



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Self-adjusting surrogate-assisted optimization techniques for expensive constrained black box problems**

Bagheri, S.

### **Citation**

Bagheri, S. (2020, April 8). *Self-adjusting surrogate-assisted optimization techniques for expensive constrained black box problems*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/87271>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/87271>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/87271> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Bagheri, S.

**Title:** Self-adjusting surrogate-assisted optimization techniques for expensive constrained black box problems

**Issue Date:** 2020-04-08

## Acknowledgements

I would like to genuinely thank TH Köln – University of Applied Sciences for giving me the opportunity to pursue a PhD program in parallel to my job by providing financial support as well as offering a great atmosphere for research. This work would not have been possible without the tireless assistance of my supervisors both from TH Köln and University of Leiden. Also, I thank Dr. Bas van Stein for helping me writing a Dutch summary of my dissertation, and my dear friends Atossa Setoodegan and her husband Dr. Rutger Fick who proofread it.

# Contents

Abstract . . . . .	i
Acknowledgements . . . . .	iii
List of Tables . . . . .	viii
List of Figures . . . . .	ix
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2 Summary of Research Questions . . . . .	8
<b>Chapter 2 Black Box Optimization Methods</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Is There Any Free Lunch in Optimization? . . . . .	10
2.2 Unconstrained Optimization . . . . .	11
2.3 Constraint Handling Techniques . . . . .	14
2.4 Surrogate-Assisted Constrained Optimization . . . . .	17
2.4.1 Taxonomy of Surrogate Models . . . . .	17
2.4.2 Radial Basis Function Interpolation . . . . .	19
2.5 Visualization Methods in Optimization . . . . .	24
<b>Chapter 3 SACOBRA: Self-Adjusting Parameter Control</b>	<b>26</b>
3.1 Outline . . . . .	26
3.2 Introduction . . . . .	27
3.3 Related Work . . . . .	29
3.4 Pitfalls in Surrogate-Assisted Optimization . . . . .	30
3.4.1 Rescaling the Input Space . . . . .	30
3.4.2 Logarithmic Transform for Large Output Ranges . . . . .	31
3.5 Methods . . . . .	33
3.5.1 COBRA . . . . .	33
3.5.2 SACOBRA . . . . .	36
3.6 Experimental Evaluation . . . . .	42
3.6.1 Experimental Setup . . . . .	42
3.6.2 Convergence curves . . . . .	45
3.6.3 Performance profiles . . . . .	45
3.6.4 Fitness Function Adjustment . . . . .	48
3.6.5 Comparison with Other Optimizers . . . . .	52

3.6.6	MOPTA08 . . . . .	55
3.7	Discussion . . . . .	56
3.7.1	SACOBRA and Surrogate Modeling . . . . .	56
3.7.2	Limitations of SACOBRA . . . . .	58
3.7.3	Comparison of Solution Qualities . . . . .	59
3.8	Conclusion . . . . .	60
<b>Chapter 4 Handling Equality Constraints in SACOBRA</b>		<b>62</b>
4.1	Outline . . . . .	62
4.2	Introduction . . . . .	62
4.3	Taxonomy of Equality Handling Techniques . . . . .	64
4.4	Method . . . . .	68
4.4.1	The Proposed Equality Handling Approach . . . . .	68
4.4.2	Initializing the Margin $\epsilon$ . . . . .	69
4.4.3	Decrementing Margin . . . . .	69
4.4.4	Refine Mechanism . . . . .	71
4.5	Experimental Setup . . . . .	72
4.6	Results & Discussion . . . . .	74
4.6.1	Convergence Curves . . . . .	74
4.6.2	Analyzing Update Scheme for Margin $\epsilon$ . . . . .	78
4.6.3	Pareto Set of Solutions or a Single Solution? . . . . .	81
4.7	Conclusion . . . . .	83
<b>Chapter 5 SOCU: EGO-based Constrained Optimization</b>		<b>84</b>
5.1	Outline . . . . .	84
5.2	Introduction . . . . .	85
5.3	Related Work . . . . .	86
5.4	Methods . . . . .	87
5.4.1	Kriging Surrogate Models . . . . .	87
5.4.2	Expected Improvement with Constraints . . . . .	88
5.4.3	Plugin Control (PC): Preserving Feasibility . . . . .	89
5.5	Experimental Setup . . . . .	92
5.5.1	General Setup . . . . .	92
5.5.2	Aerodynamic Shape Design Problem . . . . .	93
5.6	Results . . . . .	95
5.6.1	Demonstration on <i>Sphere4</i> . . . . .	95
5.6.2	Noise Variance . . . . .	98
5.6.3	Performance on G-Problems . . . . .	98

5.6.4	Performance on XFOIL . . . . .	101
5.7	Conclusion . . . . .	102
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>Handling Equality Constraints in SOCU</b>	<b>104</b>
6.1	Outline . . . . .	104
6.2	Introduction . . . . .	105
6.3	Method Description . . . . .	106
6.4	Experimental Setup . . . . .	106
6.5	Results & Discussion . . . . .	107
6.5.1	Convergence Curves . . . . .	107
6.5.2	SOCU+EH vs. SACOBRA+EH . . . . .	108
6.5.3	Curse of Dimensionality . . . . .	111
6.6	Conclusion . . . . .	112
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>Radial Basis Function vs. Kriging Surrogates</b>	<b>114</b>
7.1	Outline . . . . .	114
7.2	Introduction . . . . .	115
7.3	Related Work . . . . .	116
7.4	Methods . . . . .	117
7.4.1	Gaussian Process Modeling . . . . .	117
7.4.2	Radial Basis Function Interpolation . . . . .	120
7.4.3	GP vs. RBF . . . . .	122
7.5	Experimental Setup . . . . .	123
7.6	Results and Discussion . . . . .	125
7.7	Conclusion . . . . .	129
<b>Chapter 8</b>	<b>Online Model Selection</b>	<b>131</b>
8.1	Outline . . . . .	131
8.2	Introduction . . . . .	132
8.3	Related Work . . . . .	132
8.4	Online Model Selection in SACOBRA . . . . .	134
8.5	Experimental Evaluation . . . . .	135
8.5.1	Experimental Setup . . . . .	135
8.5.2	Results . . . . .	137
8.6	Discussion . . . . .	143
8.7	Conclusion . . . . .	147
<b>Chapter 9</b>	<b>SACOBRA for Functions with High-Conditioning</b>	<b>149</b>
9.1	Outline . . . . .	149

9.2	Introduction and Related Work . . . . .	150
9.3	Why Is High Conditioning An Issue for Surrogates? . . . . .	152
9.4	Online Whitening Scheme for SACOBRA . . . . .	153
9.4.1	Derivation of the Transformation Matrix . . . . .	155
9.4.2	Calculation of Inverse Square Root Matrix . . . . .	157
9.5	Experimental Setup . . . . .	158
9.6	Results & Discussion . . . . .	159
9.6.1	Convergence Curves . . . . .	159
9.6.2	Parallel Computation . . . . .	161
9.6.3	Data Profile . . . . .	163
9.6.4	Curse of Dimensionality . . . . .	163
9.7	Conclusion . . . . .	164
<b>Chapter 10 Conclusion and Outlook</b>		<b>167</b>
10.1	Contributions . . . . .	167
10.2	Future Directions . . . . .	170
<b>Bibliography</b>		<b>171</b>
<b>Appendix</b>		<b>189</b>
A	G-Problem Suite Description	189
B	Transforming G22	215
<b>Summary</b>		<b>218</b>
<b>Samenvatting</b>		<b>221</b>
<b>About the Author</b>		<b>224</b>

# List of Tables

2.1	Commonly used radial basis functions . . . . .	22
3.1	Adaptive control elements . . . . .	36
3.2	Characteristics of the first G-functions with inequality constraints . . . . .	43
3.3	The default parameter setting used for COBRA . . . . .	44
3.5	Number of failed runs for constrained optimizers . . . . .	55
3.6	Comparing optimizers on MOPTA08 problem . . . . .	57
4.1	Characteristics of G-problems with equality constraints . . . . .	73
4.2	Comparing different equality handling techniques on G-functions . . . . .	80
5.1	Characteristics of G-functions with inequality constraints and $2 - 4 d$ . . . . .	93
5.2	Effect of noise variance . . . . .	98
6.1	Success rate of SACOBRA and SOCU on COPs with equality constraints . . . . .	111
7.1	Commonly used kernel functions for GP and radial basis functions . . . . .	117
7.2	Characteristics of the G-functions . . . . .	124
7.3	Differences between SOCU-Kriging and SOCU-RBF . . . . .	125
8.1	Commonly used radial basis functions . . . . .	134
8.2	Comparing different constrained optimizers for G-problems . . . . .	145
9.1	Condition number of BBOB benchmark . . . . .	158
A.1	Characteristics of the G-functions . . . . .	191

# List of Figures

1.1	Opel Astra crash model . . . . .	3
1.2	Cooling system shape optimization . . . . .	4
2.1	Taxonomy of derivative-free unconstrained optimizers . . . . .	13
2.2	Conceptualization of RBF interpolation in 1D, with Gaussian $\phi(r)$ . .	23
2.3	Conceptualization of RBF interpolation in 1D, with cubic $\phi(r)$ . . . .	23
3.1	The influence of scaling on RBF. . . . .	31
3.2	The influence of large output ranges on RBF . . . . .	32
3.3	COBRA flowchart. . . . .	34
3.4	SACOBRA flowchart. . . . .	39
3.5	SACOBRA optimization process for G01–G05mod excluding G02 . .	46
3.6	SACOBRA optimization process for G06–G11mod . . . . .	47
3.7	SACOBRA optimization process for G02 in 10 and 20 dimensions .	48
3.8	Data profile of SACOBRA on G-problems . . . . .	49
3.9	Wilcoxon rank sum test for SACOBRA . . . . .	50
3.10	<i>plog</i> effect on G-problems . . . . .	51
3.11	Comparing SACOBRA, COBRA, DE and COBYLA . . . . .	54
3.12	Data profile of SACOBRA on MOPTA08 . . . . .	56
4.1	A simple 2D optimization problem with one equality constraint . .	64
4.2	A 2D optimization problem with a multimodal fitness function . . .	65
4.3	Dilemma of margin-based equality handling methods . . . . .	67
4.4	Main steps of SACOBRA with the equality handling mechanism. .	68
4.5	Refine step . . . . .	72
4.6	Impact of the feasibility margin decaying factor $\beta$ . . . . .	74
4.7	SACOBRA convergence curves for G-problems with equality constraints	76
4.8	SACOBRA convergence curves for G-problems with equality constraints	77
4.9	SACOBRA convergence curves for G-problems with equality constraints	78
4.10	Impact of different update schemes for the equality margin $\epsilon$ . . .	79
4.11	Pareto-optimal solutions for G05 minimizing fitness and violation .	81

5.1	Feasibility function $F(\vec{x}) = \prod F_i(\vec{x})$	89
5.2	$EI_{mod}$ shift towards the infeasible area for G06 problem	90
5.3	Impact of plugin control	91
5.4	<i>Sphere4</i> problem	94
5.5	SOCU vs. SOCUS w/o plugin vs. Schonlau on <i>Sphere4</i>	96
5.6	SOCU vs. Schonlau	97
5.7	SOCU vs. SOCUS w/o plugin vs. Schonlau on G-problems	99
5.8	SOCU vs. SACOBRA	100
5.9	Data profile of SACOBRA vs. SOCU vs. Schonlau on G-problems	101
5.10	SOCU vs. SACOBRA vs. Schonlau on XFOIL	102
6.1	Conceptualizing the equality constraint's feasibility function in SOCU.	105
6.2	SOCU optimization for G11 problem with an equality constraint	108
6.3	SOCU optimization process on 4 G-problems with equality constraints.	109
6.4	Comparing SOCU+EH and SACOBRA+EH	110
6.5	SOCU results for G03 problems with various dimensions.	112
7.1	Left: prior function distribution using squared exponential kernel. Right: posterior function distribution given the evaluated points using squared exponential kernel.	119
7.2	Showcasing possible ill-conditioned or singular $\Phi$ for cubic RBF	120
7.3	Comparing RBF and GP from the DICEKRIGING package in R	123
7.4	SOCU-Kriging vs. SOCU-RBF (optimization performance)	126
7.5	SOCU-Kriging vs. SOCU-RBF (computational time)	127
7.6	Impact of the noise variance on SOCU-Kriging	128
7.7	Approximation error for the objective and constraint functions of G01	130
8.1	Online model selection performance on G01,G03-G7	138
8.2	Online model selection performance on G08-G13	139
8.3	Online model selection performance on G14-G19	140
8.4	Same as Fig. 8.1 for problems G21, G23, G24.	141
8.5	Online model selection data profile with a fix tolerance	142
8.6	Online model selection data profile with a problem-specific tolerance	143
8.7	Approximation error per iterations for problem G13.	144
8.8	Frequency of model selection in each iteration	147
9.1	Conceptualization flowchart of surrogate-assisted optimization	150
9.2	$F02$ function from BBOB benchmark set (ellipsoidal function)	153
9.3	Modeling a function with high-conditioning with RBF	154

9.4	Comparing SACOBRA, SACOBRA+OW, CMA-ES and DE . . . . .	160
9.5	Comparing SACOBRA, SACOBRA+OW, CMA-ES and DE (parallelizable case) . . . . .	162
9.6	Comparing SACOBRA, SACOBRA+OW, DE and CMA-ES for strictly limited function evaluations . . . . .	163
9.7	Comparing SACOBRA, SACOBRA+OW, DE and CMA-ES . . . . .	164
9.8	Comparing SACOBRA, SACOBRA+OW, DE and CMA-ES (parallelizable case) . . . . .	165
9.9	Comparing SACOBRA, SACOBRA+OW, DE and CMA-ES (dimensionality analysis) . . . . .	166
A.1	Normalized radial visualization of G-problem's properties. . . . .	190
A.2	G02 problem description . . . . .	193
A.3	G03 problem description . . . . .	195
A.4	G03mod problem description . . . . .	195
A.5	G06 problem description . . . . .	198
A.6	G08 problem description . . . . .	200
A.7	G11 problem description . . . . .	202
A.8	G11mod problem description . . . . .	202
A.9	G24 problem description . . . . .	214