavabahutvārtham addhāsamayapratiṣedhārthaṃ ca ||

The expression 'entity' ( $k\bar{a}ya$ -grahana) [denotes] the plurality of space-points and parts (pradeśa-avayava<sup>844</sup>-bahutva-artha) and (ca) the exclusion of 'real-time' ( $addh\bar{a}$ -samaya-pratiṣedha-artha).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>841</sup> For a discussion of the different substances in the TA, see § 3.4, *The substances*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>842</sup> I translate ' $k\bar{a}ya$ ' as 'entity'. The primary meaning 'body' does not fit in this context, since the list of entities ( $k\bar{a}ya$ ) also includes space, motion, and rest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>843</sup> The word 'pudgala' consistently appears in plural in the TA. Therefore, I translate 'material elements'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>844</sup> The word 'avayava' is also used in Vaiśeṣika philosophy, which postulates '[a] "whole" (avayavin) as an entity over and above its constituent parts (avayava)' (Halbfass 1992: 94).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>845</sup> Jacobi translates the term 'addhāsamaya' as 'real-time' (*Uttarādhyayana* 35: 5-6). He explains: 'It has no divisions or parts as the other things, because of time only the present

#### dravyāṇi jīvāś ca ||5.2||

5.2 [These inanimate entities] together with (ca) the souls  $(j\bar{\imath}va)$  are the substances (dravya).

#### [5.2.1] ete dharmādayaś catvāro prāṇinaś ca pañca dravyāni ca bhavantīti |

And (ca) these (etad) four [entities] (catur), beginning with motion  $(dharma-\bar{a}di)$ , together with (ca) the living [entities]  $(pr\bar{a}nin)$  are (bhavanti) the five (panca) substances (dravya) (iti).

### [5.2.2] uktam hi matiśrutayor nibandho dravyeşv asarvaparyāyeşu sarvadravyaparyāyeşu kevalasyeti ||

Indeed (hi), it has been said (see TA 1.27, 1.30) (ukta) [that] 'the binding (i.e., the range) (nibandha) of ordinary cognition (mati) and testimony ( $\acute{s}ruta$ ) [extends to all] substances (dravya)<sup>846</sup> [but] not in all modes ( $asarva-pary\bar{a}ya$ )' and '[the domain of] absolute knowledge (kevala) [extends to] all modes of all substances ( $sarva-dravya-pary\bar{a}ya$ )'.

### nityāvasthitāny arūpāņi ||5.3||847

5.3 [These substances] are eternal (nitya), fixed<sup>848</sup> (avasthita) [and] formless ( $ar\bar{u}pa$ ).

moment is existent. And a moment cannot be divided' (Jacobi 1885: 208, n1). Time is not included in the list of 'entities' ( $k\bar{a}ya$ ) or 'substances' (dravya) in TA 5.1, even though TA 5.38 mentions that some people regard time as a substance.

- I. 'The formless [substances] [are] eternal and fixed.'
- II. '[The five substances are] eternal and fixed. [There are] formless [substances].' (By contrast, the material elements do have form. See TA 5.4).

However, both alternatives are contradicted by TABh 5.3.5.

<sup>846</sup> TA 1.27 reads 'sarvadravyeşu'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>847</sup> Siddhasenagaṇi discusses several interpretations of this  $s\bar{u}tra$  and mentions the variant reading ' $r\bar{u}p\bar{i}ni$ ', which is also given in Mody. Kapadia adds 'ca' after  $ar\bar{u}p\bar{a}ni$ . It is unclear to me why the  $s\bar{u}tra$  presents 'nitya' and 'avasthita' in compound, unlike ' $ar\bar{u}pa$ '. The syntax is somewhat odd if the author is trying to express that the five dravyas have these three qualities, as the  $bh\bar{a}sya$  suggests. In fact, there are two other possibilities to translate this  $s\bar{u}tra$ :

<sup>848</sup> I.e., their number is fixed (Jacobi 1906: 512). See also 5.3.4.

### [5.3.1] etāni dravyāṇi nityāni bhavanti | [5.3.2] tadbhāvāvyayaṃ nityam iti vakṣyate ||

These (*etad*) substances (*dravya*) are (*bhavanti*) eternal (*nitya*). It will be said (see TA 5.30) (*vakṣyate*) [that] '[that] whose state is not changing (*tad-bhāva-avyaya*), [is] eternal (*nitya*)' (*iti*).

### [5.3.3] avasthitāni ca | [5.3.4] na hi kadācit pañcatvaṃ bhūtārthatvaṃ ca vyabhicaranti ||

And (ca) [these substances are] fixed (avasthita). For (hi), [they] never (na ... kadācit) transgress (vyabhicaranti) the quality of being five (pañcatva) and (ca) the quality of being real (bhūtārthatva).

## [5.3.5] arūpāṇi ca | [5.3.6] naiṣāṃ rūpam astīti | [5.3.7] rūpaṃ mūrtir mūrtyāśrayāś ca sparśādaya iti ||

And (ca) [these substances are] formless  $(ar\bar{u}pa)$ .<sup>849</sup> [There] is (asti) no (na) form  $(r\bar{u}pa)$  for them (idam). 'Form'  $(r\bar{u}pa)$  [is] 'embodiment'  $(m\bar{u}rti)$ , and (ca) [the objects of the senses (see TA 2.21)] beginning with touch  $(sparśa-\bar{a}di)$  [are] dependent on embodiment  $(m\bar{u}rti-\bar{a}śraya)$  (iti).

### rūpiņaḥ pudgalāḥ ||5.4||

5.4 [However], material elements (pudgala) [are] having form ( $r\bar{u}pin$ ).

# [5.4.1] pudgalā eva rūpiņo bhavanti | [5.4.2] rūpam eṣām asty eṣu vāstīti rūpiṇaḥ ||

Only  $(eva)^{850}$  material elements (pudgala) are (bhavanti) having form  $(r\bar{u}pin)$ . [There] is (asti) form  $(r\bar{u}pa)$  for them (idam), or  $(v\bar{a})$ , [there] is (asti) [form] in the case of them (idam) — [that is the meaning of] (iti) 'having form'  $(r\bar{u}pin)$ .

#### ākāśād ekadravyāṇi ||5.5||

5.5 [The substances] up to space (i.e., motion, rest and space)  $(\bar{a}$ - $\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a)^{851}$  [are] unique substances (eka-dravya).

<sup>849</sup> Alternatively, 'And there are formless [substances].' See the footnote on TA 5.3.

<sup>850</sup> Alternatively, 'material elements are **indeed** (eva) having form'.

<sup>851</sup> The bhāṣya explains 'ākāśād' as 'ā ākāśād'.

### [5.5.1] ā ākāśād dharmādīny ekadravyāṇy eva bhavanti | [5.5.2] pudgalajīvās tv anekadravyāṇīti ||

[The substances] up to space  $(\bar{a} \ \bar{a}k\bar{a}\dot{s}a)$ , beginning with motion  $(dharma-\bar{a}di)$  are (bhavanti) indeed (eva) unique substances (ekadravya). However (tu), material elements [and] souls  $(pudgala-j\bar{i}va)$  [are] non-unique substances (aneka-dravya).

#### nișkriyāṇi ca ||5.6||

5.6 And [they] (i.e., motion, rest, and space) (ca) [are] inactive (niskriya).

### [5.6.1] ā ākāśād eva dharmādīni niṣkriyāṇi bhavanti | [5.6.2] pudgalajīvās tu kriyāvantaḥ | [5.6.3] kriyeti gatikarmāha |

[The substances] up to space  $(\bar{a} \ \bar{a}k\bar{a}\dot{s}a)$ , beginning with motion  $(dharma-\bar{a}di)$  are (bhavanti) indeed (eva) inactive  $(ni\dot{s}kriya)$ . However (tu), material elements [and] souls  $(pudgala-j\bar{i}va)$  [are] active  $(kriy\bar{a}vat)$ . It has been said  $(\bar{a}ha)^{852}$  [that] 'action'  $(kriy\bar{a})$  (iti) [is] 'the action of going'<sup>853</sup> (gati-karman).

# [5.6.4] atrāha | [5.6.5] uktaṃ bhavatā pradeśāvayavabahutvaṃ kāyasaṃjñam iti | [5.6.6] tasmāt ka eṣāṃ dharmādīnāṃ pradeśāvayavaniyama iti |

At this point (*atra*) one says (*āha*): [It] has been said (*ukta*) by you (see TABh 5.1.3) (*bhavat*) [that] the term 'entity' (*kāya-saṃjña*) [denotes] 'the plurality of space-points and parts' (*pradeśa-avayava-bahutva*) (*iti*). Therefore (*tasmāt*), what (*kim*) [is] the limitation (i.e., number) of space-points and parts (*pradeśa-avayava-niyama*) for these (*idam*) [substances] beginning with motion (*dharma-ādi*)?

## [5.6.7] atrocyate | [5.6.8] sarveṣāṃ pradeśāḥ santy anyatra paramāṇoḥ | [5.6.9] avayavās tu skandhānām eva |

At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): For all [substances] (sarva) there are (santi) space-points (pradeśa), except for an infinitesimal particle (parama-aṇu). However (tu), [there are] parts<sup>854</sup> (avayava) for the aggregates (skandha) only (eva).

 $<sup>^{852}</sup>$  Siddhasenagaṇi comments that ' $\bar{a}ha$ ' refers to the author of the  $s\bar{u}tra$ . However, I have not been able to identify the source of this reference.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>853</sup> Alternatively, 'the karman of transmigration'. Siddhasenagaṇi explains that the author of the  $bh\bar{a}sya$  uses an alternative root, i.e., 'gam- $dh\bar{a}tu$ ' instead of 'kr- $dh\bar{a}tu$ '.

<sup>854</sup> See TABh 5.1.3.

### [5.6.10] vakṣyate hy aṇavaḥ skandhāś ca saṃghātabhedebhya utpadyante iti || [5.6.11] tatra

For (hi), it will be said (see TA 5.25, TA 6.26) (vakṣyate) [that] '[material elements exist as] atoms (aṇ u) and aggregates (skandha)' [and that] '[they] result (utpad) from combination (saṃghāta) [and] disintegration (bheda)' (iti). Among them (i.e., the substances) (tatra):

#### asankhyeyāh pradeśā dharmādharmayoh ||5.7||

5.7 [There are] innumerable (asaṅkhyeya) space-points (pradeśa) for motion [and] rest (dharma-adharma).

### [5.7.1] pradeśo nāmāpekṣikaḥ sarvasūkṣmas tu paramāṇor avagāha iti ||

[That which is] called  $(n\bar{a}ma)$  'space-point' (pradeśa) [is] relative<sup>855</sup>  $(\bar{a}pekṣika)$ . However (tu), the abidance<sup>856</sup>  $(avag\bar{a}ha)$  of the infinitesimal particle (parama-aṇu) [is] most subtle  $(sarva-s\bar{u}ksma)$ .<sup>857</sup>

#### jīvasya ca ||5.8||

5.8 Likewise (see TA 5.7) (ca), [there are innumerable space-points] for the soul ( $j\bar{i}va$ ).

### [5.8.1] ekajīvasya cāsaṅkhyeyāḥ pradeśā bhavantīti ||

Likewise (ca), [there] are (bhavanti) innumerable (asankhyeya) space-points (pradeśa) for an individual soul ( $eka-j\bar{\imath}va$ ).

#### ākāśasyānantāh ||5.9||

5.9 There are infinitely many [space-points] (ananta) for space ( $\bar{a}k\bar{a}\hat{s}a$ ).

# [5.9.1] lokālokākāśasyānantāḥ pradeśāḥ | [5.9.2] lokākāśasya tu dharmādharmaikajīvais tulyāh ||

The worldly realm and that which is beyond the world ( $loka-aloka-\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ ) have infinitely many (ananta) space-points ( $prade\acute{s}a$ ). However, [the space-points] of the

<sup>855</sup> TABh 5.24.5 distinguishes relative (āpekṣika) and ultimate (antya) subtlety of matter.

<sup>856</sup> For an explanation of the term 'abidance' (avagāha), see TA 5.12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>857</sup> For a discussion of the size of space-points (*pradeśa*) and the infinitesimal particle (*paramānu*), see § 3.4, *Space and space-points*.

worldly realm ( $loka-\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ ) [are] equal to (tulya) [the space-points occupied by] motion, rest, and souls ( $dharma-adharma-j\bar{i}va$ ).858

### sankhyeyāsankhyeyāś ca pudgalānām ||5.10||

5.10 [There are infinitely many] 859 and (*ca*) numerable (*saṅkhyeya*) [and] innumerable (*asaṅkhyeya*) [space-points] for material elements (*pudgala*).

### [5.10.1] saṅkhyeyā asaṅkhyeyā anantāś ca pudgalānāṃ pradeśā bhavanti | [5.10.2] anantā iti vartate ||

There are (*bhavanti*) numerable (*saṅkhyeya*), innumerable (*asaṅkhyeya*) and (*ca*) infinitely many (*ananta*) space-points (*pradeśa*) for material elements (*pudgala*). [The expression] 'infinitely many' (*ananta iti*) is present (i.e., is carried over from TA 5.9) (*vartate*).860

### nāṇoḥ ||5.11||

5.11 [There are] no [space-points] for an atom (anu).

## [5.11.1] aṇoḥ pradeśā na bhavanti | [5.11.2] anādir amadhyo 'pradeśo hi paramāṇuḥ ||

There are (*bhavanti*) no (*na*) space-points (*pradeśa*) for an atom (*aṇu*). For (*hi*), the infinitesimal particle (*paramāṇu*) [is] without beginning (*anādi*), without centre (*amadhya*), [and] without space-point (*apradeśa*).  $^{861}$ 

#### lokākāśe 'vagāhaḥ ||5.12||

5.12 [There is] abidance  $(avag\bar{a}ha)^{862}$  in the worldly realm  $(loka-\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a)$ .

### [5.12.1] avagāhinām avagāho lokākāśe bhavati ||

The abidance ( $avag\bar{a}ha$ ) of [those entities that are] abiding ( $avag\bar{a}hin$ ) is (bhavati) in the worldly realm ( $lok\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ ).

<sup>860</sup> For an explanation of the meaning of 'vartate', see Tubb & Boose 2007: 165-166.

 $<sup>^{858}</sup>$  In other words, the space-points in loka are innumerable but not infinitely many. The space-points in aloka are infinitely many.

<sup>859</sup> See TABh 5.10.2.

<sup>861</sup> See also TABh 5.14.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>862</sup> The prime meaning of the word 'avagāha' is 'plunging' or 'bathing' (MW). In this passage, the term refers to the act of occurring or existing in a specific part of the cosmos.

### dharmādharmayoḥ kṛtsne ||5.13||

5.13 [There is abidance of] motion [and] rest (*dharma-adharma*) in the entire (*krtsna*) [worldly realm].

#### [5.13.1] dharmādharmayoḥ kṛtsne lokākāśe 'vagāho bhavatīti ||

There is (*bhavati*) abidance (*avagāha*) of motion [and] rest (*dharma-adharma*) in the entire (*kṛtsna*) [worldly realm].

#### ekapradeśādiṣu bhājyaḥ pudgalānām ||5.14||

5.14 [There is] distribution ( $bh\bar{a}jya$ ) of material elements (pudgala) in one space-point etc. (eka-pradeśa- $\bar{a}di$ ).

### [5.14.1] apradeśasańkhyeyāsańkhyeyānantapradeśānām pudgalānām ekādişv ākāśapradeśeşu bhājya 'vagāhaḥ |

Abidance (avagāha) [is] distribution (bhājya) of material elements (pudgala) — [which are] without space-points, with numerable, innumerable, and infinitely many space-points (apradeśa-sańkhyeya-asańkhyeya-ananta-pradeśa) — in [a number of] units of space<sup>863</sup> (ākāśa-pradeśa), beginning with one (eka-ādi).<sup>864</sup>

### [5.14.2] bhājyo vibhājyo vikalpa ity anarthāntaram |

'Distribution' (*bhājya*), 'to be divided' (*vibhājya*), 'arranged' (*vikalpa*) (*iti*) — [these are] not different (i.e., they are synonyms) (*anarthāntara*).<sup>865</sup>

# [5.14.3] tadyathā | [5.14.4] paramāṇor ekasminn eva pradeśe | [5.14.5] dvyaṇukasyaikasmin dvayoś ca | [5.14.6] tryaṇukasyaikasmin dvayos triṣu ca | Namely (tadyathā):

- i. [the abidance] of the infinitesimal particle (paramāṇu) [is] only (eva) in one (eka) space-point (pradeśa);
- ii. [the abidance] of [an aggregate of] two atoms (*dvi-aṇuka*) [is] in one (*eka*) and (*ca*) two (*dvi*) [space-points];

287

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>863</sup> The general meaning of '*pradeśa*' in this chapter of the TA is 'space-points'. However, it seems that the author of the *bhāṣya* tries to explain this notion by describing space-points as '*ākāśa-pradeśa*', which I translate in this passage as 'units of space'.

 $<sup>^{864}</sup>$  In other words, material elements occupy one or more space-points (see TABh 5.15.4 – 5.14.6).

<sup>865</sup> Kapadia reads 'bhājyo vibhāsyo vikalpya'.

iii. [the abidance] of [an aggregate of] three atoms (*tri-aṇuka*) [is] in one (*eka*), two (*dvi*), and (*ca*) three (*tri*) [space-points].

### [5.14.7] evam caturaņukādīnām saṅkhyeyāsaṅkhyeyapradeśasyaikādiṣu saṅkhyeyeṣu asaṅkhyeyeṣu ca | [5.14.8] anantapradeśasya ca ||

Likewise (evam), [the abidance] of

- i. [an aggregate of] four atoms etc. (catur-anuka-ādi)
- ii. [an aggregate] whose space-point[s] 866 (pradeśa) [are] numerable (saṅkhyeya)
- iii. [and an aggregate whose space-points are] innumerable (asańkhyeya)[is respectively] in
  - i. one [space-point] etc. (eka-ādi),
  - ii. numerable (sankhyeya), and (ca)
  - iii. innumerable (asankhyeya) [space-points].

And (*ca*) [the same applies] to [an aggregate] whose space-points [are] infinitely many (*ananta-pradeśa*).

### asańkhyeyabhāgādişu jīvānām ||5.15||

5.15 [The abidance] of souls (*jīva*) [is] in innumerable parts etc. (*asaṅkhyeya-bhāga-ādi*).

# [5.15.1] lokākāśapradeśānām asaṅkhyeyabhāgādiṣu jīvānām avagāho bhavati | [5.15.2] ā sarvalokād iti ||

There is (bhavati) abidance  $(avag\bar{a}ha)$  of souls  $(j\bar{i}va)$  in innumerable parts<sup>867</sup> etc.  $(asamkhyeya-bh\bar{a}ga-\bar{a}di)$  of the space-points in the worldly realm  $(loka-\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a-prade\acute{s}a)$ , up to the whole world  $(\bar{a}sarva-loka)$ .

### [5.15.3] atrāha | [5.15.4] ko hetur asankhyeyabhāgādişu jīvānām avagāho bhavatīti | [5.15.5] atrocyate ||

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): What (kim) is (bhavati) the cause (hetu) [of the fact that] 'there is (bhavati) abidance ( $avag\bar{a}ha$ ) of souls ( $j\bar{i}va$ ) in innumerable parts etc. ( $asa\dot{n}khyeya-bh\bar{a}ga-\bar{a}di$ )<sup>868</sup> (iti)'? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>866</sup> It is unclear to me why the word '-pradeśasya' appears in a singular rather than plural form

<sup>867</sup> Siddhasenagani analyses the compound as a karmadhāraya.

<sup>868</sup> See TABh 5.15.1.

#### pradeśasamhāravisargābhyām pradīpavat ||5.16||

5.16 [It is caused] by contraction ( $samh\bar{a}ra$ ) [and] expansion (visarga) [of] space-points ( $prade \hat{s}a$ ), like a light<sup>869</sup> ( $prad\bar{v}a$ ).

### [5.16.1] jīvasya hi pradeśānāṃ saṃhāravisargāv iṣṭau pradīpasyeva |

For, contraction  $(saṃh\bar{a}ra)$  [and] expansion (visarga) of the space-points (pradeśa) of the soul  $(j\bar{v}a)$  [are] desired (iṣṭa), like (iva) [the contraction and expansion] of a light  $(prad\bar{v}pa)$ .

[5.16.2] tadyathā | [5.16.3] tailavartyagnyupādānapravṛddhaḥ pradīpo mahatīm api kūṭāgāraśālāṃ prakāśayaty aṇvīm api māṇikāvṛtaḥ māṇikāṃ droṇāvṛto droṇam āḍhakāvṛtaścāḍhakaṃ prasthāvṛtaḥ prasthaṃ pāṇyāvṛto pāṇim iti |

Namely ( $tadyath\bar{a}$ ), a light ( $prad\bar{i}pa$ ) [whose] increase [is] dependent on fuel, a wick and fire (taila- $vart\bar{i}$ -agni- $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$ -pravrddha), illuminates ( $prak\bar{a}\acute{s}ayati$ ) also (api) a big (mahat) room [of] a house [up to] the top ( $k\bar{u}\dot{t}a$ - $ag\bar{a}ra^{870}$ - $ś\bar{a}la$ ), as well as (api) a small [room] ( $anv\bar{i}$ ). [To illustrate],

- · [when there is] a  $m\bar{a}nika^{871}$  [of fuel], [the light is] limited by a  $m\bar{a}nika$  ( $m\bar{a}nika-\bar{a}vrta$ );
- [when there is] a droṇa<sup>872</sup> [of fuel], [the light is] limited by a droṇa (droṇa-āvṛta);
- and (ca) [when there is] an  $\bar{a}dhaka^{873}$  [of fuel], [the light is] limited by an  $\bar{a}dhaka$  ( $\bar{a}dhaka$ - $\bar{a}vrta$ );
- · [when there is] a *prastha*<sup>874</sup> [of fuel], [the light is] limited by a *prastha* (*prastha-āvṛta*);
- · [when there is] a hand [of fuel]  $(p\bar{a}ni)$  [the light is] limited by a hand  $(p\bar{a}ni-\bar{a}vrta)$  (iti).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>869</sup> I.e., like the reach of a light, which adapts to the size of the space in which the light is placed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>870</sup> Or: **ā**gāra.

<sup>871</sup> A particular weight.

<sup>872</sup> Idem.

<sup>873</sup> Idem.

<sup>874</sup> Idem.

# [5.16.4] evam eva pradeśānāṃ saṃhāravisargābhyāṃ jīvo mahāntam aṇuṃ vā pañcavidhaṃ śarīraskandhaṃ dharmādharmākāśapudgalajīvapradeśa-samudāyaṃ vyāpnotīty avagāhata ity arthaḥ |

Exactly so (*evam eva*), the soul (*jīva*) pervades (*vyāpnoti*)

- a big ( $mah\bar{a}nta$ ) or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) small [space] (anu),
- the fivefold (pañcavidha) types of the body<sup>875</sup> (śarīra-skandha),
- · [or] the totality of space-points of motion, rest, space, material elements, and souls (dharma-adharma-ākāśa-pudgala-jīva-pradeśa-samudāya)

by contraction [and] expansion (*saṃhāravisarga*) [of] space-points (*pradeśa*); 'it abides' (*avagāhate*) — [that is] the meaning (i.e., of *vyāpnoti*) (*ity artha*).

## [5.16.5] dharmādharmākāśajīvānām paraspareņa pudgaleşu ca vṛttir na virudhyate 'mūrtatvāt ||

The activity (vrtti) of motion, rest, space, and souls ( $dharma-adharma-\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a-pudgala-j\bar{v}a$ ) and (ca) [the activity] in the case of  $e^{876}$  the material elements (pudgala) is not (na) mutually (paraspara) obstructed (virudhyate), on account of the absence of form ( $am\bar{u}rtatva$ ).

# [5.16.6] atrāha | [5.16.7] sati pradeśasaṃhāravisargasaṃbhave kasmād asaṅkhyeyabhāgādiṣu jīvānām avagāho bhavati naikapradeśādiṣv iti | [5.16.8] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says (āha): If it is (sat) caused<sup>877</sup> by contraction [and] expansion [of] space-points (pradeśa-saṃhāra-visarga-saṃbhava), why (kasmāt) is [there] (bhavati) abidance (avagāha) of souls (jīva) in innumerable parts etc.<sup>878</sup> (asaṃkhyeya-bhāga-ādi) [but] not (na) in a single space-point etc. (eka-pradeśa-ādi) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>875</sup> TA 2.37 lists the five types of body as follows: '[The varieties of] body [are]: the gross [body] (audārika), [the body that is] subject to change (vaikriya), the conveyance [body] (āhāraka), the fiery [body], (taijasa) [and] the karmic [body] (kārmaṇa)' (audārikavaikriyāhārakataijasakārmaṇāni śarīrāṇi).

<sup>876</sup> My interpretation of this sentence is based on Siddhasenagaṇi's analysis of the syntax.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>877</sup> See TABh 5.15.4 (*ko hetur*) and TA 5.16.

<sup>878</sup> See TABh 5.15.2.

### [5.16.9] sayogatvāt saṃsāriṇām caramaśarīratribhāgahīnāvagāhitvāc ca siddhānām iti |

Due to the quality of being possessed with  $yoga^{879}$  (sayogatva) of worldly souls ( $saṃs\bar{a}rin$ ) and (ca) due to abidance [of] the final body, [which is] free from the three parts<sup>880</sup> ( $carama-\acute{s}ar\bar{i}ra-tri-bh\bar{a}ga-h\bar{i}na-avag\bar{a}hitva$ ), of the perfected beings (siddha).<sup>881</sup>

[5.16.10] atrāha | [5.16.11] uktaṃ bhavatā dharmādīn astikāyān parastāl lakṣaṇato vakṣyāma iti (5.1) | [5.16.12] tat kim eṣāṃ lakṣaṇam iti | [5.16.13] atrocyate

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ) — [The following] has been said (ukta) by you (see TABh 5.1.1 - 5.1.2) (bhavat): 'We will explain ( $vakṣy\bar{a}maḥ$ ) the categories ( $astik\bar{a}ya$ ) beginning with motion ( $dharma-\bar{a}di$ ) below ( $parast\bar{a}t$ ), based on [their] characteristic[s] (lakṣaṇa)'. Now (tad), what (kim) [are] the characteristic[s] (lakṣaṇa) of them (idam) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (atra):

#### gatisthityupagraho dharmādharmayor upakāraḥ ||5.17||

5.17 The function (*upakāra*) of motion and rest (*dharma-adharma*) [is] the support (*upagraha*) [of] movement (*gati*) and inertia<sup>882</sup> (*sthiti*).

# [5.17.1] gatimatāṃ gateḥ sthitimatāṃ ca sthiter upagraho dharmādharmayor upakāro yathāsaṅkhyam |

The support (*upagraha*) of movement (*gati*) of [those entities] having movement (*gatimat*, gen.pl.) and (*ca*) [the support] of inertia (*sthiti*) of [those entities] having inertia (*sthitimat*), [that is] the function (*upakāra*) of motion and rest (*dharma-adharma*) respectively (*yathā-saṅkhyam*).

#### [5.17.2] upagraho nimittam apeksā kāranam hetur ity anarthāntaram |

'Support' (*upagraha*), 'condition' (*nimitta*), 'requirement' (*apekṣā*), 'ground' (*kāraṇa*), 'reason' (*hetu*) (*iti*) — [these are] not different (i.e., they are synonyms) (*anarthāntara*).

<sup>879</sup> See also TABh 1.7.35.

<sup>880</sup> My analysis of the compound follows Siddhasenagani's interpretation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>881</sup> It is not entirely clear to me how this passage answers the question that is raised in the previous sentence (TABh 5.16.7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>882</sup> In TA 1.7 'sthiti' has been translated as 'duration'. However, in this passage 'sthiti' refers to the opposite of movement.

### [5.17.3] upakāraḥ prayojanaṃ guṇo 'rtha ity anarthāntaram ||

'Function' (*upakāra*), 'purpose' (*prayojana*), 'quality' (*guṇa*), 'use' (*artha*) (*iti*) — [these are] not different (i.e., they are synonyms) (*anarthāntara*).

### ākāśasyāvagāhaḥ ||5.18||

5.18 [The function,  $upak\bar{a}ra$ ] of space ( $\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ ) [is] abidance<sup>883</sup> ( $avag\bar{a}ha$ ).

### [5.18.1] avagāhinām dharmādharmapudgalajīvānām avagāha ākāśasyopakāraḥ | [5.18.2] dharmādharmayor antaḥpraveśasaṃbhavena pudgalajīvānām saṃyogavibhāgaiś ceti ||

The function ( $upak\bar{a}ra$ ) of space ( $\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ ) [is] abidance ( $avag\bar{a}ha$ ) of motion, rest, material elements, and souls ( $dharma-adharma-pudgala-j\bar{\imath}va$ ). [It is] made possible by permeation<sup>884</sup> ( $antahprave\acute{s}a-sambhava$ ) of motion and rest (dharma-adharma) and (ca) by the varieties of connection<sup>885</sup> ( $samyoga-vibh\bar{a}ga$ ) of material elements [and] souls ( $pudgala-j\bar{\imath}va$ ).

#### śarīravānmanahprānāpānāh pudgalānām ||5.19||

5.19 [The function] of material elements (*pudgala*) [is] body, speech, mind, inhalation, [and] exhalation (*śarīra-vāc-manas-prāṇa-apāna*) [...]<sup>886</sup>

# [5.19.1] pañcavidhāni śarīrāṇy audārikādīni vāṅ manaḥ prāṇāpānāv iti pudgalānām upakāraḥ |

The function ( $upak\bar{a}ra$ ) of material elements (pudgala) [is]: the fivefold ( $pa\tilde{n}cavidha$ ) [varieties] of bodies ( $sar\bar{i}ra$ ), beginning with the gross [body]<sup>887</sup> ( $aud\bar{a}rika-\bar{a}di$ ), speech ( $v\bar{a}c$ ), mind (manas), inhalation, [and] exhalation ( $pr\bar{a}na-ap\bar{a}na$ ).

#### [5.19.2] tatra śarīrāni yathoktāni |

Among them (*tatra*), the [varieties of] bodies (*śarīra*) [are] as it is said (see TA 2.37) (*yathokta*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>883</sup> See also TA 5.12.

<sup>884</sup> Böhtlink translates 'antaḥpraveśa' as 'das Hinenschlüpfen' (Böhtlingk 1855).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>885</sup> See also TABh 1.7.10.

<sup>886</sup> The list continues in TA 5.20.

<sup>887</sup> See TA 2.37.

### [5.19.3] prāṇāpānau ca nāmakarmaṇi vyākhyātau |

And (ca) inhalation [and] exhalation  $(pr\bar{a}na-ap\bar{a}na)$  are explained (TA 8.12) 888  $(vy\bar{a}khy\bar{a}ta)$  in [the discussion of] body-determining karman  $(n\bar{a}ma-karman)$ .

### [5.19.4] dvīndriyādayo jihvendriyayogād<sup>889</sup> bhāṣātvena gṛḥṇanti nānye |

[Beings with] two senses etc. (*dvi-indriya-ādi*) understand (*gṛḥṇanti*) by the quality of being [provided with] language (*bhāṣātva*), because [they are] provided with speech [and] mind (*jihvā-indriya-yoga*), not (*na*) others (i.e., not one-sensed beings) (*anya*).

#### [5.19.5] samjñinaś ca manastvena grhnanti nānye iti |

And (ca) conscious [beings] (see TA 2.25)  $(samj\tilde{n}in)$  understand (grhnanti) by the quality of being [provided with] a mind (manastva), not (na) others<sup>890</sup> (anya).

### [5.19.6] vakşyate hi sakaşāyatvāj jīvaḥ karmaņo yogyān pudgalān ādatta iti || kiṃ cānyat

Indeed (hi), it will be said (see TA 8.2) (vak syate) [that] 'due to the quality of being with passions ( $sak a s \bar{a} y a t va$ ) the soul ( $j \bar{i} va$ ) attracts ( $\bar{a} d a t t e$ ) material elements (pud g a l a) appropriate to (vog y a) karmic activity (var t a t e). Further (var t a t e):

#### sukhaduḥkhajīvitamaraṇopagrahāś ca ||5.20||

5.20 [...] and the support<sup>891</sup> (*upagraha*) [of] pleasure (*sukha*), pain (duhhha), life (jivita), [and] death (marana).

## [5.20.1] sukhopagraho duḥkhopagraho jīvitopagraho maraṇopagrahaś ceti pudgalānām upakāraḥ |

The function  $(upak\bar{a}ra)$  of material elements (pudgala) [is] the support of pleasure (sukha-upagraha), the support of pain (duhkha-upagraha), the support of life  $(j\bar{i}vita-upagraha)$ , and (ca) the support of death (marana-upagraha) (iti).

[5.20.2] tadyathā | [5.20.3] iṣṭāḥ sparśarasagandhavarṇaśabdāḥ sukhasyopakāraḥ | [5.20.4] aniṣṭā dukhasya |

<sup>890</sup> I.e., not the beings without a mind (amanaska) (see TA 2.11 and TA 2.25).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>888</sup> TA 8.12 lists 42 varieties of body-determining *karman*. One of these varieties is 'breath' (*ucchvāsa*).

<sup>889</sup> Kapadia reads 'saṃyogād'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>891</sup> Lit. 'supports' (pl.), i.e., the support of pleasure, the support of pain, etc.

Namely (tad- $yath\bar{a}$ ), [objects of] touch, tastes, smells, colours, and sounds<sup>892</sup> (sparsa-rasa-gandha-varna-sabda) [that are] desirable (ista) — [their] function ( $upak\bar{a}ra$ ) [is the support] of pleasure (sukha). [The function of the objects of the senses that are] undesirable (anista) [is the support] of pain (dukha).

# [5.20.5] snānācchādanānulepanabhojanādīni vidhiprayuktāni jīvitasyānapavartanam cāyuṣkasya | [5.20.6] viṣaśastrāgnyādīni maraṇasyāpavartanam cāyuṣkasya ||

[Actions] performed according to rule (vidhi-prayukta), beginning with bathing, clothing, anointing, and eating ( $sn\bar{a}na$ - $\bar{a}cch\bar{a}dana$ -anulepana-bhojana- $\bar{a}di$ ) – [their function is] sustenance<sup>893</sup> (an-apavartana) of life ( $j\bar{i}vita$ ) and (ca) of life span determining  $karman^{894}$  ( $\bar{a}yu\bar{s}ka$ ). [Objects beginning with] poison, weapons, [and] fire ( $vi\bar{s}a$ - $\bar{s}astra$ -agni) – [their function is] death (marana) and (ca) the removal (apavartana) of life span determining karman ( $\bar{a}yu\bar{s}ka$ ).

### [5.20.7] atrāha | [5.20.8] upapannam tāvad etat sopakramāṇām apavartanīyāyuṣām | [5.20.9] athānapavartyāyuṣām katham iti |

At this point (atra) one says (āha): First of all (tāvat), this (etad) [is] appropriate (upapanna) for [those whose] lives [can] be shortened (apavartanīya-āyus), [who are provided] with life span reducing factors<sup>895</sup> (sa-upakrama). Now (atha), how [is this] (kim) for [those whose] lives cannot be shortened<sup>896</sup> (anapavartya-āyus) (iti)? [5.20.10] atrocyate | [5.20.11] teṣām api jīvitamaraṇopagrahaḥ pudgalānām upakāraḥ | [5.20.12] katham iti cet tad ucyate | [5.20.13] karmaṇaḥ sthitikṣayābhyām | [5.20.14] karma hi paudgalam iti |

At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): The function (upakāra) of these (tad) material elements (pudgala) [is] also (api) the support of life and death<sup>897</sup> (jīvita-maraṇa-upagraha). If one asks (iti ced) "How?" (katham), then (tad) it is said (ucyate): By maintenance and destruction (sthiti-kṣaya) of karman. For (hi), karman [is] material (paudgala) (iti).

<sup>892</sup> I.e., the objects of the senses (see TA 2.21).

<sup>893</sup> Lit. 'non-removal'.

<sup>894</sup> This type of *karman* is listed in TA 8.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>895</sup> The term '*upakrama*' is discussed in the *bhāṣya* on TA 2.52 and refers to factors that reduce one's life span (Balcerowicz 2016c: 165). It is also mentioned in the *Ṭhāṇaṁgasutta* as one of the six states 'according to the manner in which *karman* can be operated upon' (Balcerowicz 2016c: 163).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>896</sup> The term '*anapavartyāyus*' is also used in TA 2.52. The life span of some classes of beings cannot be shortened.

<sup>897</sup> See TABh 5.20.1.

#### [5.20.15] āhāraś ca trividhaḥ sarveṣām evopakurute | [5.20.16] kim kāraṇam |

And (ca) the threefold (trividha) livelihood  $(\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra)$  assists (upakurute) all (sarva). What (kim) [is] the reason [for this]  $(k\bar{a}rana)$ ?

#### [5.20.17] śarīrasthityupacayabalavṛddhiprītyartham hy āhāra iti ||

Indeed (hi), livelihood ( $\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ ) [is] for the sake of maintenance, growth, strength, flourishing, [and] satisfaction [of] the body ( $\dot{s}ar\bar{\imath}ra$ -sthity-upacaya-bala-vṛddhi-pr $\bar{\imath}$ ty-artha).

[5.20.18] atrāha | [5.20.19] gṛḥṇīmas tāvad dharmādharmākāśapudgalā 898 jīvadravyāṇām upakurvantīti | [5.20.20] atha jīvānāṃ ka upakāra iti | [5.20.21] atrocyate |

At this point (atra), one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): So far ( $t\bar{a}vat$ ), we understand ( $grhn\bar{n}mah$ ) [that] motion, rest, space, and material elements ( $dharma-adharma-\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a-pudgala$ ) assist (upakurvanti) the animate substances ( $j\bar{i}va-dravya$ ) (iti). Now (atha), what (kim) [is] the function ( $upak\bar{a}ra$ ) of souls ( $j\bar{i}va$ ) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

### parasparopagraho jīvānām ||5.21||

5.21 [The function] of souls (jīva) [is] mutual support (paraspara-upagraha).899

#### [5.21.1] parasparasya hitāhitopadeśābhyām upagraho jīvānām iti |

[The function] of souls (*jīva*) [is] the support (*upagraha*) by teaching (*upadeśa*) [about that which is] beneficial and disadvantageous (*hita-ahita-upadeśa*) for each other (*paraspara*).

#### [5.21.2] atrāha | [5.21.3] atha kālasyopakāraḥ ka iti | [5.21.4] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): Now (atha), what (kim) [is] the function ( $upak\bar{a}ra$ ) of time ( $k\bar{a}la$ ) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

### vartanā pariņāmaķ kriyā paratvāparatve ca kālasya ||5.22||

5.22 [The function,  $upak\bar{a}ra$ ] of time  $(k\bar{a}la)$  [is] beginning  $^{900}$  ( $vartan\bar{a}$ ), transformation ( $parin\bar{a}ma$ ), activity ( $kriy\bar{a}$ ), [temporal] priority (paratva) and posteriority (aparatva).

.

<sup>898</sup> Kapadia reads -pudgalajīva-.

 $<sup>^{899}</sup>$  It seems that the TA is the first text that makes this claim. For a discussion of this *sūtra*, see § 3.4, *Function of the substances*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>900</sup> Alternatively, 'continuation'. TABh 5.22.3 seems to interpret '*vartanā*' as 'beginning'.

### [5.22.1] tadyathā | [5.22.2] sarvabhāvānām vartanā kālāśrayo vṛttiḥ |

Namely  $(tad-yath\bar{a})$ , the beginning  $(vartan\bar{a})$  of all things  $(sarvabh\bar{a}va)$  [is] a state (vrtti) [that is] dependent on time  $(k\bar{a}la-\bar{a}\acute{s}raya)$ .

### [5.22.3] vartanā utpattiḥ sthitiḥ prathamasamayāśrayā ity arthaḥ ||

'Beginning' (*vartanā*), 'occurrence' (*utpatti*), 'the state (*sthiti*) [that is] depending on the first moment' (*prathama-samaya-āśraya*) — [that is] the meaning (*ity artha*).901

# [5.22.4] pariņāmo dvividhaḥ | [5.22.5] anādir ādimāṃś ca | [5.22.6] taṃ parastād vakṣyāmaḥ ||

Transformation (parinama) [is] twofold: without beginning (anadi) and (ca) having a beginning (adimat). We will explain (vakṣyāmah) this (tad) later on (see TA 5.42) (parastat).

### [5.22.7] kriyā gatiḥ | [5.22.8] sā trividhā | [5.22.9] prayogagatir visrasā<sup>902</sup>gatir miśriketi ||

Activity ( $kriy\bar{a}$ ) [is] movement (gati). It (tad) [is] threefold: beginning movement (prayoga-gati), declining movement ( $visras\bar{a}-gati$ ), [and] mixed [movement] (misrika) (iti).

#### [5.22.10] paratvāparatve trividhe prašamsākṛte kṣetrakṛte kālakṛte iti |

The quality of being uppermost and the quality of being lowermost<sup>903</sup> (*paratvaaparatva*) [are] threefold (*trividha*): resulting from praiseworthiness (*praśaṃsā-kṛta*), resulting from region (*kṣetra-kṛta*), resulting from time (*kāla-kṛta*) (*iti*).<sup>904</sup>

# [5.22.11] tatra praśaṃsākṛte paro dharmaḥ paraṃ jñānaṃ aparo 'dharma<sup>905</sup> aparam ajñānam iti |

Among them (*tatra*), resulting from praiseworthiness (*praśaṃsā-kṛte*) [are]:

- i. the uppermost (para) dharma
- ii. the uppermost (para) knowledge (jñāna)
- iii. the lowermost (apara) adharma, [and]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>901</sup> The syntactical structure of this sentence is not entirely clear to me. I interpret '*prathama-samaya-āśrayā*' as a *bahuvrīhi* compound that qualifies '*sthiti*'. However, it is also possible that '*sthiti*' is given as a separate synonym.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>902</sup> Mody reads 'viśrasāgatir' and mentions 'visrasāgatir' as a variant reading. Kapadia reads 'visrasāgatir'. The word seems to be derived from the verbal root ' $\sqrt{sras}$ ' (falling, dropping). Therefore, I follow the reading 'visrasāgatir'.

 $<sup>^{903}</sup>$  In TA 5.22 I translate '[temporal] priority (*paratva*) and posteriority (*aparatva*)' since both terms are clearly related to the function of time. However, the *bhāṣya* comments on those terms in a more general way.

 $<sup>^{904}</sup>$  The  $bh\bar{a}$ sya seems to point out that the terms 'paratva' and 'aparatva' can refer to moral, geographical, and temporal differences.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>905</sup> Mody reads 'dharma'. Kapadia has the correct reading 'adharma'.

iv. the lowermost (*apara*) false knowledge (*ajñāna*) (*iti*).

### [5.22.12] kṣetrakṛte ekadikkālāvasthitayor viprakṛṣṭaḥ paro bhavati sannikṛṣṭo'paraḥ |

Resulting from region (kṣetra-kṛta) — [Amongst two things that are] placed in a single space [and] time (eka-diś-kāla-avasthita), remote (viprakṛṣṭa) is (bhavati) the uppermost (para), proximate (sannikṛṣṭa) [is] the lowermost (apara).

### [5.22.13] kālakṛte dviraṣṭavarṣād varṣaśatikaḥ paro bhavati varṣaśatikād dvirastavarso'paro bhavati||

Resulting from time  $(k\bar{a}lakrta)$  — Someone of hundred years (varṣa-śatika) is (bhavati) higher (i.e., older) (para) than someone of sixteen years (dvi-aṣṭan-varṣa). Someone of sixteen years (dvi-aṣṭan-varṣa) is (bhavati) lower (i.e., younger) than someone of hundred years (varṣaśa-tika).

## [5.22.14] tad evam praśamsākṣetrakṛte paratvāparatve varjayitvā vartanādīni kālakṛtāni kālasyopakāra iti ||

Thus ( $tad\ evam$ ), with the exception of ( $varjayitv\bar{a}$ ) the quality of being uppermost and lowermost [that are] resulting from praiseworthiness and region ( $praśams\bar{a}-ksetra-krta$ ), the function ( $upak\bar{a}ra$ ) of time ( $k\bar{a}la$ ) [are the things] resulting from time ( $k\bar{a}la-krta$ ), i.e., beginning etc. ( $vartan\bar{a}-\bar{a}di$ ) (iti).

[5.22.15] atrāha | [5.22.16] uktaṃ bhavatā śarīrādīni pudgalānām upakāra iti | [5.22.17] pudgalān iti ca tantrāntarīyā jīvān paribhāṣante | [5.22.18] sparśādirahitāś cānye | [5.22.19] tat katham etad iti |

At this point (atra) one says (āha): You have mentioned (uktaṃ bhavatā) [that] the function (upakāra) of material elements (pudgala) [are] the body etc. (śarīra-ādi) (see TA 5.19). Yet (ca), other schools<sup>907</sup> (tantrāntarīya) teach (paribhāṣante) [that] (iti) souls (jīva) [are] material elements (pudgala). And (ca) others (anya) [teach that they are] destitute of touch etc. (sparṣa-ādi-rahita). Then (tad), how (katham) [is] this [possible] (etad) (iti)?

\_

<sup>906</sup> Siddhasenagaņi explains 'dvyaṣṭan' as 'sixteen' (ṣoḍaśavarṣa).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>907</sup> This might be a reference to the pudgalavādins.

### [5.22.20] atrocyate | [5.22.21] etadādivipratipattipratiṣedhārthaṃ viśeṣa-vacanavivakṣayā cedam ucyate ||

At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): For the sake of exclusion of contradictory [views] beginning with this  $^{908}$  (etad- $\bar{a}di$ -vipratipatti-prati, edad-artha) and (edad) by the wish to explain  $^{909}$  the different teachings (vi, eqad-viv, eqad), this (edad) is said (edad):

#### sparśarasagandhavarnavantah pudgalāh ||5.23||

5.23 The material elements (pudgala) possess:

- i. touch (sparśa)
- ii. taste (rasa)
- iii. smell (gandha) [and]
- iv. colour (varṇa);

### [5.23.1] sparśaḥ rasaḥ gandhaḥ varṇa ity evaṃlakṣaṇāḥ pudgalā bhavanti |

Touch (*sparśa*), taste (*rasa*), smell (*gandha*), [and] colour (*varṇa*) (*iti*) — the material elements (*pudgala*) are (*bhavanti*) characterised in this way (*evamlakṣaṇa*).

### [5.23.2] tatra sparśo 'ṣṭavidhaḥ kaṭhino mṛdur gurur laghuḥ śīti uṣṇaḥ snigdhaḥ rūkṣa iti |

Among them (tatra), touch (sparśa) [is] eightfold (aṣṭavidha):

- i. hard (kathina)
- ii. soft (*mṛdu*)
- iii. heavy (guru)
- iv. light (laghu)
- v. cold (śīti)
- vi. hot (uṣṇa)
- vii. smooth (snigdha) [and]
- viii. rough (rūkṣa) (iti).

<sup>908</sup> I.e., the alternative views that are mentioned in TABh 5.22.17 – 5.22.18.

 $<sup>^{909}</sup>$  Perhaps the intended meaning is 'oppose'. The term 'vivakṣā' can also mean 'doubt' or 'uncertainty' (MW).

### [5.23.3] rasaḥ pañcavidhas tiktaḥ kaṭuḥ kaṣāyo 'mlo madhura iti |

Taste (rasa) [is] fivefold (pañcavidha):

- i. bitter (tikta)
- ii. sharp (katu)
- iii. astringent (kaṣāya)
- iv. acid (amla) [and]
- v. sweet (madhura) (iti).

### [5.23.4] gandho dvividhaḥ surabhir asurabhiś ca |

Smell (gandha) [is] twofold (dvividha):

- i. fragrant (*surabhi*) and (*ca*)
- ii. non-fragrant (asurabhi).

### [5.23.5] varņaḥ pañcavidhaḥ kṛṣṇo nīlo lohitaḥ pītaḥ śukla iti || [5.23.6] kiṃ cānyat |

Colour (varṇa) [is] fivefold (pañcavidha):

- i. black (kṛṣṇa)
- ii. blue (nīla)
- iii. red (lohita)
- iv. yellow (pīta) [and]
- v. white (śukla) (iti).

Further (kim cānyat):

# $\'sabdabandhasauk \~smy as thauly as a \rsim sthaubhed at a ma\'schāyāta podyota vanta\'s ca~||5.24||$

5.24 And<sup>910</sup> [the material elements] possess:

- i. sound (*śabda*)
- ii. connection (bandha)
- iii. subtlety (saukṣmya)
- iv. largeness (sthaulya)
- v. shape (saṃsthāna)
- vi. partition (bheda)
- vii. darkness (tamas)
- viii. shade (chāyā)

\_

 $<sup>^{910}</sup>$  This is a continuation of TA 5.23. TABh 5.24.21 — 5.24.25 explains why these  $s\bar{u}tras$  are separated.

- heat (tapas) [and] ix.
- light (uddyota). X.

#### [5.24.1] tatra śabdah sadvidhah tato vitato ghanah śusiro gharso bhāsa iti ||

Among them (tatra), sound (śabda) [is] sixfold (ṣadvidha):911

- i. far reaching (tata)
- ii. diffused912 (vitata)
- iii. firm (ghana)
- iv. hollow (śusira)913
- v. frictional (gharṣa), [and]
- vi. spoken (bhāṣa) (iti).

### [5.24.2] bandhas trividhaḥ | [5.24.3] prayogabandho visrasābandho<sup>914</sup> miśra iti | Connection (bandha) [is] threefold (trividha):

- i. yoked connection<sup>915</sup> (*prayoga-bandha*)
- ii. loose connection (visrasā-bandha), [and]
- iii. mixed [connection] (miśra) (iti).

#### [5.24.4] snigdharūkṣatvād bhavatīti vakṣyate ||

It will be said (see TA 5.32) (vaksyate) [that a connection of material elements] arises (bhavati) due to smoothness and roughness (snigdha-rūkṣatva) (iti).

### [5.24.5] saukşmyam dvividham antyam āpekşikam ca |

Subtlety (sauksmya) [is] twofold (dvividha): ultimate (antya), and (ca) relative (āpeksika).

### [5.24.6] antyam paramāņuşv eva | [5.24.7] āpekşikam dvyaņukādişu saṃghātapariṇāmāpekṣaṃ bhavati |

Ultimate [subtlety] (antya) [is] only (eva) in the infinitesimal particles (paramānu). Relative [subtlety] (āpiksika) exists (bhavati) dependent on combination 916 [and] transformation (saṃghāta-pariṇāma-apekṣā) in the case of [aggregates] beginning with [an aggregate of] two atoms (dvi-anuka-ādi).

<sup>911</sup> Siddhasenagani explains each sound with the example of a musical instrument. E.g., the sound of a drum is 'far reaching (tata), the sound of a lute is 'diffused' (vitata), etc.

<sup>912</sup> Alternatively, 'not far reaching'.

 $<sup>^{913} = &#</sup>x27;susira' (MW).$ 

<sup>914</sup> Mody reads 'viśrasābandha'. I follow Kapadia's edition, which reads 'visrasābandha'. Cf. TABh 5.22.9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>915</sup> Siddhasenagani explains this as related to the soul (*jīva-vyāpāra*).

<sup>916</sup> See TA 5.26.

### [5.24.8] tadyathā | [5.24.9] āmalakād badaram iti ||

Namely  $(tadyath\bar{a})$ : a jujube (badara) [compared with] a gooseberry (i.e., a jujube is relatively subtle compared to a gooseberry)  $(\bar{a}malaka)$  (iti).

#### [5.24.10] sthaulyam api dvividham antyam āpekṣikam ca |

Largeness (*sthaulya*) [is] also (*api*) twofold (*dvividha*): ultimate (*antya*) and (*ca*) relative (*āpekṣika*).

# [5.24.11] saṃghātapariṇāmāpekṣam eva bhavati | [5.24.12] tatrāntyaṃ sarvalokavyāpini mahāskandhe bhavati | [5.24.13] āpekṣikaṃ badarādibhya āmalakādiṣv iti ||

It exists (*bhavati*) indeed (*eva*) dependent on combination [and] transformation (*saṃghāta-pariṇāma-apekṣā*). Among them (*tatra*), ultimate [largeness] (*antya*) exists (*bhavati*) in the great aggregate<sup>917</sup> (*mahā-skandha*), [which is] pervading the whole world (*sarva-loka-vyāpin*). Relative [largeness] (*āpekṣika*) [exists] in gooseberries etc. (*āmalaka-ādi*) [compared to] jujubes etc. (*badara-ādi*) (*iti*).

### [5.24.14] saṃsthānam anekavidham | [5.24.15] dīrghahrasvādyanitthantva<sup>918</sup>-paryantam ||

Shape (*saṃsthāna*) [is] manifold (*anekavidha*): including indefinite [shapes]<sup>919</sup>, beginning with long and short (*dīrgha-hrasva-ādy-anitthantva-paryanta*).

### [5.24.16] bhedaḥ pañcavidhaḥ | [5.24.17] autkārikaḥ caurṇikaḥ khaṇḍaḥ prataraḥ anutaṭa iti ||

Partition (bheda) [is] fivefold:920

- i. split (autkārika)
- ii. pulverised (caurnika)
- iii. a piece (khaṇḍa)
- iv. layered<sup>921</sup> (*pratara*)
- v. from the sides<sup>922</sup> (anutața) (iti).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>917</sup> The precise meaning of 'mahāskandha' is not clear to me. Perhaps it refers to the conceptual opposite of a paramānu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>918</sup> Mody reads '-anitthatva-'. Kapadia has the correct reading 'anitthantva'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>919</sup> Lit. 'not-thusness' (*an-itthantva <ittham*). Sanghvi refers to the shapes of clouds (Sanghvi 1974: 196).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>920</sup> My translation of the following terms is based on Sanghvi's interpretation (Sanghvi 1974: 196).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>921</sup> Like chopped off layers of mica (Sanghvi 1974: 196).

<sup>922</sup> Like the removal of the bark of bamboo or sugar cane (Sanghvi 1974: 196).

### [5.24.18] tamaśchāyātapoddyotāś ca pariṇāmajāḥ ||

And (*ca*) darkness, shade, heat, [and] light (*tamas-chāyā-tapas-uddyota*) [are] produced by transformation (*pariṇāma-ja*).<sup>923</sup>

## [5.24.19] sarva evaite sparśādayaḥ pudgaleṣu eva bhavantīti | [5.24.20] ataḥ pudgalās tadvantaḥ ||

All these (*sarva etad*) [characteristics]<sup>924</sup> beginning with touch (*sparśa-ādi*) exist (*bhavanti*) indeed (*eva*) in the very (*eva*) material elements (*pudgala*) (*iti*). Hence (*atas*), the material elements (*pudgala*) [are] like that (*tadvat*).

## [5.24.21] atrāha | [5.24.22] kim artham sparšādīnām śabdādīnām ca pṛthak sūtrakaraṇam iti |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): Why ( $kim\ artham$ ) [is] the composition of the  $s\bar{u}tra\ (s\bar{u}tra-karaṇa)$  separate (prthak) for [the objects of the senses] beginning with touch ( $sparśa-\bar{a}di$ ) and (ca) for [the objects of the senses] beginning with sound ( $sabda-\bar{a}di$ ) (iti)?925

# [5.24.23] atrocyate | [5.24.24] sparśādayaḥ paramāṇuṣu skandheṣu ca pariṇāmajā eva bhavantīti | [5.24.25] śabdādayas tu skandheṣv eva bhavanty anekanimittāś cety ataḥ pṛthak karaṇam ||

At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): [The objects of the senses] beginning with touch ( $sparśa-\bar{a}di$ ) exist (bhavanti) indeed (eva), produced by transformation  $^{926}$  (parinama-ja), in [the case of] the infinitesimal particles (paramanu) and (ca) aggregates  $^{927}$  (skandha). However (tu), [the objects of the senses] beginning with sound ( $sabda-\bar{a}di$ ) exist (bhavanti) only (eva) in [the case of] aggregates (skandha). And (ca) [they are] caused differently (aneka-nimitta) (iti). Hence (atas), the composition [of the sutras] (karana) [is] separate (prthak).

### [5.24.26] ta ete pudgalāḥ samāsato dvividhā bhavanti | [5.24.27] tadyathā

Succinctly (samāsatas), these (tad) very (etad) material elements (pudgala) are (bhavanti) twofold (dvividha), namely (tadyathā):

#### aņavaḥ skandhāś ca ||5.25||

5.25 [The material elements exist as] atoms (anu) and aggregates (skandha).

 $<sup>^{923}</sup>$  It is somewhat strange that this explanation differs from the previous explanations, which all mention different varieties.

<sup>924</sup> See TABh 5.23.1: 'laksana'.

<sup>925</sup> In other words, why are TA 5.23 and TA 5.24 separated?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>926</sup> See TABh 5.24.18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>927</sup> See TA 5.25.

# [5.25.1] uktaṃ ca kāraṇam eva tad antyaṃ<sup>928</sup> sūkṣmo nityaś ca paramāṇuḥ | [5.25.2] ekarasagandhavarṇo dvisparśaḥ kāryaliṅgaś ca || [5.25.3] iti |<sup>929</sup> And (ca) [it has been] said (ukta):

The cause  $(k\bar{a}rana)$  [is] indeed (eva) that (tad), the ultimate (antya). The infinitesimal particle  $(param\bar{a}nu)$  [is] subtle  $(s\bar{u}ksma)$  and (ca) eternal (nitya).

[It has] one taste, smell, [and] colour (*eka-rasa-gandha-varṇa*), two [types of] touch<sup>930</sup> (*dvi-sparśa*), and (*ca*) its mark [is] the effect (*kārya-liṇga*) (*iti*).'

### [5.25.4] tatrāṇavo 'baddhāḥ skandhās tu baddhā eva ||

Among them (tatra), the atoms (anu) [are] unconnected (abaddha) but (tu) the aggregates (skandha) [are] indeed (eva) connected (baddha).

[5.25.5] atrāha | [5.25.6] kathaṃ punar etad dvaividhyaṃ bhavatīti | [5.25.7] atrocyate | [5.25.8] skandhās tāvat |

At this point (atra) one says (āha): Again (punar), why (katham) does this (etad) exist (bhavati) in a twofold manner (dvaividhya)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate): First of all (tāvat), [with respect to] the aggregates (skandha):

#### saṃghātabhedebhya utpadyante ||5.26||

5.26 They (i.e., the aggregates) result (*utpad*) from combination (*saṃghāta*) [and] disintegration (*bheda*).

# [5.26.1] saṃghātād bhedād saṃghātabhedād iti | [5.26.2] ebhyas tribhyaḥ kāraṇebhyaḥ skandhā utpadyante dvipradeśādayaḥ |

From combination ( $saṃgh\bar{a}ta$ ), from disintegration (bheda), [and] from combination and disintegration ( $saṃgh\bar{a}ta$ -bheda) — the aggregates (skandha) beginning with [those having] two space-points (dvi-pradeśa-adi) result (utpadyante) from these (idam) three (tri) causes ( $k\bar{a}raṇa$ ).

[5.26.3] tadyathā | [5.26.4] dvayoḥ paramāṇvoḥ saṃghātād dvipradeśaḥ |

Namely  $(tad-yath\bar{a})$ : [An aggregate having] two space-points  $(dvi-prade\acute{s}a)$  [results] from combination  $(samgh\bar{a}ta)$  of two (dvi) infinitesimal particles  $(param\bar{a}nu)$ .

303

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>928</sup> Alternatively, one can read 'antyamsūksmo' in compound, as 'ultimately subtle'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>929</sup> It is unclear to me what the source of this verse in *upagīti* metre is. For a discussion of this quotation, see § 3.5. *Quotations in the TABh.* 

<sup>930</sup> Cf. TA 5.23.

### [5.26.5] dvipradeśasyāņoś ca saṃghātāt tripradeśaḥ |

[An aggregate having] three space-points (tri-pradeśa) [results] from combination (saṃghāta) of [an aggregate that has] two space-points (dvi-pradeśa) and (ca) an atom (aṇu).

# [5.26.6] evam saṅkhyeyānām asaṅkhyeyānām anantānāṃ<sup>931</sup> ca pradeśānāṃ saṃghātāt tāvatpradeśāḥ ||

Likewise (*evam*), from the combination (*saṃghāta*) of numerable (*saṅkhyeya*), innumerable (*asaṅkhyeya*), and (*ca*) infinitely many (*ananta*) space-points (*pradeśa*), [result aggregates having] such a number of space-points (*tāvat-pradeśa*).

### [5.26.7] eṣām eva bhedād dvipradeśaparyantāḥ ||

[Aggregates] ending with two space-points (i.e., two or more) (*dvi-pradeśa-paryanta*) [result] from disintegration (*bheda*) indeed (*eva*) of these (*idam*).

# [5.26.8] eta eva saṃghātabhedābhyām ekasāmāyikābhyāṃ dvipradeśādayaḥ skandhā utpadyante |

These (*etad*) very same (*eva*) aggregates (*skandha*), beginning with [aggregates having] two space-points (*dvi-pradeśa-ādi*), [result] from single-momentary (*eka-sāmāyika*) combination and disintegration (*samghāta-bheda*).

### [5.26.9] anyasya saṃghātenānyato bhedeneti ||

[I.e.], by combination ( $samgh\bar{a}ta$ ) with another (anya) [and] by disintegration (bheda) from another (anyatas) (iti).  $^{932}$ 

# [5.26.10] atrāha | [5.26.11] atha paramāņuḥ katham utpadyate iti | [5.26.12] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): Now (atha), how (katham) does the infinitesimal particle ( $param\bar{a}nu$ ) arise (utpadyate)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

#### bhedād anuḥ ||5.27||

5.27 An atom (anu) [results] from disintegration (bheda) [only].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>931</sup> Mody reads 'anantām anantānantānām' and mentions the variant reading 'anantānām anantānām'. However, in other passages of the *bhāṣya* the list is simply 'saṅkhyeya, asaṅkhyeya, ananta' (see, for example, TABh 1.8.9 and TABh 5.10.1). Kapadia omits 'ananta' but gives the variant reading 'anantānām anantānantānām' in the footnote.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>932</sup> According to Siddhasenagaṇi, by combination with another atom and by separation from another aggregate.

### [5.27.1] bhedād eva paramāņur utpadyate na saṃghātād iti ||

The infinitesimal particle ( $param\bar{a}nu$ ) results (utpadyate) indeed (eva) from disintegration (bheda), not (na) from combination ( $samgh\bar{a}ta$ ).

### bhedasaṃghātābhyāṃ cākṣuṣāḥ ||5.28||

5.28 The perceptible [aggregates]  $(c\bar{a}k\bar{s}u\bar{s}a)$  [result] from disintegration (*bheda*) [and] combination ( $samgh\bar{a}ta$ ).

### [5.28.1] bhedasaṃghātābhyāṃ cākṣuṣāḥ skandhā utpadyante |

The aggregates (skandha) [that are] perceptible ( $c\bar{a}k\bar{s}u\bar{s}a$ ) result (utpadyante) from disintegration (bheda) [and] combination ( $samgh\bar{a}ta$ ).

#### [5.28.2] acākṣuṣās tu yathoktāt saṃghātād bhedāt saṃghātabhedāc ceti ||

However (tu), [the aggregates that are] imperceptible ( $ac\bar{a}k\bar{s}u\bar{s}a$ ) [result] 'from combination ( $samgh\bar{a}ta$ ), from disintegration (bheda), and (ca) from combination and disintegration ( $samgh\bar{a}tabheda$ )' (iti), as it is said (see TABh 5.26.1) (yathokta).

### [5.28.3] atrāha | [5.28.4] dharmādīni santīti kathaṃ gṛḥyate iti |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): How (katham) is [the saying that] (iti) [substances] beginning with motion<sup>933</sup> ( $dharma-\bar{a}di$ ) are existent (santi) understood (grhyate) (iti)?

[5.28.5] atrocyate | [5.28.6] lakṣaṇataḥ || [5.28.7] kiṃ ca sato lakṣaṇam iti | [5.28.8] atrocyate |

At this point (*atra*) it is said (*ucyate*): based on the characteristic (*lakṣaṇa*). And (*ca*) what (*kim*) is the characteristic (*lakṣaṇa*) of existence (*sat*)? At this point (*atra*) it is said (*ucyate*):

#### utpādavyayadhrauvyayuktam sat ||5.29||

5.29 Existence<sup>934</sup> (*sat*) [is] endowed with (*yukta*) production (*utpāda*), decay (*vyaya*), [and] duration (*dhrauvya*).

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>933</sup> See TA 5.1.

<sup>934</sup> Alternatively, 'things that exist'.

## [5.29.1] utpādavyayābhyāṃ dhrauvyeṇa ca yuktaṃ sato lakṣaṇam yad utpadyate yad vyeti yac ca dhruvaṃ tat sat ato 'nyad asad iti ||935

The characteristic (*lakṣaṇa*) of existence (*sat*) [is] endowed with (*yukta*) production and decay (*utpāda-vyaya*), and (*ca*) duration (*dhrauvya*). That which (*yad*) is produced (*utpadyate*), that which (*yad*) decays (*vyeti*), and (*ca*) that which (*yad*) [is] enduring (*dhruva*), that (*that*) [is] existent (*sat*). Hence (*atas*), [that which is] different [from this] (*anya*) [is] non-existent (*asad*) (*iti*).

### [5.29.2] atrāha | [5.29.3] gṛhṇīmas tāvad evaṃ lakṣaṇaṃ sad iti | [5.29.4] idaṃ tu vācyaṃ tat kiṃ nityam āhosvid anityam iti | [5.29.4] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): So far ( $t\bar{a}vat$ ), we understand ( $grhn\bar{n}mah$ ) [that] existence (sat) [is] thus (evam) characterised (laksana) (iti). However (tu), this (idam) [is] to be said ( $v\bar{a}cya$ ): [Is] it (i.e., existence) ( $tad\ kim$ ) eternal (nitya) or ( $\bar{a}hosvid$ ) non-eternal (anitya) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

#### tadbhāvāvyayam nityam ||5.30||

5.30 [An entity that] does not change its state (tad-bhāva-avyaya), [is] eternal (nitya).

### [5.30.1] yat sato bhāvān na vyeti na vyeṣyati tan nityam iti |

That which (yad) does not change (na vyeti) [and] will not change (na vyeṣyati) from the state (bhāva) of existence (sat), that (tad) [is] eternal (nitya) (iti).

### arpitānarpitasiddheḥ ||5.31||

5.31 [The apparent contradiction] results from the validity (*siddhi*) of the conventional [standpoint] (*arpita*) and the non-conventional [standpoint] (*anarpita*).936

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>935</sup> This reading follows Kapadia. Mody reads 'utpādavyayau dhrauvyaṃ ca yuktaṃ sato lakṣaṇam'. After this sentence, Mody adds a substantial passage between square brackets (Mody 1903: 131-133). This passage seems to be a later addition and is omitted by Kapadia. However, the last sentence of the omitted part (yad utpadyate yad vyeti yac ca dhruvaṃ tat sat ato 'nyad asad iti') is given by Kapadia, immediately following 'sato lakṣaṇam' (yad utpadyate yad vyeti yac ca dhruvaṃ tat sat ato 'nyad asad iti').

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>936</sup> It seems that the author tries to explain the apparent contradiction between TA 5.5, which says that substance is eternal, and TA 5.29, which says that substance is connected with decay. For a discussion of this *sūtra*, see Soni 2003: 29*ff*. The terms '*arpita*' and '*anarpita*' are explained in TABh 5.31.2 as '*vyāvahārika*' and '*avyāvahārika*' (see below). See also § 3.4, *Existence and permanence of substance*.

### [5.31.1] sac ca trividham api nityam cobhe<sup>937</sup> api arpitānarpitasiddheḥ |

And (ca) existence (sat) [is] also (api) threefold (see TA 5.29) (trividha), [namely] eternal (see TA 5.30)<sup>938</sup> (nitya) and (ca) also (api) both<sup>939</sup> (ubhe), [which] results from the validity (siddhi) of the conventional [standpoint] (arpita) and the nonconventional [standpoint] (anarpita).

#### [5.31.2] arpitam vyāvahārikam anarpitam avyāvahārikam<sup>940</sup> cety arthah |

'Conventional' (*arpita*) [is the standpoint that is] relating to common life (*vyāvahārika*) and (*ca*) 'non-conventional' (*anarpita*) [is the standpoint that is] not relating to common life (*avyāvahārika*) — that is the meaning (*ity artha*).

## [5.31.3] tac ca<sup>941</sup> sac caturvidham | [5.31.4] tadyathā | [5.31.5] dravyāstikaṃ mātṛkāpadāstikam utpannāstikaṃ paryāyāstikam iti |

And (ca) that (tad) existence (see TABh 5.31.1) (sat) [is] fourfold (caturvidha). Namely:

- i. [the view on] existence [from the perspective of] substance (*dravya-āstika*)
- ii. [the view on] existence [from] the linguistic perspective<sup>942</sup> (mātṛkā-pada-āstika)
- iii. [the view on] existence [from the perspective of] production (*utpanna-āstika*)
- iv. [the view on] existence [from the perspective of] transformation (*paryāya-āstika*) (*iti*).

### [5.31.6] eṣām arthapadāni dravyaṃ vā dravye vā dravyāṇi vā sat | [5.31.7] asan nāma nāsty eva dravyāstikasya ||

The objects  $^{943}(artha-pada)$  of these [perspectives] (idam) [are as follows]: For [the view on] existence [from the perspective of] substance  $(dravya-\bar{a}stika)$  — Either  $(v\bar{a})$  a [single] substance (dravya), or  $(v\bar{a})$  two substances (dravya), or  $(v\bar{a})$  [many] substances (dravya), pl.) [are] existent (sat); [that which is] called  $(n\bar{a}ma)$  non-existence (asat), [that] does not exist  $(n\bar{a}sti)$  indeed (eva).

<sup>937</sup> Mody reads 'ca ubhe'.

<sup>938</sup> Is 'nitya' interpreted as 'dhrauvya' (see TA 5.29)?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>939</sup> This seems to refer to TA 5.29, which says that existence is also endowed with production and decay.

<sup>940</sup> Kapadia reads 'anarpitavyāvahārika'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>941</sup> Kapadia reads 'tatra'.

<sup>942</sup> Lit. 'letters and words'.

<sup>943</sup> Cf. TABh 1.35.90.

# [5.31.8] mātṛkāpadāstikasyāpi | [5.31.9] mātṛkāpadaṃ vā mātṛkāpade vā mātṛkāpadāni vā sat | [5.31.10] amātṛkāpadaṃ vā amātṛkāpade vā amātṛkāpadāni vā asat ||

And likewise (api), for [the view on] existence [from the perspective of] letters and words  $(m\bar{a}trk\bar{a}-pada-\bar{a}stika)$  — Either  $(v\bar{a})$  a [single] linguistic [entity]  $(m\bar{a}trk\bar{a}-pada)$ , or  $(v\bar{a})$  two linguistic entities,  $(m\bar{a}trk\bar{a}-pada, du.)$ , or  $(v\bar{a})$  [many] linguistic [entities]  $(m\bar{a}trk\bar{a}-pada, pl.)$  [are] existent (sat); Either  $(v\bar{a})$  a [single] non[-existent] linguistic expression  $(am\bar{a}trk\bar{a}-pada)$ , or  $(v\bar{a})$  two non-[existent] linguistic expressions  $(am\bar{a}trk\bar{a}-pada, pl.)$  [are] non-existent (asat).

# [5.31.11] utpannāstikasya | [5.31.12] utpannam votpanne votpannāni vā sat | [5.31.13] anutpannam vānutpanne vānutpannāni vāsat<sup>944</sup> ||

For [the view on] existence [from the perspective of] production ( $utpanna-\bar{a}stika$ ) — either ( $v\bar{a}$ ) [a single object that is] produced (utpanna), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) two [objects that are] produced (utpanna, du.), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) [many objects that are] produced (utpanna, pl.) [are] existent (sat); either ( $v\bar{a}$ ) [a single object that is] not produced (anutpanna), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) two [objects that are] not produced (anutpanna, du.), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) [many objects that are] not produced (anutpanna, pl.) [are] non-existent (asat).

#### [5.31.14] arpite 'nupanīte na vācyam sad ity asad iti vā |

When the conventional [standpoint]<sup>945</sup> (arpita) [is] not applied (anupanīta), [it] should not be said (na vācya) [to be] existent (sat) or (vā) non-existent (asat) (iti).<sup>946</sup> [5.31.15] paryāyāstikasya sadbhāvaparyāye vā sadbhāvaparyāyayor vā sadbhāvaparyāyeṣu vā ādiṣṭaṃ dravyaṃ vā dravye vā dravyāṇi vā sat | [5.31.16] asadbhāvaparyāye vā asadbhāvaparyāyeṣu vā ādiṣṭaṃ dravyaṃ vā dravye vā dravyāṇi vāsat |

For [the view on] existence [from the perspective of] transformation ( $pary\bar{a}ya-\bar{a}stika$ ) — Either ( $v\bar{a}$ ) a [single] substance (dravya), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) two substances (dravya, du.), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) [many] substances (dravya, pl.) pointed out ( $\bar{a}dista$ ) [with respect to] either ( $v\bar{a}$ ) a transformation of the real state (sad- $bh\bar{a}va$ - $pary\bar{a}ya$ ), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) two transformations of the real state (sad- $bh\bar{a}va$ - $pary\bar{a}ya$ , du.), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) [many]

245 Can also TADb F 21

<sup>945</sup> See also TABh 5.31.2.

<sup>944</sup> Kapadia reads 'vā 'sat'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>946</sup> Cf. TABh 5.31.17. The meaning seems to be that things are either existent or non-existent from a conventional standpoint. However, from a non-conventional standpoint, this is not the case.

transformations of the real state (sad- $bh\bar{a}va$ - $pary\bar{a}ya$ , pl.) [are] existent (sat); Either ( $v\bar{a}$ ) a [single] substance (dravya), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) two substances (dravya, du.), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) [many] substances (dravya, pl.) pointed out ( $\bar{a}dista$ ) [with respect to] either ( $v\bar{a}$ ) a non-transformation of the real state (asad- $bh\bar{a}va$ - $pary\bar{a}ya$ ), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) two non-transformations of the real state (asad- $bh\bar{a}va$ - $pary\bar{a}ya$ , du.), or ( $v\bar{a}$ ) [many] non-transformations of the real state (asad- $bh\bar{a}va$ - $pary\bar{a}ya$ , pl.) [are] non-existent (asat). [5.31.17]  $tadubhayapary\bar{a}ye$   $v\bar{a}$   $tadubhayapary\bar{a}ye$   $v\bar{a}$ 

# [5.31.17] tadubhayaparyāye vā tadubhayaparyāyayor vā tadubhayaparyāyeşu vā ādiṣṭaṃ dravyaṃ vā dravye vā dravyāṇi vā na vācyaṃ sad ity asad iti vā | [5.31.18] deśādeśena vikalpayitavyam iti ||

Either  $(v\bar{a})$  a [single] substance (dravya), or  $(v\bar{a})$  two substances (dravya, du.), or  $(v\bar{a})$  [many] substances (dravya, pl.) pointed out  $(\bar{a}dista)$  [with respect to] either  $(v\bar{a})$  a transformation of both of them  $^{947}$   $(tad-ubhaya-pary\bar{a}ya)$ , or  $(v\bar{a})$  two transformations of both of them  $(tad-ubhaya-pary\bar{a}ya, du.)$ , or  $(v\bar{a})$  [many] transformations of both of them  $(tad-ubhaya-pary\bar{a}ya, pl.)$ , should not be said  $(na\ v\bar{a}cya)$  [to be] existent  $(sat\ iti)$  or  $(v\bar{a})$  non-existent  $(asat\ iti)$ .  $^{948}$  It should be explained (vikalpayitavya) by the application  $^{949}$   $(\bar{a}desa)$  of partial [viewpoints] (desa).

# [5.31.19] atrāha | [5.31.20] uktaṃ bhavatā saṃghātabhedebhyaḥ skandhā utpadyante iti | [5.31.21] tat kiṃ saṃyogamātrād eva saṃghāto bhavati | [5.31.22] āhosvid asti kaścid viśeṣa iti | [5.31.23] atrocyate |

At this point (*atra*) one says (*āha*): [It] has been said (*ukta*) by you (see TA 5.26) (*bhavat*) [that] the aggregates (*skandha*) result (*utpadyante*) from combination and disintegration (*saṃghāta-bheda*). Now (*tad*), is (*bhavati*) combination (*saṃghāta*) in fact (*eva*) [resulting from] connection only (*saṃyoga-mātra*)? Or (*āhosvid*), is (*asti*) [there] something (*kiṃcid*) specific [to the connection]<sup>950</sup> (*viśeṣa*) (*iti*)? At this point (*atra*) it is said (*ucyate*):

#### [5.31.24] sati samyoge baddhasya samghāto bhavatīti ||

When there is (sat) a connection (samyoga), there is (bhavati) a combination (samghata) with [an aggregate that is] connected  $^{951}$  (baddha).

<sup>947</sup> I.e., sadbhāva and asadbhāva (see TABh 5.31.15 – 5.31.16).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>948</sup> In other words, from the perspective of transformation, the labels 'existent' and 'non-existent' cannot be applied to a substance that is subject to both transformation and non-transformation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>949</sup> Siddhasenagaṇi interprets the compound as ' $de\acute{s}a$ - $\bar{a}de\acute{s}ena$ '. The term ' $\bar{a}de\acute{s}a$ ' seems to be related to ' $\bar{a}dista$ ' in the previous sentence.

<sup>950</sup> Siddhasenagani explains 'viśeṣa' as 'samyogaviśeṣa'.

<sup>951</sup> See TABh 5.25.4.

#### [5.31.25] atrāha | [5.31.26] atha katham bandho bhavatīti | [5.31.27] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says (āha): Now (atha), how (katham) [does] a connection (bandha) arise (bhavati) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

### snigdharūkṣatvād bandhaḥ ||5.32||

5.32 A connection (bandha) [results] from smoothness (snigdha) and roughness ( $r\bar{u}k\bar{s}atva$ ).

#### [5.32.1] snigdharūkṣayoḥ pudgalayoḥ spṛṣṭayor bandho bhavatīti |

A connection (bandha) exists (bhavati) [between] two material elements (pudgala) [that are] smooth and rough (snigdha-rūkṣa) [and that are] touching [each other] (spṛṣṭa).

### [5.32.2] atrāha | [5.32.3] kim eṣa ekānta iti | [5.32.4] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): [Does] this ( $kim\ etad$ ) [happen] invariably ( $ek\bar{a}nta\ iti$ )? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

#### na jaghanyagunānām ||5.33||

5.33 [Such a connection does] not (*na*) [take place between material elements having a] low [degree] of [these] qualities (i.e., smoothness and roughness) (*jaghanya-guṇa*).

## [5.33.1] jaghanyaguṇasnigdhānāṃ jaghanyaguṇarūkṣāṇāṃ ca paraspareṇa bandho na bhavatīti ||

A mutual (paraspara) connection (bandha) does not exists (na bhavati) [between material elements having] a low smooth quality (jaghanya-guṇa-snigdha) and (ca) [material elements having] a low rough quality (jaghanya-guna-rūksa) (iti).

# [5.33.2] atrāha | [5.33.3] uktaṃ bhavatā jaghanyaguṇavarjānāṃ snigdhānāṃ rūkṣeṇa rūkṣāṇāṃ ca snigdhena saha bandho bhavatīti | [5.33.4] atha tulyaguṇayoḥ kim atyantapratiṣedha iti | [5.33.5] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): [It] has been said (ukta) by you (see TABh 5.32.1) (bhavat) [that] a connection (bandha) exists (bhavati) [between] smooth [material elements] (snigdha) [and] rough [material elements] ( $r\bar{u}k\bar{s}a$ ) and (ca) [between] rough [material elements] ( $r\bar{u}k\bar{s}a$ ) and (saha) smooth [material elements] (snigdha), with the exception of [those whose] quality [is] low (jaghanya-guṇa-varja). Now (atha), is there (kim) an absolute exclusion (atyanta-pratisedha) of [material

elements that have an] equal [degree] of [these] qualities (tulya-guṇa) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

### [5.33.6] na jaghanyaguṇānām ity adhikṛtyedam ucyate ||

Referring to (*adhikṛtya*) [the *sūtra* that says] (see TA 5.33) '[Such a connection does] not (*na*) [take place between material elements having a] low [degree] of [these] qualities (i.e., smoothness and roughness) (*jaghanya-guṇa*)' (*iti*), this (*idam*) is said (*ucyate*):

#### gunasāmye sadršānām ||5.34||

5.34 [Likewise, such a connection does not take place] when [there is] an evenness of the qualities (i.e., smoothness and roughness) (guna-samya) between similar [material elements] (sadrsa). 952

#### [5.34.1] guṇasāmye sati sadṛśānāṃ bandho na bhavati |

When there is (sat) an evenness of the qualities  $(guṇ a-s\bar{a}mya)$ , there is (bhavati) no (na) connection (bandha) between similar [material elements] (sadrśa).

### [5.34.2] tadyathā | [5.34.3] tulyaguṇasnigdhasya tulyaguṇasnigdhena tulyaguṇarūkṣasya tulyaguṇarūkṣeṇeti |

Namely (tad-yathā),

- i. between a [material element whose] smoothness [is] of equal quality (*tulya-guṇa-snigdha*) [and another material element whose] smoothness [is] of equal quality (*tulya-guṇa-snigdha*), [and]
- ii. between a [material element whose] roughness [is] of equal quality (*tulya-guṇa-rūkṣa*) [and another material element whose] roughness [is] of equal quality (*tulya-guna-rūkṣa*) (*iti*).

### $[5.34.4]\ \textit{atr$\bar{a}$ha}\ |\ [5.34.5]\ \textit{sadr$\acute{s}$agraha$na$m$ kim apek$\ref{sate}\ iti}\ |\ [5.34.6]\ \textit{atrocyate}\ |$

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): To what (kim) does the expression 'similar' ( $sadr\acute{s}a-grahaṇa$ ) refer ( $apek\~sate$ )? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

#### [5.34.7] guņavaiṣamye sadṛśānāṃ bandho bhavatīti ||

A connection (bandha) exists (bhavati) [between] similar [material elements] (sadṛśa) [when there is] a diversity of qualities (guṇa-vaiṣamya) (iti).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>952</sup> In other words, two material elements that have the same degree of smoothness or roughness cannot connect.

# [5.34.8] atrāha | [5.34.9] kim aviśeṣeṇa guṇavaiṣamye sadṛśānāṃ bandho bhavatīti | [5.34.10] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says (āha): Is it so [that] (kim) a connection (bandha) exists (bhavati) between similar [material elements] (sadṛśa) [when there is] a diversity of qualities (guṇa-vaiṣamya) without exception (aviśeṣeṇa) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

#### dvyadhikādiguņānām tu ||5.35||

5.35 However (tu), [a connection exists between material elements whose] qualities (i.e., smoothness and roughness) [have a] difference of two or more<sup>953</sup> (dvy-adhika-adi-guna).

### [5.35.1] dvyadhikādiguņānām tu sadṛśānām bandho bhavati |

A connection (*bandha*) exists (*bhavati*) [between] similar [material elements] (*sadṛśa*) [whose] qualities (i.e., smoothness and roughness) [have] a difference of two or more (i.e., when there is a difference of at least two degrees in smoothness or roughness) (*dvy-adhika-ādi-guna*).

[5.35.2] tadyathā | [5.35.3] snigdhasya dviguņādyadhikasnigdhena | [5.35.4] dviguņādyadhikasnigdhasya snigdhena | [5.35.5] rūkṣasyāpi dviguṇādyadhikarūkṣeṇa | [5.35.6] dviguṇādyadhikarūkṣasya rūkṣeṇa | Namely (tad-yathā):

- i. [between] a smooth [material element] (snigdha) [and another material element whose] smoothness [is] different by two or more degrees (dvi-guṇa-ādi-adhika-snigdha) [and]
- ii. [between] a [material element whose] smoothness [is] different by two or more degrees (dvi-guṇa-ādi-adhika-snigdha) [and another] smooth [material element] (snigdha);

#### And likewise (*api*):

i. [between] a rough [material element]  $(r\bar{u}k\bar{s}a)$  [and another material element whose] roughness [is] different by two or more degrees  $(dvi\text{-}guna\text{-}\bar{a}di-adhika\text{-}r\bar{u}k\bar{s}a)$  [and]

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>953</sup> I.e., when there is a difference of at least two degrees in smoothness or roughness.

ii. [between a material element whose] roughness [is] different by two or more degrees (*dvi-guṇa-ādi-adhika-rūkṣa*) [and another] rough [material element] (*rūksa*).

### [5.35.7] ekādiguņādhikayos tu sadṛśayor bandho na bhavati |

However (tu), a connection (bandha) does not exist (na bhavati) [between] two similar [material elements] (sadṛśa) whose difference is one or less [than one] 954 degree (eka-ādi-guṇa-adhika).

# [5.35.8] atra tu śabdo vyāvṛttiviśeṣaṇārthaḥ pratiṣedhaṃ vyāvartayati bandhaṃ ca viśeṣayati ||

Here (i.e., in the foregoing sentence) (atra) the word (śabda) 'however' (tu) [is] an indication of exclusion [and] specification (vyāvṛtti-viśeṣaṇa-artha); it singles out (vyāvartayati) an exception (pratiṣedha) and (ca) it specifies (viśeṣayati) the connection (bandha).

### [5.35.9] atrāha | [5.35.10] paramāņuşu skandheşu ca ye sparšādayo guņās te kiṃ vyavasthitās teṣv āhosvid avyavasthitā iti | [5.35.11] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): These (tad) qualities (guna), beginning with touch ( $sparśa-\bar{a}di$ ), which (vad) [exist] in the case of infinitesimal particles ( $param\bar{a}nu$ ) and (vad) in the case of aggregates (vad), [are they] (vad) fixed (vad) or (vad) not fixed (vad) to these [infinitesimal particles and aggregates] (vad)? At this point (vad) it is said (vad):

### [5.35.12] avyavasthitāḥ | [5.35.13] kutaḥ | [5.35.14] pariṇāmāt ||

[They are] not fixed (avyavasthita). Why (kutas)? On account of transformation (parināma).

# [5.35.15] atrāha | [5.35.16] dvayor api badhyamānayor guṇavattve sati kathaṃ pariṇāmo bhavatīti | [5.35.17] ucyate |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): When there is (sat) the state of possessing a quality (gunavattva) for two [material elements] (dvi) that are connected ( $badhyam\bar{a}na$ ), how (katham) does a transformation (parinama) exist (bhavati) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

 $<sup>^{954}</sup>$  It seems that the suffix ' $\bar{a}di$ ' means 'or less than one' in this context, unlike the previous sentences, in which it means 'or more'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>955</sup> See also TABh 5.24.24 – 5.24.25.

<sup>956</sup> In other words, 'when two connected elements share a quality'.

#### bandhe samādhikau pāriņāmikau ||5.36||

5.36 [When there is] a connection (*bandha*), [two material elements whose smoothness or roughness is] equal [or] more (*samādhika*) [are] subject to transformation (*pāriṇāmika*).

### [5.36.1] bandhe sati samaguṇasya samaguṇaḥ pariṇāmako bhavati | [5.36.2] adhikaguṇo hīnasyeti ||

When there is (sat) a connection (bandha) [with a material element] whose quality is equal (sama-guṇa), [the material element] whose quality is equal (samaguṇa) becomes (bhavati) subject to transformation  $(p\bar{a}rin\bar{a}mika)$ ; [when there is a connection] [with a material element] whose quality is less  $(h\bar{n}a)$ , [the material element] whose quality is more (adhika-guṇa) [becomes subject to development] (iti).

# [5.36.3] atrāha | [5.36.4] uktaṃ bhavatā dravyāṇi jīvāś ceti (5.2) | [5.36.5] tat kim uddeśata eva dravyāṇāṃ prasiddhir āhosvil lakṣaṇato 'pīti | [5.36.6] atrocyate |

At this point (atra) one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): [It] has been said (ukta) by you (see TA 5.2) (bhavat) [that] '[the inanimate entities] together with (ca) the souls ( $j\bar{\imath}va$ ) are the substances (dravya).' Now (tad), [is there] (kim) only (eva) an explanation (prasiddhi) of the substances (dravya) by a brief statement (uddeśa) or ( $\bar{a}hosvid$ ) also (api) based on [their] characteristic[s] (lakṣaṇa) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

#### [5.36.7] lakṣaṇato 'pi prasiddhiḥ tad ucyate -

[There is] also (api) an explanation (prasiddhi) based on [their] characteristic[s] (lakṣaṇa). It (tad) is said (ucyate):

#### guṇaparyāyavad dravyam ||5.37||

5.37 Substance (dravya) has qualities [and] modes (guṇa-paryāyavat).957

 $<sup>^{957}</sup>$  For a discussion of this  $s\bar{u}tra$ , see § 3.4, *Qualities, modes, and transformation.* See also Soni 1991.

## [5.37.1] guṇān lakṣaṇato vakṣyāmaḥ | [5.37.2] bhāvāntaraṃ saṃjñāntaraṃ ca paryāyaḥ | [5.37.3] tadubhayaṃ yatra vidyate tad dravyam |

We will explain (vakṣyāmaḥ) the qualities (guṇa) based on [their] characteristic[s] (lakṣaṇa). Another state (bhāva-antara) and (ca) another recognition (saṃjñā-antara) – [that is] a mode (paryāya). Where (yatra) the combination of them (i.e., of a quality and a mode) (tad-ubhaya) is seen (vidyate), that (tad) [is] substance.

### [5.37.4] guṇaparyāyā asya santy asmin vā santīti guṇaparyāyavat ||

'Having qualities [and] modes' (*guṇa-paryāyavat*) [means that] (*iti*) qualities [and] modes (*guṇaparyāya*) exist (*santi*) for this (*idam*) or (*vā*) they exist (*santi*) in the case of it (*idam*).

### kālaś cety eke ||5.38||

5.38 Time ( $k\bar{a}la$ ) [is] also [a substance] (ca) according to some ( $iti\ eke$ ).

### [5.38.1] eke tv ācāryā vyācakṣate kālo 'pi dravyam iti ||

And (tu) some (eka) teachers  $(\bar{a}c\bar{a}rya)$  explain  $(vy\bar{a}cakṣate)$  [that] time  $(k\bar{a}la)$  [is] also (api) a substance (dravya) (iti).

#### so 'nantasamayaḥ ||5.39||

5.39 That (i.e., time) (tad) [consists of] infinitely [many] moments (ananta-samaya).

### [5.39.1] sa caiṣa kālo 'nantasamayaḥ | [5.39.2] tatraika eva vartamānasamayaḥ | [5.39.3] atītānāgatayos tv ānantyam ||

And (ca) 'that' (tad) [refers to] this (etad) time  $(k\bar{a}la)$ , [which consists of] infinitely [many] moments (anantasamaya). Among them (i.e., the dravyas) (tatra), [time is] a single substance (see TA 5.5) (eka), [which has] moments [that are] existent  $(vartam\bar{a}na-samaya)$ . And (tu) [there is] infinity (anantya) of past and future [moments]  $(at\bar{t}ta-an\bar{a}gata)$ .

# [5.39.4] atrāha | [5.39.5] uktaṃ bhavatā guṇaparyāyavaddravyam iti | [5.39.6] tatra ke guṇā iti | [5.39.7] atrocyate

At this point (atra) one says (āha): [It] has been said (ukta) by you (see TA 5.37) (bhavat) [that] 'substance (dravya) has qualities [and] modes (guṇa-paryāyavat)' (iti). Among them (tatra), what (kim) [are] qualities (guṇa) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

### dravyāśrayā nirguņā guņāḥ ||5.40||958

5.40 Qualities (guna) inhere in substance (guna) [and are themselves] devoid of qualities (i.e., qualities cannot inhere in qualities but only in matter) (nirguṇa).

### [5.40.1] dravyam esām āśraya iti dravyāśrayāh | [5.40.2] naisām gunāh santīti nirguṇāḥ |

Substance (dravya) [is] the locus  $(\bar{a}\acute{s}raya)$  of them (i.e., of qualities) (idam) (iti) — [that is the meaning of] 'inhering in substance' (dravya-āśraya). [There] are no (na santi) qualities ( $gun\bar{a}$ ) for them (i.e., for qualities) (idam) (iti) — [that is the meaning of] 'devoid of qualities' (nirguna).

### [5.40.3] atrāha | [5.40.4] uktam bhavatā bandhe samādhikau pāriņāmikau iti (5.36) | [5.40.5] *tatra kaḥ pariṇāma iti* | [5.40.6] *atrocyate*

At this point (atra), one says ( $\bar{a}ha$ ): [It] has been said (ukta) by you (see TA 5.36) (bhavat) [that] '[the material elements whose smoothness or roughness is] equal [or] more (samādhika) [are] subject to transformation (pāriņāmika) [when there is] a connection (bandha)' (iti). Here (i.e., in this sūtra) (tatra), what (kim) [is] transformation (parināma) (iti)? At this point (atra) it is said (ucyate):

#### tadbhāvah parināmah ||5.41||

5.41 The existence of these [substances] 960 (tad-bhāva) [is characterised by] transformation (parināma).

### [5.41.1] dharmādīnām dravyāṇām yathoktānām ca guṇānām svabhāvah svatattvam pariņāmah | [5.41.2] sa dvividhah |

Transformation (parināma) [is] the essence (svabhāva) [or] own nature (svatattva) of the substances (dravya) beginning with motion (dharma-ādi) — as it is said (yathokta) — and (ca) of the qualities (guna). This [transformation] (tad) [is] twofold (dvividha):

<sup>958</sup> Halbfass suggests that this sūtra reflects VS I.1.15 (Halbfass 1992: 107, n.21). See also § 3.4, Qualities, modes, and transformation.

<sup>959</sup> Lit. 'whose locus is matter' (bah.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>960</sup> Siddhasenagani interprets 'tad' as a reference to the *dravyas*.

#### anādir ādimāṃś ca ||5.42||

5.42 [There is transformation] without beginning ( $an\bar{a}di$ ) and having a beginning ( $\bar{a}dimat$ ).

#### [5.42.1] tatrānādir arūpişu dharmādharmākāśajīveşv iti |

Among them (tatra), [transformation] without beginning ( $an\bar{a}di$ ) [applies to] motion, rest, space, and souls ( $dharma-adharma-\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a-j\bar{\imath}va$ ), [which are] formless ( $ar\bar{u}pin$ ) (iti).

### rūpișv ādimān ||5.43||

5.43 [Transformation] with a beginning ( $\bar{a}$ dimat) [applies to substances that are] having form ( $r\bar{u}$ pin).

# [5.43.1] rūpiṣu tu dravyeṣu ādimān | [5.43.2] pariṇāmo 'nekavidhaḥ sparśapariṇāmādir iti ||

And (tu) [transformation] with a beginning  $(\bar{a}dimat)$  [applies to] substances (dravya) that are] having form  $(r\bar{u}pin)$ . Transformation  $(parin\bar{a}ma)$  [is] manifold (anekavidha), beginning with the transformation of touch  $(sparsa-parin\bar{a}ma-\bar{a}di)$  (iti).

#### yogopayogau jīvesu ||5.44||

5.44 [There is] action [and] cognitive operation (yoga-upayoga) in the case of souls ( $j\bar{\imath}va$ ).

#### [5.44.1] jīvesv arūpisu api satsu yogopayogau parināmāv ādimantau bhavatah |

Action [and] cognitive operation (yoga-upayoga) are (bhavatas) the two transformations ( $parin\bar{a}ma$ ) having a beginning ( $\bar{a}dimat$ ), in the case of the souls ( $j\bar{i}va$ ), being (sat) indeed (api) formless ( $ar\bar{u}pin$ ).

#### [5.44.2] tatropayogah pūrvoktah |

Among them (tatra), cognitive operation (upayoga) has been discussed before (see TA 2.19) ( $p\bar{u}rvokta$ ).

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>961</sup> See also TABh 5.22.4 – 5.22.6.

### [5.44.3] yogas tu parastād vakṣyate ||

And (tu) action (yoga) will be explained (vakṣyate) later on (see TA 6.1) (parastāt). iti tattvārthadhigame 'rhatpravacanasaṅgrahe pañcamo 'dhyāyaḥ samāptaḥ || Thus (iti), the fifth (pañcama) chapter (adhyāya) of the Tattvārthādhigama, [which is] a summary of the words of the arhat (arhat-pravacana-saṅgraha), [is now] completed (samāpta).

### Sambandhakārikās<sup>962</sup>

[The Jaina ideal]963

[0.1] samyagdarśanaśuddham yo jñānam viratim eva cāpnoti |

[0.2] duḥkhanimittam apīdaṃ tena sulabdhaṃ bhavati janma ||1||

[For] him who (yah) obtains  $(\bar{a}pnoti)$  knowledge  $(j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na)$ , [which is] pure [through] right worldview (samyak-darśana-śuddha),  $^{964}$ and (ca) indeed (eva) non-passion (virati), for him (tad) there is (bhavati) good (sulabdha) birth (janman), even though (api) this (idam) [is] the cause of pain (duhkha-nimitta).

[0.3] janmani karmakleśair anubaddhe 'smiṃs tathā prayatitavyam |

[0.4] karmakleśābhāvo yathā bhavaty eşa paramārthaḥ ||2||

Pains have to be taken (*prayatitavya*) in this (*idam*) birth (*janman*), [which is] connected with (*anubaddha*) the afflictions of *karman* (*karma-kleśa*), in such a way that (*yathā* ... *tathā*) the absence of the afflictions of karman (*karma-kleśa-abhāva*) occurs (*bhavati*); this (*etad*) [is] the highest aim (*parama-artha*).

[0.5] paramārthālābhe vā doṣeṣv ārambhakasvabhāveṣu |

[0.6] kuśalānubandham eva syād anavadyam yathā karma ||3||

Or  $(v\bar{a})$ , when there is no acquirement of the highest aim  $(param\bar{a}rtha-al\bar{a}bha)$ , [when there are] faults (doṣa), [being] the inherent nature of someone who

 $^{962}$  The verses of this introductory text are labelled 'sambandhakārikāḥ' at the end of the passage. See SK 0.63.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>963</sup> The description of the content of the sections of the *sambandhakārikā*s is based on Ohira 1982: 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>964</sup> Alternatively, one could interpret the compound as 'samyagdarśanaṃ śuddham'. The general content of this verse deviates from TA 1.1 (samyagdarśanajñānacāritrāṇi mokṣamārgaḥ), in which darśana and jñāna seem to play an equal role. It is remarkable that 'virati' is mentioned instead of 'cāritra'. For a discussion of this verse, see § 3.5, The sambandhakārikās and praśasti.

performs [violent activities] $^{965}$  ( $\bar{a}rambhaka-svabh\bar{a}va$ ), [pains have to be taken] in such a way ( $yath\bar{a}$ ) [that] karman might be ( $sy\bar{a}t$ ) faultless (anavadya), [having] indeed (eva) a suitable $^{966}$  connection ( $ku\acute{s}ala-anubandha$ ).

[Classification of human beings]

### [0.7] karmāhitam iha cāmutra cādhamatamo naraḥ samārabhate | [0.8] iha phalam eva tv adhamo vimadhyamas tūbhayaphalārtham ||4||

The very lowest (*adhamatama*) man (*nara*) undertakes (*samārabhate*) evil deeds (*karma-ahita*) here (*iha ca*) and there (i.e., the next life) (*amutra ca*). But (*tu*) the low [man] (*adhama*) [undertakes deeds which give] fruit (*phala*) here (*iha*) only (*eva*). And (*tu*) the exactly mediocre<sup>967</sup> [man] (*vi-madhyama*) [undertakes deeds] for the sake of the fruit in both [lives] (*ubhaya-phala-artha*).

### [0.9] paralokahitāyaiva pravartate madhyamaḥ kriyāsu sadā | [0.10] mokṣāyaiva tu ghaṭate viśiṣṭamatir uttamaḥ puruṣaḥ ||5||

The middling [man] (madhyama) always ( $sad\bar{a}$ ) engages (pravartate) in actions ( $kriy\bar{a}$ ) for the sake of the future world (paralokahita) only (eva), while (tu) the highest (uttama) man (puruṣa), having excellent cognition (viśiṣṭa-mati) is suitable (ghaṭate) for liberation (mokṣa) only (eva).

# [0.11] yas tu kṛtārtho 'py uttamam avāpya dharmam parebhya upadiśati | [0.12] nityam sa uttamebhyo 'py uttama iti pūjyatama eva ||6||

But (tu) [he] who (yah) has indeed accomplished [his] goal  $(krta-artha\ api)$ , having obtained  $(av\bar{a}pya)$  the highest (uttama), teaches  $(upadi\acute{s}ati)$  the dharma to others (para). He (tad) [is] always (nityam) the highest (uttama) of the very highest  $(uttama\ api)$ . Thus (iti), [he is] indeed (eva) the most venerable  $(p\bar{u}jyatama)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>965</sup> The term 'ārambha' has a very negative connotation in Jainism, and carries the meaning of violent action (Johnson 1995: 38).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>966</sup> The term 'kuśala' is not very common in Jainism but frequently appears in Buddhist texts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>967</sup> The prefix 'vi-' is interpreted as 'viśista-'.

[Nature of the *Tīrthakara*]

### [0.13] tasmād arhati pūjām arhann evottamottamo loke | [0.14] devarşinarendrebhyaḥ pūjyebhyo'py anyasattvānām ||7||

Therefore ( $tasm\bar{a}t$ ), the arhat alone (eva), [who is] the very highest (uttamottama) in this world (loka), is worthy (arhati) of veneration ( $p\bar{u}j\bar{a}$ ) [from] gods, sages, and lords (deva-rsi-narendra), even though (api) [they are] worthy of veneration ( $p\bar{u}jy\bar{a}$ ) [from] other beings (anya-sattva) [themselves].

# [0.15] abhyarcanād arhatām manaḥprasādas tataḥ samādhiś ca | [0.16] tasmād api niḥśreyasam ato hi tatpūjanam nyāyyam ||8||

From the worship (abhyarcana) of the arhats (arhat) [comes] peace of mind ( $mana\dot{h}$ - $pras\bar{a}da$ ), and (ca) from that (tatas)  $sam\bar{a}dhi$ . And from that ( $tasm\bar{a}t~api$ ) [comes] ultimate bliss<sup>968</sup> ( $ni\dot{h}\acute{s}reyasa$ ). Therefore (atas~hi), worship of them ( $tat-p\bar{u}janam$ ) is appropriate ( $ny\bar{a}yya$ ).

## [0.17] tīrthapravartanaphalaṃ yat proktaṃ karma tīrthakaranāma | [0.18] tasyodayāt kṛtārtho'py arhaṃs tīrthaṃ pravartayati ||9||

That which [is] (yad) called (prokta) 'body-determining karman [related to] the tīrthakara'969 (tīrthakara-nāma) [has] the commencement of a ford [as its] result (tīrtha-pravartana-phala). From the occurrence (udayāt) of that (tad), the arhat, having his goal accomplished (kṛtārtha), also (api) proceeds to initiate (pravartayati) a ford (tīrtha).

-

 $<sup>^{968}</sup>$  This term is uncommon for the Jaina tradition. See also § 3.5, *The sambandhakārikās* and *praśasti*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>969</sup> This type of *karman* is listed in TA 8.12.

### [0.19] tatsvābhāvyād eva prakāśayati bhāskaro yathā lokam |

### [0.20] tīrthapravartanāya pravartate tīrthakara evam ||10||

Just as (yathā) the sun (bhāskara) illuminates (prakāśayatī) the world (loka) out of its own nature (tat-svābhāvya), in the same way (evam) the tīrthakara is occupied with<sup>970</sup> (pravartate) making a ford (tīrtha-pravartana).

[Life of Mahāvīra]

[0.21] yaḥ śubhakarmā sevanabhāvitabhāvo bhaveṣv anekeṣu |

[0.22] jajñe jñātekṣvākuṣu siddhārthanarendrakuladīpaḥ ||11||

He who [is] (yah) of virtuous conduct<sup>971</sup> (subha-karman), whose being is pervaded by serving  $(sevana-bh\bar{a}vita-bh\bar{a}va)$  in many (aneka) lives (bhava), was born  $(jaj\tilde{n}e)$  in the well-known Ikṣvāku [clan]  $(j\tilde{n}\bar{a}ta-ikṣv\bar{a}ku)$ , [being] the lamp of the lineage of kings whose goals are accomplished  $(siddh\bar{a}rtha-narendra-kula-d\bar{i}pa)$ 

[0.23] jñānaiḥ pūrvādhigatair apratipatitair matiśrutāvadhibhiḥ | [0.24] tribhir api śuddhair yuktaḥ śaityadyutikāntibhir ivenduḥ ||12||

... endowed (*yukta*) with knowledge (*jñāna*), acquired previously (*pūrvādhigata*), which does not disappear<sup>972</sup> (*apratipatita*), [being] ordinary cognition, testimony, and cosmic perception (*mati-śruta-avadhi*), which [are] all three (*tri api*) pure (*śuddha*), like (*iva*) the moon (*indu*), [which is endowed] with coolness, splendour, and brightness (*śaitya-dyuti-kānti*), ...

[0.25] śubhasārasattvasaṃhananavīryamāhātmyarūpaguṇayuktaḥ | [0.26] jagati mahāvīra iti tridaśair guṇataḥ kṛtābhikhyaḥ ||13||

... endowed with auspiciousness, energy, strength, firmness, power, magnanimity, beauty, and virtue (śubha-sāra-sattva-saṃhanana-vīrya-māhātmya-rūpa-guṇa-

322

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>970</sup> The middle voice fits the 'svābhāvya' character of the activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>971</sup> Alternatively, 'auspicious karman'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>972</sup> See also TABh 1.23.8.

yukta), [who] is made famous (krta- $abhikhy\bar{a}$ ) as 'Mahāvīra' ( $mah\bar{a}v\bar{i}ra$  iti) in this world (jagat) by the gods (tridasa) because of his virtues (guna), ...

# [0.27] svayam eva buddhatattvaḥ sattvahitābhyudyatācalitasattvaḥ | [0.28] abhinanditaśubhasattvaḥ sendrair lokāntikair devaiḥ ||14||

... he himself (*svayam*) indeed (*eva*), whose essence is enlightened (*buddha-tattva*), whose strength is unshakable, [who is] engaged in beneficial [deeds] for [other] beings (*sattva-hita-abhyudyata-acalita-sattva*), whose auspicious essence is venerated (*abhinandita-śubha-sattva*) by the *lokāntika* gods<sup>973</sup> (*lokāntika deva*), including Indra (*sa-indra*), ...

### [0.29] janmajarāmaraṇārttaṃ jagad aśaraṇam abhisamīkṣya niḥsāram | [0.30] sphītam apahāya rājyaṃ śamāya dhīmān pravavrāja ||15||

... after having seen (*abhisamīkṣya*) the world (*jagat*) [being] without refuge (*aśaraṇa*), without essence (*niḥsāra*), afflicted by birth, old age, and death (*janma-jarā-maraṇa-ārtta*), the wise one (*dhīmat*) renounced the world (*pravavrāja*), for the sake of peace (*śama*), leaving behind (*apahāya*) a prosperous (*sphīta*) kingdom (*rājya*).

# [0.31] pratipadyāśubhaśamanam niḥśreyasasādhakam śramaṇaliṅgam | [0.32] kṛtasāmāyikakarmā vratāni vidhivat samāropya ||16||

After taking up (*pratipadya*) the mark of asceticism (*śramaṇalinga*), [which is] destroying [that which is] inauspicous (*aśubhaśamana*), [and which is] the means to ultimate bliss (*niḥśreyasa-sādhaka*), [he who is] engaged in the performance of *sāmāyika*<sup>974</sup> (*kṛta-sāmāyika-karman*), after undertaking (*samāropya*) the vows (*vrata*) according to the rules (*vidhivat*), ...

\_

 $<sup>^{973}</sup>$  TA 4.25 explains that the *lokāntika* gods dwell in Brahmaloka (*brahmalokālayā lokāntikāḥ*) (Mody 1903: 112).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>974</sup> I.e., the totality of the Jaina path.

### [0.33] samyaktvajñānacāritrasaṃvaratapaḥsamādhibalayuktaḥ | [0.34] mohādīni nihatyāśubhāni catvāri karmāṇi ||17||

... endowed with righteousness, knowledge, stopping, 975 asceticism, samādhi, power 976 (samyaktva-jñāna-cāritra-saṃvara-tapaḥ-samādhi-bala-yukta), having destroyed (nihatya) four (catur) [types of] inauspicous (aśubhāni) karman, beginning with deluding [karman] 977 (moha-ādi), ...

### [0.35] kevalam adhigamya vibhuḥ svayam eva jñānadarśanam anantam | [0.36] lokahitāya kṛtārtha 'pi deśayām āsa tīrtham idam ||18||

... after having attained (adhigamya) absolute knowledge (kevala), [which is] unlimited (ananta) knowledge and worldview (jñāna-darśanam), the lord (vibhu) himself (svayam eva), even though (api) he has accomplished his goals (kṛta-artha), taught (deśayām āsa) this (idam) path (tīrtha)<sup>978</sup> for the benefit of this world (lokahita), ...

# [0.37] dvividham anekadvādaśavidham mahāvişayam amitagamayuktam | [0.38] saṃsārārṇavapāragamanāya duḥkhakṣayāyālam ||19||

... [i.e.], the twofold (*dvividha*), manifold, [and] twelvefold [varieties of knowledge from testimony] <sup>979</sup> (*aneka-dvādaśavidha*), having great range (*mahā-viṣaya*), endowed with many perspectives <sup>980</sup> (*amita-gama-yukta*), [being] adequate for the annihilation of pain (*duḥkha-kṣayāya-alam*), in order to go to the other shore of the ocean of *samsāra* (*samsāra-arnava-pāra-gamana*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>975</sup> I.e., of karmic influx (see TA 1.4).

 $<sup>^{976}</sup>$  Alternatively, 'power acquired by austerities' (tapo[bala]) and 'force of meditation' ( $sam\bar{a}dhibala$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>977</sup> This type of *karman* is listed in TA 10.1. See also TABh 1.26.22.

<sup>978</sup> Alternatively, 'showed this ford'.

<sup>979</sup> I.e., the canonical Jaina scriptures. See TABh 1.20.18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>980</sup> The term 'gama' is a synonym of 'naya' (see also Mody 1903: 30, footnote 1).

[0.39] granthārthavacanapaṭubhiḥ prayatnavadbhir api vādibhir nipuṇaiḥ | [0.40] anabhibhavanīyam anyair bhāskara iva sarvatejobhiḥ ||20||

[It] cannot be surpassed (anabhibhavanīya) by other (anya) skilful (nipuṇa) teachers (vādin), even (api) [not by those] who are diligent (prayatnavat) [and] skilful [with respect to] the meaning and words of scripture (grantha-artha-vacana-paṭu), just like (iva) the sun (bhāskara) [cannot be surpassed] by all lights (sarva-tejas).

[Salutation]981

[0.41] kṛtvā trikaraṇaśuddhaṃ tasmai paramarṣaye namaskāram | [0.42] pūjyatamāya bhagavate vīrāya vilīnamohāya ||21||

After having made ( $krtv\bar{a}$ ) homage ( $namask\bar{a}ra$ ) to that (tad) great sage (parama-rsi) with purity of the three faculties  $^{982}$  (tri-karaṇa-śuddham), [to him who is] a most venerable ( $p\bar{u}jyatama$ ) illustrious (bhagavat) hero ( $v\bar{i}ra$ ), whose delusion is gone ( $vil\bar{i}na-moha$ ), ...

[Nature of the work]

[0.43] tattvārthādhigamākhyaṃ bahvarthaṃ saṃgrahaṃ laghugrantham | [0.44] vakṣyāmi śiṣyahitam imam arhadvacanaikadeśasya ||22||

..., I will teach (vakṣyāmi) this (idam) short text (laghu-grantha), called 'Tattvārthādhigama' (tattvārtha-adhigamā-ākhya) — an important<sup>983</sup> (bahu-artha) compendium (saṃgraha) of some<sup>984</sup> of the words of the arhat (arhat-vacana-ekadeśa), [which is] beneficial for students (śiṣya-hita).

[Difficulty of the task of the author]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>981</sup> Ohira writes that SK 21, 22, and 31 are the 'essential three  $k\bar{a}rik\bar{a}s$ ', and observes that the *maṅgalācaraṇa* in the *Sarvārthasiddhi* was 'directly derived from SK 21 and 31' (Ohira 1982: 29-30). See also § 3.5.

<sup>982</sup> I.e., body, speech, and mind. See, e.g., Balcerowicz 2008: 36.

<sup>983</sup> Lit. 'having much meaning'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>984</sup> Lit. 'a part'.

[0.45] mahato'timahāviṣayasya durgamagranthabhāṣy<sup>985</sup> apārasya | [0.46] kaḥ śaktaḥ pratyāsaṃ jinavacanamahodadheḥ kartum ||23||

Who (*kim*), in enunciating a difficult text (*durgama-grantha-bhāṣin*), [is] able (*śakta*) to make (*kartum*) a summary<sup>986</sup> (*pratyāsa*) of the great ocean of the words of the *jina* (*jina-vacana-maha-udadhi*), [which is] boundless (*apāra*), [whose] scope [is] way larger (*atimahā-viṣaya*) [than] large (*mahat*)?

[0.47] śirasā giriṃ bibhitsed uccikṣipsec ca sa kṣitiṃ dorbhyām | [0.48] pratitīrṣec ca samudram mitsec ca punaḥ kuśāgreṇa ||24||

[He who would desire to comprehend the word of the *jina*, which is the subject of a very extensive text], 987 he (*tad*) could desire to break (*bibhitset*) a mountain (*giri*) with the head (*śiras*), and (*ca*) he could desire to throw up (*uccikṣipset*) the earth (*kṣiti*) with two arms (*dos*), and (*ca*) he could desire to cross (*pratitīrṣet*) the ocean (*samudra*), and (*ca*) further (*punar*), he could desire to measure (*mitset*) [the ocean] with the tip of the *kuśa* grass (*kuśa-agra*) ...

[0.49] vyomnīnduṃ cikramiṣen merugiriṃ pāṇinā cikampayiṣet | [0.50] gatyānilaṃ jigīṣec caramasamudraṃ pipāsec ca ||25||

... [and] he could desire to move (cikramișet) the moon (indu) in the sky (vyoman), [and] he could desire to shake (cikampayișet) mount Meru (merugiri) with one hand ( $p\bar{a}ni$ ), [and] he could desire to move ( $jig\bar{i}șet$ ) [along with] the wind [by his own] movement<sup>988</sup> ( $gaty-\bar{a}nila$ ), and (ca) he could desire to drink ( $pip\bar{a}set$ ) the deepest ocean (carama-samudra) ...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>985</sup> There are different ways to analyse 'durgamagranthabhāṣyapārasya'. It is tempting to read '-bhāṣya-pārasya' since the sambandhakārikās seem to introduce the main text (grantha) and the bhāṣya. However, this is syntactically unlikely. Therefore, '-bhāṣy apārasya' seems to be the correct word division. For a discussion of this verse, see § 3.5, *The sambandhakārikās* and the praśasti.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>986</sup> This is an unusual word. Haribhadra interprets '*pratyāsa*' as '*saṃgraha*' (Mody 1903: 30, footnote 3).

<sup>987</sup> See SK 0.52.

<sup>988</sup> I.e., going as fast as the wind.

### [0.51] khadyotakaprabhābhiḥ so'bhibubhūṣec ca bhāskaraṃ mohāt | [0.52] yo'timahāgranthārthaṃ jinavacanaṃ saṃjighṛkṣeta ||26||

... and (ca) he (tad) could desire to surpass (abhibubhūṣet) the sun (bhāskara) with the light of fireflies (khadyotaka-prabhā) out of delusion (mohāt). He [who] (yaḥ) would desire to comprehend (saṃjighṛkṣeta) $^{989}$  the word of the jina (jinavacana), [which is] the subject of a very extensive text (atimahā-grantha-artha), [he could desire to ... (see SK 0.47 - 0.52)]

[Benefits for the author and others]

[0.53] ekam api tu jinavacanād yasmān nirvāhakaṃ padaṃ bhavati | [0.54] śrūyante cānantāḥ sāmāyikamātrapadasiddhāḥ ||27||

Because ( $yasm\bar{a}t$ ), even though<sup>990</sup> ( $api\ tu$ ) one (eka) state (pada) which brings about deliverance ( $nirv\bar{a}haka$ ) comes into being (bhavati)<sup>991</sup> from the word of the jina (jina-vacana), yet (ca), infinitely [many] (ananta) are heard ( $śr\bar{u}yante$ ) [to have] accomplished a state consisting of  $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}yika$  ( $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}yika-m\bar{a}tra-pada-siddha$ ), ...

[0.55] tasmāt tatprāmāṇyāt samāsato vyāsataś ca jinavacanam | [0.56] śreya iti nirvicāraṃ grāhyaṃ dhāryaṃ ca vācyaṃ ca ||28||

... therefore (tasmāt), from the authority of that<sup>992</sup> (tat-prāmāṇya), the word of the jina (jina-vacana) in a brief (samāsa) and (ca) extended [form] (vyāsatas), should be accepted (grāhya), without doubt (nirvicāra), [thinking] '[this is] the best (sreyas)' (iti), and (ca) [it] should be remembered (dhārya), and (ca) [it] should be taught (vācya).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>989</sup> Middle voice, metri causa.

<sup>990</sup> Alternatively, 'in fact'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>991</sup> In other words, the highest accomplishing state becomes possible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>992</sup> I.e., because many people have reached the *sāmāyika* state by the words of the *jina*.

### [0.57] na bhavati dharmaḥ śrotuḥ sarvasyaikāntato hitaśravaṇāt | [0.58] bruvato 'nugrahabuddhyā vaktus tv ekāntato bhavati ||29||

There is no (na bhavati) exclusive<sup>993</sup> (ekāntatas) dharma for the hearer (śrotr) from the act of hearing [which is] beneficial (hita-śravaṇa) for all (sarva). However (tu), [that] final [dharma] (ekāntatas) comes into being (bhavati) [for someone who is] speaking (vaktr) because the mind (buddhi) of the speaker (bruvat) is promoting a good thing<sup>994</sup> (anugraha).

### [0.59] śramam avicintyātmagatam tasmāc śreyah sadopadestavyam | [0.60] ātmānam ca param ca hi hitopadestānugrhnāti ||30||

Therefore ( $tasm\bar{a}t$ ), the bliss of final emancipation ( $\acute{s}reyas$ ) should always ( $sad\bar{a}$ ) be taught (upadestavya) without thinking about (avicintya) the trouble (śrama) for oneself (ātmagata). Certainly (hi), someone who teaches [that which is] beneficial (hita-upadestr) favours (anugrhnāti) himself (ātman) as well as (ca ... ca) the other (para).

[Nature of the work]

### [0.61] na rte ca mokṣamārgād dhitopadeśo 'sti jagati kṛtsne 'smin | [0.62] tasmāt param imam<sup>995</sup>eveti mokṣamārgam pravakṣyāmi ||31||

And (ca) besides  $(na\ rte)$  the path to liberation (mok sam arga), there is (asti) no (na)beneficial teaching (hita-upadeśa) in this (idam) entire (kṛtsna) world (jagat). Therefore (tasmāt), I will teach (pravaksyāmi) indeed (eva) this (idam) very (iti) highest (para) path to liberation (mokṣa-mārga).

#### [0.63] iti sambandhakārikāh samāptāh ||

Thus (iti), the introductory verses (sambandha-kārikā) [are now] completed (samāpta).

<sup>993</sup> I.e., focused on one thing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>994</sup> Lit. 'from the mind, [which is] showing favour (anugraha-buddhi), of the speaker (bruvat)'.

<sup>995</sup> Kapadia reads 'idam'.

### Praśasti

[10.7.224] vācakamukhyasya śivaśriyaḥ prakāśayaśasaḥ praśiṣyeṇa | [10.7.225] śiṣyeṇa ghoṣanandikṣamaṇasyaikādaśāṅgavidaḥ ||1||

[This compendium was composed by  $v\bar{a}caka$  Umāsvāti],<sup>996</sup> the pupil of the pupil (praśiṣya) of Śivaśrī, [whose] fame [is] widely known (prakāśa-yaśas), [who is] the best among the  $v\bar{a}cakas$  ( $v\bar{a}caka-mukhya$ ), the pupil (śiṣya) of kṣamaṇa Ghoṣanandin, knower of the eleven main scriptural texts<sup>997</sup> ( $ek\bar{a}daśa-anga-vid$ ) ...

[10.7.226] vācanayā ca mahāvācakakṣamaṇamuṇḍapādaśiṣyasya | [10.7.227] śiṣyeṇa vācakācāryamūlanāmnaḥ prathitakīrteḥ ||2||

... and (ca) [based on] the interpretation<sup>998</sup> (vācanā) of the pupil of mahāvācaka kṣamaṇa Muṇḍapāda<sup>999</sup> (mahā-vācaka-kṣamaṇa-muṇḍapāda-śiṣya), the pupil (śiṣya) of vācaka ācārya Mūla (vācaka-ācārya-mūla-nāman) [whose] fame [is] known (prathita-kīrti), ...

[10.7.228] nyagrodhikāprasūtena viharatā puravare kusumanāmni | [10.7.229] kaubhīṣaṇinā svātitanayena vātsīsutenārghyam ||3||

... [who was] born in Nyagrodhikā (*nyagrodhikā-prasūta*), [who was] spending time (*viharat*) in the chief town (*puravara*) called Kusuma <sup>1000</sup> (*kusuma-nāman*), [belonging to the] *Kaubhīṣaṇi*[-*gotra*] (*kaubhīṣaṇi*), the son of Svāti (*svāti-tanaya*), [and] Vātsī (*vātsī-suta*). <sup>1001</sup>

<sup>996</sup> See 10.7.232 - 10.7.233.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>997</sup> I.e., eleven out of the twelve 'inner limbs' or 'angas' (see TA 1.20).

<sup>998</sup> Lit. 'by the lesson/recitation'.

<sup>999</sup> The names Mūla and Muṇḍapāda (lit. the venerable baldhead) are unusual for Jaina ascetics

<sup>1000</sup> I.e., Pāṭaliputra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1001</sup> It seems that '*arghyam*' has to be taken with the next verse. This is exceptional and does not occur in the other verses of the *praśasti* and *sambandhakārikās*.

### [10.7.230] arhadvacanaṃ samyaggurukrameṇāgataṃ samupadhārya | [10.7.231] duḥkhārtaṃ ca durāgamavihatamatiṃ lokamavalokya ||4||

[After having] reflected ( $samupadh\bar{a}rya$ ) on the priceless (arghya)<sup>1002</sup> word of the arhat (arhat-vacana), [which] arrived ( $\bar{a}gata$ ) through the succession of right teachers<sup>1003</sup> (samyak-guru-krama), after having seen (avalokya) the people (loka) [whose] mind [is] impeded by wicked teachings<sup>1004</sup> (dur- $\bar{a}gama$ -vihata-mati) and (ca) [who are] afflicted by pain (duhkha- $\bar{a}rta$ ), ...

### [10.7.232] idam uccairnāgaravācakena sattvānukampayā dṛbdham | [10.7.233] tattvārthādhigamākhyaṃ spaṣṭam umāsvātinā śāstram ||5||

... this (idam) compendium (śāstra), called 'Tattvārthādhigama' (tattva-artha-adhigama-ākhyā) [was] composed (drbdha) $^{1005}$  in an intelligible way (spaṣṭa) out of compassion for the living beings (sattva-anukampā) by vācaka Umāsvāti of the uccairnāgara [śākhā] $^{1006}$  (uccais-nāgara-vācaka ... umāsvāti).

### [10.7.234] yas tattvādhigamākhyaṃ jñāsyati ca kariṣyate ca tatroktam | [10.7.235] so 'vyābādhasukhākhyaṃ prāpsyaty acireṇa paramārtham ||6||

He who (yah) will know  $(j\tilde{n}asyati)$  [this compendium] called 'Tattvādhigama'  $(tattva-adhigama-\bar{a}khy\bar{a})$  and (ca) also (ca) does (kariṣyate) what is said (ukta) therein (tatra), he (tad) will soon (acirena) attain  $(pr\bar{a}psyati)$  the highest goal (parama-artha), [which is also] called unimpeded happiness  $(avyabadha-sukha-\bar{a}khya)$ .

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1002</sup> The word '*arghya*' appears in verse 3.

 $<sup>^{1003}</sup>$  In other words, the teachings of the *arhat* were passed down by the succession of teachers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1004</sup> Alternatively, 'whose ordinary cognition (*mati*) is hindered (*vihata*) by the difficult verbal testimonies (*durāgama*)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1005</sup> Unlike 'saṃdṛbdha', 'dṛbdha' is not a common word.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1006</sup> Translation based on Dhaky 1996: 60. Zydenbos mistranslates 'the lofty nāgaravācaka Umāsvāti' (Zydenbos 1983: 38).