



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Personalised medicine for multiple outcomes : methods and application

Rüten-Budde, A.J.

Citation

Rüten-Budde, A. J. (2020, March 10). *Personalised medicine for multiple outcomes : methods and application*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/86285>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/86285>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/86285> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Rüten-Budde, A.J.

Title: Personalised medicine for multiple outcomes : methods and application

Issue Date: 2020-03-10

Personalised medicine for multiple outcomes: methods and application

Anja Juana Rüten-Budde

The research in this thesis was supported by the Dutch Cancer Society (DCS) - KWF Kankerbestrijding [UL2015-8028].

Cover art by Niels D.S. Langeveld.

Personalised medicine for multiple outcomes: methods and application

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C. J. J. M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 10 maart 2020
klokke 16.15 uur

door

Anja Juana Rüten-Budde
geboren te Bremen
in 1990

Promotores: Prof. dr. E. Eliel
 Prof. dr. H. Putter
Copromotor: Dr. M. Fiocco

Universiteit Leiden
Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum
Universiteit Leiden, Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum, Prinses Máxima Center

Samenstelling van de promotiecommissie:

Voorzitter: Prof. dr. P. Stevenhagen
Secretaris: Prof. dr. A. W. van der Vaart
 Prof. dr. H. Gelderblom
 Dr. F. Ieva
 Dr. R. Geskus

Universiteit Leiden
Universiteit Leiden
Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum
Politecnico di Milano
Oxford University

Contents

1	Introduction	1
§1.1	Introduction to survival analysis	2
§1.2	Frailty models	4
§1.3	Competing risks models	5
§1.4	Multi-state models	7
§1.5	Dynamic prediction	8
§1.6	AUC and C-index	11
§1.7	Multiple imputation	12
§1.8	Soft tissue sarcoma data	14
§1.9	Personalised sarcoma care app	15
§1.10	Outline of thesis	17
2	Investigating hospital heterogeneity with a competing risks frailty model	19
§2.1	Introduction	20
§2.2	Competing risks model	21
§2.3	Frailty model	22
§2.4	Competing risks frailty model	22
§2.4.1	Frailty decomposition	22
§2.4.2	Model estimation	23
§2.4.3	Implementation	24
§2.4.4	Estimation of the standard error	25
§2.4.5	Empirical Bayes estimates	26
§2.5	Data application	27
§2.5.1	Data description	27
§2.5.2	Competing risks model with independent frailties	29
§2.5.3	Competing risks model with correlated frailties	30
§2.5.4	Empirical Bayes estimates	31
§2.6	Simulation	36
§2.7	Discussion and Conclusion	42
Appendix		
§2.A	Probabilities for E-step	44
§2.B	Observed information of regression parameters	46

3	Assessment of predictive accuracy of an intermittently observed binary time-dependent marker	51
§3.1	Introduction	52
§3.2	Time-specific AUC for binary marker	54
§3.2.1	Incident cases and dynamic controls	55
§3.2.2	Cumulative cases and dynamic controls	56
§3.2.3	AUC for Weibull illness-death model	57
§3.2.4	Estimation	58
§3.2.5	Estimation of incident/dynamic AUC	58
§3.2.6	Estimation of cumulative/dynamic AUC	59
§3.3	Illness-death models	59
§3.3.1	Cox model with time-dependent covariate	59
§3.3.2	Piecewise-constant model accounting for interval-censoring	60
§3.3.3	Weibull model accounting for interval-censoring	60
§3.3.4	M-spline model accounting for interval-censoring	61
§3.4	Simulation	61
§3.5	Application	70
§3.6	Discussion	72
Appendix		
§3.A	Derivation of AUC	74
§3.A.1	Incident/dynamic AUC	74
§3.A.2	Cumulative/dynamic AUC	74
§3.B	Results for all scenarios	76
§3.C	Incident/dynamic AUC for scenarios D–L	79
§3.D	Cumulative/dynamic AUC for scenarios D–L	83
4	Individualised risk assessment for local recurrence and distant metastases in patients with high-grade soft tissue sarcomas of the extremities: a multistate model	89
§4.1	Introduction	91
§4.2	Patients and methods	92
§4.2.1	Statistical analysis	93
§4.3	Results	94
§4.4	Discussion	100
5	A prediction model for treatment decisions in high-grade extremity soft-tissue sarcomas: Personalised sarcoma care (PERSARC)	103
§5.1	Introduction	105
§5.2	Methods	105
§5.2.1	Study population	106
§5.2.2	Study design	106
§5.2.3	Statistical analysis	107
§5.3	Results	108
§5.4	Discussion	118
Appendix		

§5.A Competing risks model	120
6 Dynamic prediction of overall survival for patients with high-grade extremity soft tissue sarcoma	123
§6.1 Introduction	124
§6.2 Methods	125
§6.2.1 Study design	125
§6.2.2 Patients and variables	125
§6.2.3 Statistical analysis	126
§6.3 Results	127
§6.4 Discussion	135
7 External Validation and Adaptation of a Dynamic Prediction Model for Patients with High-Grade Extremity Soft Tissue Sarcoma	137
§7.1 Introduction	139
§7.2 Methods	139
§7.2.1 Study design	139
§7.2.2 Patients and Variables	140
§7.2.3 Statistical analysis	141
§7.3 Results	142
§7.4 Discussion	149
8 Individual risk evaluation for local recurrence and distant metastasis in Ewing sarcoma: a multistate model	151
§8.1 Introduction	153
§8.2 Methods	154
§8.2.1 Measures	154
§8.2.2 Statistical analysis	155
§8.2.3 Missing data	155
§8.3 Results	156
§8.4 Discussion	162
§8.5 Conclusion	164
Appendix	
§8.A Patient characteristics	165
§8.B Complete case analysis	165
9 Discussion and future perspectives	169
§9.1 Custom-made models	169
§9.2 Prediction models in clinical practice	172
§9.3 Future perspectives	173
Bibliography	177
Summary	193

Samenvatting	197
List of Publications	203
Curriculum Vitae	205
Acknowledgements	207